

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# Entanglement properties of Floquet-Chern insulators Daniel J. Yates, Yonah Lemonik, and Aditi Mitra Phys. Rev. B **94**, 205422 — Published 16 November 2016 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.94.205422

# Entanglement properties of Floquet Chern insulators

Daniel J. Yates, Yonah Lemonik, and Aditi Mitra

Department of Physics, New York University, 4 Washington Place, New York, NY, 10003, USA

(Dated: October 24, 2016)

Results are presented for the entanglement entropy and spectrum of half-filled graphene following the switch on of a circularly polarized laser. The laser parameters are chosen to correspond to several different Floquet Chern insulator phases. The entanglement properties of the unitarily evolved wavefunctions are compared with the state where one of the Floquet bands is completely occupied. The true states show a volume law for the entanglement, whereas the Floquet states show an area law. Qualitative differences are found in the entanglement properties of the offresonant and on-resonant laser. Edge states are found in the entanglement spectrum corresponding to certain physical edge states expected in a Chern insulator. However, some edge states that would be expected from the Floquet band structure are missing from the entanglement spectrum. An analytic theory is developed for the long time structure of the entanglement spectrum. It is argued that only edge states corresponding to off-resonant processes appear in the entanglement spectrum.

PACS numbers: 67.85.-d; 81.40.Gh; 03.65.Ud

# I. INTRODUCTION

Floquet topological insulators, a new kind of topological phase, have been attracting much attention<sup>1–7</sup>. These phases are produced by periodically time-dependent Hamiltonians. By tuning the amplitude, phase, and frequency of the periodic drive myriad topological phases may be realized. Among Floquet topological insulators, effective time-reversal breaking Floquet Chern insulators (FCI) have even been experimentally realized in coldatoms<sup>8</sup> and optical wave-guides<sup>9</sup>. These states contain unique  $\pi$  edge states which have no equivalent in a static system<sup>10</sup>.

However, characterizing a driven system as topological is non-trivial, as the usual adiabatic arguments<sup>11</sup> for topological properties cannot be applied. Naively, the topological nature of these systems could be deduced by analyzing the ground state of the Floquet Hamiltonian<sup>4</sup>, what we call the "Floquet ground state" (FGS). However the FGS has no general connection to the nonequilibrium state realized in experiment and therefore cannot be used to determine the existence of topological properties.

In this work we study the topological properties of FCIs using the entanglement spectrum  $(ES)^{12}$ . Entanglement statistics have already been demonstrated to detect ground states<sup>13–15</sup>, critical states<sup>16,17</sup>, topological states<sup>18–20</sup> and universal exponents<sup>21</sup>. The ES in particular is known to have edge states for topological states that mimic the edge states appearing in the physical boundaries of the same system<sup>22</sup>. As the ES is a function of the state of the system at a given time, it may be used to detect topological properties for a time-evolving state.

We calculate the ES for four different phases of the FCI generated by a sudden turn on of the driving laser both numerically and analytically, and complement these results with that for a slow turn on of the laser. We label states obtained this way, i.e., via unitary time evolution as physical or true states, and compare the ES of these

states with the ES of the FGS. We present three main findings. First, the ES of the FGS correctly detects both the usual and  $\pi$  edge states of the system. Second, in the case of a resonant laser the FGS is qualitatively different from the physical state obtained from unitary time-evolution. Third, the ES in the physical resonant state does not show all the expected edge states. Therefore the system driven by a resonant laser does not have the naively expected topological properties. These results do not depend on how rapidly the laser was switched because for a resonant laser there is no adiabatic limit unlike an off-resonant laser<sup>23</sup>. We also explicitly show this lack of adiabaticity of the resonant laser via the properties of the ES.

In Ref. 24 it was shown that a Chern number constructed out of unitarily evolved states is conserved. Thus if the initial state before the periodic drive was turned on had zero Chern number, it would stay zero always. However, this Chern number is a property of the full density matrix and is not related to physical observables directly. Rather local physical observables probe a local spatial region and therefore a reduced density matrix for which the arguments of Ref. 24 do not hold. Evidence that the Chern number of the full density matrix is not relevant also comes from the fact that that unitarily evolved states show a non-zero dc and ac Hall conductivity even for an initial state with zero Hall conductivity<sup>7,25</sup>, although this conductivity is smaller in magnitude than  $Ce^2/h$  as would be expected for the FGS. Thus our result that the ES of a unitarily evolved state reflects some of the topological properties of the FGS is consistent.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II the model is presented, and the topological properties of the FCIs of interest to us is summarized. In section III the methods for obtaining the ES is described, while the results are presented and discussed in section IV. Many details are relegated to the appendices. Other than Figure 3, all other plots are for the sudden quench. Appendix A contains results for the ES for slow turn on of the laser. Appendix B gives the bulk occupation probabilities for the quench switch on protocol of the laser, and the projections of these occupation probabilities on the translationally invariant axis in order to highlight their relation to the bulk states of the ES. Plots showing edge states, their chiralities, and decay lengths in the ES for FGS and the unitarily evolved states are given in appendix C. A key equation whose solution yields the ES is derived in appendix D, while analytic solutions for the edge states in the ES of the Dirac model are given in appendix E, and helps provide physical intuition for the more complex ES structure of the unitarily evolved state in the presence of the laser.

# II. SYSTEM

We study the quench from the ground state of graphene at half-filling to a time-periodic Hamiltonian corresponding to driving by a circularly polarized laser. The transport and optical properties following such a quench has been extensively studied<sup>7,24–27</sup>. The Hamiltonian before the quench is that of a half-filled infinite sheet of graphene,

$$H(t < 0) = -t_h \sum_k \left( c_{kA}^{\dagger} \ c_{kB}^{\dagger} \right) \\ \times \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 & \sum_{i=1,2,3} e^{ia\vec{k}\cdot\vec{\delta}_i} \\ \sum_{i=1,2,3} e^{-ia\vec{k}\cdot\vec{\delta}_i} & 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} c_{kA} \\ c_{kB} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where *a* is the n.n. spacing, and  $\vec{\delta}_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right); \vec{\delta}_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right); \vec{\delta}_3 = (-1, 0).$  At t = 0 the Hamiltonian is changed by substituting  $(k_x, k_y) \rightarrow (k_x + A_0 \cos \Omega t, k_y - A_0 \sin \Omega t)$ , so that the *H* is now periodically time dependent. A state  $|\Psi_k(t)\rangle$  of momentum *k* evolves under this Hamiltonian for t > 0 according to

