

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# Band alignment and p-type doping of ZnSnN\_{2}

Tianshi Wang, Chaoying Ni, and Anderson Janotti Phys. Rev. B **95**, 205205 — Published 31 May 2017 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.95.205205

## Band alignment and *p*-type doping of $ZnSnN_2$

1

2

3

4

5

Tianshi Wang, Chaoying Ni, Anderson Janotti

Department of Materials Science and Engineering,

University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.

(Dated: May 3, 2017)

### Abstract

Composed of earth abundant elements,  $ZnSnN_2$  is a promising semiconductor for photovoltaic and photoelectrochemical applications. However, basic properties such as the precise value of the band gap and the band alignment to other semiconductors are still unresolved. For instance, reported values for the band gap vary from 1.4 to 2.0 eV. In addition, doping in  $ZnSnN_2$  remains largely unexplored. Using density functional theory with the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof hybrid functional (HSE), we investigate the electronic structure of  $ZnSnN_2$ , its band alignment to GaN and ZnO, and the possibility of *p*-type doping. We find that the position of the valence-band maximum (VBM) of  $ZnSnN_2$  is 0.39 eV higher than that in GaN, yet the conduction-band minimum (CBM) is close to that in ZnO, which suggests that achieving *p*-type conductivity is likely as in GaN, yet it may be difficult to control unintentional *n*-type conductivity as in ZnO. Among possible *p*-type dopants, we explore Li, Na, and K substituting on the Zn site. We show that while Li<sub>Zn</sub> is a shallow acceptor,  $Na_{Zn}$  and  $K_{Zn}$  are deep acceptors, which we trace back to large local relaxations around the Na and K impurities due to the atomic size mismatches.

#### 6 I. INTRODUCTION

The nitrides Zn-IV-N<sub>2</sub> are promising semiconductor materials for photovoltaic and pho-7 <sup>8</sup> toelectrochemical cells,<sup>1</sup> and could also complement the group-III nitrides and their alloys <sup>9</sup> in optoelectronic and electronic applications.<sup>2</sup> By adding Si and Ge, the direct band gap of <sup>10</sup> Zn(Si,Ge,Sn)N<sub>2</sub> alloys are predicted to cover all the visible-light spectrum,<sup>3-5</sup> making them <sup>11</sup> promising for full-spectrum LED applications. In contrast, InGaN alloys can be hardly used <sup>12</sup> at longer wavelengths beyond the blue and green spectral regions, in part due to segregation  $_{13}$  at high indium concentrations.<sup>6–8</sup> In addition, in Zn-IV-N<sub>2</sub>, Zn, Si and Sn are earth abundant <sup>14</sup> and environment friendly as opposed to the increasingly high cost of indium. The crystal <sup>15</sup> structure of Zn-IV-N<sub>2</sub> is derived from that of wurtzite III-nitride, where the group-III ions <sup>16</sup> are replaced by alternating Zn and group-IV ions, resulting in an orthorhombic structure as <sup>17</sup> shown in Fig. 1(a). The local valence requirement of two electrons per bond is still fulfilled. <sup>18</sup> ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> is an example of this class of ternary nitrides. It has been synthesized by different <sup>19</sup> methods, including vapor-liquid-solid method,<sup>3</sup> reactive radio frequency magnetron sputter-<sup>20</sup> ing deposition,<sup>9</sup> and molecular beam epitaxy (MBE);<sup>10</sup> nevertheless, ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> is still at early <sup>21</sup> stages of development.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>22</sup> The calculated band gap of ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> is direct at  $\Gamma$ , yet the reported values vary in a wide <sup>23</sup> range, from 1.4 eV to 2.0 eV.<sup>2,5,9,11,12</sup> Calculations based on the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof <sup>24</sup> (HSE) hybrid functional give a gap of 1.42 eV for mixing parameter  $\alpha$ =0.25 (25% Hartree-<sup>25</sup> Fock exchange)<sup>9</sup> and 1.84 eV for  $\alpha$ =0.31,<sup>2,13</sup> while calculations based on the PBE0 hybrid <sup>26</sup> functional give a gap of 2 eV.<sup>12</sup> Using the quasiparticle self-consistent GW method Punya <sup>27</sup> et al.<sup>4</sup> reported a gap of 2.15 eV using lattice parameters based on the local density approx-<sup>28</sup> imation (LDA) and, more recently,  $1.8\pm0.1$  eV<sup>11</sup> using experimental values for the lattice <sup>29</sup> parameters. Experimental values for the band gap, based on the absorption onset or photo-<sup>20</sup> luminescence spectra, lie in a wider range, from 0.95 to 2.38 eV.<sup>3,9,14–17</sup> It has been argued <sup>21</sup> that ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> samples are typically *n*-type with high free carrier concentrations in the range <sup>22</sup>  $10^{18}$ -  $10^{21}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,<sup>9,14</sup> leading to a significant Burstein-Moss shift that explains the observed <sup>23</sup> larger band gap values,<sup>9</sup> while lower band gap values are attributed to high degrees of cation <sup>24</sup> disorder.<sup>5,14</sup> Recent experiments indicate that a wurtzite phase can be also stabilized at low <sup>25</sup> growth temperatures,<sup>18</sup> and this could also possibly explain the observed lower band gaps.

