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The complex magnetism and transport properties of tetragonal Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ were examined through neutron scattering and physical properties measurements on polycrystalline samples. The lamellar structure consists of alternating layers of CeCu$_4$As$_4$ with a single square Ce lattice and Ce$_2$O$_2$ bi-layers. Peaks in the specific heat at the Neél temperature $T_N = 24$ K, $T_2 = 16$ K and $T_3 = 1.9$ K indicate three magnetic Phase transitions or distinct cross-over phenomena. For $T < T_N$ neutron diffraction indicates the development of ferromagnetic $ab$ sheets for both Ce sites, with alternating polarization along $c$, and a wave vector $k_1 = c^*$, and $k_2$. For $T < T_2$, quasi-two-dimensional low-energy spin fluctuations with $k_3 = \frac{1}{2}a^*$ and polarized perpendicular to $k_2$ are suppressed. The data are consistent with quasi-two-dimensional antiferromagnetic order in the CeCu$_4$As$_4$ planes polarized along the $k_2$ wave vector. $T_3$ marks a spin-flop transition where the $k_1$ staggered magnetization switches to in-plane polarization. There are significant transport anomalies associated with the transitions, in particular a substantial reduction in resistivity for $T < T_N$. At $T = 100$ mK the magnetic correlation length exceeds 75 Å and the $k_1$ modulated staggered moment is 0.85 $\mu_B$, which matches the 0.8 $\mu_B$ saturation magnetization achieved for $\mu_B$ = 7 T at $T = 2$ K. We trace the unusual sequence of magnetic transitions to competing interactions and anisotropies in the alternating quasi-two-dimensional magnetic layers.

PACS numbers: 75.30.Mb,72.15.Eb,75.25.-j

I. INTRODUCTION

As spin degeneracy is lifted through crystal field and exchange interactions, intermetallic compounds containing rare earth ions display intricate thermodynamic, magnetic, and transport anomalies. In the Kondo effect dilute rare earth impurities in a metal give rise to a minimum in the resistivity due to resonant electron scattering from the impurity spin.$^{1,8}$ When the rare-earth ions form a full crystalline lattice and when hybridization with more dispersive bands is sufficiently strong, a full band gap can open in so-called Kondo insulators which may support topologically protected surface states$^{2-4}$. The Kondo lattice regime between these limits is defined by an intricate balance between inter-site super-exchange and intra-site Kondo screening$^{8}$. When the former interactions prevail, magnetic order occurs concomitant with transport anomalies, while the ground state in the latter case is a heavy Fermi Liquid (FL). At the quantum critical point (QCP) where these Phases meet, there are non-Fermi-Liquid (NFL) characteristics and in some cases superconductivity$^{7,6}$.

The search for materials to expose this strongly correlated regime recently led to the discovery of a family of rare earth bearing quasi-two-dimensional metals of the form R$_3$T$_4$As$_4$O$_{2-\delta}$ that is structurally related to the iron superconductors. Here R indicates a rare earth ion and T a transition metal ion. While T appears to be non-magnetic in this structure, it strongly influences the sequence of transitions so there are three transitions for Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ but just a single low temperature transition for Ce$_3$Ni$_4$As$_4$O$_2$. The presence of two distinct rare earth sites appears to underlie the complicated magnetic and transport properties of these materials. Focusing on Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$, we seek in this paper to understand the physics underlying the previously reported sequence of Phase transitions in this class of materials. While the absence of single crystalline samples limits the specificity of our conclusions, the combination of thermodynamic, transport and neutron scattering data that we shall report, as well as ab-initio band structure calculations, provides a first atomic scale view of the intricate electronic properties of these materials. It is also apparent however, that the complexity of the material is such that a full understanding will require much more detailed experiment that can only be carried out on single crystalline samples.

So far our experiments indicate that incompatible in-plane magnetic interactions and magnetic anisotropies produce separate as well as coordinated magnetic Phase transitions reminiscent of Phase transitions in magnetic multilayer thin-film structures. The strongly anisotropic

$^*$These authors contributed equally to the project.
nature of the cerium spins and/or their interactions also plays an important role by allowing for a thermodynamic Phase transition for an isolated 2D layer. The highest temperature transition at $T_N = 24$ K is to an antiferromagnetic (AFM) stacking of ferromagnetically (FM) aligned tetragonal layers of spins oriented along the c axis, with characteristic magnetic wave vector $k_1 = 1.0(2)e^*$. This order is predominantly associated with the Ce$_2$O$_2$ layers. The $T_2 = 16$ K transition is associated with the loss of low energy spin fluctuations in the square sublattice CeCu$_4$As$_4$. While we do not have direct diffraction evidence for this, the nature of the paramagnetic spin fluctuations that are suppressed and the fact that we do not detect additional diffraction for $T < T_2$ indicate the spin structure in CeCu$_4$As$_4$ layers for $T < T_2$ has a characteristic wave vector $k_2 = 0.50(2)a^*$ with spins oriented along $k_2$. Such longitudinally striped structures are also found among iron spins in the parent compounds of iron superconductors$^{10,11}$. The lowest temperature transition at $T_3 = 1.9$ K is associated with the development of a component of the $k_1$-type magnetic order polarized within the basal plane. Indeed the data is consistent with the rotation of the entire polarization of Ce$_2$O$_2$ layers into the basal plane and perpendicular to an anisotropic striped AFM of the CeCu$_4$As$_4$ layers. The paper thus exposes an intricate interplay between distinct forms of rare earth magnetism in the two different layers that make up Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$.