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{k}(t)\rangle &= e^{-i\epsilon_{ka}t}|a_{k}(t)\rangle\langle a_{k}(0)|\psi_{k,\mathrm{in}}\rangle \\ &+ e^{-i\epsilon_{kb}t}|b_{k}(t)\rangle\langle b_{k}(0)|\psi_{k,\mathrm{in}}\rangle, \end{split}$$
(2)

where  $|\psi_{k,in}\rangle$  is the initial state and  $|a_k\rangle$ ,  $|b_k\rangle$  are periodic in time and given by the Floquet-Bloch equation

$$\left[-i\partial_t - \epsilon_{ka} + H(t)\right] |a_k(t)\rangle = 0; \qquad (3)$$

and likewise for  $|b_k(t)\rangle$ . The  $\epsilon_{ka,b}$  are the two quasienergies, which are only defined up to integer multiples of  $\Omega$ . We take them to lie between  $-\Omega/2 \leq \epsilon_{ka,b} \leq \Omega/2$ calling this range of  $\epsilon_{ka,b}$  and k the Floquet Brillouin Zone (FBZ). We take  $\epsilon_{ka} < \epsilon_{kb}$ . The information of the initial state is encoded in the overlaps with a and b and may be quantified by the excitation density  $\rho_{k,\text{down}} - \rho_{k,\text{up}}$  where

$$\rho_{k,\text{down}} = |\langle a_k(0) | \psi_{k,\text{in}} \rangle|^2, \qquad (4)$$

is the occupation probability of the lower Floquet band and likewise for  $\rho_{k,up}$ . Topology – The wavefunctions at fixed t and quasienergies  $\epsilon_{ka,b}$  may be interpreted as the band-structure of some underlying Hamiltonian,

$$H_{\text{eff}}(t) = \sum_{k,\sigma=a,b} \epsilon_{k\sigma} |\sigma_k(t)\rangle \langle \sigma_k(t)|, \qquad (5)$$

and we may define a "ground state" of this Hamiltonian by completely filling the lower band

$$|\text{FGS}\rangle = \prod_{k} |a_k\rangle.$$
 (6)

It is found that for some choices of parameters the corresponding Hamiltonian has a non-trivial Chern number and is therefore topological. As in the static case the Chern number is related to the existence of edge bands<sup>10,27</sup>. In the FCI there are two kinds of edge states: "conventional" edges that disperse through  $\epsilon = 0$  and "anomalous"  $\pi$  edges that disperse through  $\epsilon = \pm \Omega/2$ . The Chern number is given by  $C = N^C - N^A$  where  $N^{C(A)}$  is the difference between the number of leftmoving and right-moving conventional (anomalous) edge modes.

However, the natural physical question is whether the many-body state generically produced by experiment actually displays any topological properties. Note that despite appearances there is no reason for the FGS to be produced in a generic experiment. As  $\epsilon$  is periodic there is no notion of a lowest energy state and hence no notion of relaxation to a ground state. In fact it is believed that generic disorder and interactions cause a driven closed system to reach infinite temperature<sup>28–30</sup>. Therefore we must consider the physical state as determined by time evolution under a reasonable experimental protocol, here a quench, both sudden and slow.

Having produced the physical state we now must decide if it is topological. Here several standard arguments fail. As the system is time dependent there is no good notion of adiabatic flux threading<sup>11</sup>. The application of a physical edge will qualitatively change the evolution of the system and therefore does not provide good information about the system in the absence of such an edge. As the application of generic disorder drives the system to infinite temperature, there is no notion of static localization.

These problems are solved by using the entanglement spectrum  $(ES)^{12}$ . The ES is calculated by imposing a fictitious boundary on the density matrix at a particular time. The degrees of freedom outside the boundary are traced out, and the spectrum of the reduced density matrix is the ES. The fictitious entanglement boundary functions similarly to a physical boundary, and may host chiral edge states that can be used as evidence of topological properties.

For a free system<sup>31</sup> the entanglement spectrum may be derived from the eigenvalues of the correlation matrix

$$C_{rr'} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho c_r^{\dagger} c_{r'}\right],\tag{7}$$

-						
Phase	$A_0a$	$\Omega/t_h$	Resonant?	$N^C$	$N^A$	$C = N^C - N^A$
$P_1$	.5	10	Х	1	0	1
$P_2$	.5	5	$\checkmark$	1	-2	3
$P_3$	1.5	5	$\checkmark$	1	0	1
$P_4$	10	.5	✓	2	2	0

TABLE I: Summary of the four phases analyzed. Columns from left to right: Name of the phase,  $A_0a$  the amplitude of the driving laser in units of the inverse lattice spacing,  $\Omega/t_h$  laser frequency in units of the hopping strength, whether or not the laser is resonant with the static spectrum,  $N^C$  number of usual edge modes of the FGS,  $N^A$  number of anomalous  $\pi$  edge modes, C the total Chern number.

where  $c_r$  is the operator that annihilates an electron at site r and the lattice sites r, r' are restricted to lie in the sub-system of interest. The eigenvalues  $\epsilon$  of this matrix lie between 0 and 1 with a value of 0 or 1 representing an unentangled or pure state mode and  $\epsilon = 1/2$  representing a maximally entangled mode.

# **III. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

We consider four representative phases  $(P_{1,2,3,4})$  of the FCI summarized in Table I. The first phase  $P_1$  is the simplest case with an off-resonant laser  $\Omega > 6t_h$  and a single conventional edge mode. The other three  $(P_{2-4})$ are for resonant laser frequencies of  $\Omega < 6t_h$  where  $\pi$ edge states may appear.  $P_2$  has one conventional edge mode and two counter-propagating  $\pi$  modes so that the total Chern number is 3.  $P_3$  has a single conventional mode like  $P_1$  but is produced by a high-amplitude resonant laser. Finally  $P_4$  is an unusual topological phase where there are two conventional and two  $\pi$  modes so that the total Chern number is zero. The occupation of these edge states following the laser quench in a system with boundaries was recently discussed in Ref. 27. The occupation probabilities for the infinite system are discussed and plotted in appendix B.

We compute the long time behavior of the ES and EE for the four phases  $P_{1-4}$ . The time is fixed to be  $10^4$  periods for the sudden quench whereas the results are at non-stroboscopic times for the slow quench (see appendix A). The Floquet-Bloch wave-vectors are computed by expanding Eq. (3) in a finite number of Fourier modes and solving the linear system. The result is used to construct  $C_{rr'}$ .

