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We discuss the possibility of plasmon canalization, collimation, and tunneling over ultrathin metasurfaces, enabled by extreme anisotropy in their complex conductivity dyadic. The interplay between anisotropy, conductivity-near-zero, and loss is exploited here to derive general conditions for plasmon canalization and efficient energy transport. We also demonstrate how the intrinsic in-plane anisotropy of black phosphorus can provide a natural platform to engineer these conditions, exhibiting important advantages over isotropic plasmonic materials. Our findings have implications for plasmonic sensors, planar hyperlenses and plasmon steering over a surface, and they highlight the potential of 2D materials beyond graphene.

PACS: 42.25.Bs, 73.20.Mf., 78.67.Pt.

I. INTRODUCTION

Anisotropic metamaterials have enabled the control of light in ways unreachable with conventional materials, giving rise to exotic electromagnetic phenomena such as negative refraction, hyperbolic dispersion, manipulation of the evanescent spectrum, drastic emission enhancement, cloaking, and electromagnetic transparency, to name a few [1]–[5]. Recently, extreme anisotropy in the conductivity – i.e., Im[ε] – of ε-near-zero (ENZ) metamaterials has been shown to enable the counterintuitive
phenomenon of energy collimation and omnidirectional enhancement of transmission between dissimilar media, even for grazing incidence [6], [7]. These findings have triggered the pursuit of practical implementations of this phenomenon not only at radiofrequencies and optical frequencies but also in acoustics [8], [9]. Current electromagnetic realizations rely on 3D metamaterials, that not only suffer from well-known issues like challenging fabrication and large volumetric loss [1], [10], [11], but also present no advantage over thin films in terms of near-field interactions with external sources [12]. Consequently, most designs have focused on the collimation of propagating waves impinging from free space into the ENZ medium, limiting the maximum spatial resolution transmitted therein to the light cone. Implementations of this concept over ultrathin plasmonic metasurfaces (MTSs), on the contrary, would enable more ambitious applications with increased resolution and simpler excitation, processing, and retrieval of light via near-field techniques [13].

Simple raw translations of bulk ENZ concepts into 2D MTSs [13]–[16] have led to promising σ-near-zero configurations that exhibit light canalization [11], [13] by engineering the imaginary part of the conductivity dyad, but relegate the real part of the conductivity to a mere hindrance [17]. This strategy not only misses the potential of loss-enhanced canalization available in bulk materials [6], [10], but also fails to incorporate the actual response of plasmonic materials into the design, leading to complex implementations with suboptimal performances [17].

In this paper, we derive general conditions for collimation and canalization of SPPs over extremely anisotropic MTSs, and we propose optimal designs based on the unusual anisotropic optical properties of black phosphorus [18]–[23], which enables better performance than isotropic plasmonic materials like graphene or noble metals. For this purpose, we start by investigating the dispersion relation, field distributions, and energy transport of surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) as a function of loss anisotropy. We show quite counterintuitively that specific forms of loss anisotropy can facilitate energy transport over a surface, rather than harming it. Then, we exploit this property to unveil two-dimensional loss-induced canalization and collimation of highly confined and directional SPPs in ultrathin MTSs over
longer distances than isotropic plasmons. Although this scenario has some connections with loss-induced canalization in the bulk [6], the reduced dimensionality of MTSs imposes additional constraints and provides new knobs to engineer the supported plasmons, enabling much richer near-field interactions, collimation of the evanescent spectrum, and the corresponding enhancement of the spontaneous emission rate from nearby sources [13].

The general concept of loss-based canalization is illustrated in Fig. 1. A z-oriented dipole is placed above an interface between two inductive (metallic-like) metasurfaces with complex conductivities \(\sigma_1\) and \(\bar{\sigma}_2\). The conductivity \(\sigma_1\) is plasmonic and isotropic, supporting confined transverse-magnetic (TM) SPPs that decay due to absorption, whereas the tensor conductivity \(\bar{\sigma}_2\) is highly loss-anisotropic with \(\text{Re}[\sigma_{yy}] \rightarrow \infty\) (equal to \(\sigma_1\) for the other tensor elements). The plasmon isofrequency contours (IFCs) in the insets show that the maximum wavenumber allowed along the \(x\)-direction \((k_x)\) is the same in both cases, since \(\sigma_{xx}^1 = \sigma_{xx}^2\), but the corresponding values of \(k_y\) are very different. The extreme anisotropy in \(\bar{\sigma}_2\) causes the real and imaginary parts of the IFC to flatten, resulting in collimated plasmons that are highly confined to the surface, travel longer distances along specific directions than in the isotropic case, and retain the same
transverse resolution in \( x \). This loss-induced canalization regime is a particular case of the general canalization schemes described in this paper.