 $_{36}$  Based on results of first-principles calculations, Chen *et al.*<sup>13</sup> explained that ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a)  $\text{ZnSnN}_2 Pna2_1$  orthorhombic structure. The lattice parameters a, b, and c are indicated. (b) Structure of the  $\text{ZnSnN}_2/\text{GaN}$  (ZnO) superlattice used for determining the alignment of the averaged electrostatic potential in the bulk regions of  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$  and GaN (ZnO).

<sup>37</sup> is *n*-type because of low formation energy of native defects that act as shallow donors, <sup>38</sup> such as  $Sn_{Zn}$  antisites, and possible contamination by  $O_N$  impurities. Recent experiments <sup>39</sup> corroborate this picture by finding that the carrier density can be tuned by changing the <sup>40</sup> cation composition ratio.<sup>17</sup> As yet, *p*-type doping has not been explored. It is not clear if <sup>41</sup> ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> could be made *p*-type as GaN, or whether *p*-type conductivity would be difficult <sup>42</sup> to realize as in ZnO. Punya *et al.*<sup>11</sup> calculated band offsets between ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>, GaN and <sup>43</sup> ZnO using the quasiparticle self-consistent GW method. They reported that the VBM of <sup>44</sup> ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> is higher than that of GaN by 1.9 eV.<sup>11</sup> This very large valence-band offset is difficult <sup>45</sup> to explain because it represents a huge and unexpected deviation from the common anion <sup>46</sup> rule.<sup>19</sup> For furthering the development of ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> as a semiconductor for device applications, <sup>47</sup> it is essential to know a series of basic properties besides the band gap, e.g., the position of <sup>48</sup> the band edges with respect to that of other semiconductors, and how to control electrical <sup>49</sup> conductivity— is it possible to achieve both *n*-type and *p*-type conductivity in ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>?

<sup>50</sup> Here we use density functional calculations based on the HSE hybrid functional to de-

<sup>51</sup> termine the electronic band structure of  $ZnSnN_2$  and the band offsets between  $ZnSnN_2$  and <sup>52</sup> two common wide-band-gap semiconductors, wurtzite GaN and ZnO. We also explore the <sup>53</sup> possibility of *p*-type doping in  $ZnSnN_2$ . In the following, we first describe the details of the <sup>54</sup> calculations, and present the results for the electronic band structure; then we discuss the <sup>55</sup> results for the band alignment, and finally we address *p*-type doping, exploring alkali metals <sup>56</sup> Li, Na, and K substituting on the Zn site as possible shallow acceptors.

#### 57 II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

<sup>58</sup> Our calculations are based on the density functional theory (DFT)<sup>20,21</sup> and the screened <sup>59</sup> hybrid functional of Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE)<sup>22</sup> as implemented in the VASP code.<sup>23,24</sup> <sup>60</sup> In the HSE approach, the exchange potential is separated into short-range and long-range <sup>61</sup> parts, and the non-local Hartree-Fock exchange is mixed with the generalized gradient ap-<sup>62</sup> proximation (GGA) exchange<sup>25</sup> only in the short-range part. The fraction of Hartree-Fock <sup>63</sup> exchange is represented by a mixing parameter  $\alpha$ , with a typical value of 0.25. The HSE <sup>64</sup> functional has been shown to produce accurate band gaps for many semiconductors,<sup>26,27</sup> in <sup>65</sup> contrast to the LDA or the GGA which severely underestimate band gaps.<sup>28</sup> However, in the <sup>66</sup> case of GaN and ZnO,  $\alpha$  must be increased to 0.31 and 0.38 for a correct description of band <sup>67</sup> gaps, band alignments, and defect levels.<sup>29–31</sup> A test using the GW within the G<sub>0</sub>W<sub>0</sub> ap-<sup>68</sup> proximation, as implemented in the VASP code, on top of the HSE calculation with  $\alpha$ =0.25 <sup>69</sup> gives a correction of only 0.1 eV to the quasiparticle band gap compared to that of HSE <sup>70</sup> with  $\alpha$ =0.31. Therefore, we use HSE with  $\alpha$ =0.31, as in GaN, for the band structure and <sup>71</sup> impurity calculations in ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>.