We take the entanglement boundary to be the zigzag edge of a strip of width L sites so that the momentum  $k_y$  along the strip remains a good quantum number. Thus,  $C_{rr'}$  may be diagonalized at fixed  $k_y$ , and the results are plotted in Figure 1. The results are compared with the ES of the FGS

A single statistic that may be calculated from the ES



FIG. 1: (Color online) Entanglement spectrum at t = 10,000 laser periods after the quench, and compared with the ES for the Floquet ground state. Edge modes correspond to crossings at  $\epsilon = 1/2$ . See appendix A for slow quench.

is the entanglement entropy, given by

$$S_A = \sum \left[ \epsilon \ln \epsilon + (1 - \epsilon) \ln (1 - \epsilon) \right], \quad (8)$$

the sum being taken over all eigenvalues. The results for the entropy are shown in Figure 2 for the FGS and the physical state.

The FGS state shows area-law scaling,  $S_A \propto L^0$ . This is because the Floquet state is the ground state of  $H_{\rm eff}$ , which is local and gapped, and such states are known to show area law<sup>14,32</sup>. However the physical state shows volume-law scaling ( $S_A \propto L$ ) as is expected of a generic state with ballistically propagating excitations. This behavior therefore does not provide detailed behavior about the phases.

The full ES for both states, the FGS and the physical state, for phases  $P_{1-4}$  are shown in Figure 1 for the sudden quench and Figure 3 in Appendix A for the slow quench. The figure is symmetric around 1/2 as a consequence of particle-hole symmetry. Let us first discuss the ES for the FGS. The ES shows a bulk of bands clustered near 0 and 1, with a gap between the two bands. Additionally, there are a small number of bands that disperse through 1/2 which appear suggestively like chiral edge states.

On comparing with Table I, one finds that the number of edge states which cross the gap in the ES of the FGS is the same as the Chern number. This means that when anomalous edge states appear, as in phases  $P_{2,4}$ , the chiralities of the edge states in the ES reverses in such a way that the Chern number as calculated from the ES agrees with the Chern number of the phase. Thus for  $P_2$  for example, there are 3 chiral right-movers in the ES even though the anomalous modes are left moving at the physical edge. A similar reversal of chiralities is observed in  $P_4$ . Therefore the ES "understands" that the  $\pi$  modes contribute to the Chern number with opposite sign. While the ES is a snapshot at a particular time, at other times, the location of the edge-states change, but their number and chirality is maintained so as to preserve the Chern number. Thus the phase  $P_4$  can show odd behavior due to the fact that it corresponds to C = 0. This is highlighted in Figure 7 where within a laser period, the edge-modes can appear with canceling chiralities (t = 0)in figure), and totally disappear (t = T/4 in figure), both these cases being consistent with C = 0.

Next we turn to the ES of the physical state. In the off-resonant case  $P_1$ , the Floquet and quench ES generally agree. In the phases  $P_{2-4}$  the two appear drastically different. While the central crossing at  $k_y = \pi/\sqrt{3}$  and gap remain intact, a continuum of eigenvalues that pass through  $\epsilon = 1/2$  appear in the quench case. These continua of states cover the region where the two edge states of  $P_2$  located at  $k_y = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}(1 \pm 0.74)$  appear in the FGS spectrum and completely cover the gap in  $P_4$ . This picture qualitatively holds for a slow quench. As shown in Figure 3, the edge modes that are absent for the sudden



FIG. 2: (Color online) EE of a semi-infinite strip at time t = 10,000 laser periods after the quench, and compared with the EE for a Floquet eigenstate. The state after

the quench shows a volume law scaling by scaling linearly with strip-width, while the Floquet eigenstate shows area-law scaling by not depending on the width.

quench continue to be absent for a slower quench. In the next section we explain these results.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

This behavior of the ES may be explained as follows. In the long time limit the contribution to the correlation function  $C_{rr'}$  of the overlap between the upper and lower bands oscillates as  $\exp i\phi(k)$  with  $\phi(k) =$  $|\epsilon_{ka} - \epsilon_{kb}|t - k(r - r')$ . As |r - r'| < L,  $\phi(k)$  changes rapidly with k when  $t \gg L$  and the overlap terms may be neglected. Straightforward manipulation (see appendix D) then gives  $C_{rr'}$   $(t \to \infty) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{rr'} + D_{rr'}$  where,

$$D_{rr'} \equiv \int_{BZ} d^2k \ \delta\rho_k M(k) e^{ik \cdot (r-r')}, \tag{9}$$
$$M(k) \equiv |a_k(t)\rangle \langle a_k(t)| - |b_k(t)\rangle \langle b_k(t)|,$$
$$\delta\rho_k = \frac{\rho_{k,\text{down}} - \rho_{k,\text{up}}}{2}. \tag{10}$$

If the size L of the sub-region A is taken to infinity,  $D_{rr'}$ may be diagonalized by Fourier transformation, and its eigenvalues may be read off from Eq. (9) as  $\pm \delta \rho_k$  with eigenvectors  $|a_k(t)\rangle$  and  $|b_k(t)\rangle$ . Therefore we may think of D as a Hamiltonian with two bands, where the gap between the two bands is  $2\delta \rho_k$ , and the wavefunctions have some topological properties. Note that the smaller is the quench induced excitation density, the larger is the gap  $2\delta \rho_k$  in the ES.

The problem of finding the spectrum of D at finite but large L is then akin to the problem of finding the spectrum of a Hamiltonian in a finite geometry. We expect two kinds of states: bulk states and edge states. The bulk states should have the "energies",  $\delta \rho_k$ , but where k is quantized in the finite direction. Therefore the "bulk" states can be produced by projecting the occupation number onto the  $k_x = 0$  plane (the translationally invariant direction), see Figure 6 in appendix C.

In addition to these bulk states there may be edge states. If the Floquet bands are topological, that is if the Chern number is non-zero, and  $\delta \rho_k$  is non-zero, then the topological protection of edge modes of D applies and there will necessarily be edge modes in the ES. This property is topological in the sense that a small deformation of the state, which is equivalent to a deformation of  $\delta \rho_k$ and M(k), cannot change the net number of edge modes. For the FGS, where  $2\delta \rho_k = 1$  by definition, there will exist edge modes which agree with the Chern number of the bands. This also appears to be the case in  $P_1$  where  $\delta \rho_k = 0$  only at isolated points, and the edge modes of the FGS and physical states agree, although perhaps the issue is more delicate when the entanglement cut is very rough so that momentum  $k_y$  is not conserved.