After the methods section, our experimental results and initial observations are presented in section III followed by analysis in section IV. Section V draws together a physical picture of Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ and in the concluding section VI we put the results into the broader context of the $R_3T_4$As$_4$O$_2$ family of compounds and rare earth based strongly correlated electron systems in general.

II. METHODS

A. Synthesis and bulk measurements

Polycrystalline Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ was synthesized using a previously described solid state method$^9$. The sample employed for neutron scattering was a loose powder with a total mass of 5.8 g.

Magnetization measurements for temperatures between 2 K and 300 K were performed in a Quantum Design (QD) Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS)$^{20}$ in magnetic fields up to 7 T. Specific heat measurements were performed in a QD Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS)$^{20}$, using an adiabatic relaxation method for temperature down to 0.4 K and fields up to 9 T. DC electrical resistivity was measured on dense samples in the QD PPMS$^{20}$, using a standard four point contact method.

B. Neutron scattering

All neutron scattering experiments were conducted on instrumentation at the NIST Center for Neutron Research. For determination of the chemical structure powder diffraction data was acquired on BT1 using the Ge(311) monochromator $\lambda = 1.5398$ A, with 60$'$ in-pile collimation and the standard 20$'$ and 7$'$ collimation before and after the sample respectively. For this measurement the powder sample was held in a thin walled vanadium can with $^4$He as exchange gas and the sample was cooled by a closed cycle system. Rietveld refinement of the BT1 data was carried out using the General Structure Analysis System (GSAS)$^{14}$.

To detect magnetic diffraction from the relatively small moment magnetism of Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$, high intensity diffraction data were acquired for temperatures between 50 mK and 45 K on the Multi-Axis Crystal Spectrometer (MACS) at NIST$^{15}$. For these long wave length measurements the powder was held in a thin-walled aluminum can with $^4$He as exchange gas. A total of three different MACS experiments employing as many cryogenic systems contributed to the paper: In May 2013 we used an "Orange" $^4$He flow cryostat with access to temperatures from 1.5 K to 50 K. In November 2013 we used an Oxford Instruments dilution fridge with an 11.5 T magnet for the temperature range from 0.05 K to 14 K. Finally in May 2014 a dilution insert with access to temperatures from 0.1 K to 40 K was employed.

The incident and final neutron energies on MACS were defined by PG(002) monochromatization to be 5 meV. The full double focusing configuration of the MACS monochromator was used for temperature scans while higher resolution data were collected with the monochromator set for vertical focusing only and the beam width limited to 10 cm at the pre-monochromator beam aperture. The horizontal angular divergence of the incident neutron beam was 3.8$'$ and 0.6$'$ for the doubly and singly focused configurations respectively.

A second set of energy integrating detectors on MACS were utilized in this experiment. These "two-axis" detectors are behind the PG(002) double bounce analyzers and detect scattered neutrons without energy discrimination. With an incident energy $E_i = 5$ meV and a beryllium filter between the powder sample and the analyzer the measured quantity can be written as follows$^{16}$:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = r^2 \int_0^{E_i} \sqrt{1 - \frac{h\omega}{E_i}} \frac{d}{E_i} F(Q\omega) F(Q\omega) \frac{d}{\omega} S(Q,\omega) \hbar h\omega$$

Here $Q = |k_i - k_f|$, $k_i$ and $k_f$ being the wave vectors of incident and scattered neutrons respectively, and $S(Q,\omega)$ is the spherically averaged dynamic correlation function defined so as to include elastic scattering.

For the ultimate sensitivity to weak temperature-dependent scattering we plot and analyze temperature difference intensity data from the spectroscopic detectors on MACS. While this
removes \( T \)-independent scattering on average, thermal expansion produces peak derivative line shapes in place of nuclear Bragg peaks. These features can be accounted for quantitatively based on thermal expansion coefficients and a Rietveld refinement\(^7\) of the nuclear diffraction data as follows.

Using angular variables as is customary for monochromatic beam diffraction data, the Rietveld refined nuclear diffraction pattern is written as follows:

\[
\mathcal{I}(\theta) = C \sum_{\tau} \frac{I(\tau)}{2\sigma(\tau)} \frac{1}{g \left( \frac{\theta - \Theta(\tau)}{\sigma(\tau)} \right)}.
\]

Here \( C \) is the ratio between the experimental count rate and the macroscopic scattering cross section of the sample, \( 2\theta \) is the scattering angle, \( \tau \) indicates distinct reciprocal lattice vectors, \( 2\sigma(\tau) \) is the standard deviation for \( 2\theta \) near the Bragg peak at scattering angle \( 2\theta(\tau) \), and \( g(x) = \exp(-x^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi} \) is a unity normalized gaussian distribution. \( I(\tau) \) is the \( 2\theta \)-integrated powder cross section for \( |\tau| = \tau \), which can be expressed as:

\[
I(\tau) = \frac{4\pi^2 \tan \Theta}{v_0^3 \tau^3} N \sum_{|\tau| = \tau} |F(\tau)|^2.
\]

Here \( v_0 \) is the unit cell volume, \( N \) is the number of unit cells in the sample, and \( F(\tau) \) is the unit cell structure factor including Debye Waller factors.