Projector augmented wave (PAW) potentials are used to describe the interaction between 73 the valence electrons and the frozen ion cores.<sup>32</sup> The PAW potentials for Zn, Sn, and N 74 contain 12, 4, and 5 valence electrons, respectively, i.e., Zn:  $3d^{10}4s^2$ , Sn:  $5s^25p^2$ , N:  $2s^23p^3$ . 75 For obtaining the equilibrium lattice parameters of ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>, we used the orthorhombic cell 76 with 16 atoms shown in Fig. 1(a), with a  $4 \times 4 \times 4$  mesh of k-points for integrations over the 77 Brillouin zone. For GaN and ZnO, we used the primitive wurtzite cell with 4 atoms, with 78  $6 \times 6 \times 4$  mesh of k-points. We use a cutoff of 500 eV for the plane wave basis set in all 79 calculations. The formation enthalpy of  $ZnSnN_2$  is given by:

$$\Delta H^{f}(\text{ZnSnN}_{2}) = E_{tot}(\text{ZnSnN}_{2}) - E_{tot}(\text{Zn}) - E_{tot}(\text{Sn}) - E_{tot}(\text{N}_{2}), \qquad (1)$$

<sup>80</sup> where  $E_{tot}(\text{ZnSnN}_2)$  is the total energy per formula unit of  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$ ,  $E_{tot}(\text{Zn})$  and  $E_{tot}(\text{Sn})$ <sup>81</sup> are the total energies of bulk Zn in hexagonal-close-packed structure and Sn in the diamond <sup>82</sup> crystal structure. The last term,  $E_{tot}(\text{N}_2)$ , is the total energy of an isolated N<sub>2</sub> molecule.

The band alignment between  $ZnSnN_2$  and GaN (ZnO) is calculated using a standard 83  $_{\rm 84}$  procedure as described elsewhere.  $^{33}$  First, the VBM of  $\rm ZnSnN_2$  and GaN (ZnO) are deter-<sup>85</sup> mined with respect to the averaged electrostatic potential in bulk calculations. Then, the <sup>86</sup> averaged electrostatic potentials are aligned by performing an interface calculation. In this <sup>87</sup> case, we used a supercell comprised of 12 layers of each material with two equivalent inter-<sup>88</sup> faces, in a superlattice configuration as shown in Fig. 1(b). We chose a superlattice along <sup>89</sup> the non-polar [100] direction of the  $ZnSnN_2$  orthorhombic structure and [1120] of the GaN (ZnO) wurtzite crystal structure to avoid the directions of spontaneous polarization, and 90 <sup>91</sup> the problems resulting from the polar discontinuity. The positions of the atoms in the bulk <sup>92</sup> regions of the superlattice were fixed and the positions of the atoms at the interface layers <sup>93</sup> were allowed to relax. The in-plane lattice parameters were set to the average of those of <sup>94</sup> ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> and GaN (ZnO) and the out-of-plane dimensions were chosen such that the equi-<sup>95</sup> librium volume of each material is conserved. We also have tested using the in-plane lattice  $_{96}$  parameters of GaN and of ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>, making sure that the volume of the strained material  $_{97}$  is equal to its equilibrium volume. The results of these tests show an error of  $\pm 0.07$  eV <sup>98</sup> in the averaged electrostatic potential differences. For the mixing parameter in HSE, we <sup>99</sup> tested using  $\alpha = 0.25, 0.31$ , and 0.38 for the superlattice calculations. We find the averaged electrostatic potential differences for the three mixing parameters vary within 0.05 eV. This 100 is expected since the averaged electrostatic potential contains only the Hartree term, and 101 depends mostly on the volume as long as the PAW potentials and the number of electrons 102 are kept the same. 103

The calculations described above are for natural band offsets, i.e., the relative position of the band edges of two materials, in their equilibrium structures, with respect to the vacuum level. We have also considered a pseudomorphic interface where the in-plane lattice parameters are those of GaN and the out-of-plane lattice parameter of the heterostructure <sup>108</sup> is allowed to relax, minimizing the total energy. Since the ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> layer is compressed in <sup>109</sup> the in-plane directions, it expands in the out-of-plane direction but does not fully recover <sup>110</sup> its equilibrium volume. This is referred to strained ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> case below. By comparing the <sup>111</sup> natural band offset with the band offset for GaN/ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> with strained ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>, we derive <sup>112</sup> absolute deformation potentials for the valence band  $(a_v)$  and for the conduction band  $(a_c)$ , <sup>113</sup> and compare to the reported values for GaN and ZnO.<sup>33</sup>

The calculations for impurities in ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> are carried out using a supercell of 128 atoms, which is a  $2\times2\times2$  repetition of the 16-atom unit cell, with  $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$  as special k-point for integrations over the Brillouin zone. As acceptor impurities, we considered Li, Na, and K substituting on the Zn site, in analogy to Mg doping in GaN. The likelihood of incorporating an impurity in a crystal is determined by its formation energy. In this case, the formation energy of a defect (e.g., Li<sub>Zn</sub>) in charge state q is defined as:<sup>31</sup>

$$E^{f}(\mathrm{Li}_{\mathrm{Zn}}^{q}) = E_{tot}(\mathrm{Li}_{\mathrm{Zn}}^{q}) - E_{tot}(\mathrm{ZnSnN}_{2}) + E_{tot}(\mathrm{Zn}) + \mu_{\mathrm{Zn}}$$
$$- E_{tot}(\mathrm{Li}) - \mu_{\mathrm{Li}} + q(\varepsilon_{F} + E_{v}) + \Delta^{q}, \qquad (2)$$