II. THEORY OF PLASMON CANALIZATION AND TUNNELING

The dispersion relation, field distribution and energy transport properties of surface plasmons supported by free-space standing anisotropic metasurfaces defined by \( \bar{\sigma} = \sigma_{xx} \hat{x} \hat{x} + \sigma_{yy} \hat{y} \hat{y} \) can be derived by finding the states of a system described by the equation [24]

\[
(E_x \hat{x} + E_y \hat{y}) \cdot (\bar{Z} \bar{\sigma} + 2\bar{I}) = 0 \tag{1}
\]

where

\[
\bar{Z} = \frac{\omega \mu_0}{k_z} \left( I - \frac{1}{k_0^2} \vec{k}_t \cdot \vec{k}_t \right) \tag{2}
\]

\( \bar{I} \) is the unitary dyad, \( k_0 \) is the free-space wavenumber, \( \vec{k}_t = k_x \hat{x} + k_y \hat{y} \) and \( k_z = \sqrt{k_0^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2} \). The wavevector of the propagating states corresponds to the eigenvalues of the matrix \((\bar{Z} \bar{\sigma} + 2\bar{I})\), which yields the dispersion relation

\[
2k_0^2 \eta(\sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{yy}) - 2\eta(\sigma_{xx}^2 + k_x^2 \sigma_{yy}) + k_0 k_z (4 + \eta^2 \sigma_{xx} \sigma_{yy}) = 0. \tag{3}
\]

This equation describes all possible bound modes over homogeneous metasurfaces, whose characteristics depend on the values of \( \sigma_{xx} \) and \( \sigma_{yy} \). This includes isotropic TM (metallic-like, \( \text{Im}[\sigma] > 0 \)), TE (dielectric-like, \( \text{Im}[\sigma] < 0 \)), propagation, anisotropic elliptical hybrid TM/TE modes (\( \sigma_{xx} \neq \sigma_{yy} \)), hyperbolic plasmons \( \text{sgn}(\text{Im}[\sigma_{xx}]) \neq \text{sgn}(\text{Im}[\sigma_{yy}]) \), and the canalization regime on which we focus [11], [13]. From Refs. [13], [17], SPP propagation is strongly favored along \( y \) when \( |\text{Im}[\sigma_{yy}]| \gg |\text{Im}[\sigma_{xx}]| \), a scenario that usually appears when \( |\text{Im}[\sigma_{xx}]| \approx 0 \), i.e. the so called \( \sigma \)-near-zero response. This behavior was first observed in bulk metamaterials, where canalization has usually been obtained in ENZ structures [4], [10], [25]. Recently, loss-induced canalization has been demonstrated in bulk metamaterials when \( \text{Im}[\varepsilon_\perp] \gg \text{Im}[\varepsilon_\parallel] \), see Refs. [6], [8], [10]. We note that the term “loss-induced” used to describe this phenomenon may lead to confusion, as increasing the imaginary part of \( \varepsilon \) does not
necessarily imply an increase in loss [26]. A simple example is the case of a perfect conductor, for which the imaginary part of permittivity tends to infinity, but its large value prevents the field from entering the metal and therefore avoids absorption. Anyhow, these studies have demonstrated the possibility of realizing exotic responses by tailoring the material conductivity, which is typically treated as a nuisance in metamaterials. Analyzing the response of anisotropic MTSs we realize that ideal canalization along \( y \) can be achieved either when \( \text{Im} [\sigma_{yy}] \to \pm \infty \) or when \( \text{Re} [\sigma_{yy}] \to \infty \). The former case is the so-called \( \sigma \)-near-zero response [11], [13] whereas we refer to the latter as loss-induced plasmon canalization [5]–[7]. Importantly, both cases lead to a consistent response and field distribution that holds independently of the topology (elliptic or hyperbolic) of the metasurface. The dispersion relation simplifies to

\[
k_y = k_0 \sqrt{1 + \frac{k_x \eta \sigma_{xx}}{2k_0}}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \eta \) and \( k_0 \) are the free-space impedance and wavenumber, respectively, and \( k_0^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 \) holds.