Thermal expansion gives rise to a small shift in the scattering angle that can be written as follows:

\[
\Delta \Theta = \frac{\Delta \tau}{\tau} \tan \Theta,
\]

Neglecting changes in the intensity of nuclear Bragg peaks, the resulting difference intensity is given by

\[
\Delta \mathcal{I}(\theta) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}(\theta)}{\partial \Theta} \Delta \Theta = C \sum_{\tau} \frac{I(\tau)}{2\sigma(\tau)} g \left( \frac{\theta - \Theta(\tau)}{\sigma(\tau)} \right)
\times \left( \frac{1}{\sigma(\tau)} \right) \frac{\Delta \tau}{\tau} \tan \Theta(\tau).
\]

Here \( g'(x) = -xg(x) \). In general we have

\[
\frac{\Delta \tau}{\tau} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \ln \tau_i}{\partial a_i} \Delta a_i,
\]

where the summation is over all temperature dependent lattice parameters including angular variables. In the present case of persistent tetragonal symmetry we have

\[
\frac{\Delta \tau}{\tau} = - \left[ (1 - (\hat{\tau}_\parallel)^2) \frac{\Delta a}{a} + (\hat{\tau}_\parallel)^2 \frac{\Delta c}{c} \right]
\]

where \( \hat{\tau}_\parallel \) is the projection of the unit vector \( \hat{\tau} \) on the tetragonal \( c \)-axis. Having determined \( \sigma(\tau) \) and \( I(\tau) \) from Rietveld refinement in the paramagnetic Phase, we then Rietveld refined the difference between the low and high \( T \) data with a functional consisting of the magnetic diffraction profile plus Eq. 6 allowing only for adjustable thermal expansion coefficients \( \Delta a/a \) and \( \Delta c/c \) (Eq. 8). The magnetic diffraction profile was developed using representation analysis as implemented in SARAh\(^18\) and FULLPROF\(^19\).

**C. Electronic Structure**

Band structure calculations were performed using the full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FP-LAPW) method implemented in the WIEN2K package\(^21\). The Perdew, Burke, Ernzerhof version of the generalized gradient approximation\(^22\) (PBE-GGA) was used for the exchange correlation potential and an onsite Coulomb repulsion of 5 eV was added to Ce sites to approximately account for electronic correlations in the narrow 4f bands\(^23,24\). Because the Ce states do not contribute to the electronic states at the Fermi level, spin-orbit coupling was not included in the calculation. The lattice parameters and atomic positions used for the calculation were taken from the neutron diffraction refinement at \( T = 300 \) K and are listed in Table I.

**III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

**A. Chemical Structure**

The low temperature \( (T = 5 \) K) crystal structure was determined from the Rietveld refinement of the
FIG. 2: (a) Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ crystal structure with space group $I 4/mmm$. (b) Spin structures proposed for the magnetic Phases I, II and III. In frame (b), the arrows represent the spin direction and relative length. The spin structures for Phase I and III can be determined directly from neutron diffraction Bragg intensities, while that for Phase II is proposed based on the $T$- and $Q$-dependence of inelastic magnetic scattering. More details are referred to the section IV A 2.

The room temperature lattice constants are $a = 4.07733(10)$ Å and $c = 27.4146(9)$ Å.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>$U_{iso}$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce1</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0088(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce2</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0088(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>8g</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0975(5)</td>
<td>0.0133(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As1</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1459(1)</td>
<td>0.0067(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As2</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0.0454(2)</td>
<td>0.0067(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>0.0051(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: $T = 4.5$ K Atomic positions for Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ in space group $I 4/mmm$ determined by the Rietveld analysis$^{14}$ of powder diffraction data. The corresponding reduced chi-square measure of goodness of fit is $\chi^2 = 3.4$. The corresponding lattice constants are $a = 4.06344(9)$ Å and $c = 27.3369(7)$ Å.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>$U_{iso}$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce1</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0029(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce2</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0029(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>8g</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0973(4)</td>
<td>0.0030(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As1</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1462(7)</td>
<td>0.0002(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As2</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0.04504(7)</td>
<td>0.0002(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>0.0007(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the Ce$_2$O$_2$ layer, a $45^\circ$ rotated square lattice of O with lattice parameter $a/\sqrt{2}$ is sandwiched by square lattices of Ce with lattice parameter $a$, such that half of the squares of the O lattice have Ce above and the other half have Ce below. This structural element is also found in the 1111 type Fe superconductors and specifically in CeFeAsO$^{10}$ and CeNiAsO$^{31}$.

B. Magnetic Neutron Scattering

1. Temperature dependence

Three peaks in the specific heat of polycrystalline Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ were previously reported and associated with magnetic transitions$^9$. In order of decreasing temperature, we shall denote the corresponding Phases by I ($T_2 < T < T_N$), II ($T_3 < T < T_2$), and III ($T < T_3$) respectively. In search for the associated atomic scale magnetic correlations, we acquired neutron powder neutron diffraction data ($\chi^2 = 2.7$) shown in Fig. 1. A single Phase fit can account for the data with space group $I 4/mmm$. Powder X-ray diffraction data had indicated small oxygen deficiency in most reported $R_3T_4As_4O_{2-\delta}$ compounds ($T = Ni$ or Cu)$^9$. However, the inset to Fig. 1 shows the refinement of the oxygen stoichiometry in Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4O_{2-\delta}$ based on the present neutron data, which yields the best refinement (lowest $\chi^2$) for $\delta = 0.00(5)$ and therefore indicates the compound is stoichiometric. No magnetic diffraction is visible in the BT1 data. This is however not inconsistent with the MACS data where the strongest magnetic peak at $T = 5$ K has an integrated intensity of just 0.4% of the strongest nuclear peak. The magnetic peaks are too weak to be detected by BT1. A summary of the structural information is provided in table I and II.

