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We construct a two-dimensional (2D) lattice model that is argued to realize a gapped chiral spin liquid with (Ising) non-Abelian topological order. The building blocks are spin-1/2 two-leg ladders with SU(2)-symmetric spin-spin interactions. The two-leg ladders are then arranged on rows and coupled through SU(2)-symmetric interactions between consecutive ladders. The intra-ladder interactions are tuned so as to realize the $c = 1/2$ Ising conformal field theory, a fact that we establish numerically via Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) studies. Time-reversal breaking inter-ladder interactions are tuned so as to open a bulk gap in the 2D lattice system. This 2D system supports gapless chiral edge modes with Ising non-Abelian excitations but no charge excitations, in contrast to the Pfaffian non-Abelian fractional quantum Hall state.

I. INTRODUCTION

That point particles may obey non-Abelian braiding statistics in (2+1)-dimensional spacetime has been known in quantum-field theory since the 1980’s.10 Moore and Read showed in 1991 that certain Pfaffian wave functions support quasi-particles with non-Abelian braiding statistics.11 This discovery opened the possibility that non-Abelian braiding statistics could be found in certain fractional quantum Hall plateaus.12–15

A second physical platform to realize braiding statistics that is neither bosonic nor fermionic is provided by quasi-two-dimensional quantum spin magnets with a gapped chiral spin-liquid ground state.6–9 Quasi-two-dimensional arrays of quantum spin chains also have the potential for realizing gapped spin liquid ground states with quasi-particles obeying Abelian or non-Abelian braiding statistics.12–15

In this paper, we construct a two-dimensional (2D) lattice model, depicted in Fig. 1, that is argued to realize a non-Abelian chiral spin liquid. This 2D model consists of an array of coupled one-dimensional (1D) two-leg quantum spin-1/2 ladders. The inter-ladder coupling leads to a bulk gap, while gapless modes remain at the boundaries. The chiral edge states correspond to the Ising conformal field theory (CFT) with central charge $c = 1/2$, similarly to the Moore-Read Pfaffian state. However, in contrast to the Pfaffian quantum Hall state, there is no additional $c = 1$ chiral bosonic charge-carrying edge mode. By the bulk-edge correspondence, the bulk of the coupled spin-ladder model is a gapped chiral spin liquid supporting Ising non-Abelian topological order.16

To obtain this result, we argue that the aforementioned lattice model is a regularization of one of the interacting quantum-field theories presented in Ref. 14, one that supports chiral non-Abelian topological order. We start from coupled two-leg ladders (called bundles in Ref. 14), on which sites quantum spin-1/2 degrees of freedom are localized. Two ingredients are needed. First, the interactions within the two-leg ladders should be fine-tuned so as to realize the Ising universality class in (1+1)-dimensional spacetime, the Ising criticality in short. Second, the interactions between the two-leg ladders (the bundles) should be dominated by strong current-current interactions. Alternatively, the interactions between the ladders (bundles) could be weak when mediated by Kondo-like quantum spin-1/2 degrees of freedom, as shown by Lecheminant and Tsvelik in Ref. 15. If so, the results of Ref. 14 suggest that the 1D array of coupled two-leg ladders is a lattice regularization of a chiral spin liquid supporting Ising non-Abelian topological order. We are going to detail how we achieve Ising criticality in a single two-leg ladder, and how these fine-tuned two-leg ladders are coupled so as to stabilize 2D Ising non-Abelian topological order.

II. ISING CRITICALITY IN A LADDER

To realize the Ising criticality, we assume that the intra-ladder spin-1/2 interactions allow to interpolate between two distinct dimerized ground states. This can be achieved by positing the following quantum spin-1/2 Hamiltonian on a two-leg ladder

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{ladder}} := \hat{H}_{\text{leg}} + \hat{H}'_{\text{leg}} + \hat{H}_{\text{zig-zag}}.
$$

(2.1a)

The first leg of the ladder hosts the quantum spin-1/2 operators $\hat{S}_i$ on every site $i = 1, \cdots, N$, where any two consecutive sites is displaced by the lattice spacing $a$. Similarly, the second leg of the ladder hosts spin-1/2 operators $\hat{S}'_{i'}$ on every site $i' = 1, \cdots, N$. Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\text{leg}}$ and $\hat{H}'_{\text{leg}}$ are the antiferromagnetic $J_1 - J_2$ one-dimensional Heisenberg model, i.e.,

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{leg}} := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( J_1 \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_{i+1} + J_2 \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_{i+2} \right)
$$

(2.1b)

with $J_1, J_2 \geq 0$ and $\hat{H}'_{\text{leg}}$ obtained from $\hat{H}_{\text{leg}}$ with the substitution $\hat{S}_i \rightarrow \hat{S}'_{i'}$. The spin-1/2 operators on the
two legs also interact through a $SU(2)$-symmetric antiferromagnetic Heisenberg exchange interaction, which we choose to be

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{zig-zag}} := J_v \sum_{i,i'=1}^N (\delta_{i,i'} + \delta_{i,i'+1}) \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_{i'} \]  

(2.1c)

with $J_v \geq 0$. The coordination number in $\hat{H}_{\text{zig-zag}}$ is two, not one as would be the case for the standard rung antiferromagnetic Heisenberg exchange interaction.

Hamiltonian (2.1) is invariant under a global $SU(2)$ rotation of all spins, the interchange of the upper and lower legs, and the mirror symmetries centered about a site of one leg and the middle of the bond of the other leg. Hamiltonian (2.1) simplifies in two limits, namely when $J_v = 0$ or when $J_2 = 0$.