<sup>114</sup> where  $E_{tot}(\text{Li}_{\text{Zn}}^q)$  is the total energy of the supercell containing one Li sitting on a Zn site in <sup>115</sup> charge state q, and  $E_{tot}(\text{ZnSnN}_2)$  is the total energy of a perfect  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$  crystal in the same <sup>116</sup> supercell. The chemical potential of Li ( $\mu_{\text{Li}}$ ) is referenced to the total energy per atom of Li <sup>117</sup> bulk [ $E_{tot}(\text{Li})$ ], whereas  $\mu_{\text{Zn}}$  is referenced to the total energy per atom of Zn bulk [ $E_{tot}(\text{Zn})$ ]. <sup>118</sup> The energy of the electron reservoir is the Fermi level  $\varepsilon_F$ , referenced to the valence-band <sup>119</sup> maximum  $E_v$  of bulk ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>. Finally,  $\Delta^q$  is the correction due to the finite size of the <sup>120</sup> supercell.<sup>34</sup>

#### 121 III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 122 A. Crystal structure and electronic structure

The calculated lattice parameters of  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$ , GaN, and ZnO are listed in Table I. The results are in good agreement with previous calculations<sup>13,30,35</sup> and experimental data.<sup>3,36,37</sup> The calculated formation enthalpy of  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$  is -0.23 eV, in agreement with the value of -0.17 eV from previous calculations.<sup>13</sup> The small formation enthalpy indicates that the synthesis of high quality  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$  using the stable phase of the composing elements can be quite challenging.<sup>5</sup>

TABLE I. Calculated equilibrium lattice parameters for  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$ , GaN and ZnO using the HSE hybrid functional with mixing parameters  $\alpha = 0$  (GGA), 0.25, 0.31, and 0.38. For comparison, the experimental values are also listed: for GaN from Ref. 36, for ZnO from Ref. 37, and for ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> from Ref. 3.

			GGA	$\alpha = 0.25$	$\alpha = 0.31$	$\alpha = 0.38$	Exp.
GaN	I	a (Å)	3.247	3.201	3.192	3.181	3.19
		c (Å)	5.281	5.202	5.185	5.167	5.19
ZnO	)	a (Å)	3.282	3.261	3.255	3.249	3.248
		c (Å)	5.319	5.232	5.218	5.203	5.204
ZnSnI	$N_2$	a (Å)	6.810	6.743	6.733	6.712	6.753
		b (Å)	5.912	5.855	5.839	5.827	5.842
		c (Å)	5.543	5.468	5.452	5.436	5.462

The electronic band structure of  $ZnSnN_2$  is shown in Fig. 2(a).  $ZnSnN_2$  has a direct band 129 gap at the  $\Gamma$  point. The calculated band gap using the HSE functional depends on the mixing 130 parameter  $\alpha$ . For  $\alpha = 0.31$ , we obtain a gap of 1.75 eV, in good agreement with previous 131 calculations.<sup>13</sup> Room-temperature photoluminescence excitation spectroscopy measurements 132 give a value of 1.7  $\pm 0.1$  eV.<sup>3</sup> For comparison, GGA ( $\alpha = 0$ ) severely underestimates the 133 <sup>134</sup> band gap, resulting in a gap of only 0.1 eV. Compared to the GGA value with the lattice 135 parameters fixed to those obtained using HSE with  $\alpha = 0.31$ , we find that HSE corrects the <sup>136</sup> gap by pushing down the valence band by 0.60 eV and pushing up the conduction band by  $_{137}$  0.90 eV. As shown in the electronic band structure of  $ZnSnN_2$  [Fig. 2(a)], the relatively flat <sup>138</sup> Zn 3d bands show up at  $\sim$ 7 eV below the VBM. The CBM, on the other hand, is derived 139 mostly from Zn s orbitals.

#### 140 B. Band alignments

The calculated band alignment between  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$  and GaN and between  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$  and  $\text{ZnO}_{142}$  are shown in Fig. 2(b). For these calculations, we used lattice parameters obtained in HSE using  $\alpha = 0.31$  for  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$  and GaN, and  $\alpha = 0.38$  for ZnO. The VBM with respect to the using  $\alpha$ =0.25 and 0.31 for 2nSn  $\alpha$ =0.31 for 2nSn  $\alpha$ =0.31 for 2nSn  $\alpha$ =0.38 for ZnO.



FIG. 2. (Color online)(a) Calculated electronic band structure of  $ZnSnN_2$  using HSE with mixing parameter  $\alpha = 0.31$ . The zero in the energy axis correspond to the valence-band maximum. (b) Band alignment between  $ZnSnN_2$  and GaN, and between  $ZnSnN_2$  and ZnO. These correspond to natural band offsets, i.e. the relative position of the band edges in each material, in their equilibrium lattice parameters. The experimental value for the band alignment between GaN and InN, from Ref. 38, is also included. The dashed lines correspond to results using mixing parameter  $\alpha = 0.25$ in HSE. (c) Band alignment at the GaN/ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> for unstrained and strained (pseudomorphic) ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> cases. All values are in eV.