Illustrated in Fig. 2(a), the structure of Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ consists of alternating layers of CeCu$_4$As$_4$ (blue box) and Ce$_2$O$_2$ (red box). In CeCu$_4$As$_4$, Ce atoms lie at the vertices of a simple square lattice sandwiched between Cu$_2$As$_2$ layers. This structural unit is found in the ThCr$_2$Si$_2$ structure, which is familiar from 122 iron superconductors such as BaFe$_2$As$_2$ where Ba occupies the site equivalent to Ce in the CeCu$_4$As$_4$ layer$^{10}$. There are also a number of heavy fermion systems with a magnetic ion on this site including CeT$_2$Si$_2$,$^{26-29}$ (T=Pd, Ru, Rh, Cu) and URu$_2$Si$_2$.$^{25}$

In the Ce$_2$O$_2$ layer, a $45^\circ$ rotated square lattice of O with lattice parameter $a/\sqrt{2}$ is sandwiched by square lattices of Ce with lattice parameter $a$, such that half of the squares of the O lattice have Ce above and the other half have Ce below. This structural element is also found in the 1111 type Fe superconductors and specifically in CeFeAsO$^{10}$ and CeNiAsO$^{31}$.
FIG. 3: Color image of (a) elastic and (b) energy integrated neutron scattering versus temperature and wave vector. The data were acquired on the MACS instrument at NIST using the spectroscopic and energy integrating detectors respectively. Corresponding data sets acquired at $T = 32$ K were subtracted from each to expose small temperature dependent effects. The incident neutron energy was 5 meV. Gray vertical regions indicate temperature intervals within 5% of the peak maxima in the magnetic specific heat. Horizontal dashes black lines indicate the locations of allowed nuclear Bragg peaks. (c) Temperature dependence of the average scattering intensity in select ranges of wave vector transfer indicated by dashed white lines in (a) and (b). Black symbols are from the data presented in frame (b). Red and blue symbols are from (a). In all the figures where data are from neutron scattering experiments, the error bars indicate one standard deviation.

diffraction data for temperatures between 1.5 K ($< T_3$) and 40 K ($> T_N$) using a high intensity configuration of the MACS spectrometer. For sensitivity to weak temperature dependent scattering we subtract a high statistics data set acquired at $T = 32$ K and plot the difference data as a color image in Fig. 3. The MACS instrument offers simultaneous energy resolved data and final energy integrated data shown respectively in frames Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b). Coincident with each of the transition regimes inferred from specific heat data (vertical grey regions) are anomalies in the temperature dependent neutron scattering data. Fig. 3(c) provides a detailed view of the temperature dependent intensity averaged over relevant ranges of wave vector transfer.

Below $T_N$ we observe the development of Bragg diffraction for $1.5 \, \text{Å}^{-1} < Q < 1.9 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$ in Fig. 3(a). In being associated with a net increase in intensity, these features in the “thermo-diffractogram” are distinguished from the effects of thermal expansion, which produce matched minima and maxima surrounding each nuclear Bragg peak (horizontal dashed lines).

Fig. 3(b) and Fig. 3(c) (black symbols and line) show a distinct reduction in inelastic scattering for temperatures below the characteristic temperature $T_2$ extracted from bulk properties. This observation was reproduced in experiments using both a flow cryostat and a dilution fridge, and the temperature and momentum regimes are inconsistent with contributions from cryogenic fluids such as nitrogen or helium. We associate this feature with a reduction in inelastic magnetic scattering for $T < T_2$ and $\hbar \omega < 5$ meV. The total scattering sum-rule requires that reduced inelastic scattering appears elsewhere in $S(Q \omega)$. One option is a shift of all the lost spectral weight beyond the 5 meV cut-off of the experiment (see Eq. 1). Alternatively a reduction in inelastic scattering can be associated with the development of elastic scattering and static correlations. In that case a possible explanation for the absence of elastic magnetic scattering associated with $T_2$ (see Fig. 3(a)) is that the associated component in $S(Q \omega)$ is extinguished or severely weakened by the so-called polarization factor, which removes scattering associated with spin components parallel to wave vector transfer. This would be the case for a modulated in-plane spin structure in Phase II where the staggered moment is oriented along the characteristic wave vector of the magnetic structure.

The clearest anomaly in scattering is associated with the lowest temperature transition. The temperature regime for $T < T_3$ (Phase III) is detailed in Fig. 4. Phase III is associated with the development of strong Bragg peaks and we shall argue that only in this Phase is the spin direction perpendicular to the direction of modulation so the polarization factor does not extinguish the low $Q$ peaks. Fig. 4(b) shows the integrated intensity, that develops in an order parameter like fashion for $T < T_3$ and Fig. 4(c) shows the half width at half maximum of the Lorentzian fit (inset to Fig. 4(c)). After correcting for resolution effects the low T limit corresponds to a correlation length above 75 Å.

