

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Soft antiphase tilt of oxygen octahedra in the hybrid improper multiferroic Ca_{3}Mn_{1.9}Ti_{0.1}O_{7}

Feng Ye, Jinchen Wang, Jieming Sheng, C. Hoffmann, T. Gu, H. J. Xiang, Wei Tian, J. J.
Molaison, A. M. dos Santos, M. Matsuda, B. C. Chakoumakos, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, X.
Tong, Bin Gao, Jae Wook Kim, and S.-W. Cheong
Phys. Rev. B **97**, 041112 — Published 19 January 2018
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.97.041112

Soft antiphase tilt of oxygen octahedra in the hybrid improper multiferroic $Ca_3Mn_{1.9}Ti_{0.1}O_7$

Feng Ye,^{1,*} Jinchen Wang,^{2,1} Jieming Sheng,^{2,1} C. Hoffmann,³ T. Gu,^{4,5} H. J. Xiang,^{4,5}

Wei Tian,¹ J. J. Molaison,⁶ A. M. dos Santos,¹ M. Matsuda,¹ B. C. Chakoumakos,¹

J. A. Fernandez-Baca,¹ X. Tong,⁶ Bin Gao,⁷ Jae Wook Kim,⁷ and S.-W. Cheong⁷

¹Quantum Condensed Matter Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

²Department of Physics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, China

³Chemical and Engineering Materials Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

⁴Key Laboratory of Computational Physical Sciences,

State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, and Department of Physics,

Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China

⁵Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China

⁶Instrument and Source Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

⁷Rutgers Center for Emergent Materials and Department of Physics and Astronomy,

Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA

We report single crystal neutron and x-ray diffraction study of the hybrid improper multiferroic $Ca_3Mn_{1.9}Ti_{0.1}O_7$ (CMTO), a prototypical system where the electric polarization arises from the condensation of two lattice distortion modes. With increasing temperature (*T*), the out-of-plane, antiphase tilt of MnO₆ decreases in amplitude while the in-plane, inphase rotation remains robust and experiences abrupt change across the first-order structural transition. Application of hydrostatic pressure (*P*) to CMTO at room temperature shows similar effect. The consistent behavior under both *T* and *P* reveals the softness of antiphase tilt and highlights the role of partially occupied *d*-orbital of the transition metal ions in determining the stability of the octahedral distortion. Polarized neutron analysis indicates the symmetry-allowed canted ferromagnetic moment is less than 0.04 μ_B/Mn site, despite a substantial out-of-plane tilt of the MnO₆ octahedra.

PACS numbers: 75.58.+t,81.40.Vw,75.25.-j,61.05.F-

Multiferroic compounds with spontaneous elastic, electrical, magnetic orders, are considered as the key materials to achieve cross-control between magnetism and electricity in solids with small energy dissipation.^{1,2} The functional properties including colossal magnetoelectric effect could be used in solid-state memories and sensors.³ The desired multifunctional behavior requires common microscopic origin of the long range order such that one order parameter is strongly coupled to the conjugate field of the other one. So far, the majority of attention has focused on exploring materials with magnetic origin, where the underlying microscopic mechanisms are primarily classified into three types; symmetric spin exchange interaction $\Sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j)^{4,5}$ antisymmetric spin exchange interaction $\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j^{6,7}$ and spin-dependent p - dhybridization due to spin-ligand interaction $(\mathbf{e}_{il} \cdot \mathbf{S}_i)^2 \mathbf{e}_{il}$.⁸ The material-by-design efforts focusing on magnetic oxides has been productive; the ferroelectricity is induced either through epitaxial strain engineering or chemical substitution of stereochemical inactive ions with lonepair-active cations.^{9,10}