That these states are indeed topological can be seen in the numerics. Their number does not scale with Land their crossings are not lifted by varying the phase of the driving laser. Moreover a direct analysis of the wavefunctions associated with the eigenvalues shows they are chiral and located on the edge. Figures 8 and 9 plot the wavefunctions for the edge-states for the FGS, while Figures 10 and 11 plot the same for the true state, and Figure 12 highlight the decay length of the edge states.

If  $\delta \rho_k = 0$  then this is equivalent to a gap closing in the Hamiltonian, and topological protection arguments no longer hold. Indeed we find that the edge states do not coexist with the bulk states in the numerics. Since a resonant process will co-occur with a population inversion (see Figure 13) we do not expect edge states to be protected in the resonant case. Further since  $\delta \rho_k = 0$ precisely where the resonant laser causes band crossings, the resulting edge modes are not robust at all. Indeed this is what is seen in the phase  $P_2$  where the two edge modes seen in the Floquet bands at the boundary of the FBZ do not appear in the ES of the true state. This is not an artifact of the quench protocol and similar population inversions appear in the slow turn on of the resonant laser (see appendix A).

Although the edge modes lose topological protection when  $\delta \rho_k = 0$ , there may still be non-protected edge states. Since we study a perfectly clean system with translational invariance in the y direction, an edge mode will appear under the much weaker condition that  $\delta \rho_k \neq$ 0 for the same  $k_y$  at which the edge mode appears. Therefore we find that the central mode appears in  $P_2$  and  $P_3$ even though  $\delta \rho_k$  is zero over a large arc in the BZ. We expect that this edge mode would disappear for other geometries. For  $P_4$ , the high-amplitude laser produces population inversions throughout the BZ and there are no edge states whatsoever in the physical state. For phase  $P_1$  on the other hand, one has a robust gap, with gap closings only at some special points (rather than large arcs). These excitations can be made even smaller for a slow laser switch on as for the off-resonant case, an adiabatic

theorem can hold.

This provides a complete description of the entanglement spectrum at long times after a Floquet quench. The key quantity that determines the applicability of the Chern number calculation to the edge modes of the quench is the population difference  $\delta \rho_k$ .

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

It is interesting to ask how the results of the ES compare with physical observables. In Ref. 27, the occupation of the edge states in a system with boundaries was studied and it was found that the edge state for the off-resonant case  $P_1$  was occupied at a low effective temperature. In contrast only one set of edge modes in the phase  $P_2$  were occupied at a low effective temperature, while the two new set of  $\pi$  edge modes appearing due to resonant band crossings were occupied at a high effective temperature and coexisted with bulk excitations. The dc conductivity for these phases was studied in Ref. 7 where it was found that the conductance was very close to the maximum,  $\sim .8e^2/h$ , for  $P_1$ , and persisted at this value for  $P_2$  even though new edge modes appear for  $P_2$ . This observation implies that the high effective temperature of the resonant edge modes prevent them from contributing much to transport in contrast to the off-resonant edge mode.

Thus our results show that the study of the ES is a useful way to understand topological properties of periodically driven system. An important direction of research would be to extend this analysis to interacting Floquet topological insulators.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, under Award No. DE-SC0010821.

## Appendix A: Results for the ES for the slow turn on of the laser

To generate occupation probabilities for the slowly turned on laser, the Schrödinger equation at fixed  $k_y$ is solved by implementing the Commutator Free Exponential Time method following Ref. 33. The occupation probabilities and entanglement spectrum are calculated from these wavefunctions. Due to the computational cost of this procedure the system width was taken to be 20 sites.

The results for the ES shown in Figure 3, are in qualitative agreement with the quench protocol discussed in the main paper. Band inversions appear near momenta where the laser is resonant with the band gap. These band inversions are accompanied by a high bulk excitation density, leading to a closing of the entanglement gap, and ruining the topological protection of edge states.

Figure 3 shows data for two different laser switch-on rates for the two resonant phases  $P_{2,3}$ .  $P_2$  has three pairs



FIG. 3: (Color online) Entanglement spectrum (ES) resulting from a numerically propagated state for a strip width of 20 cells. The time constants  $\tau$  correspond to the ramp speed of the laser light, of the form  $\frac{A_0}{2} \left[ \tanh(\frac{t}{\tau}) + 1 \right].$  ES shown at time t = 700.5T for fast ramp, and at time t = 800.5T for slow ramp, where T is the laser period.

of chiral edge states in the ground state (FGS) of the Floquet Hamiltonian. However we find that for all switch-on rates of the laser, two of the three pairs of edge states (located at  $k_y = (1 \pm 0.74)\pi/\sqrt{3}$ ) are absent from the ES of the unitarily evolved states. Only the central edge state located at  $k_y = \pi/\sqrt{3}$  survives.

For phase  $P_3$ , there is only one pair of chiral edge states in the Floquet ground state, and this is visible in the ES of all the unitarily evolved states as well. However this edge state coexists with bulk excitations that survive both for a fast and slow laser quench.

Paradoxically, a slower laser switch on creates more bulk excitations when the laser frequency is resonant. We understand this as follows. A large amplitude laser can modify the band structure considerably, making the bands flatter. Thus a resonant laser can become effectively off resonant when the amplitude of the laser becomes too large. Thus when a laser amplitude is switched on slowly, (while its frequency is kept unchanged), since for a longer period the effective amplitude of the laser is smaller for a slower switch on than a faster switch on, more resonant excitations are created for the slower switch on as the resonance condition is obeyed for a longer duration of time. That is the reason why in Figure 3, there are more bulk excitations for the slower switch on than for the faster switch on.

The only reason why the central edge state at  $k_y = \pi/\sqrt{3}$  is unaffected by these bulk excitations is because our entanglement cut is smooth and momentum  $k_y$  is conserved. This prevents hybridization between the central edge state and the bulk excitations since the latter are located at other values of  $k_y$ . However a rough entanglement cut will wipe out even this central edge state.

# Appendix B: Occupation probabilities and their projection on $k_x = 0$ axis

The occupation probabilities of the Floquet bands for phases  $P_{1,2}$  are shown in Figure 4, and for the phases  $P_{3,4}$  are shown in Figure 5. Except  $P_1$ , all the other phases correspond to a resonant laser.

For  $P_1$ , the quasi-energy of the Floquet Hamiltonian is similar in appearance to static graphene with the important modification of becoming gapped at K and K'. Thus, the occupation probabilities follow intuitively from the static picture, the sharp spikes in Figure 4 correspond to excitations around the K and K' points. The laser being off-resonant is equivalent to the statement that the periodicity of the quasi-energy does not play a role since corresponding boundaries in the FBZ are far from the maximum and minimum of the bands.