In the non-retarded regime, Eq. (4) can be further simplified by noting that \( k_z \approx \pm ik_x \), implying that ideal canalization occurs if \( |\sigma_{xx}| \to 0 \), leading to \( k_y = k_0 \) for all \( k_x \). In practice, realistic implementations will force a closing of the IFC through a finite value of \( \sigma_{xx} \) or spatial dispersion effects [19], [27]–[29]. Neglecting the latter, canalization remains near perfect for \( |\text{Re} [k_x]| \ll 2k_0 / (\eta |\text{Im} [\sigma_{xx}]|) \), where the sign of \( \sigma_{xx} \) (plasmonic, \( \text{Im} [\sigma_{xx}] > 0 \), or dielectric, \( \text{Im} [\sigma_{yy} < 0] \)) have a small effect on phase and energy propagation, as well as on the polarization of the fields, as will be shown below. However, and somewhat counterintuitively, \( \sigma_{xx} \) should not always be made as small as possible, as \( \sigma_{xx} \) not only affects the maximum \( k_x \) supported by the metasurface, but also modifies the entire IFC and the associated propagation loss. This can be deduced from Eq. (4) by fixing \( k_x \) (assuming \( k_x \approx \pm ik_x \)) and decreasing \( \sigma_{xx} \), which implies larger complex \( k_y \), and faster decay in \( y \).
The simplified scenario described by Eq. (4) assumes that $\text{Re}\,\sigma_{yy}$ or $\text{Im}\,\sigma_{yy}$ are infinitely large, but the picture is different for finite conductivity profiles. In this case, moderate values of $\text{Im}\,\sigma_{yy}$ can enhance plasmon propagation and directionality, whereas $\text{Re}\,\sigma_{yy}$ remains detrimental unless larger values can be achieved. Fig. 2 illustrates this scenario by showing the IFC and transverse confinement of plasmons in increasingly loss-anisotropic MTSs (orange, solid lines), with $\sigma_{yy} = \text{Re}\,\sigma_{yy} + i0.15 \,\text{mS}$ and $\sigma_{xx} = 0.015 + i0.15 \,\text{mS}$. The right column illustrates loss-based plasmon canalization. Results are computed (solid line) for $\text{Re}\,\sigma_{yy} = 0.05, 2.5$ and $100 \,\text{mS}$. Results for a baseline isotropic MTS with conductivity $\sigma = 0.015 + i0.15 \,\text{mS}$ are included for reference (blue dashed line). $L_x$ is the distance of $1/e$ power decay away from the MTS.

The simplified scenario described by Eq. (4) assumes that $\text{Re}\,\sigma_{yy}$ or $\text{Im}\,\sigma_{yy}$ are infinitely large, but the picture is different for finite conductivity profiles. In this case, moderate values of $\text{Im}\,\sigma_{yy}$ can enhance plasmon propagation and directionality, whereas $\text{Re}\,\sigma_{yy}$ remains detrimental unless larger values can be achieved. Fig. 2 illustrates this scenario by showing the IFC and transverse confinement of plasmons in increasingly loss-anisotropic MTSs (orange, solid lines), with $\sigma_{yy} = \text{Re}\,\sigma_{yy} + i0.15 \,\text{mS}$ and $\sigma_{xx} = 0.015 + i0.15 \,\text{mS}$. In all panels, blue dashed lines correspond to the baseline isotropic metasurface with $\sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{xx} = 0.015 + i0.15 \,\text{mS}$. Note that these calculations do not correspond yet to any physical implementation, but are used to clearly illustrate the physics of this class of metasurfaces. The left
column, with \( \text{Re} [\sigma_{yy}] = 0.05 \text{ mS} \), illustrates how slightly increasing the unidirectional loss has a detrimental effect on plasmon propagation, with higher attenuation for all supported \( k_x \), in agreement with the conventional wisdom that \( \text{Re} [\sigma_{yy}] \) should be as small as possible in plasmonic MTSs. The added loss has a small effect on the transverse confinement \( L_z \), defined as the distance from the metasurface with 1/e power decay. Further increasing the conductivity to 2.5 mS (middle column) leads to a qualitatively different topology, as the high uniaxial loss leads to strong plasmon decay in the \( y \) direction (\( \text{Im}[k_y] > \text{Re}[k_y] \)) with all spatial frequencies predominantly traveling towards \( \pm x \), as will be detailed below concerning energy transport. Confinement to the surface is now significantly reduced for low-\( k_x \) due to their quasi-plane wave nature, but moderate-to-high \( k_x \), which carry subwavelength information and are of highest practical interest in plasmonics due to their miniaturization capabilities, increased field enhancement, and resolution for lensing applications, remain sufficiently confined [11]. Finally, the right column shows the desired canalization response, with \( \text{Re} [\sigma_{yy}] = 100 \text{ mS} \). The IFC is now almost flat for \( |\text{Re}[k_x]| < 2k_0/(\eta |\text{Im}[\sigma_{xx}]|) \) and decay is slow, implying canalization of a wide range of spatial frequencies, while the transverse confinement remains almost identical to the previous scenario despite the completely different in-plane characteristics. This behavior is explained by the uniaxial loss being sufficiently large to forbid electric fields in the \( y \) direction for all surface waves, preventing SPP-like wavelength shrinkage \textit{in this direction} (hence the small \( k_y \)) and dramatically lowering absorption. We stress that this response is completely general and appears in any metasurface by significantly increasing \( \text{Im}[\sigma_{yy}] \) or \( \text{Re}[\sigma_{yy}] \), independently of its topology. Results for moderate values of \( \text{Im}[\sigma_{yy}] \) can be readily computed with our theoretical framework, leading to topologies reported in previous works [11], [13], [17].