However, this approach requires a strong coupling between ferroelectricity and magnetism. The microscopic mechanism with spin origin also implicitly suggests a low operating temperature because of the magnetic frustration. On the other hand, perovskites in form of ABO_3 and their derivatives are favorably chosen for functional materials due to their high susceptibility towards polar structural instability and the intimate coupling be-

tween the ferroelectric polarization and the magnetic, orbital and electronic degrees of freedom. Recently, a novel mechanism termed as "hybrid improper ferroelec*tric*" has been proposed to search for materials with spontaneous ferroelectricity. The central idea is that the polar mode is driven by the condensation of two nonpolar lattice modes, which represent oxygen octahedral rotation (X_2^+) and tilt (X_3^-) , respectively.¹¹⁻¹³ It is shown that ferroelectricity can be induced experimentally via strain coupling of octahedral rotation between different perovskite heterostructure layers¹⁴ and even realized in bulk materials.^{11,15} Indeed, the higherorder coupling of multi-degrees of freedom with the polarization is observed in the Ruddlesden-Popper structure Ca₃Ti₂O₇ (CTO) and Ca₃Mn₂O₇ (CMO) in neutron powder diffraction.¹⁶ Both compounds exhibit lowtemperature (T) polar $A2_1am$ space group (SG #36) that corresponds to symmetry space spanned by two centrosymmetric Acam (#64) and Amam (#63). In the magnetically ordered CMO, the antiphase octahedral tilt is responsible for the canted moment and suggests a controllable polarization-magnetization coupling via modification of the oxygen octahedral distortion.^{11,15} Thus, instead of searching for materials where the magnetism couples to the electric polarization, the proposal of octahedral-distortion-driven ferroelectricity and magnetoelectricity in this system has shifted the emphasis to discover room-temperature antiferromagnet A₃B₂O₇ compounds.^{17,18} A deeper understanding of the origin

for octahedral distortion would provide valuable insight to design materials with optimal performance.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Crystal structure of $Ca_3Mn_{1.9}Ti_{0.1}O_7$ in (a) the low-T $A2_1am$ and (b) high-T Abaa phases. The structures are drawn using VESTA software.¹⁹ The diffraction images in the (h, k, 0) scattering plane at (c) 100 K and (d) 450 K. Images in the (h, 0, l) plane at (e) 100 K and (f) 450 K.

In this Letter, we report an active control of the octahedral distortion of a prototypical hybrid improper multiferroic Ca₃Mn_{1.9}Ti_{0.1}O₇ (CMTO) using temperature (T) and pressure (P). Introducing small amounts of Ti stabilized the crystal growth while keeping the physical properties very similar to the pure CMO. We found the antiphase tilt of MnO_6 decreases in amplitude while the inphase rotation remains nearly unchanged with increasing temperature. Hydrostatic pressure has similar effect; it suppresses the antiphase tilt and has minimal influence on the inphase rotation. The consistent behavior of two distortion modes with respect to both T and Preveals the mechanism contributing to the distortion instability and indicates the ferroelectricity of CMTO can be controlled indirectly by the amplitude of the octahedral distortion.

Single crystals of CMTO were grown using the traveling floating zone method. The chemical compositions were determined by x-ray and neutron diffraction refinements independently and physical properties were characterized by resistivity, magnetization measurements. Single crystal x-ray diffraction data were collected using a Rigaku XtaLAB PRO diffractometer at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Extensive neutron scattering measurements were performed using instruments at the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) and the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR). Structure analysis was performed using the TOPAZ diffractometer at SNS with crystal size of $2.5 \times 2.5 \times 0.25$ mm³. Large pieces were used to study the thermal evolution of specific reflections using the single crystal diffuse scattering spectrometer CORELLI at SNS, and the HB1A triple-axis spectrometer at HFIR. Polarized neutron diffraction was performed on a sample with mass ~ 200 mg using the HB1 triple axis spectrometer. Heusler crystals were used as the monochromator and analyzer for the polarization setup with flipping ratio of 15 at incident energy $E_i = 13.5$ meV. High pressure neutron diffraction was carried out at the SNAP instrument at SNS. An orientated crystal was loaded inside the Paris-Edinburgh pressure cell with lead powder serving as the pressure transmitting medium and gauge.



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) The *T*-dependence of the nuclear (4,4,0) reflection. (b) Comparison of the *d*-spacing of the same peak on cooling and warming. (c) The *T*-dependence of (0,3,3) reflection associated with the out-of-plane octahedral tilt. (d) The *T*-dependence of the (1,2,0) peak from the inplane rotation. Insets of panels (c)-(d) show the zoom-in part near the antiferromagnetic transition.