When $J_v = 0$, Hamiltonian (2.1) is the sum of two independent $J_1 - J_2$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chains. It is gapless when $J_2/J_1 \leq (J_2/J_1)_c \approx 0.24$ and gapful otherwise.\(^{23,26}\) In the gapped phase, the ground state manifold is four-fold degenerate as the translation symmetry along each leg is spontaneously broken by one of two possible (leg) dimerized ground states when periodic boundary conditions are imposed. Again, at the Majumdar-Ghosh point \(J_1/J_v = 1/2\),\(^{20}\) the ground state is a linear combination of the two possible direct products of all singlet states of two spin-1/2 degrees of freedom on every other bond along the zig-zag chain. (Henceforth, we shall measure all energies in units of $J_1$, i.e., $J_1 \equiv 1$.)

We are now going to present numerical evidence according to which two distinct dimerized phases that are adiabatically connected to the two Majumdar-Ghosh points \((J_2, J_v) = (0, 2)\) and \((1/2, 0)\), respectively, are separated by a phase boundary that realizes the Ising criticality. This is to say that it is possible to connect the Majumdar-Ghosh points \((J_2, J_v) = (0, 2)\) and \((1/2, 0)\) by a one dimensional path in parameter space along which the spectral gap and order parameters vanish at an isolated quantum critical point. We note that a transition between distinct dimerized phases may be a general route to achieving an Ising critical theory. Vekua and Hönecker in Ref. 21 and Lavarêlo et al. in Ref. 22 have also shown numerically that two other families of ladders for quantum spin-1/2 display a quantum critical point in the Ising universality class that separates two distinct gapped dimer phases.\(^{22}\) We note as well that quantum spin-1 chains can also show a quantum critical point in the Ising universality class separating gapped phases that are not related by a loss of symmetry.\(^{23}\)

In order to determine the phase diagram and the nature of the quantum transitions between the different phases at zero temperature, we resort to the density matrix renormalization group method (DMRG).\(^{20,26}\) We simulate the two-leg ladder (2.1) with open boundary conditions along the zig-zag chain. (Henceforth, we shall measure all energies in units of $J_1$, i.e., $J_1 \equiv 1$.)

![FIG. 1. (Color online) Strongly coupled spin-1/2 two-leg ladders that realize the Ising topological order in two-dimensional space. The intra-ladder couplings $J_1$, $J_2$, and $J_v$ are defined in Eq. (2.1). The inter-ladder couplings $J_\perp$ (represented by the green bond) and $\chi_\perp$ (represented by the blue arrows) are defined in Eq. (3.2).](image)
conditions using up to 2000 DMRG states for the largest systems considered, which guarantees an accuracy of 9 significant digits in the energy, and 6 significant digits in the entanglement entropy. We focus on the transition line that separates the leg-dimer and zig-zag-dimer phases. As in Ref. 22, we plot the ground-state expectation value for the leg-dimer order parameters in Fig. 2(a) as a function of $J_y$, that is, $n^2$ for different values of $J_x$ around the critical point. The scaling at the transition ($J_y = 0.44$) is well described by an exponent 1/8 corresponding to the Ising universality class.

For even $N$, the conformal tower starts from the identity operator, i.e., $x_0 = 0$. There follows the anomalous scaling exponents $x_1 = 2$, $x_2 = 3$, $x_3 = 4$, and so on. For an odd number of spins per chain $N$, the conformal tower starts from the energy operator $e$ with the anomalous scaling exponent $x_0 = 1/2$, followed by the exponents $x_1 = 3/2$, $x_2 = 5/2$, $x_3 = 7/2$, $x_4 = 9/2$ and so on. Our DMRG results are summarized in Figs. 3(a-d). For any $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, analyzing the leading linear dependence and the axis intercept of $E_n(N)/N$ vs $1/N$ determines the numerical values of $\varepsilon_0$ and $\varepsilon_1$. The value of $v$ is obtained from averaging the slope of $[E_n(N) - \varepsilon_0 N - \varepsilon_1]/N$ as a function of $1/N^2$ in Eq. (2.2) for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ assuming that $x_n$ is governed by the Ising universality class. The consistency of this assumption is then verified by fitting $x_n$ from the slope of $[E_n(N) - \varepsilon_0 N - \varepsilon_1]/N$ as a function of $1/N^2$ with $v$ given as above. Alternatively, $x_n$ can be fitted from the slope of $E_n(N) - E_0(N)$ as a function of $1/N$ with $v$ given as above. For even and odd $N$, the values $x_0 = 0.000(4)$ $x_1 = 2.0(1)$, $x_2 = 2.9(5)$, $x_3 = 3.9(2)$, $x_4 = 3.9(9)$ and $x_0 = 0.5(2)$ $x_1 = 1.5(1)$, $x_2 = 2.5(0)$, $x_3 = 3.9(9)$ follow from these fittings, respectively. They agree with the Ising universality class within the error bars.

The entanglement entropy computed with DMRG also agrees with that of the Ising universality class. If we cut open the two-leg ladder of length $N$ along a rung into one block of size $x$, the entanglement entropy $S(x, N)$ scales with $x$ and $N$ like

$$S(x, N) = \frac{c}{6} \ln \left( \frac{N+1}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi x}{N+1} \right) + A \langle \hat{S}_x \cdot \hat{S}_{x+1} \rangle + B,$$

(2.3)

where the number $c = 1/2$ is the Ising central charge, while $A$ and $B$ are non-universal constants. The entanglement entropy $S(x, N)$ and the spin-spin correlation $\langle \hat{S}_x \cdot \hat{S}_{x+1} \rangle$ are computed by DMRG and fitted according to the scaling law (2.3) as summarized in Figs. 3(a-f). The best fit for $c$ is very close to one half irrespective of whether $x$ is varied holding $N$ fixed or choosing $x = N/2$.