While we have identified distinct anomalies in the $T$ dependence of neutron scattering at each transition, all except perhaps those associated with $T_3$ are unusually broad in temperature compared to a standard second order Phase transition observed through diffraction with a cold neutron beam. This mirrors the specific heat anomalies and suggests we are dealing with cross-over phenomena rather than critical Phase transitions, which could result from disorder.
FIG. 4: (a) Image of elastic neutron scattering versus temperature and wave vector transfer in the low temperature regime. Data collected at $T = 15$ K has been subtracted to remove scattering intensities of nuclear Bragg peaks. (b) Intensity and (c) inverse correlation length versus temperature extracted from fits of a Lorentzian convoluted with a Gaussian of the resolution width (horizontal bar within inset) to the most intense magnetic peak. Such a fit to the $T = 30$ mK data is shown in the inset to (c).

2. Diffraction in each Phase

To elucidate the nature of each magnetic Phase, we isolate the $Q$ dependence of the associated scattering by subtracting data collected for $T > T_N$ from high statistics data acquired in each Phase.

For Phase I the difference pattern is shown in Fig. 5 (a). Apart from the characteristic zig-zag anomalies associated with thermal expansion (see section II. B), two distinct Bragg peaks are associated with Phase I. A detailed view of these peaks is provided in Fig. 6. Discounting peak derivative line shapes indicated with the dashed lines described by Eq. 6, we identify two peaks at $Q = 1.57$ Å$^{-1}$ and $Q = 1.63$ Å$^{-1}$ (shadowed in bright green lines) whose intensities are less than 1% of nuclear peaks. Nearby there are no strong nuclear peaks so it is possible to obtain reliable magnetic diffraction. The figure shows the peaks are resolution limited and this sets a low temperature limit of 75 Å on the correlation length associated with translational symmetry breaking below $T_N$. The position of the peaks is indicated by the two vertical hatched regions in Fig. 7 for $Q > 1.5$ Å$^{-1}$. Assuming that a single propagation vector is associated with Phase I, Fig. 7 shows this must be $k_1 = (0, 0, 1.0(2))$. The absence of magnetic Bragg peaks of the form $(0, 0, 2n + 1)$, where $n$ is an integer, indicates these peaks are extinguished by the polarization factor and so calls for spins polarization along $c$.

The diffraction pattern for Phase II is shown in Fig 5 (b) with the detail in Fig. 6 (b). Surprisingly there is virtually no change in the position or widths of magnetic
FIG. 6: Detailed Q-dependence of neutron scattering data characterizing the magnetic order in (a) Phase I ($16K < T < 24K$) and (b) Phase II ($2K < T < 16K$). A background data set acquired at $T = 40$ K was subtracted to expose temperature dependent features associated with the Phase transitions. The lines through the data include the peak derivative features associated with thermal expansion (Eq. 6 and the calculated magnetic diffraction associated with the structures proposed in Fig. 2).

Bragg scattering between Phase I and Phase II. The black symbols in Fig. 3(c) however, show that Phase II is associated with the loss of inelastic scattering. As discussed above, the reduced inelastic scattering intensity in Fig. 4 (b) for $T < T_2$ may result from shifting beyond the 5 meV cut-off, and/or static correlations and the associated Bragg diffraction may develop in Phase II but be too weak for us to detect. Since the characteristic temperature $T_2$ is consistent with the second specific heat anomaly, we shall associate the corresponding static spin structure that we propose in the analysis section with Phase II. To better understand the dynamic correlations that are lost in Phase II, Fig. 8 shows the $Q$—dependence of the energy integrated scattering in Phase I, which is lost upon cooling into Phase II. It takes the form of asymmetric peaks, which, in the direction of increasing $Q$, rise more abruptly than they decay. This Warren-like line shape is a clear indication of low dimensional correlations. We shall later show the correlations to be quasi-two-dimensional. In that case rods of scattering extend perpendicular to the plane of long range correlations. The sharp leading edge is associated with these rods becoming tangents to the Ewald sphere and the long trailing tail results from the fraction of the Ewald sphere pierced by that rod decreasing in inverse proportion to the area of the sphere.

From Fig. 8 we see that the characteristic wave vector of the rod is $0.8 \AA^{-1}$. The proximity of this number to $a^* / 2 = 0.77 \AA^{-1}$ indicates the spin fluctuations that vanish in Phase II are associated with unit cell doubling in the basal plane. Possible explanations for the absence of a corresponding magnetic diffraction peak in Fig. 6 (c) are (1) that a competing instability prevails so that static long range AFM correlations of the $k_2 = a^*/2$...
variety never materialize and (2) that a polarization factor extinguishes the magnetic Bragg peaks associated with this order in the low Q regime where the present experiment has sufficient sensitivity to detect them.

As shown in Fig. 5 (c), the strongest magnetic diffraction peaks are associated with Phase III. Fig. 7 shows that all peaks observed in Phase III can be accounted for by a wave vector \( \mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{c}^* \) or equivalently \( \mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{a}^* \). The appearance of Bragg peaks of the form \((00, 2n+1)\) in Phase III implies there are spin components within the basal plane and a spin-flop transition between Phases II and III. As shown in section IV, the magnetic peaks are slightly broader than the nuclear peaks even at 30 mK indicating an element of disorder in the magnetic structure or strong thermal diffuse magnetic scattering with a length scale shorter than the coherence length of the chemical structure. Upon heating, the intensity of the magnetic peaks decreases precipitously above 2 K, though a broad feature remains at all magnetic Bragg positions up to \( T = 2.6 \) K. This indicates dynamic diffuse scattering near the critical temperature of the spin-flop transition.