Both  $P_{2,3}$  have lasers of the same frequency, but the amplitude of the laser for  $P_3$  is larger than that for  $P_2$ . In  $P_2$  there is a population inversion at  $\Gamma$  in addition to the excitations at K and K'. This is simply the resonance condition as now the frequency of the laser is such that it connects the peak and trough of the static graphene bands. In the quasi-energy picture, this translates to the original static bands extending beyond the FBZ and thus forming quasi-energy bands that avoid one another and bend away at the boundary of the FBZ.

A large amplitude laser modifies the effective band structure to such a degree that a resonant laser becomes effectively off resonant. The bands are drawn toward the center of the FBZ, away from the boundaries. It is for this reason that  $P_3$  has fewer bulk excitations than  $P_2$ , despite the two phases sharing the same laser frequency. The flattening of the bands explains the broadening of the excitations at the K and K' points.

For phase  $P_3$ , even though the laser is resonant, the excitation density around  $k_y = \pi/\sqrt{3}$  where the central edge mode in the ES appears, is still fairly low. The laser creates pockets of excitations in regions symmetrically located around the central edge mode. Momentum conservation prevents the central edge mode from mixing with these pockets of bulk excitations.

The amplitude of the laser for  $P_4$  is much larger than all the other phases, and its frequency is much smaller as well. As a consequence, the  $P_4$  phase is highly excited, with the two Floquet bands being almost equally occupied.

Figure 6 is the projection of the occupation probabilities onto the  $k_x = 0$  axis. These projections are generated by selecting constant  $k_x$  slices of the occupation probabilities that correspond to the modes that satisfy the boundary conditions of the strip. These slices are then superimposed on top of one another to give the projection image.

As explained in the main text, these projected plots reproduce the bulk states of the ES. There are some small discrepancies between the projection plot and the true spectrum. As seen in Figure 1, the bulk excitations on either side of the central edge state in the quenched  $P_2$ phase are smooth, but the analogous bands constructed from Figure 6 appear ragged and cross  $\epsilon \sim 1/2$  where they do not in the former. We explain this difference below.

As the width is increased we first expect the bulk excitation bands of the ES to take on the rough appearance as predicted in the projection plot. The larger width will also lead to more bands coalescing towards  $\epsilon = 1/2$ . Thus in the very large limit we expect a continua of excitations on both sides of the central edge state of the quenched  $P_2$  phase. The reason for the differing appearances in the large, but not extreme width limit is due to the bulk bands having residual knowledge of the edges and thus undergoing a smoothening and repulsion procedure as one expects for perturbations. Thus as the width is increased, this smoothening will diminish and bands from both Figures 1 and 6 will agree. The reason the repulsion argument does not extend to the edge states is precisely due to their local nature and exponentially small overlap.

In the  $P_3$  phase,  $k_x$  projections originate from occupation probabilities that have a relatively smooth structure throughout the FBZ, as seen in Figure 5. Thus we do not run into this problem for the  $P_3$  phase because the projection already creates a continuum that agrees with the spectrum in Figure 1. However for the  $P_2$  phase, the probability occupations have sharp, nearly vertical transitions that lead to discrete bands that single out and amplify this otherwise small feature. The continuum of excitations will only be created by the projection plot for  $P_2$  if the strip width is so large that the spacing between  $k_x$  states is narrow enough to allow for many states to be selected along the cliff faces (see Figure 4) found in the occupation probability of the  $P_2$  phase.

# Appendix C: Edge states in the ES, their chirality, and decay lengths

The entanglement spectrum for phase  $P_4$  is shown in Figure 7. The Floquet state shows the behavior expected of a C = 0 Floquet Chern insulator. The "bulk" bands

are clustered around  $\epsilon = 0$  and  $\epsilon = 1$ . There are edge states seen around  $\epsilon = 1/2$ , however these do not have a net chirality. This can be seen either from direct inspection of the wavefunctions in Figure 9 or by noting that the edge states do not connect with the bulk bands. Therefore these edge states are not topologically protected and should disappear under disorder. In fact Figure 7 shows that the edge states appear at certain times in the laser cycle, and disappear for certain other times. This does not happen for phases  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$ ; when probed at times away from an integer number of laser periods, the precise location of the edge states are shifted in the ES, but the results for the number and chirality of edge states visible, remain the same.

The true quench state for phase  $P_4$  does not show any edge states, and the bulk bands approximately fill the space (see figure in main text). This is in agreement with the occupation number difference shown in Figure 5(b) which is found to vary widely between -1 and 1 and crosses 0 on large arcs through the BZ. From the perspective of the entanglement and occupation number therefore, the true quench state for  $P_4$  appears similar to a thermal state.

The amplitude of the edge states are shown in Figures 8, 9 for the Floquet ground state, and in Figures 10, 11 for the true state. To be clear here by state we mean the eigenvector of the correlation matrix  $\hat{C}$ . As discussed in the main text, we expect these edge states, at least for the Floquet ground state to behave as the usual edge states of a topological Hamiltonian. In fact the states are highly localized to the edge with a decay length on the order of one lattice site. Moreover we can directly verify the chirality of the edge states by analyzing how the wavefunctions vary with momentum  $k_y$ .

In Figures 8, 9, 10, 11 the two states with  $\epsilon$  closest to 1/2 are shown. The higher  $\epsilon \geq 1/2$  state - analogous to the higher energy state - is colored dark while the lower state ( $\epsilon \leq 1/2$ ) is colored light. As can be seen at  $k_y$  near the crossing point, the edge states are well defined and localized on opposite edges. Moreover at the crossing, the high  $\epsilon$  state switches with its counterpart. This is expected in a chiral edge because the two bands cross as  $k_y$  is varied. Therefore by analyzing the pattern of switching, the chirality of the bands stated in the main text can be verified.

As an example of this analysis we consider the x = 0edge in Figure 8 for the FGS of phase  $P_2$ . We see a pattern of black to grey for all three crossings, which indicates that all the downward arching bands in Figure 1 correspond to chiral edges that reside on the x = 0edge of the strip. The edge states corresponding to the downward trending bands at each crossing will be termed "left movers" and the upward arching bands as "rightmovers". Thus, by the same analysis, the x = 200 edge is found to contain all right movers at each crossing.

The same can be done for the FGS of the  $P_4$  phase to verify the assignment of C = 0. Both sides contain two crossings of both types, black to grey and grey to



(a)  $P_1 = (A_0 a, \Omega/t_h) = (.5, 10), C = 1$ . Inset: Solid line indicates conventional BZ, dashed line shows the BZ we consider.