<sup>145</sup> ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> and GaN, and  $\alpha = 0.25$  and 0.38 for ZnO. Again, we note that the difference in the <sup>146</sup> averaged electrostatic potentials in the interface calculations does not depend on the mixing <sup>147</sup> parameter, as long as the volume of the superlattice is kept fixed.

We find that the VBM of  $ZnSnN_2$  is higher than that of GaN by 0.39 eV. This can be 148 attributed largely to the stronger repulsion between the Zn 3d states and the N 2p states in 149  $ZnSnN_2$  than that between the Ga 3d and N 2p in GaN. Note that this result is independent 150 of the mixing parameter  $\alpha$ . We also find that the VBM of ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> is 1.70 eV higher than 151 that of ZnO, and this is attributed largely to the difference in the energetic position of the N 152 2p and O 2p orbitals. These results are in contrast to those in Ref. 11 where a valence-band 153 offset of 1.9 eV is reported for  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$  and GaN. Based on the common anion rule, one 154 would expect the valence band offset between  $ZnSnN_2$  and GaN to be much smaller, as our 155 results indicate. Moreover, based on the transitivity rule, we obtain a valence band offset 156 between GaN and ZnO of 1.31 eV, in good agreement with the value of 1.37 eV deduced from measurements of x-ray photoemission spectroscopy for ZnO/AlN and established values for GaN/AlN.<sup>39</sup> We note, however, that our results are in disagreement with the experimental 159 results of Liu et al.<sup>40</sup> which reported values between 0.7 and 0.9 eV for the valence band offset at the GaN/ZnO interface, and these are close to those predicted by Punya  $et \ al.^{11}$ 161 and Huda et al.,<sup>41</sup> the latter using DFT+U. In the case of the conduction-band offset, we 162 find that the CBM of  $ZnSnN_2$  is 1.44 eV lower than that of GaN. In  $ZnSnN_2$ , the lowest 163 conduction band is derived from Zn 4s states which is much lower in energy than that in 164 GaN, derived from Ga 4s states. Based on a similar argument, we find that the CBM of 165  $_{166}$  ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> is only 0.01 eV lower than that in ZnO, since in both materials the lowest energy  $_{167}$  conduction band is derived mostly from the Zn 4s states. In all, further experiments are called for solving the discrepancies in the calculated band alignments. 168

For the band offset between GaN and the pseudomorphic ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> layer, where the in-<sup>170</sup> plane lattice parameters are those of GaN and the out-of-plane lattice parameter of the <sup>171</sup> heterostructure is allowed to relax, we find a valence-band offset of 0.33 eV and a conduction-<sup>172</sup> band offset of 1.28 eV. The volume of the strained ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> is 5.64% smaller than the equi-<sup>173</sup> librium volume. From the calculations of the band alignments between GaN and unstrained <sup>174</sup> ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> and between GaN and strained (pseudomorphic) ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> shown in Fig. 2(c), we de-<sup>175</sup> termined the absolute deformation potential for the valence band  $a_v$  and for the conduction <sup>176</sup> band  $a_c$ . We find  $a_v=1.06$  eV and  $a_c=-2.84$  eV; for the band gap deformation potential we <sup>177</sup> find  $a_g = -3.90$  eV. These results are within the range of values reported for GaN and ZnO,<sup>33</sup> <sup>178</sup> since the valence-band maximum of ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> is derived from N 2*p* and the conduction-band <sup>179</sup> minimum is derived from Zn 4*s* states.

Based on the calculated position of the band edges of  $ZnSnN_2$  with respect to those of 180 GaN and ZnO we can infer on the possibility of n-type and p-type doping. For instance, 181 <sup>182</sup> ZnO can be made *n*-type quite easily, largely due to the low position of its CBM in an absolute energy scale.<sup>42</sup> Most donor impurities, including H,<sup>43</sup> result in shallow donor levels. 183 We therefore expect the same conclusions to hold in the case of  $ZnSnN_2$ . On the other hand, 184 ZnO cannot be made *p*-type by substituting Li or Na on the Zn site, since these impurities 185 are deep acceptors. This can be attributed to the VBM in ZnO being too low with respect 186 to the vacuum level.<sup>44</sup> All the acceptor impurities tested so far seem to lead to deep acceptor 187 levels.<sup>42</sup> On the other hand, GaN can be made *p*-type by incorporating Mg on the Ga site. 188 Since the VBM of  $ZnSnN_2$  is higher than that of GaN by 0.39 eV, one would expect that 189  $ZnSnN_2$  could be made *p*-type as GaN. Similar arguments can be used in comparison with 190 <sup>191</sup> InN, which has been shown to be *p*-type dopable. However, its low lying conduction band <sup>192</sup> poses difficulties in reducing unintentional n-type conductivity.<sup>45</sup>