A complete description of plasmon propagation over this class of metasurfaces requires studying the polarization direction of energy transport, which in anisotropic media differs from the direction of phase propagation and is given by the Poynting vector \( \vec{S} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} [\vec{E} \times \vec{H}] \). The in-plane components of the
electric field, $E_x$ and $E_y$ are found as the eigenvectors of the matrix $\left( \vec{\sigma} + 2\vec{I} \right)$, with the transverse component $E_z$ and the magnetic field $\vec{H}$ readily retrieved from Maxwell’s equations. For arbitrary $\sigma_{xx}$ and $\sigma_{yy}$ they take the form

$$E_x = Ae^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}},$$

$$E_y = Ae^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \frac{2 k_x k_y \sigma_{xx}}{-\sigma_{xx}(k_0^2 - k_x^2) + \sigma_{yy}(k_0^2 - k_y^2) \pm C},$$

$$E_z = Ae^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \frac{1}{2 k_x k_y} \left( -(k_0^2 - k_x^2)\sigma_{xx} + (k_y^2 - k_x^2)\sigma_{yy} \mp C \right),$$

$$H_x = -Ae^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \frac{k_x k_y}{\omega \mu_0 k_z} \frac{\sigma_{xx}(k_0^2 - k_x^2) + \sigma_{yy}(k_0^2 - k_y^2) \pm C}{(k_0^2 - k_x^2)\sigma_{xx} + (k_0^2 - k_y^2)\sigma_{yy} \pm C},$$

$$H_y = Ae^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \frac{1}{2 k_x \mu_0 \omega \sigma_{yy}} \left( \sigma_{xx}(k_0^2 - k_x^2) + \sigma_{yy}(k_0^2 - k_y^2) \pm C \right),$$

$$H_z = Ae^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \frac{1}{2 k_y \mu_0 \omega \sigma_{yy}} \left( \sigma_{xx}(k_0^2 - k_x^2) - \sigma_{yy}(k_0^2 + k_y^2) \pm C \right),$$

where $A$ is an arbitrary field amplitude, and

$$C = \pm \sqrt{k_0^4 (\sigma_{xx} - \sigma_{yy})^2 - 2 k_0^2 (\sigma_{xx} - \sigma_{yy})(k_x^2 \sigma_{xx} - k_y^2 \sigma_{yy}) + (k_x^2 \sigma_{xx} + k_y^2 \sigma_{yy})^2}.$$ 

The signs of the square roots must be chosen to make the eigenvectors correspond to the proper eigenvalues ($\vec{k}$ depicted in the IFCs). We remark that these expressions are valid for all types of metasurface plasmon topologies, including isotropic, elliptical, and hyperbolic plasmons [11] and, to the best of our knowledge, they are not available elsewhere. They can be applied beyond the scope of this paper to engineer effective coupling and matching mechanisms for hyperbolic and extremely anisotropic plasmons, and to exploit their unusual properties to design devices based on engineering the characteristic impedance and wavevector, like switches, phase shifters, or filters [30].