Although the CMO crystal is known to have a non-centrosymmetric $A2_1am$ structure below room temperature,^{20,21} the evolution pathway from the high-T tetragonal to the low-T orthorhombic phase remains questionable since a direct continuous transition is not consistent with the Landau theory. It was proposed that certain intermediate phase might exist over a narrow temperature range. A synchrotron x-ray and neutron diffraction study on the powder sample determined the structure above the transition is nonpolar Abaa (#68), where the MnO_6 octahedra of neighboring perovskite block rotate out-of-phase by the same angle.¹⁶ The subtle structural transition and lack of sensitivity in powder measurements motivates us to carry out more thorough examination via single crystal neutron diffraction. Figures 1(c)-1(f) compare the reciprocal space images of the single crystal CMTO at 100 K and 450 K in various scattering planes. The low-T patterns agree with the reflection condition for the SG $A2_1am$ with Bragg reflections appearing at k = 2n in the (h, k, 0) plane and h + l = 2n in the (h, 0, l) plane.²² At 450 K, only reflections with h = 2n, l = 2n appear in the (h, 0, l) plane, while the pattern of the (h, k, 0) plane remains identical as compared to 100 K. The existence of Bragg peaks with h + k = odd in the (h, k, 0) plane violates the reflection condition for the nonpolar Abaa phase. CMO has an abnormally large temperature window (\sim 70 K) where the low-T polar $A2_1am$ phase coexists with the high-T phase.¹⁶ It is possible that the crystal remains in the mixed-phase state even at 450 K. Alternatively, the observed reflection condition suggests a different crystal structure if single-phase is assumed. An exhaustive search leads to a polar Aba2 SG (#41) which allows the MnO₆ in the bilayer block to rotate out-of-phase with different angles. Refinement using such a structural model improves the agreement between the model and data. Full details are given in Supplementary Material²³. Nevertheless, a definitive description of the crystal structure above the transition requires complementary experimental techniques, e.g., bulk polarization and imaging techniques like scanning electron microscopy at high temperatures.



FIG. 3. (Color online) The schematic diagram of (a) out-ofplane tilt and (b) in-plane rotation of the MnO_6 . (c) The *T*-dependence of refined MnO_6 distortion angles. The *T*dependence of the (0,3,3) peak intensity from another independent measurement is plot for comparison.

T-dependence of superlattice reflections is used to track the evolution of the structural distortion. As shown in Figures 2(a)-2(b), a first-order structural transition is evident near $T_{\rm S}$ ${\sim}370$ K, where the lattice constant experiences an abrupt change with a strong hysteresis. The low-T non-centrosymmetric phase is comprised of an in-plane rotation of MnO_6 octahedra along [0,0,1](*i.e.*, $a^0 a^0 c^+$ in Glazer's notation²⁴) and a out-of-plane tilt along the [1,1,0] axis in the pseudo-tetragonal setting $(a^{-}a^{-}c^{0})$. We select two characteristic vectors (1,2,0) and (0,3,3) where the scattering structure factors arises from distinct instability modes. Figure 2(c) shows the peak intensity of tilt-active (0,3,3) reflection is clearly Tdependent, and decreases steadily with increasing temperature. A change of slope near $T_N \sim 115$ K is discernible [Inset of Fig. 2(c)]. In contrast, the intensity of rotation-active (1,2,0) reflection remains flat below the structural phase transition. The stark difference indicates the rotation of MnO_6 is robust while the tilt is more susceptible against the thermal fluctuation.

The distortions depicted in Fig. 3(a)-3(b) are quantified by the structural refinement from both x-ray and

neutron diffraction [different symbols in Fig. 3(c)]. The inplane rotation and out-of-plane tilt are characterized by the deviation of oxygen sites away from the undistorted position. The corresponding angles ϕ and θ can be estimated from the refined coordinates, *e.g.*, the rotation angle $\phi = (u_1 - u_2 + v_1 + v_2)$ for the O₂ and O₃ sites located at $(u_1 + 1/2, v_1 + 1/2, w_1)$ and $(u_2, 1 - v_2, w_2)$ [Fig. 3(b)]. The average ϕ is 8.3° in the basal plane, larger than θ which is in the range of 6.5-7.7°. Most importantly, the refinement provides compelling evidence that the tilt decreases progressively on warming, while the rotation remains rigid across the whole temperature range. This is in excellent agreement with the diffraction data shown in Figs. 2(c)-2(d) and the data obtained from another independent sample studied using HB1A.



FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) The pressure experiment setup with the crystal oriented such that the desired reflections can be measured simultaneously using obth detector banks. (b) The pressure dependence of I(P)/I(0) of the (1,2,0) and (0,3,3) reflections. Curves are guides to the eye. (c) DFT result of the change of inphase rotation and antiphase tilt as function of pressure. The calculation is only performed with structure in the $A2_1am$ phase.

Questions arise whether the antiphase tilt is more susceptible to perturbation and whether the observed feature is pertinent only to this particular compound. We thus investigate how the structure responds to the external pressure as it provides an effective tuning parameter to explore the phase diagram. An earlier study of CMO revealed a structural transition from tetragonal to orthorhombic phase near $P \sim 1.3$ GPa.²⁵ However, the structure in the low-P regime does not agree with the established orthorhombic structure which is likely due to the low sensitivity of x-rays to oxygen. To characterize the transition, we performed single crystal neutron diffraction under pressure using the SNAP diffractometer. Figure 4(b) plots the intensities of the (1.2.0) and (0,3,3) normalized to the (0,2,2) nuclear Bragg peak that has minimal change across the transition. The (1,2,0)peak intensity associated with octahedral rotation remains nearly unchanged for P < 4 GPa while the (0,3,3)intensity is suppressed immediately once the pressure is applied. Both peak intensities decrease at higher pressure and eventually evolve into pressure-independent values for P greater than the critical pressure $P_c \approx 7$ GPa, suggesting the system enters another structure. The Pdependent study further corroborates the softness of $X_3^$ tilt mode which responds more dramatically to the stimuli.

The suppression of the antiphase tilt and robustness of the inphase rotation upon increasing T and P in CMTO exhibits distinct differences compared with the isostructural $(Ca_{1-x}Sr_x)_3Ti_2O_7$, where the antiphase tilt survives against chemical substitution.¹⁸ Previous investigation of the octahedral instability in perovskite oxides has generalized rules to describe the phase transition. 1) the pressure-induced change in octahedral rotation and tilt dR/dP decreases with increasing Goldshmidt tolerance factor (defined as $\tau = (r_A + r_O)/\sqrt{2}(r_B + r_O)$, where r_A , r_B , r_O are radii of the A-, B-cations, and O-anion).^{26,27} This has explained the pressure behavior in orthorhombic CaSnO₃,²⁸ CaTiO₃,²⁹ and SrTiO₃.³⁰ 2) materials with similar τ show increasing dR/dP as they move from A³⁺B³⁺O₃²⁻ to A²⁺B⁴⁺O₃²⁻, and fi-nally to A¹⁺B⁵⁺O₃²⁻, which is attributed to the pressuredependence of the bond-valence parameter. When A-site cation is at high formal charge, the AO₁₂ polyhedron is harder to compress because of its already small A-O distance.^{31,32} Extending to the $A_3B_2O_7$ series, calculation reveals a systematic trend of the distortion amplitudes with respect to τ .³³ The $a^0 a^0 c^+$ rotation is suppressed, while the $a^-a^-c^0$ tilt remains stable as τ increases. Although CMO and CTO have similar tolerance factors and the same formal charge of the A-site ions, the observation in CMTO does not follow the aforementioned rules and is not consistent with the robustness of the $a^{-}a^{-}c^{0}$ -type tilt mode. Recently, the role of orbital hybridization between the B ions and the oxygen has been suggested to play an important role in determining the octahedral distortion.³⁴ When pressure is applied on system containing B-ions with empty low-lying d-states, the next-nearest neighbor B-O inter-atomic distance is reduced, and the hopping between the O-2p and B-3d orbitals is enhanced significantly, which ultimately leads to the increase of tilt/rotation. In contrast, the partially occupied *d*-orbitals (where there is electron occupation in any of the five *d*-orbital) will suppress the distortion.³⁴ This mechanism has successfully explained the contrasting behavior of CaTiO₃ and CaMnO₃ under pressure (see Supplementary Material²³). We apply similar density function theory calculation to $Ca_3Mn_2O_7$ and the results are shown in Fig. 4(c). Upon increasing pressure, the antiphase tilt is significantly suppressed and the inphase rotation of MnO₆ shows signs of slightly increasing. The nice agreement between calculation and experimental data reveals the partially occupied d-orbitals of the Mn sites are indeed critical for the stability of the MnO₆ distortion. Furthermore, the contradictory pressure behavior between inphase rotation and antiphase tilt hints the competition between the two and a presence of trilinear coupling involving both octahedral distortion and the antipolar distortion mode.³⁴



FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) T-dependence of the (1,0,2) magnetic reflection from the G-type AFM order. Insert shows the symmetry allowed spin configuration. A canted magnetic structure leads to ferromagnetic component along *a*-axis. (b) Magnetization measurement with field perpendicular and parallel to the ab-plane. (c) T-dependence of (0,0,2) peak with half-polarization setup. (d) Comparison of the scans across the (0,0,4) reflection at 10 K and 150 K with full-polarization setup.

CMTO enters antiferromagnetic (AFM) order at $T_N =$ 115 K and the moments are dominantly along the caxis [Fig. 5(a)]. The octahedral distortion is directly coupled to the magnetic order and additional spinorbit interaction (SOI) gives rise to a canted moment of 0.06 μ_B /site according to the first principle calculation or $0.4 \pm 0.2 \ \mu_B$ from neutron powder study.²¹ The symmetry-allowed weak ferromagnetism (F) results from the trilinear coupling between the G-type AFM, the tilt X_3^- , and F in the lowest-order magnetoelastic coupling approximation. The energy gain is of $V_{MX} \sim$ $-G_{c}(\mathbf{q}_{1})F_{b}X_{3}^{-}(\mathbf{q}_{1})+G_{c}(\mathbf{q}_{2})F_{a}X_{3}^{-}(\mathbf{q}_{2}),$ where a, b, c denote the crystallographic axes, and $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2$ are the ordering vectors (1/2, 1/2, 0) and (1/2, -1/2, 0).¹⁵ Since ferromagnetic scattering overlaps with the nuclear scattering, polarized neutron measurement is used to differentiate the magnetic and nuclear contribution. The (0,0,2)/(0,0,4) peaks are chosen for their large ratio of the magnetic over nuclear structure factor. In the halfpolarization configuration, the intensity is proportional to the summation of nuclear and magnetic scattering in the flipper-off channel, and becomes the difference between two when the flipper is turned on. The Tdependence of the (0,0,2) reflection in both configurations shows no difference within standard error and no anomaly near the AFM transition [Figure 5(c)]. We also employed full polarization analysis where the scattering intensity is dominantly magnetic in the spin-flip (SF) configuration with polarization parallel to the moment transfer. In Fig. 5(d), the scan profiles in the SF channel at T=5 K and 150 K (above T_N) are essentially the same indicating the peak originates mainly from the nuclear scattering leakage in the SF channel. Based on the statistics of the (0,0,4) and other measured nuclear reflections, the upper-bound of the ferromagnetic moment is determined to be $M_s = 0.04 \ \mu_B/Mn$. Such a small value is somewhat unexpected when the antisymmetric Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya interaction could lead to a sizable canting moment,^{35,36} but consistent with magnetization measurement [Fig. 5(b)], where the obtained moment is in the order of $10^{-3}\mu_B$ per formula unit.^{37,38} A reasonable explanation is the weak SOI associated with the 3d Mn ion compared to 5d-electron iridates.³⁹ Although small, the unambiguous existence of the canted moment in conjunction with the soft oxygen octahedral tilt mode offer a feasible strategy to achieve magnetoelectricity control in this layered perovskite, *i.e.*, switching the direction of net moment with electric field.^{18,40}