We close this discussion of a single two-leg ladder by providing a field-theory description of the Ising criticality. The continuum field theory shines light into why Ising criticality emerges, and into how to couple the two-leg ladders together so as to build the 2D bulk-gapped topological phase in the second step of our construction. The quantum fields that encode the low energy degrees of freedom around the Ising critical point follow from the identification

$$\hat{S}_i \to \hat{J}_L^0(x) + \hat{J}_R^0(x) + (-1)^i \hat{n}(x),$$

(2.4a)

$$\hat{S}_{i'} \to \hat{J}^L_{M'}(x) + \hat{J}^R_{M'}(x) + (-1)^i \hat{n}'(x).$$

(2.4b)

The modes that vary slowly on the scale of the lattice spacing $a$ are the non-Abelian chiral currents $\hat{J}^L_M(x)$ and $\hat{J}^R_M(x)$ with $M = L, R$ on the upper and lower legs, respectively. Their scaling dimension is 1 when $J_y = J_\gamma = 0$. The quantum fields $\hat{n}(x)$ and $\hat{n}'(x)$ represent the stag-
FIG. 3. (Color online) The function \( \frac{E_0(N) - \varepsilon_0 N - \varepsilon_1}{N} \) is plotted as a function of \( 1/N^2 \) with (a) \( N \) even and (b) \( N \) odd. The non-universal constants \( \varepsilon_0 = 0.7771(2) \) and \( \varepsilon_1 = 0.040(6) \) follow from a linear dependence of \( E_0(N)/N \) on 1/\( N \) intercepting the origin in the thermodynamic limit \( N \to \infty \). The slopes in (a) and (b) give \( \pi v (x_0 - (1/48)) \) for \( N \) even and odd, respectively. The function \( E_\alpha(N) - E_0(N) \) is plotted as a function of \( 1/N \) with (c) \( N \) even and (d) \( N \) odd for \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) and \( n = 1, 2, 3 \), respectively. The slopes in (c) and (d) give \( \pi v (x_n - x_0) \) when the limit \( N \to \infty \) is taken with \( N \) even and odd, respectively. The slopes from the plots of Eq. (2.3) as a function of (e) \( sin(\pi x/(N + 1)) \) with \( N = 768 \) fixed and (f) \( ln(N) \) in \( N \) with \( x \) fixed yield \( c = 0.47 \pm 0.02 \) and \( c = 0.49 \pm 0.02 \), respectively.

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Coset representation of the critical point of the two-leg ladder in the Ising universality class. (b) Coset representation of the strong current-current interactions that stabilize the chiral spin liquid phase with Ising topological order. A microscopic regularization of these strong current-current interactions is encoded by the inter-ladder couplings \( J_\perp \) and \( \chi_\perp \) defined in Eq. (4.2). The forking of either one of the directed lines represents the fact that the affine Lie algebra contains the diagonal affine subalgebra \( \widehat{su}(2)_{1+1} \) (dashed blue line of the fork) and the coset \( \widehat{su}(2)_1 \oplus \widehat{su}(2)_{1+1} \) (red line). The marginally relevant current-current perturbation \( (\vec{J}_L + \vec{J}_R) \cdot (\vec{J}_L + \vec{J}_R) \) is represented by an arc that connects the lines associated with the \( \widehat{su}(2)_{1+1} \) subalgebra. This coupling gaps the modes associated with this subalgebra without affecting the modes associated with the coset theory. We are thus left with a gapless Ising critical theory.

gared magnetizations on their respective legs. Their scaling dimension is 1/2 when \( J_2 = J_\perp = 0 \). In the absence of the microscopic couplings \( J_2 \) and \( J_\perp \), each chain can be separately described using the \( \widehat{su}(2)_1 \) affine Lie algebra satisfied by the chiral currents. Together, the two sets of currents also satisfy a \( \widehat{su}(2)_1 \oplus \widehat{su}(2)_1 \) affine Lie algebra (with central charge \( c = 2 \)). Once the microscopic couplings \( J_2 \) and \( J_\perp \) are turned on, a number of macroscopic interactions appear, including the marginally relevant current-current interaction \( (\vec{J}_L + \vec{J}_R) \cdot (\vec{J}_L + \vec{J}_R) \), as we show in the Appendix A. The chiral sums \( \vec{J}_M + \vec{J}_M^\perp \), \( M = L, R \), of the currents on both chains satisfy themselves an affine sub-algebra \( \widehat{su}(2)_2 \) (with central charge \( c = 3/2 \)). At strong coupling, the added interactions gap the \( \widehat{su}(2)_2 \) piece, leaving behind the coset theory \( \widehat{su}(2)_1 \oplus \widehat{su}(2)_1/\widehat{su}(2)_2 \), which is precisely the Ising crit-

III. ISING NON-ABELIAN TOPOLOGICAL ORDER

Equipped with this pictorial representation, we consider next an array of two-leg ladders labeled by the index \( n = 1, \cdots, n \) in Fig. 4(b), each of which is fine-tuned to the Ising quantum critical point. Next, we present a mechanism to gap the bulk modes, and leave behind only the Ising critical theories at the left-most and right-most bundles, i.e., at the edges. This cannot be achieved by simply coupling the Ising modes with opposite chirality across any two consecutive two-leg ladders, depicted as neighboring colored boxes in Fig. 4(b). The reason is that the Ising degrees of freedom are fractionalized, and one is only allowed to write microscopic couplings between unfractio-
physical current operator associated with the coset. The mechanism to circumvent this problem was presented in Ref. 13. One couples the chiral currents associated with the original $\hat{su}(2)_1 \oplus \hat{su}(2)_1$ algebra with the same end result of gapping the bulk and leaving the edge states.