If $|\sigma_{yy}| \rightarrow \infty$, the above expressions can be greatly simplified, providing valuable insight into the polarization of the canalized plasmons. In this case, $C$ can be written as
\[
C = \pm \sigma_{yy}(k_x^2 - k_0^2), \tag{7}
\]

leading to

\[
E_x = A e^{i k \cdot \hat{r}}; E_y = 0; E_z = -A \frac{k_x}{k_z} e^{i k \cdot \hat{r}}
\]

\[
H_x = A \frac{k_y k_x}{\omega \mu_0 k_z} e^{i k \cdot \hat{r}}; H_y = -A \frac{k_y^2 + k_x^2}{k_z} e^{i k \cdot \hat{r}}; H_z = A \frac{k_y}{\omega \mu_0 k_z} e^{i k \cdot \hat{r}} \tag{8}
\]

All surface plasmons in canalization are TE with respect to \(y\), which is expected given that \(|\sigma_{yy}| \to \infty\).

By closely inspecting Eq. (8), one realizes that in the usual non-retarded regime (where \(k_z \approx \pm i k_x\) when \(|\sigma_{xx}| \to 0\) \(H_y \to 0\), hence all the supported surface plasmons are quasi-TEM and circularly polarized with respect to the propagation direction.

The Poynting vector \(\vec{S} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[\vec{E} \times \vec{H}^*]\) can now be calculated rigorously. In the canalization regime, it simplifies to

\[
S_x = A^2 \cdot \Re \left[ \frac{k_x}{k_z} \left( k_x^2 + k_0^2 \right) e^{2 i k \cdot \hat{r}} \right], \quad S_y = A^2 \cdot \Re \left[ k_y \left( 1 + \frac{|k_x|^2}{k_z^2} \right) e^{2 i k \cdot \hat{r}} \right], \quad S_z = A^2 \cdot \Re \left[ \left( k_y^2 + k_0^2 \right) e^{2 i k \cdot \hat{r}} \right]. \tag{9}
\]

Note that \(k_z\) is imaginary for lossless \(\sigma_{xx}\), and therefore \(S_z = 0\). Otherwise, \(|S_z| > 0\) represents the power flow towards the metasurface from fields above and below, where it is dissipated. In the non-retarded regime, Eq. (9) simplifies to \(S_x = 0\), \(S_y = 2A \text{Re}[k_y]\), and \(S_z = 0\), implying that, ideally, all propagating energy is collimated towards \(y\).

Fig. 3 shows the electric and magnetic field components for the anisotropic MTSs studied in Fig. 2, as well as the direction of the Poynting vector \(\angle \vec{S} = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{S_x}{S_y} \right)\) computed using the exact expressions detailed above. Results are plotted versus \(k_x\) (the corresponding \(k_y\) can be retrieved from Fig. 2). The left column corresponds to the near-isotropic case, with quasi-TM propagation \((H_z = 0\) in isotropic TM plasmons) and \(\angle \vec{S}\) very similar to the baseline isotropic metasurface (blue dashed lines in bottom row). In the middle column, corresponding to the overdamped case studied in Fig. 2, plasmon polarization is remarkably different, with stronger \(E_x\), \(H_z \approx H_x\), and energy propagation predominantly towards \(\pm x\).
Finally, the right column showcases the canalization scenario, demonstrating the quasi-TEM nature deduced from Eq. (8) and almost perfect canalization ($\Delta S = 0$) for all supported surface waves except those with $k_y = 0$. Interestingly, close to this operation point, energy can be directed from 0 to 90 degrees with very small variations of $k_x$. This extreme response has not been observed before and we envision it could be exploited to efficiently steer plasmons over a surface by using reconfigurable materials like graphene or black phosphorus to shift this operation through $\sigma_{xx}$.

In idealized 3D metamaterials, it has been shown that when propagating waves impinge from free-space onto the loss-enhanced medium at an oblique angle ($k_x > 0$, with $\hat{x}$ parallel to the interface), the transmitted beam propagates towards $y$. In the 2D scenario under study, the supported $k_x$ spectrum is mostly evanescent - falling outside the light cone - and can be easily excited and retrieved by through near-field techniques. Loss-enhanced MTSs couple and then collimate the impinging waves, but also...
significantly increase the spontaneous emission rate of the emitter itself[13]. In the particular case of Fig. 2, if the $k_x$ component of incoming evanescent waves or surface plasmons falls within the supported range (roughly between -$35k_0$ and $+35k_0$), the coupled energy will propagate towards $y$ in the form of confined surface waves, as predicted by Eq. (4). Fig. 4 completes the study of energy transport over anisotropic metasurfaces. Panel (a) allows to clearly identify the three distinct regimes previously described. With low loss, the metasurface is nearly isotropic and energy transport depends strongly on $k_x$ ($\Delta S \approx \Delta \vec{k}$). As loss increases, it first triggers the most detrimental regime (overdamped plasmons towards $\pm x$) before finally enabling the desired loss-based canalization response. The right panel highlights the importance of the $\sigma$-near zero condition along $x$ for a fixed $\text{Re}[\sigma_{yy}] = 100$ mS, as increasing $\text{Im}[\sigma_{xx}]$ leads not only to a reduced maximum spatial resolution, but also to more energy spread for any given $k_x$,
illustrating the interplay between $\sigma_{yy}$ and $\sigma_{xx}$ in the response to the entire angular spectrum and the necessity of deliberate metasurface designs.