#### <sup>193</sup> C. Acceptor impurities in $ZnSnN_2$

For achieving p-type doping in  $ZnSnN_2$ , one would need an impurity with one less valence 194 <sup>195</sup> electron than one of the host atoms. For example, C substituting on the N site. However, C is a deep acceptor in GaN with the acceptor level at 0.9 eV above the VBM,  $^{46}$  and it is 196  $_{197}$  likely to behave as deep acceptor in  $ZnSnN_2$  as well. Besides, C could prefer to replace Sn and be electrically inactive. Choosing a column-III element to substitute on the Sn site can 198 be problematic as well, since these impurities could also replace Zn and act as donors. Here, 199 as candidates for shallow acceptors in ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>, we considered Li, Na, and K substituting on 200 the Zn site. Although Li, Na, and K could also incorporate at interstitial sites and behave 201 as donors, we expect these interstitial species to be highly mobile and, therefore, be easily 202 removed in post-growth annealing. Analogous strategy has been recently demonstrated by 203 <sup>204</sup> recent experiments on Zn-rich annealed ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> with added hydrogen.<sup>47</sup> The results reveal  $_{205}$  that post-growth annealing removes hydrogen and reduces carrier density down to  $4 \times 10^{16}$  $_{206}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, suggesting that H were passivating acceptors.



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Formation energy of Li, Na, and K impurities in two charge states 0 and -1 as function of the Fermi level. (b) and (c) Calculated spin density of charge neutral  $Na_{Zn}$  and  $K_{Zn}$  in  $ZnSnN_2$ . The isosurface is 10% of the maximum density.

The formation energy of  $\text{Li}_{\text{Zn}}$ ,  $\text{Na}_{\text{Zn}}$ , and  $\text{K}_{\text{Zn}}$  in  $\text{Zn}\text{SnN}_2$  are shown in Fig. 3(a). We find that Li displays shallow acceptor behavior, with the hole being delocalized over the whole supercell. Therefore, we only plot the formation energy of  $\text{Li}_{\text{Zn}}$  in the negative charge state. On the other hand, we find  $\text{Na}_{\text{Zn}}$  and  $\text{K}_{\text{Zn}}$  to behave as a deep acceptors, with (0/-) acceptor levels at 0.30 eV and 0.68 eV above the VBM. Since the formation enthalpy of  $\text{Zn}\text{SnN}_2$  is rather small (-0.23 eV), we only plotted the formation energies for Zn-rich condition.

The formation energies calculated with respect to the elemental phases of Li, Na, and K <sup>213</sup> The formation energies calculated with respect to the elemental phases of Li, Na, and K <sup>214</sup> show an interesting trend. It monotonically increases from Li, Na, to K. We attribute this <sup>215</sup> behavior to the size mismatch between the impurity and the host Zn atom. While Li<sub>Zn</sub> only <sup>216</sup> slightly affects the lattice by causing small displacements of the nearest neighbor N atoms, <sup>217</sup> by 0.5% of the equilibrium bond length, Na<sub>Zn</sub> and K<sub>Zn</sub> cause rather large displacements, of <sup>218</sup> 8.5% (Na<sub>Zn</sub>) and 16.2% (K<sub>Zn</sub>) of the nearest neighbor N atoms. The displacements caused <sup>219</sup> by K<sub>Zn</sub> are so large that makes the neighboring N assume almost planar configurations. The local lattice relaxations and the charge density distribution of the hole associated with neutral Na<sub>Zn</sub> and K<sub>Zn</sub> in ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> are shown in Fig. 3(b) and (c). The hole from charge neutral Na<sub>Zn</sub> or K<sub>Zn</sub> becomes localized on one of the neighboring N, and in the case of K<sub>Zn</sub> the N-K distance is 2.40 Å, compared to 2.07 Å for the equilibrium Zn-N bond length. Therefore, we conclude that, only Li<sub>Zn</sub> effectively acts as shallow acceptor in ZnSnN<sub>2</sub>, in part due to the small perturbation of the local lattice structure. However, we note that as in InN, it may be difficult to reduce the unintentional *n*-type conductivity in ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> due to the low lying conducting band.

#### 228 IV. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we performed hybrid functional calculations for  $ZnSnN_2$  to determine its 229 <sup>230</sup> band gap and band alignment to GaN and ZnO, and to explore the possibility of p-type <sup>231</sup> doping. We find that ZnSnN<sub>2</sub> has a band gap of 1.75 eV, in agreement with previous calculations and experiments. The VBM of  $ZnSnN_2$  is predicted to be 0.39 eV higher than 232 that of GaN and 1.70 eV higher than that of ZnO. The CBM of  $\text{ZnSnN}_2$ , on the other hand, 233 is only 0.01 eV lower than that of ZnO. These results indicate that  $ZnSnN_2$  can be made 234  $_{235}$  p-type as GaN, and that controlling the unintentional n-type conductivity can be difficult as in ZnO. For achieving p-type conductivity, we find that Li substituting on the Zn site 236 <sup>237</sup> displays shallow acceptor behavior, whereas Na and K leads to deep levels. The deep level  $_{238}$  behavior of Na<sub>Zn</sub> and K<sub>Zn</sub> are attributed to very large lattice relaxations that make the <sup>239</sup> neighboring N atoms assume almost planar positions with the hole localized on one of them.