In summary, we performed a systematic neutron and x-ray diffraction study characterizing the structural evolution of the hybrid improper multiferroic CMTO. We identified that the magnitude of the antiphase tilt of MnO₆ octahedron decreases with increasing temperature and pressure, while the inphase rotation remains stable. We attribute the suppression of antiphase octahedral tilt to the partially occupied *d*-orbitals of the Mn⁴⁺ ions, in contrast with enhancement of both antiphase/inphase distortion in CTO which only has empty *d*-orbital at the Ti⁴⁺ site. The canted ferromagnetic moment has an upper limit of 0.04 μ_B despite substantial MnO₆ tilt. Our study indicates the active control of the octahedral distortion provides a generic route for designing new ferroelectrics and multifunctional materials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research at ORNL was sponsored by the Scientific User Facilities Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy. The work at Rutgers was funded by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundations EPiQS Initiative through Grant GBMF4413 to the Rutgers Center for Emergent Materials. JCW and JMS acknowledge support from China Scholarship Council. This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

- * yef1@ornl.gov
- ¹ S. W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, Nature Materials **6**, 13 (2007).
- ² Y. Tokura, S. Seki, and N. Nagaosa, Rep. Prog. Phys. 77, 076501 (2014).
- ³ N. Lee, C. Vecchini, Y. J. Choi, L. C. Chapon, A. Bombardi, P. G. Radaelli, and S. W. Cheong, Physical Review Letters **110**, 4 (2013).
- ⁴ N. Hur, S. Park, P. A. Sharma, J. S. Ahn, S. Guha, and S.-W. Cheong, Nature **429**, 392 (2004).
- ⁵ H. J. Xiang, E. J. Kan, Y. Zhang, M. H. Whangbo, and X. G. Gong, Physical Review Letters **107**, 157202 (2011).
- ⁶ H. Katsura, N. Nagaosa, and A. V. Balatsky, Physical Review Letters **95**, 057205 (2005).
- ⁷ M. Mostovoy, Physical Review Letters **96**, 067601 (2006).
- ⁸ T. H. Arima, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 76, 4 (2007).
- ⁹ J. Wang, J. B. Neaton, H. Zheng, V. Nagarajan, S. B. Ogale, B. Liu, D. Viehland, V. Vaithyanathan, D. G. Schlom, U. V. Waghmare, N. A. Spaldin, K. M. Rabe, M. Wuttig, and R. Ramesh, Science **299**, 1719 (2003).
- ¹⁰ G. Catalan and J. F. Scott, Advanced Materials **21**, 2463 (2009).
- ¹¹ N. A. Benedek and C. J. Fennie, Physical Review Letters 106, 107204 (2011).
- ¹² N. A. Benedek, A. T. Mulder, and C. J. Fennie, J. Solid State Chem. **195**, 11 (2012).
- ¹³ N. A. Benedek, J. M. Rondinelli, H. Djani, P. Ghosez, and P. Lightfoot, Dalton Transactions 44, 10543 (2015).
- ¹⁴ E. Bousquet, M. Dawber, N. Stucki, C. Lichtensteiger, P. Hermet, S. Gariglio, J.-M. Triscone, and P. Ghosez, Nature 452, 732 (2008).

- ¹⁵ A. B. Harris, Phys Rev B **84**, 16 (2011).
- ¹⁶ M. S. Senn, A. Bombardi, C. A. Murray, C. Vecchini, A. Scherillo, X. Luo, and S. W. Cheong, Physical Review Letters **114**, 5 (2015).
- ¹⁷ M. J. Pitcher, P. Mandal, M. S. Dyer, J. Alaria, P. Borisov, H. Niu, J. B. Claridge, and M. J. Rosseinsky, Science **347**, 420 (2015).
- ¹⁸ Y. S. Oh, X. Luo, F.-T. Huang, Y. Wang, and S.-W. Cheong, Nature materials **14**, 407 (2015).
- ¹⁹ K. Momma and F. Izumi, Journal of Applied Crystallography 44, 1272 (2011).
- ²⁰ N. Guiblin, D. Grebille, H. Leligny, and C. Martin, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C-Cryst. Struct. Commun. **58**, 13 (2002).
- ²¹ M. V. Lobanov, M. Greenblatt, E. N. Caspi, J. D. Jorgensen, D. V. Sheptyakov, B. H. Toby, C. E. Botez, and P. W. Stephens, Journal of Physics-Condensed Matter 16, 5339 (2004).
- 22 the structural refinement determines that single crystal used in the measurement has one twinned domains rotating 90 degree along the *c*-axis, the volume faction ratio between the primanry and twinned domain is 55:45.
- ²³ see Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/XXX for further details of sample characterization, the temperature evolution of refined parameters below the structural transition, structure at 420 K, and calculation of distortion under pressure for CMTO, which includes Refs.41–52.
- ²⁴ A. M. Glazer, Acta Crystallographica Section B-Structural Science B 28, 3384 (1972).
- ²⁵ J. L. Zhu, R. C. Yu, F. Y. Li, and C. Q. Jin, Phys. Status Solidi A-Appl. Res. **194**, 159 (2002).
- ²⁶ V. M. Goldschmidt, Naturwissenschaften **14**, 477 (1926).
- ²⁷ G. A. Samara, T. Sakudo, and K. Yoshimitsu, Physical