FIG. 4: (Color online) Occupation probability for a laser quench for the phases  $P_{1,2}$  at half-filling.  $\rho_{k,\text{down}} + \rho_{k,\text{up}} = 1.$ 

black. Thus each side contains an equal number of left movers and right movers. Note that these edge states vanish altogether at other times during the laser period, as pointed out in Figure 7. In contrast, for all other phases with  $C \neq 0$ , the edge-states slightly shift their  $k_y$ positioning in a periodic manner.

Figures 10, 11 contain states corresponding to  $\epsilon$  near 1/2 for the unitarily evolved system. These figures show that unlike the FGS, not all the states at this eigenvalue are edge states. While phase  $P_1$  is similar to the FGS, for phases  $P_{2,3}$  only one pair edge modes exist, with a large fraction of states around  $\epsilon \sim 1/2$  being bulk states.

The decay of the edge states is shown in Figure 12. The decay lengths of the edge states are determined by the inverse of the entanglement gap, which is controlled by the bulk excitation density. Thus  $P_1$  which has few bulk excitations in the quenched state has a similar decay length to the FGS. In contrast, since the quenched state of  $P_3$  has more bulk excitations, it shows a longer decay length than the edge state of the FGS. The surviving edge state of  $P_2$  in the quenched state has a similar decay length as the FGS because as seen in Fig. 1, in the vicinity of this edge state the entanglement gap of the quenched state is almost the same as that of the FGS.





FIG. 5: (Color online) Occupation probability for a laser quench, for the phases  $P_{3,4}$  at half-filling.  $\rho_{k,\text{down}} + \rho_{k,\text{up}} = 1$ . The  $P_4$  phase is a high amplitude, resonant laser, so the occupation of each Floquet band is roughly 1/2 throughout the FBZ.

#### Appendix D: Derivation of Eq. (9)

Generally the correlation matrix is given by

$$\hat{C} = \int_{BZ} d^2 k e^{ik \cdot r} \left[ |\psi_{ka}|^2 |a_k(t)\rangle \langle a_k(t)| + |\psi_{kb}|^2 |b_k(t)\rangle \langle b_k(t)| + e^{-i(\epsilon_{ka} - \epsilon_{kb})t} \psi_{ka} \psi_{kb}^* |a_k(t)\rangle \langle b_k(t)| + e^{i(\epsilon_{ka} - \epsilon_{kb})t} \psi_{ka}^* \psi_{kb} |b_k(t)\rangle \langle a_k(t)| \right],$$
(D1)

where  $\psi_{ka} = \langle a_k(0) | \psi_{k,in} \rangle$  and likewise for  $\psi_{kb}$ , and  $\psi_{k,in}$ is the initial state. We make the assumption that the third and fourth off diagonal terms proportional to the exponential vanish at long times because of the rapidly varying phase. We emphasize that this is an acceptable assumption because we are considering r to be restricted to a sub region of the lattice. For general r the stationary phase approximation implies that the exponentials will contribute in a region where  $r \approx v_k t$  where  $v_k$  is the group velocity of the Floquet dispersion. Therefore as long as we consider times which are much larger than r/v, where v is some characteristic velocity, we may neglect the oscillating terms. We have also checked the validity of our argument from the numerical results. We should









FIG. 6: (Color online) Projection of the occupation probabilities of the lower and upper Floquet band onto the  $k_y$  axis agrees well with the ES for the bulk states in Fig. 1. Edge states have to be accounted for separately.



FIG. 7: (Color online) ES for FGS for topological phase  $P_4$ . The edge modes at certain times within one period of the laser can disappear. This is reflected by the absence of crossings at  $\epsilon = 1/2$  for t = T/4.

mention that the order of limits here does not commute. The limit  $t \to \infty$  must be taken before width  $\to \infty$ . Physically this corresponds to only analyzing the interior of the light-cone.

If we neglect these off diagonal terms, we obtain

$$\hat{C} = \int_{BZ} d^2 k e^{ik \cdot r} \left[ |\psi_{ka}|^2 |a_k(t)\rangle \langle a_k(t)| + |\psi_{kb}|^2 |b_k(t)\rangle \langle b_k(t)| \right].$$
(D2)

Now employing  $|a_k(t)\rangle\langle a_k(t)| + |b_k(t)\rangle\langle b_k(t)| = 1$  from the completeness of the basis, and the fact that  $|\psi_{ka}|^2 + |\psi_{kb}|^2 = 1$ , we obtain the stated equation where we identify  $\rho_{k,\text{down}} = |\psi_{ka}|^2, \rho_{k,\text{up}} = |\psi_{kb}|^2$ .

## Appendix E: Edge states in the entanglement spectrum of the Dirac model

Before we discuss the ES, let us review how edge states appear in the physical boundaries of the Dirac Hamiltonian. The Dirac Hamiltonian in a semi-infinite geometry with a boundary at x = 0 is,

$$H = v_F \left[ -i\sigma_x \partial_x - i\sigma_y \partial_y \right] + m(x)\sigma_z.$$
 (E1)

The boundary is controlled by the behavior of m(x). We may write the eigenstate as  $\Psi_k(x,y) = e^{ik_y y} e^{-\frac{1}{v_F} \int^x m(x') dx'} \chi$ , which should obey

$$\left( v_F \left[ -i\sigma_x \partial_x - i\sigma_y \partial_y \right] + m(x)\sigma_z \right) \Psi_k(x,y)$$
  
=  $E_k \Psi_k(x,y),$  (E2)



FIG. 8: (Color online) Edge modes of the Floquet state for the phases  $P_{1,2}$ . Upper (lower) band corresponds to entanglement eigenvalues  $\epsilon \ge 1/2$  ( $\epsilon \le 1/2$ ). Only states corresponding to  $\epsilon$  within a small window of 1/2 are

shown, to highlight those that disperse through 1/2. The  $P_1$  phase contains a left mover on x = 0 edge and a

right mover on the x = 200 edge. The  $P_2$  phase contains three left movers on the x = 0 edge and three right movers on the x = 200 edge.

Fight movers on the x = 200 eq.

and implies the eigenvalue equation,

$$m(x)\left[i\sigma_x + \sigma_z\right]\chi + v_F k_y \sigma_y \chi = E_k \chi.$$
 (E3)

The solution is  $E_k = v_F k_y$ ,  $\sigma_y \chi = \chi$ . Thus the eigenstate is,

$$\Psi_k(x,y) = e^{ik_y y} e^{-\frac{1}{v_F} \int^x m(x') dx'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ i \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (E4)

The above is an edge state with a decay length controlled by  $v_F/m$ .