![Diagram](image.png)

**FIG. 8:** \( \tilde{Q} \)-dependence difference intensity \( \Delta I = I(20K) - I(10K) \) from the energy integrating detectors on the MACS spectrometer. The data is plotted as a function of wave vector transfer \( \tilde{Q}(\theta, \omega) \) for energy transfer \( \langle h\omega \rangle = 1.2(3) \) meV inferred from the fitting analysis (see section IV). The upper horizontal axis shows wave vector transfer for elastic scattering. The solid blue line is a Warren-like line shape for quasi-two-dimensional AFM correlations with characteristic wave vector \( \mathbf{k}_2 = (1/2, 0, 0) \) and in-plane dynamic correlation length \( \xi = 8.2(6) \) \( \AA \). The dashed line is a \( \propto Q^2 \) background ascribed to the difference in the Debye Waller factor for incoherent scattering at the two temperatures involved. The inset shows the pattern of quasi-two-dimensional fluctuation associated with \( \mathbf{k}_2 \), with black and grey spots representing antiparallel spins. The proposed ordered state for Phase II has spins parallel to \( \mathbf{k}_2 \) (Fig. 2(b)).
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**FIG. 9:** (a) ZFC (solid lines) and FC (dotted lines) temperature-dependent \( M/H \) measured in applied magnetic fields up to \( \mu_0 H = 7 \) T. (b) The temperature derivatives of \( MH/T \) for different magnetic fields. The three transition temperatures previously inferred from specific heat data are marked by vertical arrows for \( \mu_0 H = 0 \).

### C. Physical properties

#### 1. Magnetization

The magnetization versus temperature was measured in different fields and the corresponding data are shown in Fig. 9(a). All magnetization measurements were conducted on polycrystalline samples, so the data represent the spherical average of the longitudinal response to the magnetic field \( \mu_0 H \).

For temperatures below \( \sim 30 \) K, bifurcation between zero field cooled (ZFC) (solid lines) and field cooled (FC) (dashed lines) magnetization data occurs for fields up to \( \mu_0 H = 0.4 \) T. To identify potential magnetic transitions, Fig. 9(b) shows \( H^{-1}d(MH)/dT \), for which peaks are expected at magnetic Phase transitions. Indeed, anomalies marked by vertical arrows occur near each of the transitions previously identified in specific heat data. As the field increases, \( H^{-1}d(MH)/dT \) data (Fig. 9(b)) indicate that the lowest transition temperature \( T_3 \) increases and reaches \( \approx 7 \) K for \( \mu_0 H = 7 \) T, while the upper two transitions at \( T_N \) and \( T_2 \) are largely unaffected by \( \mu_0 H < 7 \) T.
2. Resistivity

The field dependence of the resistivity measured on a dense polycrystalline sample is shown in Fig. 10. The resistivity increases upon cooling below room temperature, reaching a maximum at $T \approx 150$ K. The increase is typically associated with the single ion Kondo effect, with the maximum signaling the onset of inter-site coherence. Below 150 K, poor metallic behavior is observed, as the resistivity decreases with temperature, albeit with large residual resistivity values $\rho > 10$ m$\Omega$cm. As the temperature decreases below 50 K, a difference between $\mu_0 H = 0$ (full symbols) and $\mu_0 H = 9$ T (open symbols) data develops, well above the upper transition temperature $T_N$ inferred from specific heat data. Notably however, the magnetoresistance reaches a maximum near $T_3$, as shown below. The inset of Fig. 10 shows the low temperature resistivity measured in different magnetic fields up to $\mu_0 H = 9$ T. The resistivity decreases monotonically with increasing magnetic field. For $\mu_0 H = 0$, a broad peak is observed near the anomalies in specific heat and neutron diffraction, which shifts to higher temperature with increasing field. In Phase III, Fermi liquid (FL) behavior is evidenced by the quadratic temperature dependence of the resistivity (Fig. 11a) up to $H \approx 3$ T. This behavior is reminiscent of a Kondo lattice system where the competition between RKKY interactions and Kondo screening gives rise to a peak around the coherence temperature $T_{coh}$ and FL behavior below $T_N$. For fields beyond $\mu_0 H \approx 2.5 - 3$ T however, a finite-T minimum in the resistivity develops, followed by a logarithmic increase of the resistivity for temperatures below $\approx 1$ K as apparent in the semi-log plot in Fig. 11 (b). The $\rho \propto \log T$ behavior persists up to a temperature $T^*$ that increases with magnetic field.

The origin of the logarithmic temperature dependence of resistivity in Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ is not clear: either the formation of Kondo singlet weak Anderson localization in a 2D system could lead to $\rho(T) \propto \log T$ behavior, however neither phenomenon is relevant for Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$. For the former scenario, a magnetic field breaks up the Kondo singlet, which is inconsistent with the observation that the logarithmic temperature dependence extends over a larger T-regime for higher magnetic fields. In the latter scenario, the magnetic field may tune the localization-delocalization transition. The upturn in resistivity could be associated with weak localization. However, localization should increase the resistivity beyond that of the delocalized state. Field induced localization is thus not consistent with the observation of negative magnetoresistance in Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$.