To gap the bulk, any two consecutive two-leg ladders are coupled by the marginally relevant $\hat{su}(2)_1 \oplus \hat{su}(2)_1$ current-current interactions

$$\hat{H}_{jj} := g_{jj} \sum_{n=1}^{n-1} \left( \hat{J}_{L,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{R,n+1} + \hat{J}_{R,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{L,n+1} \right), \quad (3.1)$$

These couplings are represented by the directed arcs in Fig. 4(b). The arrows on the arcs are needed because this choice of current-current interaction breaks time-reversal symmetry. By inspection of Fig. 4(b), the array of two-leg ladders is fully gapped if periodic boundary conditions are imposed on the label $m$, whereas gapless chiral edge states from the Ising universality class survive in the vicinity of the first and last two-leg ladders when open boundary conditions hold. Hereto, we want a lattice regularization of the quantum-field theory represented by Fig. 4(b). It is depicted in Fig. 1.

Microscopic interactions between neighboring two-leg ladders that break time-reversal symmetry and generate the desired current-current interactions (3.1) are obtained from the interactions

$$\hat{H}_{\text{inter-ladder}} := \hat{H}_\Delta + \hat{H}_\Delta' + \hat{H}_\square + \hat{H}_\square', \quad (3.2a)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_\Delta := \frac{\chi_\perp}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{n-1} \left[ \hat{S}_{i,n+1} \cdot (\hat{S}_{i+1,n} \wedge \hat{S}_{i,n}) + \hat{S}_{i+1,n} \cdot (\hat{S}_{i,n+1} \wedge \hat{S}_{i+1,n+1}) \right] \quad (3.2b)$$

and

$$\hat{H}_\square := J_\perp \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{n-1} \left( \hat{S}_{i,n} \cdot \hat{S}_{i,n+1} + \hat{S}_{i,n+1} \cdot \hat{S}_{i+1,n+1} \right). \quad (3.2c)$$

with $\hat{H}_\Delta$ and $\hat{H}_\square'$ following from $\hat{H}_\Delta$ and $\hat{H}_\square$ by the substitution $\hat{S}_{i,n} \rightarrow \hat{S}'_{i,n}$. The choice $\chi_\perp (\pi/\gamma) = 2J_\perp$ yields the current-current interaction Eq. (3.1) in the continuum limit with $g_{jj} \propto (\chi_\perp (\pi/\gamma) + 2J_\perp$, as we show in the Appendix B where we also argue that any relevant bare coupling vanishes by symmetry. Marginally relevant and relevant couplings that compete with $g_{jj}$ can still be generated at higher loop order, as shown in Refs. 37 and 38. If the coupling $g_{jj}$ is small, these competing interactions may overtake it in a weak coupling RG analysis. An alternative way to rephrase the issue is that, if the gap is only exponentially small in the bare coupling $g_{jj}$, the stability of the desired phase is still subject to the weak coupling analysis done around the fixed point defined by $J_\perp = \chi_\perp = 0$, i.e., a fixed point that is not stable against these competing relevant perturbations. However, for strongly coupled chains, the gap is not exponentially small in the bare coupling $g_{jj}$, and the addition of the very weak perturbations will not destroy the gap. Ultimately, non-perturbative techniques such as DMRG are needed to confirm that the Ising topological phase depicted in Fig. 4(b) is stable when interactions are strong in the microscopic model in Fig. 4(b). We also note that introducing a buffer of Kondo spin-1/2 between every neighboring two-leg ladders that mediate an indirect interaction between neighboring two-leg ladders, as was done by Lecheminant and Tsvelik in Ref. 15, also stabilizes the Ising topological phase depicted in Fig. 4(b).

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, field-theoretical arguments supported by DMRG suggest that it is possible to tune a quantum spin-1/2 two-leg ladder to Ising quantum criticality through strong current-current interactions. Similarly, strong current-current interactions between consecutive two-leg ladders are argued to stabilize a ground state supporting 2D Ising topological order.
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Appendix A: Continuum limit for a single ladder

In this appendix, we start from the spin-1/2 two-leg ladder Hamiltonian (2.1), whose antiferromagnetic exchange couplings are depicted in Fig. 5a. We are going to deduce a naive continuum limit of Hamiltonian (2.1), which we shall interpret as a perturbed conformal field theory (CFT). In doing so, we shall keep track of the following symmetries obeyed by Hamiltonian (2.1), namely (i) invariance under any global SU(2) rotation of all spins, (ii) invariance under the interchange of the upper and lower legs, (iii) and the mirror symmetries centered about a site of one leg and the middle of the bond of the other leg [see Fig. 5a].

In Sec. A.1, we review the limit $J_y = 0$ for which the two-leg ladder decouples into two $J_1 - J_2$ antiferromagnetic spin-1/2 chains and how this limiting case is related to perturbed conformal field theory.

In Sec. A.2, we explain within perturbed conformal field theory why the fine-tuning of Hamiltonian (2.1) to
shall consider the Hamiltonian (2.1a) for the upper leg only. The results below apply to the lower leg by adding a prime to all operators and quantum fields.

The phase diagram along the line parameterized by the dimensionless coupling $J_2/J_1 > 0$ consists of the quantum critical segment $0 < J_2/J_1 \leq (J_2/J_1)_c$, the quantum critical end point $(J_2/J_1)_c$, and the gapped phase along the semi-infinite segment $(J_2/J_1)_c < J_2/J_1 < \infty$. The gapped phase breaks spontaneously the translation symmetry by one lattice spacing of the spin-1/2 chain through the onset of long-ranged dimer order when $J_2/J_1$ becomes larger than $(J_2/J_1)_c$. In particular, at the Majumdar-Ghosh point $\frac{20}{18} (J_2/J_1)_{MG} \equiv 1/2 > (J_2/J_1)_c$, the ground state for an even number of sites $N$ and with periodic boundary conditions (PBC) is two-fold degenerate, i.e., any linear combination of the two valence bond states.