III. IMPLEMENTATION USING BLACK PHOSPHORUS METASURFACES

To engineer this class of metasurfaces, we explore the use of intrinsically anisotropic 2D materials and exploit the natural contrast between their conductivity components. This approach opens unprecedented venues to manipulate the in-plane optical conductivity of the resulting structures, and allows to easily implement elliptical and hyperbolic plasmon topologies [13] with additional design flexibility associated to the in-plane material anisotropy. Here, we apply this platform to achieve SPP canalization and collimation. Previous implementations have always been based on suboptimal $\sigma$-near zero topologies realized by modulating graphene conductivity [17] or simply by arranging graphene or silver strips [13], [14]. These structures employed isotropic materials to engineer MTSs with $\text{Im} [\sigma_{yy}] \approx 0$, leaving loss and the transverse conductivity component as an important hindrance. Instead of isotropic materials, we exploit here the strong in-plane optical anisotropy of black phosphorus (BP) [19], which is ideal to fulfill the stringent and conflicting conditions required for SPP canalization. BP is a 2D material with high electron mobility, moderate bandgap and its in-plane properties can be tuned both electrically and mechanically [18], [20], [23], [31], [32], placing it somewhere in between graphene and transition metal dichalcogenides [33]. Recently, it has been shown that BP supports strongly confined anisotropic surface plasmons at mid-IR (an elusive band for graphene and metal plasmonics [34]), exhibiting unprecedented rich topologies and looser field confinement bounds than graphene [19].

Fig. 5 shows a near-optimal metasurface able to implement loss-based plasmon canalization and collimation through an array of subwavelength BP ribbons. Optimal performance requires strips oriented along the zig-zag direction (with high-inductance $\sigma_{zz}$ conductivity), while the armchair direction (with low inductance $\sigma_{AC}$ conductivity) creates a lossy resonance in combination with the capacitive near-field coupling between strips. Fig. 5b shows the real and imaginary parts of $\sigma_{AC}$ and $\sigma_{zz}$ for a 3 nm thin film
with chemical potential of 0.38 eV and a scattering rate of 2 meV that phenomenologically accounts for
all loss mechanisms, including scattering from impurities and phonons, Landau damping, etc. [35], [36].
The metasurface is designed to exhibit a high loss resonance in the mid-IR (implementing the $\text{Re}[\sigma_{yy}] \rightarrow \infty$ condition), requiring a period of $L = 40$ nm and ribbon width $W = 37$ nm. The local effective conductivity along $x$ and $y$, extracted from numerical simulations [38], is shown in 5c. The design has been conducted using a simple but powerful effective medium approach detailed in [11], [24], which predicts the resonant frequency and conductivity tensor of this metasurface with an error of less than 1%.

This approach is based on modelling the strip near-field coupling as an effective capacitance [37]

$$C_{\text{eff}} = (2Le_0/\pi) \log \left( \frac{1}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi(L - W)}{2L} \right)} \right). \tag{10}$$

The conductivity along $x$ and $y$ may then be expressed as

$$\sigma_{xx}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{W}{L} \sigma_{zz} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{yy}^{\text{eff}} = \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{AC} + \frac{i}{\omega C_{\text{eff}}}} \right)^{-1}, \tag{11}$$