A complication in the interpretation of these data is that the polycrystalline nature of the sample implies the transport measurement is an average over all possible field and current directions with $H \parallel J$ in the tetragonal structure. For an anisotropic magnetic material such as Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ the high field low $T$ behavior could therefore be uncharacteristic and dominated by specific field directions.

The magnetoresistance is shown in Fig. 12 for Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ (symbols) and the non-magnetic analogue La$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ (line). For the La compound, the magnetoresistance is positive and small (less than 5%), as expected for a normal metal where the Lorentz force introduces electron scattering. For the Ce compound, the $T = 2$ K magnetoresistance is negative and reaches $-30\%$ at $\mu_0 H = 9$ T. This suggests that the magnetism associated with the Ce$^{3+}$ ions is responsible for the large magnetoresistance in Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$.

The magnetoresistance for Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ is largest at $T = 2$ K, close to $T_3$. Similar large negative magnetoresistance was also observed in the Kondo lattice systems Ce$_2$Ni$_3$Ge$_5$, CeB$_6$ and CeRhSn$_2$. Above the magnetic ordering temperature, an important contribution to the resistivity is Kondo scattering.
of conduction electrons off local moments. By suppressing Kondo scattering the applied field may induce negative magnetoresistance. This negative magnetoresistance reaches a maximum at the magnetic ordering temperature where the magnetic fluctuations dominate all other contributions to the resistivity.

### 3. Specific Heat

Additional information relevant to understanding the unusual low $T$ behaviors indicated by magnetization and transport measurements is provided by the field-dependent specific heat data in Fig. 13. From Fig. 13(a), the broad peak associated with low $T$ magnetic ordering at $T_3 = 2.0$ K shifts to higher temperatures and broadens for larger fields applied to the polycrystalline sample. $C_p$ is quadratic in temperature for $\mu_0 H \geq 2.5$ T (Fig. 13(b)). At $\mu_0 H = 9$ T, the quadratic dependence extends up to $T = 4$ K. This behavior can be associated with gapless excitations with a quasi-two-dimensional linear dispersion relation as in a two dimensional antiferromagnet. In zero field the low $T$ state indicated in Fig. 2 would be expected to have an excitation gap similar in magnitude to $T_3$. The high field $C_p(T) \propto T^2$ regime might be associated with specific field directions in the polycrystalline experiment that are transverse to the easy axes and induce gapless behavior.

### IV. ANALYSIS

#### A. Magnetic Structures

From the neutron scattering data presented in section III B we have inferred the following principal features of magnetism in Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$: For $T < T_N = 24$ K Ce spins are polarized along the tetragonal $c$ axis, with a characteristic propagation vector $k_1 = (0,0,0)$. This structure persists in the second Phase for $T < T_2 = 16$ K, which is marked by the loss of low energy spin fluctuations that have quasi-two-dimensional spatial correlations, a characteristic wave vector $k_2 = (0.5,0,0)$, and a component of polarization perpendicular to $k_2$. Finally, for $T < T_3 = 2$ K there is a spin-flop transition where the orientation of spins modulated in accordance with $k_1$ rotates from the $c$ axis to the tetragonal basal plane.

While the present powder diffraction data are insufficient to definitely determine the complex spin structures of Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$, we shall develop a specific picture of the ordered spin structures that is consistent with the diffraction profiles and provides a logical sequence of Phase transitions. One of the main outcomes that is supported by Rietveld analysis of the data is an association of the two wave vectors with the two different Ce sites in Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$. The sequence of spin structures inferred from this analysis is shown in Fig. 2.

#### 1. Phase I

We use representation theory to classify the magnetic structures with wave vector $k_1 = (001)$ that can develop from the paramagnetic Phase of space group $I4/mmm$ in a second order Phase transitions. Using Kovalev...
TABLE III: The nine basis vectors associated with magnetic structures that transform according to irreducible representations of the I/4mm space group with propagation vector $\mathbf{k}_1 = (001)$. The irreducible representations and basis vectors were obtained using SARA\textsuperscript{h}\textsuperscript{a} and the notation is that of Kovalev\textsuperscript{b}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IR</th>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Basis Vectors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_3$</td>
<td>Ce\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>$m_a = 0$, $m_b = 0$, $m_c = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_3$</td>
<td>Ce\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>$m_a = 0$, $m_b = 0$, $m_c = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_9$</td>
<td>Ce\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>$m_a = 0$, $m_b = 0$, $m_c = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_9$</td>
<td>Ce\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>$m_a = 0$, $m_b = 0$, $m_c = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_{10}$</td>
<td>Ce\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>$m_a = 1$, $m_b = 0$, $m_c = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_{10}$</td>
<td>Ce\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>$m_a = 1$, $m_b = 0$, $m_c = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notation\textsuperscript{b}, the representations associated with $\mathbf{k}_1$ and each of the two cerium sites decompose into irreducible representations (IR) as follows. For the Ce\textsubscript{1} cite associated with CeRu\textsubscript{4}As\textsubscript{4} layers: $\Gamma_{mag}(\text{Ce}_1) = \Gamma_3 + 2\Gamma_9$. For the Ce\textsubscript{2} sites associated with the Ce\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} layers: $\Gamma_{mag}(\text{Ce}_2) = \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_2 + 2\Gamma_9 + 2\Gamma_{10}$.