This phase diagram can be derived as was done in Ref. 40 by perturbing the $su(2)_1$ Wess-Zumino-Witten theory describing the gapless phase by the addition of the current-current interaction

\[ \tilde{V}_{bs} := - \left( g^{(1)}_{bs} - g^{(2)}_{bs} \right) \int dx \hat{J}_L(x_L) \cdot \hat{J}_R(x_R) \]

in the Hamiltonian picture of quantum-field theory, where $x_L := v \tau + ix$ and $x_R := v \tau - ix$. Here,

\[ 0 \leq g^{(1)}_{bs} \propto J_1, \quad 0 < g^{(2)}_{bs} \propto J_2. \]  

The quantum critical regime corresponds to this perturbation being marginally irrelevant,

\[ g_{bs} < 0. \]  

The gapped regime corresponds to this perturbation being marginally relevant,

\[ g_{bs} > 0. \]

The spin operators that were defined on the sites $i$ from the one-dimensional chain with the lattice spacing $a$ are encoded in the effective low-energy quantum-field theory by the following quantum fields. If

\[ i \ (2a) \rightarrow x, \quad N \ a \rightarrow L, \]  

then

\[ \hat{S}_{2i} \rightarrow (2a) \ [\hat{m}(x) + \hat{n}(x)], \]  
\[ \hat{S}_{2i+1} \rightarrow (2a) \ [\hat{m}(x) - \hat{n}(x)], \]

for all sites $i = 1, \ldots, N/2$ of the upper leg, assuming that $N$ is even. It then follows that the quantum fields $\hat{m}(x)$ and $\hat{n}(x)$ commute at equal time,

\[ [\hat{m}(x), \hat{n}(y)] = 0 \]
for all \( x \) and \( y \) from \([0, L]\). Furthermore, if we assume that the quantum fields \( \m(x) \) and \( \n(x) \) vary smoothly relative to the length scale \( 2a \), we may then interpret the former vector of quantum fields as encoding smooth fluctuations of the uniform magnetization and the latter vector of quantum fields as encoding smooth fluctuations of the staggered magnetization.

Finally, the decomposition

\[
\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\tau, x) = \hat{\mathbf{J}}_L(\tau + ix) + \hat{\mathbf{J}}_R(\tau - ix),
\]

(A5a)

and the identifications

\[
\hat{\mathbf{J}}_M(x_M) \to \mathbf{J}_M(x_M), \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\tau, x) \to \mathbf{n}(\tau, x)
\]

(A5b)

hold between the operators \( \hat{\mathbf{J}}_M \) with \( M = L, R \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{n}} \) in the imaginary-time Heisenberg picture and the bosonic fields \( \mathbf{J}_M \) with \( M = L, R \) and \( \mathbf{n} \). The latter enter the operator content of the conformal field theory with the affine \( \hat{su}(2)_1 \) algebra. They are the closed affine \( \hat{su}(2)_1 \) algebra \([11, 13]\).

\[
J_M^a(x_M) J_M^b(0) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\delta^{ab}/2}{x_M} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{ie^{abc} J_M^c(0)}{x_M},
\]

(A6a)

the closed algebras

\[
n^a(\tau, x) n^b(0, 0) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi^2 a} \frac{\delta^{ab}}{(x_L x_R)^{1/2}} + \cdots
\]

(A6b)

and

\[
\varepsilon(\tau, x) \varepsilon(0, 0) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi^2 a} \frac{\delta^{ab}}{(x_L x_R)^{1/2}} + \cdots,
\]

(A6c)

with the nonvanishing cross terms

\[
J_L^a(x_L) n^b(0, 0) \sim \frac{ie^{abc} n^c(0, 0) + i\delta^{ab} \varepsilon(0, 0)}{4\pi x_L},
\]

(A6d)

\[
J_R^a(x_R) n^b(0, 0) \sim \frac{ie^{abc} n^c(0, 0) - i\delta^{ab} \varepsilon(0, 0)}{4\pi x_R},
\]

(A6e)

\[
J_L^a(x_L) \varepsilon(0, 0) \sim -\frac{i n^a(0, 0)}{4\pi x_L},
\]

(A6f)

\[
J_R^a(x_R) \varepsilon(0, 0) \sim +\frac{i n^a(0, 0)}{4\pi x_R}.
\]

(A6g)

Here, \( \varepsilon(\tau, x) \) is the quantum field that encodes smooth fluctuations of the staggered dimerization.

Having established the nature of the line of quantum critical points along the segment \( 0 \leq J_\nu/J_1 \leq (J_2/J_1)_c \) in the parameter space for the two-leg ladder, we first write

\[
\hat{H}_{\nu} = J_\nu \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \hat{S}_{2i} (\hat{S}'_{2i+1} + \hat{S}'_{2i-1})
\]

(A8)

\[
+ J_\nu \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \hat{S}_{2i+1} (\hat{S}'_{2i+2} + \hat{S}'_{2i+1}),
\]

where we have assumed that \( N \) is an even integer and imposed PBC. If we insert the decomposition \([A3]\) into the chain-like Hamiltonian \([A8]\), and perform an expansion in powers of \( 2a \) to leading order, we get

\[
\hat{H}^{\nu}_{\text{pert}} := \int_0^L dx \left( \hat{W}^{\nu}_{jj} + \hat{W}^{\nu}_{tw} + \cdots \right)(x),
\]

(A10a)

Hence, the segment of quantum criticality \([A7]\) in parameter space is perturbed by

\[
\hat{W}^{\nu}_{jj}(x) = + g_\nu \left[ \hat{J}_L(x) + \hat{J}_R(x) \right] \left[ \hat{J}_L(x) + \hat{J}_R(x) \right]
\]