where $W$ and $L$ are the strip width and the unit cell period. The resonant frequency is designed to be around 78.5 THz, with $\sigma_{yy}^{\text{eff}} = 143$ mS and $\sigma_{xx}^{\text{eff}} = 0.5 + 57i$ µS (with an anisotropic ratio of $|\sigma_{yy}|/|\sigma_{xx}| \approx 2500$). In the effective medium limit, the IFC and $\zeta S$ of the plasmons supported by this metasurface are shown in Fig. 5d. This metasurface presents exceptional canalization capabilities, with a nearly flat IFC for $k_x < 100k_0$ and moderate loss [39]. If an isotropic material like graphene was used instead, any pre-determined $\text{Re}[\sigma_{yy}^{\text{eff}}]$ in the design would be associated with a larger $\sigma_{xx}^{\text{eff}}$ due to the isotropic in-plane conductivity, reducing the range of supported $k_x$ (see Fig. 4b). Conversely, fixing $\sigma_{xx}^{\text{eff}}$ would lead to lower $\sigma_{yy}^{\text{eff}}$. Black phosphorus, however, is the ideal platform to simultaneously create a suitable resonance and extend the range of supported $k_x$. This implementation is not possible using noble metals either, whose low inductance at THz and infrared cannot induce the required resonance nor support large spatial frequencies. Our proposed metasurface can be fabricated using standard e-beam lithography and, although inherently narrowband, its operation frequency can be tuned in real time by
Electrical doping or mechanical modulations [38]–[40]. We remark that all strips can be biased simultaneously by a single contact or tuned by an elastic substrate, enabling straightforward topological transitions [13], on-off switching of canalization and collimation for specific frequencies, narrowband tunable filters, or for temporary isolation of sensitive detectors.

Fig. 5. Loss-induced collimation of quasi-TEM plasmons over an array of black phosphorus nanoribbons. (a) Metasurface with ribbons oriented along the zig-zag direction, the high inductance axis. (b) Kubo conductivity of a 3-nm black phosphorus film with chemical potential $\mu_c = 0.38$ eV along the armchair and zig-zag directions. (c) Effective MTS in-plane conductivity along the $x$ and $y$ directions. (d) Isofrequency contour of the quasi-TEM waves along this MTS. (e) $x$-component of the magnetic field ($H_x$, colormap) and Poynting vector (arrows, in logarithmic scale) induced by a $z$-oriented dipole (white arrow in bottom panel) 60 nm above the proposed MTS. Inset shows the power decay along $y$ (dashed black line) and a fit to a single exponential decay profile $S_y \propto e^{-2\pi |k_y^{eff}| \gamma}$, with $k_y^{eff} = 0.24k_0$. 

Electrical doping or mechanical modulations [38]–[40]. We remark that all strips can be biased simultaneously by a single contact or tuned by an elastic substrate, enabling straightforward topological transitions [13], on-off switching of canalization and collimation for specific frequencies, narrowband tunable filters, or for temporary isolation of sensitive detectors.
To validate the canalization capabilities of the proposed structure, we simulated its response to a z-oriented electric dipole located 60 nm above it. Results have been computed using COMSOL Multiphysics [40]. Fig. 5e shows the x-component of the magnetic field and the Poynting vector (arrows, size in logarithmic scale). The collimation properties of the MTS are clear, with a narrow plasmon beam confined to the surface and propagating entirely towards \( y \), i.e. \( k_y \approx k_0 \). The direction and magnitude of the Poynting vector confirm that power propagates in a highly-directed beam, since all spatial frequencies excited by the dipole propagate with \( \mathcal{S}_0 \mathcal{S} = 0 \). Fig. 5e also shows the total power decay rate along dashed line \((z=50 \text{ nm})\), which can be approximated as \( S_y \propto e^{-2\text{Im}[k_y^{\text{eff}}]} \) with effective \( k_y^{\text{eff}} = i0.24k_0 \). As expected, this “effective” value \( \text{Im}[k_y^{\text{eff}}] \), which depends on the excitation, is within the range of the values shown in the IFC, between 0 to 0.5 approximately.

To further highlight how directional energy transport is enhanced by the proposed metasurface, we compare it to the case of unpatterned graphene. The same dipole source is now placed above the interface between the proposed metasurface, now characterized by its effective medium conductivity tensor, and an infinite graphene sheet with the same scattering rate and chemical potential as the constituent black phosphorus strips \((\eta = 2 \text{ meV, } \mu_c = 0.38 \text{ eV})\). Fig. 6 shows the x-component of the magnetic field in the metasurface plane, with the bottom panel showing the y-component of the Poynting vector along the
In graphene, energy spreads in all directions whereas the black phosphorus metasurface forces it to remain in a narrow beam, resulting in a slower decay rate along y and more efficient energy transport, as depicted in Fig. 6b.