#### 240 V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

TW and CN gratefully acknowledge financial support from the II-VI Foundation, and AJ thanks for the financial support from National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1652994. This research was supported through the use of the Extreme Science and Engineering Distraction covery Environment (XSEDE) supercomputer facility, National Science Foundation grant number ACI-1053575, and the Information Technologies (IT) resources at the University of <sup>246</sup> Delaware, specifically the high-performance computing resources.

- <sup>247</sup> <sup>1</sup> A. Zakutayev, J. Mater. Chem. A **4**, 6742 (2016).
- <sup>248</sup> <sup>2</sup> P. Narang, S. Chen, N. C. Coronel, S. Gul, J. Yano, L. W. Wang, N. S. Lewis, and H. A.
- <sup>249</sup> Atwater, Adv. Mater. **26**, 1235 (2014).
- <sup>250</sup> <sup>3</sup> P. C. Quayle, K. He, J. Shan, and K. Kash, MRS Commun. **3**, 135 (2013).
- <sup>251</sup> <sup>4</sup> A. Punya, W. R. L. Lambrecht, and M. Van Schilfgaarde, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 165204 (2011).
- <sup>5</sup> T. D. Veal, N. Feldberg, N. F. Quackenbush, W. M. Linhart, D. O. Scanlon, L. F. J. Piper,
  and S. M. Durbin, Adv. Energy Mater. 5, 1501462 (2015).
- <sup>6</sup> Z. Liliental-Weber, D. N. Zakharov, K. M. Yu, J. W. Ager III, W. Walukiewicz, E. E. Haller,
- <sup>255</sup> H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, J. Electron Microsc. **54**, 243 (2005).
- $^{7}\,$  M. K. Horton, S. Rhode, S. L. Sahonta, M. J. Kappers, S. J. Haigh, T. J. Pennycook, C. J.
- <sup>257</sup> Humphreys, R. O. Dusane, and M. A. Moram, Nano Lett. **15**, 923 (2015).
- <sup>8</sup> Z. Deng, Y. Jiang, W. Wang, L. Cheng, W. Li, W. Lu, H. Jia, W. Liu, J. Zhou, and H. Chen,
  Sci. Rep. 4, 6734 (2014).
- <sup>9</sup> L. Lahourcade, N. C. Coronel, K. T. Delaney, S. K. Shukla, N. A. Spaldin, and H. A. Atwater,
  Adv. Mater. 25, 2562 (2013).
- <sup>262</sup> <sup>10</sup> N. Feldberg, J. D. Aldous, P. A. Stampe, R. J. Kennedy, T. D. Veal, and S. M. Durbin, J.
   <sup>263</sup> Electron. Mater. 43, 884 (2014).
- <sup>264</sup> <sup>11</sup> A. Punya and W. R. L. Lambrecht, Phys. Rev. B 88, 075302 (2013).
- <sup>265</sup> <sup>12</sup> N. Feldberg, B. Keen, J. D. Aldous, D. O. Scanlon, P. A. Stampe, R. J. Kennedy, R. J. Reeves,
  <sup>266</sup> T. D. Veal, and S. M. Durbin, in *2012 38th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference* (2012)
  <sup>267</sup> pp. 2524–2527.
- <sup>268</sup> <sup>13</sup> S. Chen, P. Narang, H. A. Atwater, and L.-W. Wang, Adv. Mater. **26**, 311 (2014).
- <sup>269</sup> <sup>14</sup> N. Feldberg, J. D. Aldous, W. M. Linhart, L. J. Phillips, K. Durose, P. a. Stampe, R. J.
  <sup>270</sup> Kennedy, D. O. Scanlon, G. Vardar, R. L. Field, T. Y. Jen, R. S. Goldman, T. D. Veal, and
- 271 S. M. Durbin, Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 042109 (2013).
- P. C. Quayle, E. W. Blanton, A. Punya, G. T. Junno, K. He, L. Han, H. Zhao, J. Shan, W. R. L.
  Lambrecht, and K. Kash, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 205207 (2015).
- <sup>274</sup> <sup>16</sup> F. Deng, H. Cao, L. Liang, J. Li, J. Gao, and H. Zhang, Opt. Lett. **40**, 1282 (2015).