Review Letters 35, 1767 (1975).

- ²⁸ J. Zhao, N. L. Ross, and R. J. Angel, Phys. Chem. Miner. **31**, 299 (2004).
- ²⁹ M. Guennou, P. Bouvier, B. Krikler, J. Kreisel, R. Haumont, and G. Garbarino, Phys Rev B 82, 134101 (2010).
- ³⁰ M. Guennou, P. Bouvier, J. Kreisel, and D. Machon, Phys Rev B **81**, 054115 (2010).
- ³¹ R. D. Shannon, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A **32**, 751 (1976).
- ³² R. J. Angel, J. Zhao, and N. L. Ross, Physical Review Letters **95**, 025503 (2005).
- ³³ A. T. Mulder, N. A. Benedek, J. M. Rondinelli, and C. J. Fennie, Advanced Functional Materials 23, 4810 (2013).
- ³⁴ H. J. Xiang, M. Guennou, J. iguez, J. Kreisel, and L. Bellaiche, Phys Rev B 96, 054102 (2017).
- ³⁵ I. Dzyaloshinsky, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 4, 241 (1958).
- ³⁶ T. Moriya, Physical Review **120**, 91 (1960).
- ³⁷ W. H. Jung, Journal of Materials Science Letters **19**, 2037 (2000).
- ³⁸ W. K. Zhu, L. Pi, Y. J. Huang, S. Tan, and Y. H. Zhang, Applied Physics Letters **101**, 4 (2012).
- ³⁹ F. Ye, S. Chi, B. C. Chakoumakos, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, T. Qi, and G. Cao, Phys Rev B 87, 140406 (2013).
- ⁴⁰ B. Gao, F. T. Huang, Y. Z. Wang, J. W. Kim, L. H. Wang, S. J. Lim, and S. W. Cheong, Applied Physics Letters **110**,

4 (2017).

- ⁴¹ J. Zikovsky, P. F. Peterson, X. P. P. Wang, M. Frost, and C. Hoffmann, Journal of Applied Crystallography **44**, 418 (2011).
- ⁴² A. J. Schultz, M. R. V. Jorgensen, X. Wang, R. L. Mikkelson, D. J. Mikkelson, V. E. Lynch, P. F. Peterson, M. L. Green, and C. M. Hoffmann, Journal of Applied Crystallography 47, 915 (2014).
- ⁴³ A. J. Schultz, K. Srinivasan, R. G. Teller, J. M. Williams, and C. M. Lukehart, Journal of the American Chemical Society **106**, 999 (1984).
- ⁴⁴ A.C. Larson and R.B. Von Dreele, "General Structure Analysis System (GSAS)", Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR 86-748 (1994).
- ⁴⁵ G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A **64**, 112 (2008).
- ⁴⁶ P. E. Blchl, Phys Rev B **50**, 17953 (1994).
- ⁴⁷ G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys Rev B **59**, 1758 (1999).
- ⁴⁸ G. Kresse and J. Furthmller, Phys Rev B **54**, 11169 (1996).
- ⁴⁹ G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Comput. Mater. Sci. 6, 15 (1996).
- ⁵⁰ J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Physical Review Letters 77, 3865 (1996).
- ⁵¹ A. I. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, Phys Rev B **52**, R5467 (1995).
- ⁵² L. Bellaiche and J. iguez, Phys Rev B 88, 014104 (2013).