(A10b)
is a marginally relevant perturbation with the coupling
\[ g_\nu = 4 \times v_\nu > 0, \quad v_\nu := (2a) J_\nu, \]
and
\[ \hat{W}_{tw} = -g_{tw} \hat{n}(x) \cdot \partial_x \hat{n}(x) \]
is a marginal interaction with the coupling
\[ g_{tw} = (2a) v_\nu > 0, \]
and \( \cdots \) represents irrelevant local perturbations. Observe that [see Eqs. (A1a) and (A10b)]
\[ \hat{V}_{bs}(x) + \hat{V}_{bs}'(x) + \hat{W}_{j}^\gamma(x) = g_{bs} \left[ \hat{J}_L(x) \cdot \hat{J}_L(x) + \hat{J}_R(x) \cdot \hat{J}_L(x) \right] \]
\[ + g_\nu \left[ \hat{J}_L(x) \cdot \hat{J}_R(x) + \hat{J}_R(x) \cdot \hat{J}_L(x) \right] \]
Define
\[ \hat{K}_L(x) \cdot \hat{K}_R(x) = \left[ \hat{J}_L(x) + \hat{J}_L'(x) \right] \cdot \left[ \hat{J}_R(x) + \hat{J}_R'(x) \right], \]
where
\[ \hat{K}_M(x) := \hat{J}_M(x) + \hat{J}_M'(x), \quad M = L, R. \]
If
\[ g_{bs} = g_\nu \equiv g_{bs=\nu}, \]
then
\[ \hat{V}_{bs}(x) + \hat{V}_{bs}'(x) + \hat{W}_{j}^\gamma(x) = g_{bs=\nu} \hat{K}_L(x) \cdot \hat{K}_R(x) \]
\[ + g_{bs=\nu} \left[ \hat{J}_L(x) \cdot \hat{J}_L'(x) + \hat{J}_R(x) \cdot \hat{J}_R'(x) \right]. \]

Ising quantum criticality of the two-leg ladder is the consequence of the flow to strong coupling of the local current-current interaction \( \left( \hat{K}_L \cdot \hat{K}_R \right)(x) \) when it is the only runaway flow from the \( \hat{s}_u(2)_1 \oplus \hat{s}_u(2)_2 \) quantum critical point. The Ising quantum critical point realizes the coset theory with the affine Lie algebra \( \hat{s}_u(2)_1 \oplus \hat{s}_u(2)_1 / \hat{s}_u(2)_2 \), whose central charge is 1/2.

Appendix B: Continuum limit for coupled ladders

We are going to construct the perturbation to the conformal field theory derived in Appendix A that results from coupling the spin-1/2 two-leg ladders as shown in Fig. 11. The counterpart to the generic perturbation in Appendix A is the generic perturbation [B7].

We consider \( n \) two-leg ladders labeled by \( m = 1, \ldots, n \). They interact through the perturbations \( \hat{H}_\Delta \) and \( \hat{H}'_\Delta \), where
\[ \hat{H}_\Delta := \frac{\chi_\perp}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \left[ \hat{S}_{i,a+1} \cdot \left( \hat{S}_{i,a+1} \perp \hat{S}_{i,a} \right) \right] \]
\[ + \hat{S}_{i,a+1} \cdot \left( \hat{S}_{i,a+1} \perp \hat{S}_{i,a+1,a+1} \right) \]
with \( \chi_\perp \) real valued and \( \hat{H}'_\Delta \) that follows from \( \hat{H}_\Delta \) by the substitution \( \hat{S}_{i,n} \rightarrow \hat{S}'_{i,n} \), and the perturbations \( \hat{H}_\Box \) and \( \hat{H}'_\Box \), where
\[ \hat{H}_\Box := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \left[ J_i \hat{S}_{i,n} \cdot \hat{S}_{i,n+1} \right] \]
\[ + \left( J_i \hat{S}_{i,n} \cdot \hat{S}_{i,n+1} \cdot \hat{S}_{i,n+1,a+1} + J_i \hat{S}_{i,n+1} \cdot \hat{S}_{i,n+1,a+1} \right) \]
with \( J, J_i, J_{a} > 0 \) and \( \hat{H}'_\Box \) obtained from \( \hat{H}_\Box \) by the substitution \( \hat{S}_{i,n} \rightarrow \hat{S}'_{i,n} \). Figure 1 depicts the special case of
\[ J_i = J, \quad J_i = 0, \]
which is considered in Eq. 3.2 from the main text.

The continuum limit of the three-spin interaction \( \hat{H}_\Delta \) is derived in Sec. B1. The result is the local polynomial
\[ \hat{V}_\Delta := g_{\chi_\perp} \sum_{n=1}^{n-1} \left( \hat{J}_{L,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{R,n+1} + \hat{J}_{R,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{L,n+1} \right) + \cdots, \]
where
\[ g_{\chi_\perp} = 2 \times (2a) \frac{\chi_\perp}{\pi}, \]
and \( \cdots \) denotes irrelevant local perturbations. Similarly, the continuum limit of \( \hat{H}_\Box \) delivers the local polynomial \( \hat{V}_\Box \) that follows from substituting \( \hat{J}_{M,n}(x) \rightarrow \hat{J}'_{M,n}(x) \) for \( M = L, R \) in \( \hat{V}_\Delta \).