Now let us consider how edge states emerge in the entanglement spectrum. For this, we will consider a spa-



FIG. 9: (Color online) Edge modes of the Floquet state for the phases  $P_{3,4}$ . Upper (lower) band corresponds to entanglement eigenvalues  $\epsilon \ge 1/2$  ( $\epsilon \le 1/2$ ). Only states corresponding to  $\epsilon$  within a small window of 1/2 are

shown, to highlight those that disperse through 1/2. The  $P_3$  phase contains a left mover on x = 0 edge and a right mover on the x = 200 edge. The  $P_4$  phase

contains two left movers and two right movers on both edges. The varying "width" of the edge states in the  $k_y$  label is indicative of the slope of the crossing bands.

tially uniform system (m(x) = m) with eigenstates,

$$|\phi_{k\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v_F^2 k^2 + (E_{k\pm} - m)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_F(k_x - ik_y) \\ E_{k\pm} - m \end{pmatrix}, (E5)$$
$$E_{k\pm} = \pm \sqrt{v_F^2 k^2 + m^2}. \tag{E6}$$

It is convenient to define

$$C_{\pm}(k) = |\phi_{k\pm}\rangle\langle\phi_{k\pm}|, \qquad (E7)$$

where the correlation function in real space is

$$C_{\pm}(\vec{r}) = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} e^{ik_x r_x + ik_y r_y} C_{\pm}(k).$$
(E8)





For any generic state, be it one generated at long times after a quench when dephasing has set in, or a mixed state at finite temperature, we may write,

$$C_{\text{quench}}(\vec{r}) = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{s=\pm} e^{ik_x r_x + ik_y r_y} \rho_s(k) C_s(k) (\text{E9})$$

We will assume the system to be at half-filling. Note that since,  $C_{+}(k) + C_{-}(k) = 1$ , a filled band will give,

$$C_{+}(r) + C_{-}(r) = \int \frac{d^{2}k}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{ik_{x}r_{x} + ik_{y}r_{y}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
  
=  $\delta^{2}(r).$  (E10)

The above corresponds to an unentangled product state with eigenvalues of the correlation matrix being 1.



FIG. 11: (Color online) Edge modes of the quench state for the phase  $P_3$ . A left mover resides on the x = 0 edge and a right mover is localized on the x = 200 edge. The delocalized states correspond to bulk excitations surrounding the edge mode.



FIG. 12: (Color online) Decay of the edge states in the ES shown as the logarithm of the mod-squared of the wavefunction against the distance from the edge. The

states shown correspond to the  $\epsilon = 1/2$  state at  $k_y = \pi/\sqrt{3}$ , the "zero energy" state of the conventional edge mode. Circles -  $P_1$  Floquet state, squares -  $P_1$  quench state, triangles -  $P_3$  Floquet state, crosses -  $P_3$  quench state. Even x are A sites and odd x are B sites so the apparent rapid oscillation is simply due to a different weight on the A and B sublattices. In all cases the decay length is on the order of one lattice site.

It is useful to note that

$$C_{+}(k) - C_{-}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v_{F}^{2}k^{2} + m^{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} m & v_{F}(k_{x} - ik_{y}) \\ v_{F}(k_{x} + ik_{y}) & -m \end{pmatrix}, (E11)$$

is a matrix with eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ . We write  $\rho_{\pm}(k) = 1/2 \pm \delta \rho_k$ , where  $\delta \rho_k = \pm 1/2$  are pure states. Since we are interested in the ES of a semi-infinite geometry (x > 1/2)



FIG. 13: (Color online) Population along  $k_x$ , for  $k_y = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}(1 - .74)$  where for

 $P_2 = \left(A_0 a, \frac{\Omega}{t_h}\right) = (0.5, 5), C = 3$  an edge mode appears in the ES of the Floquet eigenstate, but vanishes in the ES of the true state. Also plotted are the dispersions for the upper and lower Floquet bands. Note that

 $2\delta\rho_k = \rho_{k,\text{down}} - \rho_{k,\text{up}}$  goes through zero precisely at the closest approach of the two bands, as expected of a weak resonant laser.

0), we may study the  $k_y$  Fourier component of C in the translationally invariant y direction,

$$C_{\text{quench}}(x, k_y) = \frac{\delta(x)}{2} + \int \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \frac{\delta\rho_k}{\sqrt{v_F^2 k_x^2 + v_F^2 k_y^2 + m^2}} \times e^{ik_x x} \begin{pmatrix} m & v_F(k_x - ik_y) \\ v_F(k_x + ik_y) & -m \end{pmatrix}.$$
(E12)

Note that the above correlation matrix is  $(1/2) \delta(r)$ added to the Fourier transform of  $\delta \rho_k$  times a matrix with eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ . Therefore in the infinite system limit it has eigenvalues  $1/2 \pm \delta \rho_k$  corresponding to plane waves eigenvectors. In the presence of a boundary we expect some linear combinations of these plane waves to form extended scattering states. The boundary is now determined by the entanglement cut at x = 0, rather than m(x).

To determine the edge states it is convenient to define the integral

$$\int \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \frac{\delta \rho_{k_y,k_x}}{\sqrt{v_F^2 k_x^2 + v_F^2 k_y^2 + m^2}} e^{ik_x x} = I(x,k_y,m) \text{(E13)}$$

Then generically,

$$C_{\text{quench}}(x, k_y) = \frac{\delta(x)}{2} + \left(m\sigma_z + v_F k_y \sigma_y - iv_F \sigma_x \partial_x\right) I(x, k_y, m). \quad (E14)$$

If  $\delta \rho_k \neq 0$  and smoothly varying around  $k_x = 0$ , we may approximate it by it's value at  $k_x = 0$ . This may

then be pulled out of the integral. Then using the fact that

$$\int \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \frac{e^{ik_x x}}{\sqrt{v_F^2 k_x^2 + v_F^2 k_y^2 + m^2}} = \frac{1}{\pi v_F} K_0 \left( \frac{|x| \sqrt{m^2 + v_F^2 k_y^2}}{v_F} \right)$$

and since  $K_0(x)$  decays exponentially away from x = 0, this term can be replaced by a delta-function,  $K_0(|x|) \simeq \pi \delta(x)$ . Thus,

$$C_{\text{quench}}(x, k_y) \simeq \delta(x) \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta \rho_{k_y, k_x=0}}{\sqrt{m^2 + v_F^2 k_y^2}} \left( m\sigma_z + v_F k_y \sigma_y + iv_F \sigma_x \partial_x \right) \right] \text{(E15)}$$

up to terms which are higher order in derivatives. Note that if  $\delta \rho_{k_y,k_x=0} = 0$  the second term vanishes and C is controlled by the higher order terms which will not generically have edge states.