- <sup>275</sup> A. N. Fioretti, A. Zakutayev, H. Moutinho, C. Melamed, J. D. Perkins, A. G. Norman, M. Al-
- <sup>276</sup> Jassim, E. S. Toberer, and A. C. Tamboli, J. Mater. Chem. C **3**, 11017 (2015).
- <sup>277</sup> <sup>18</sup> N. Senabulya, N. Feldberg, R. A. Makin, Y. Yang, G. Shi, C. M. Jones, E. Kioupakis, J. Mathis,
- 278 R. Clarke, and S. M. Durbin, AIP Adv. 6, 075019 (2016).
- <sup>279</sup> <sup>19</sup> J. O. McCaldin, T. C. McGill, and C. A. Mead, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 56 (1976).
- <sup>280</sup> <sup>20</sup> P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964).
- <sup>281</sup> <sup>21</sup> W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).
- <sup>282</sup> <sup>22</sup> J. Heyd, G. E. Scuseria, and M. Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys **118**, 8207 (2003).
- <sup>283</sup> <sup>23</sup> G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 558 (1993).
- <sup>284</sup> <sup>24</sup> G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 14251 (1994).
- <sup>285</sup> <sup>25</sup> J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).
- <sup>286</sup> J. Heyd and G. E. Scuseria, J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 1187 (2004).
- <sup>287</sup> J. Paier, M. Marsman, K. Hummer, G. Kresse, I. C. Gerber, and J. G. Angyán, J. Chem. Phys.
  <sup>288</sup> 124, 154709 (2006).
- <sup>289</sup> <sup>28</sup> R. W. Godby, M. Schlüter, and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 10159 (1988).
- <sup>290</sup> F. Oba, A. Togo, I. Tanaka, J. Paier, and G. Kresse, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 245202 (2008).
- <sup>291</sup> <sup>30</sup> J. L. Lyons, A. Janotti, and C. G. Van de Walle, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 035204 (2014).
- <sup>292</sup> <sup>31</sup> C. Freysoldt, B. Grabowski, T. Hickel, J. Neugebauer, G. Kresse, A. Janotti, and C. G. Van
- <sup>293</sup> de Walle, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 253 (2014).
- <sup>294</sup> <sup>32</sup> P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 17953 (1994).
- <sup>295</sup> <sup>33</sup> A. Janotti and C. G. Van de Walle, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 121201 (2007).
- <sup>296</sup> <sup>34</sup> C. Freysoldt, J. Neugebauer, and C. G. Van de Walle, Phys. Status Solidi **248**, 1067 (2011).
- <sup>297</sup> <sup>35</sup> M. Debbichi, T. Sakhraoui, L. Debbichi, and M. Said, J. Alloys Compd. **578**, 602 (2013).
- <sup>298</sup> <sup>36</sup> O. Lagerstedt and B. Monemar, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 3064 (1979).
- <sup>299</sup> <sup>37</sup> R. R. Reeber, J. Appl. Phys. **41**, 5063 (1970).
- <sup>300</sup> <sup>38</sup> P. King, T. Veal, C. Kendrick, L. R. Bailey, S. Durbin, and C. F. McConville, Phys. Rev. B
   <sup>301</sup> **78**, 033308 (2008).
- <sup>302</sup> <sup>39</sup> T. D. Veal, P. D. C. King, S. A. Hatfield, L. R. Bailey, C. F. McConville, B. Martel, J. C.
- Moreno, E. Frayssinet, F. Semond, and J. Zúñiga-Pérez, Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 202108 (2008).
- <sup>304</sup> <sup>40</sup> J. W. Liu, A. Kobayashi, S. Toyoda, H. Kamada, A. Kikuchi, J. Ohta, H. Fujioka, H. Kumi-
- 305 gashira, and M. Oshima, Phys. Status Solidi 248, 956 (2011).

- <sup>306</sup> <sup>41</sup> M. N. Huda, Y. Yan, S.-H. Wei, and M. M. Al-Jassim, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195204 (2008).
- <sup>307</sup> <sup>42</sup> A. Janotti and C. G. Van de Walle, Reports Prog. Phys. **72**, 126501 (2009).
- <sup>308</sup> <sup>43</sup> A. Janotti and C. G. Van de Walle, Nat. Mater. **6**, 44 (2007).
- <sup>309</sup> <sup>44</sup> J. L. Lyons, A. Janotti, and C. G. Van de Walle, J. Appl. Phys. **115**, 012014 (2014).
- <sup>310</sup> <sup>45</sup> J. W. Ager, R. E. Jones, D. M. Yamaguchi, K. M. Yu, W. Walukiewicz, S. X. Li, E. E. Haller,
- 311 H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, Phys. Status Solidi 244, 1820 (2007).
- <sup>312</sup> <sup>46</sup> J. L. Lyons, A. Janotti, and C. G. Van de Walle, Appl. Phys. Lett. **97**, 152108 (2010).
- 313 <sup>47</sup> A. N. Fioretti, A. Stokes, M. R. Young, B. Gorman, E. S. Toberer, A. C. Tamboli, and
- 314 A. Zakutayev, Adv. Electron. Mater. 3, 1600544 (2017).