The naive continuum limit of \( \hat{H}_\Box \) defined by Eq. (B1b) can be derived in a similar way as we did in Sec. A2. The result is the local polynomial
\[ \hat{V}_\Box := \sum_{n=1}^{n-1} \sum_{n'=1}^{n-2} g_{\Delta} \left( \hat{J}_{L,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{L,n'+1} + \hat{J}_{R,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{R,n'+1} \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-2} g_{\Delta} \left( \hat{J}_{L,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{R,n+1} + \hat{J}_{R,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{L,n+1} \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-2} \left( g_{\Delta} \hat{n}_n \cdot \hat{n}_{n+n'} + g_{\Delta} \hat{n}_n \cdot \hat{n}_{n+n'} \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{n'=1}^{n-2} g_{\Delta} \left( \hat{n}_n \cdot \hat{\partial}_n \hat{n}_{n+n'} \right) \]
up to irrelevant local perturbations. Here, the bare values of the couplings are
\[
g_{jj}^{(n)} = \left( g_{jj}^\perp + g_{jj}^\parallel + g_{jj}^\parallel \right) \delta_{n,1} \\
= 2 \times (2a) \left( J_\perp + J_j + J_j \right) \delta_{n,1}, \quad (B4b)
\]
\[
g_{nn}^{(n)} = \left( g_{nn}^\perp + g_{nn}^\parallel + g_{nn}^\parallel \right) \delta_{n,1} \\
= 2 \times (2a) \left( J_\perp - J_j - J_j \right) \delta_{n,1}, \quad (B4c)
\]
\[
g_{ee}^{(n)} = 0, \quad (B4d)
\]
\[
g_{ww}^{(n)} = (g_{ww}^\perp - g_{ww}^\parallel) \delta_{n,1} \\
= (2a)^2 \left( -J_\perp + J_j \right) \delta_{n,1}. \quad (B4e)
\]
We note that the initial value of the twist coupling vanishes if
\[
J_j = J_j. \quad (B5)
\]

The continuum limit of \( \hat{H}_\square \) can be obtained by the substitutions \( \hat{J}_{M,m}(x) \rightarrow \hat{J}_{M,m}(x) \) with \( M = L, R, \hat{n}_m(x) \rightarrow \hat{n}_m(x), \) and \( \hat{e}_m(x) \rightarrow \hat{e}_m(x) \) in \( \hat{V}_\square. \) The result is the local polynomial \( \hat{V}_\square. \)

The condition
\[
J_\perp = J_j, \quad J_j = 0 \quad (B6)
\]
forbids the presence of any relevant perturbation of the form \( \hat{\mathbf{n}}_m \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{m+n} \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_m \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{m+n}, \) with \( m \) an odd integer by the symmetry under reflection in a plane perpendicular to the chains. [23]

Addition of the contributions to the current-current back-scattering from the local perturbations \( \hat{V}_\square, \hat{V}_\square, \hat{V}_\square, \) and \( \hat{V}_\square \) [see Eqs. (B3) and (B4)] gives the local current-current perturbation
\[
\hat{V}_\square = \sum_{n=1}^{n-1} \left[ g_+ \left( \hat{J}_{L,n+1} \cdot \hat{J}_{R,n} + \hat{J}_{L,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{R,n+1} \right) \right. \]
\[
\left. + g_- \left( \hat{J}_{R,n} \cdot \hat{J}_{L,n+1} + \hat{J}_{R,n+1} \cdot \hat{J}_{L,n} \right) \right] \quad (B7a)
\]
with the couplings
\[
g_\pm = g_{jj}^{(1)} \pm g_{jj} \pm \left( J_\perp + J_j + J_j \right) \pm 2 \times (2a) \frac{x_j}{\pi}. \quad (B7b)
\]

Given the initial values \( g_+ > 0 \) and \( g_- \leq 0, g_+ \) flows to strong coupling while \( g_- \) flows to zero. Conversely, given the initial values \( g_- > 0 \) and \( g_+ \leq 0, \) it is \( g_- \) that flows to strong coupling while it is \( g_+ \) that flows to zero. The strong coupling fixed points that we seek require that
\[
\text{sgn} \left( g_+ \times g_- \right) < 0. \quad (B8)
\]
Furthermore, under the condition \( (B6), \) if we choose
\[
\frac{x_j}{\pi} = 2 J_\perp, \quad (B9)
\]
then
\[
g_+ \equiv g_{jj} = 8 \times (2a) J_\perp, \quad g_- = 0. \quad (B10)
\]
This is the case considered in Eq. (3.11) from the main text.

1. Continuum limit of the three spin interactions (B1a)

The perturbation \( \hat{H}_\square \) defined by Eq. (B1a) couples two consecutive two-leg ladders in such a way that it breaks time-reversal symmetry. The coupling \( \hat{H}_\square \) involves two term per square plaquette defined by the vertices \( (i,m), \) \( (i+1,m), \) \( (i,m+1), \) \( (i+1,m+1). \) We shall take the naive continuum limit arising from each term separately.

We write
\[
\hat{H}_{\square} := \frac{x_j}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \epsilon^{abc} \hat{S}_{i,m+1} \hat{S}_{i+1,m} \hat{S}_{i,m} \\
= \frac{x_j}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \epsilon^{abc} \left( \hat{S}_{2i,m+1} \hat{S}_{2i+1,m} \hat{S}_{2i,m} \right) \\
+ \frac{x_j}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \epsilon^{abc} \left( \hat{S}_{2i+1,m+1} \hat{S}_{2i+2,m} \hat{S}_{2i+1,m} \right), \quad (B11)
\]
where we have assumed that \( N \) is an even integer and imposed PBC. If we insert the decomposition (A3) into the
Hamiltonian \([B11]\), and perform an expansion in powers of \((2a)\) to leading order, we get

\[
\hat{H}_{\triangle_1} \to \chi_1 \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \int_0^L dx \epsilon^{abc} (2a)^2 \left[ \hat{m}_n^a(x) \hat{m}_n^b(x) \hat{m}_n^c(x) - \hat{m}_{n+1}^a(x) \hat{m}_{n+1}^b(x) \hat{m}_n^c(x) + \cdots \right] + \cdots,
\]