The entanglement eigenvalues are determined by solving

$$\int dx' C(x, x'; k_y) \Psi_{k_y}(x') = \epsilon_{k_y} \Psi(x).$$
 (E16)

This implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta \rho_{k_y,k_x=0}}{\sqrt{m^2 + v_F^2 k_y^2}} \left( m\sigma_z + v_F k_y \sigma_y + iv_F \sigma_x \partial_x \right) \end{bmatrix} \Psi_{k_y}(x)$$

$$= \epsilon_{k_y} \Psi_{k_y}(x).$$
(E17)

This is solved by the same ansatz as for the usual physical edge mode of the Dirac Hamiltonian,  $\Psi_{k_y}(x) = \theta(x)e^{-\frac{mx}{v_F}}\chi$  where,  $\sigma_y\chi = -\chi$  and the step function to explicitly impose the boundary conditions. The entanglement eigenvalues are,

$$\epsilon_{k_y} = \frac{1}{2} - \delta \rho_{k_y, k_x=0} \frac{v_F k_y}{\sqrt{m^2 + v_F^2 k_y^2}}.$$
 (E18)

In particular this shows that the edge state with  $\epsilon = 1/2$  exists at  $k_y = 0$ , and disperses linearly with  $k_y$  about this point.

Now we return to the assumption we made that  $\delta \rho_k \neq 0$ . If  $\delta \rho_k = 0$  were to hold at some point in  $k_x$ , then there would be an extended state with  $\epsilon_{k_y} = 1/2$ . As this extended state, and edge mode, both have equal  $k_y$  momentum, and the same eigenvalue under C, we expect them to mix. Therefore in this case expanding around  $k_x = 0$  is not valid, the argument above does not hold, and we do not expect edge states.

While this analytic argument was shown for the Dirac model, and the Floquet Chern insulator is far more complicated, especially for large Chern numbers, yet we find that the same principles apply. For example Figure 13 shows how  $\rho_{k_x,k_y^*}$  varies along  $k_x$  for the  $P_2$  phase. We have chosen  $k_y^* = \pi(1-0.74)/\sqrt{3}$  which coincide with the edge mode in the ES of the Floquet eigenstate that is located to the left of the central edge mode (see Figure 1 (b) in main text). This particular edge mode is absent in the

- <sup>1</sup> T. Oka and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 081406 (2009).
- <sup>2</sup> J. Inoue and A. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 017401 (2010).
- <sup>3</sup> T. Kitagawa, T. Oka, A. Brataas, L. Fu, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235108 (2011).
- <sup>4</sup> N. H. Lindner, G. Refael, and V. Galitski, Nature Physics 7, 490 (2011).
- <sup>5</sup> A. Kundu, H. A. Fertig, and B. Seradjeh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 236803 (2014).
- <sup>6</sup> L. E. F. F. Torres, P. M. Perez-Piskunow, C. A. Balseiro, and G. Usaj, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 266801 (2014).
- <sup>7</sup> H. Dehghani, T. Oka, and A. Mitra, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 155422 (2015).
- <sup>8</sup> G. Jotzu, M. Messer, R. Desbuquois, M. Lebrat, T. Uehlinger, D. Greif, and T. Esslinger, Nature **515**, 237 (2014).
- <sup>9</sup> M. Rechtsman, J. Zeuner, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, D. Podolsky, F. Dreisow, S. Nolte, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, Nature (London) **496**, 196 (2013).
- <sup>10</sup> M. S. Rudner, N. H. Lindner, E. Berg, and M. Levin, Phys. Rev. X 3, 031005 (2013).
- <sup>11</sup> R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 5632 (1981).
- <sup>12</sup> H. Li and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 010504 (2008).
- <sup>13</sup> U. Schollwock, Annals of Physics **326**, 96 (2011), january 2011 Special Issue.
- <sup>14</sup> J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 277 (2010).
- <sup>15</sup> M. Friesdorf, A. H. Werner, W. Brown, V. B. Scholz, and J. Eisert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 170505 (2015).
- <sup>16</sup> H. Casini and M. Huerta, Nuclear Physics B **764**, 183 (2007).

true wavefunction. The reason now is clear. Figure 13 shows that  $\delta \rho_{k_x,k_y^*}$  vanishes at two points in  $k_x$ , implying extended states with the same energy as the edge state. These extended and edge states can now mix, resulting in the absence of the edge state at  $k_y^*$ . It is interesting to note that the resonant laser results in a rather precise location of the vanishing of  $\delta \rho_k$  relative to the avoided band crossings of the upper and lower Floquet bands.

- <sup>17</sup> M. A. Metlitski, C. A. Fuertes, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 80, 115122 (2009).
- <sup>18</sup> M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110405 (2006).
- <sup>19</sup> A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110404 (2006).
- <sup>20</sup> G. B. Halász and A. Hamma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 170605 (2013).
- <sup>21</sup> Y. Lemonik and A. Mitra, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 024306 (2016).
- <sup>22</sup> L. Fidkowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 130502 (2010).
- <sup>23</sup> L. Privitera and G. E. Santoro, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 241406 (2016).
- <sup>24</sup> L. D'Alessio and M. Rigol, Nature Comunications 6, 8336 (2015).
- <sup>25</sup> H. Dehghani and A. Mitra, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 165111 (2015).
- <sup>26</sup> H. Dehghani, T. Oka, and A. Mitra, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 195429 (2014).
- <sup>28</sup> A. Lazarides, A. Das, and R. Moessner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 030402 (2015).
- <sup>29</sup> P. Ponte, Z. Papić, F. m. c. Huveneers, and D. A. Abanin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 140401 (2015).
- <sup>30</sup> L. D'Alessio and M. Rigol, Phys. Rev. X 4, 041048 (2014).
- <sup>31</sup> I. Peschel and V. Eisler, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 42, 504003 (2009).
- <sup>32</sup> B. Zeng, X. Chen, D. L. Zhou, and X. Wen, Quantum Information Meets Quantum Matter–From Quantum Entanglement to Topological Phase in Many-Body Systems, arXiv:1508.02595 (2015).
- <sup>33</sup> A. Alvermann, H. Fehske, and P. B. Littlewood, New Journal of Physics 14, 105008 (2012).