Lastly, we stress that to successfully implement plasmon canalization in this type of metasurfaces, it is not enough to arbitrarily design a plasmonic strip array resonating at the desired frequency. This type of resonance has been reported in several papers on graphene metasurfaces [11], [13], [27], [41] as the analogue of resonances found in layered uniaxial metamaterials, but it has never been shown to enable this unusual canalization regime since it must be adequately designed to this end. Here we show that, taking this into account, graphene can also be used to implement loss-based canalization, although the isotropic nature of graphene is not as suitable for designing extremely anisotropic metasurfaces. Consider the metasurface depicted in Fig. 7a, comprised of graphene strips modeled through the Kubo formula with a scattering rate of $\eta = 2 \text{ meV}$ [42], [43] to take interband transitions into account. For simplicity, photon-phonon coupling and associated losses in graphene are not considered. This is a somewhat
arbitrary comparison, as any real device will require additional practical considerations like substrate compatibility, but it allows to further illustrate the potential of BP in the familiar context of graphene plasmonics. Fig. 7b shows the degree of anisotropy at the resonance of the structure versus frequency and chemical potential. We aim to design a metasurface with performance comparable to the BP one at the same frequency of 78 THz, using the same unit cell period of 40 nm. The green dot marks a design point ($\mu_c = 0.83$ eV) that successfully implements canalization with similar damping along y. However, compared to the BP implementation, the range of canalized $k_x$ is less than half, (the IFC closes around $k_x \approx 33k_0$). The red dot, on the other hand, corresponds to a metasurface designed to exhibit similar transverse resolution (IFC closing around $k_x = 100k_0$), achieved with $\mu_c = 0.48$ eV. In this case, however, plasmon damping is high and canalization imperfect, with large $\text{Im}[k_y]$. Note, in both cases, that the anisotropy ratio $|\sigma_{yy}|/|\sigma_{xx}|$ is much lower than in the BP metasurface: 432 and 361 versus 2500. These results illustrate the advantage of using of intrinsically anisotropic materials like black phosphorus in designing extremely anisotropic metasurfaces.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have provided a rigorous framework for plasmon canalization and collimation over anisotropic metasurfaces, focusing on loss-enhanced responses. An analysis in terms of dispersion, polarization, and energy transport has revealed that this is a particular case of general conditions for plasmon canalization over metasurfaces, which have been studied in detail. The proposed implementation based on black phosphorus strips exploits the intrinsic in-plane anisotropy of this material to enhance the response of plasmonic metasurfaces and demonstrates important advantages over isotropic 2D materials like graphene, hinting at enormous potential for future applications. The structure is designed using an effective medium approach and analyzed with full-wave simulations, showing strong capabilities to canalize infrared light in a dynamically reconfigurable fashion. We envision that astute use of anisotropic
conductivity and absorption in metasurfaces may open new exciting directions in metasurface technology for in-plane plasmon steering, lensing, and sensing.
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APPENDIX: CANALIZATION IN A HYPERBOLIC METASURFACE

In the main text, all results shown correspond to metasurfaces with inductive $\sigma_{xx}$, i.e. $\text{Im}[\sigma_{xx}] > 0$. Plasmon canalization is also supported for hyperbolic metasurfaces, i.e. $\text{Im}[\sigma_{xx}] < 0$ [11], [13], [14] as long as the conditions $|\sigma_{yy}| \to \infty$ and $|\sigma_{xx}| \to 0$ are met. Fig. 8 shows the real and imaginary parts of the isofrequency contour as well as the direction of energy propagation of surface plasmons in hyperbolic metasurfaces with increasingly anisotropic loss, in a similar fashion as Fig. 2 and 3. The same regimes are identified, transitioning from regular hyperbolic topologies (blue dashed lines) to overdamped plasmons (left column), to canalization (middle and right column), but a key difference is observed: the value of $\text{Re}[k_y]$ also determines the range of supported $k_x$, whereas it was solely determined by $\sigma_{xx}$ in elliptic MTSs. In the overdamped regime, the metasurface does not support surface waves, whereas in canalization, the spatial resolution can be enhanced by increasing $\text{Re}[\sigma_{yy}]$, as demonstrated in Fig. 8. We also note that the hyperbolic metasurface considered here required $\text{Re}[\sigma_{yy}] = 300$ mS to achieve similar performance as the the elliptic metasurface from Fig. 2, with $\text{Re}[\sigma_{yy}] = 100$ mS.
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