where \(\cdots\) refers to irrelevant local perturbations. To proceed, we need to point-splitting pairs of operators sitting at the same position \(x\) in the same bundle \(m\). After point-splitting, we shall use the operator product expansion (OPE) \([A6]\) so as to reduce the point-split pair of operators to either a \(C\) number or a single operator. We treat such pairs of point-split operators one by one. First,

\[
\epsilon^{abc} \hat{m}_n^b(x) \hat{m}_n^c(x) = \epsilon^{abc} \lim_{2a \to 0} \left[ \hat{f}_{L,m}(x + 2a) + \hat{f}_{R,m}(x + 2a) \right] \left[ \hat{f}_{L,m}(x) + \hat{f}_{R,m}(x) \right]
\sim \epsilon^{abc} \lim_{2a \to 0} \left[ \frac{\delta^{bc}}{8\pi^2 (+ia)^2} + \frac{i\epsilon^{bcd} \hat{f}_L(x)}{2\pi (-ia)^2} + \frac{\delta^{bc}}{8\pi^2 (-ia)^2} + \frac{i\epsilon^{bcd} \hat{f}_R(x)}{2\pi (-ia)^2} \right]
= \frac{(2a)^{-1}}{\pi} \left[ \hat{J}_{L,m}(x) - \hat{J}_{R,m}(x) \right].
\]

The OPE \([A6a]\) were used for the line with the \(\sim\), the identity \(\epsilon^{abc} e^{bcd} = 2\delta^{ad}\) was used to reach the last equality. Second,

\[
\epsilon^{abc} \hat{n}_m^b(x) \hat{n}_m^c(x) \sim 0.
\]

Hereto, the OPE \([A6b]\) were used. Third,

\[
\epsilon^{abc} \hat{m}_n^b(x) \hat{n}_m^c(x) \sim 0.
\]

Insertion of Eqs. \((B13a)\) into Hamiltonian \((B12)\) gives

\[
\hat{H}_{\triangle_2} \to \chi_1 \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \int_0^L dx \frac{(2a)}{\pi} \left[ \hat{J}_{L,m+1}(x) + \hat{J}_{R,m+1}(x) \right] \cdot \left[ \hat{J}_{L,m}(x) - \hat{J}_{R,m}(x) \right] + \cdots.
\]

Next, we write

\[
\hat{H}_{\triangle_2} := \chi_1 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \epsilon^{abc} \hat{S}_{i+1,m}^a \hat{S}_{i+1,m+1}^b \hat{S}_{i,m+1}^c
\sim \chi_1 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^{N/2-1} \epsilon^{abc} \left( \hat{S}_{2i,m+1}^a \hat{S}_{2i+1,m+1}^b \hat{S}_{2i+1,m+1}^c \right)
- \chi_1 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^{N/2-1} \epsilon^{abc} \left( \hat{S}_{2i-1,m}^a \hat{S}_{2i,m+1}^b \hat{S}_{2i,m+1}^c \right),
\]

where we have assumed that \(N\) is an even integer and imposed PBC. The continuum limit of \(\hat{H}_{\triangle_2}\) \((B15)\) can be derived in a similar way as we did for \(\hat{H}_{\triangle_1}\). The result is

\[
\hat{H}_{\triangle_2} \to \chi_1 \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \int_0^L dx \frac{(2a)}{\pi} \left[ \hat{J}_{L,m}(x) + \hat{J}_{R,m}(x) \right] \cdot \left[ \hat{J}_{L,m+1}(x) - \hat{J}_{R,m+1}(x) \right] + \cdots.
\]

Thus, the continuum limit of \(\hat{H}_{\triangle}\) \((B14)\) can be read from Eqs. \((B14)\) and \((B16)\). It is

\[
\hat{H}_{\triangle} = \frac{(2a)}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \int_0^L dx \left[ \left( \hat{J}_{L,m} - \hat{J}_{R,m} \right) \cdot \left( \hat{J}_{L,m+1} + \hat{J}_{R,m+1} \right) - \left( \hat{J}_{L,m} + \hat{J}_{R,m} \right) \cdot \left( \hat{J}_{L,m+1} - \hat{J}_{R,m+1} \right) \right] + \cdots
= g_{\chi_1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \int_0^L dx \left( \hat{J}_{L,m} \cdot \hat{J}_{R,m+1} - \hat{J}_{R,m} \cdot \hat{J}_{L,m+1} \right) + \cdots.
\]

(B17a)
where the coupling \( g_{\chi_{\perp}} \) stands for

\[
g_{\chi_{\perp}} = 2 \times (2a) \frac{\chi_{\perp}}{\pi}.
\]

Similarly, the continuum limit of \( \hat{H}_{\Delta} \) follows from that of \( \hat{H}_{\triangle} \) with the substitution \( J_{M,a}(x) \to J'_{M,a}(x) \) with \( M = L, R \).

---

23. In Ref. 21 [22], the coordination number between sites on opposite legs of the ladder that are connected by antiferromagnetic exchange couplings is three (one).
27. The largest systems considered were \( N = 96 \) in Figs. [3] (a,c), \( N = 97 \) in Figs. [3] (b,d), and \( N = 768 \) in Figs. [3] (e,f).
28. Let \( l \) be an integer labeling sites along one leg of a two-leg ladder or the sites along a zig-zag path that alternates between the lower and upper legs of the two-leg ladder. Define the three-site, two-spin operator \( \hat{D}_l := \hat{S}_l \cdot \left( \hat{S}_{l+1} - \hat{S}_{l-1} \right) \).
39. Work in progress.