There are a total of nine basis vectors\textsuperscript{18} (BVs) and these are listed in Table III. For both cerium sites the two-dimensional $\Gamma_9$ and $\Gamma_{10}$ IRs describe magnetic structures with in-plane moments while the one-dimensional $\Gamma_3$ and $\Gamma_2$ IRs describe structures with moments along the tetragonal c-axis.

Because no magnetic peaks of the form $(0,0,2n+1)$ are observed for $T_2 < T < T_N$ (Fig. 5), the moment is oriented along the c-axis and we must focus on the one-dimensional IRs $\Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_3$. For the Ce\textsubscript{1} sites this leaves just one option namely $\Gamma_3$, which corresponds to the centered site antiparallel to the nearest neighbors (Fig. 2). For Ce\textsubscript{2} sites there are two options corresponding to FM ($\Gamma_2$) or AFM ($\Gamma_3$) alignment of spins within a Ce\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} bi-layer.

Assuming the $\Gamma_2$ representation for Ce\textsubscript{2} sites only (and no order on Ce\textsubscript{1} sites) leads to $\chi^2 = 24$, which is larger than $\chi^2 = 18$ corresponding to $\Gamma_3$ order on both cerium sites. Here the reduced $\chi^2$ goodness is reported for difference data in the range $1.5 \text{Å}^{-1} < Q < 1.8 \text{Å}^{-1}$. Fig. 6 shows three fit lines corresponding to $\Gamma_3$ order on Ce\textsubscript{2}, on Ce\textsubscript{1} sites only and on both sites. The constrained differential line shape associated with thermal expansion is included with $\Delta a/a = 1.3\%$ and $\Delta c/c = 0.6\%$. The fit with order on both sites provides the best account for the relative intensity of the two peaks and the corresponding ordered moments inferred are $\mu_{\text{Ce}_1} = 0.14(6) \mu_B$ and $\mu_{\text{Ce}_2} = 0.18(2) \mu_B$. A sketch of the corresponding spin configuration is shown as Phase I in Fig. 2 (b). The weak nuclear Bragg peak (101) is close to the magnetic peaks. Subtle changes in the chemical structure could also play a role in the temperature dependence of diffraction in this Q-range.

2. Phase II

While the present experiment detects no apparent elastic peaks upon entering Phase II, a distinct loss of low energy inelastic scattering is observed in the energy integrating detectors of the MACS instrument for $T < T_2$ (Fig. 3(b-c)). In Fig. 8 the broad anisotropic peaks associated with the scattering that vanishes for $T < T_2$ is compared to the spherical average of the following dynamic correlation function associated with quasi-two-dimensional magnetic correlations:

$$S(Q) = \sum_m \frac{(S^2) \cdot \xi^2 / \pi}{[1 + (\xi|Q_m - Q_0|)^2]^2}. \quad (9)$$

Here $Q_m = \tau \pm \mathbf{k}_2$ indicates the location of critical points in the 2D reciprocal lattice. Because the incident neutron energy is similar to the energy scale of the spin system, actual wave vector transfer differs from that calculated neglecting energy transfer as follows

$$\tilde{Q}(\theta, \omega) = k_i \sqrt{2 - \frac{\hbar \omega}{E_i} - 2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{\hbar \omega}{E_i} \cos 2\theta}}. \quad (10)$$

With $\hbar \omega = 1.2(3) \text{meV}$, in-plane correlations length $\xi = 8.2(6)\AA$ and an effective fluctuating moment of $(gJ_\mu B)^2 \langle S^2 \rangle \leq 0.3 \mu_B^2$ this model provides a satisfactory account of the difference data in Fig. 8. Here we have plotted the data versus the inferred wave vector transfer for inelastic scattering $\tilde{Q}$ showing also wave vector transfer for elastic scattering on the upper horizontal axis.

In Phase I, our analysis of the diffraction data indicates both Ce\textsubscript{1} and Ce\textsubscript{2} sites contribute to magnetic scattering associated with $\mathbf{k}_1$. But according to the analysis above, Phase I also features strong inelastic scattering indexed by $\mathbf{k}_2 = (1/2,0,0)$. These dynamic correlations however fall out of view upon entering Phase II (Fig. 3 (c)), we proposed $T_2$ is associated with the development of static order with $\mathbf{k}_2 = (1/2,0,0)$. Since Ce\textsubscript{2} sites play the dominant role in static order with $\mathbf{k}_1$ in Phase I and probably also in Phase III, while Ce\textsubscript{1} sites appear to be almost irrelevant for $\mathbf{k}_1$ in Phase III order. We propose Ce\textsubscript{1} sites drive the $\mathbf{k}_2$ correlations throughout. Specifically we pursue the hypothesis that the $\mathbf{k}_2 = (1/2,0,0)$ type scattering in Fig. 8 is associated with Ce\textsubscript{1} sites. The total scattering can decrease if the kinematically accessible Bragg peaks of the corresponding order are extinguished by the polarization factor. Fig. 5 (b) includes the calculated elastic scattering that would result from the longitudinally polarized in-plane antiferromagnetic spin structure indicated in Fig. 2(b) for Phase II with a moment size of 0.3 $\mu_B$. Because spins are almost parallel to $\mathbf{k}_2$ at low wave vector transfer, this structure produces very little magnetic powder diffraction. The intensity is much weaker than the zigzag features from thermal expansion and the magnetic scattering due to
3. Phase III

The appearance of low-Q peaks in Phase III of the $k_1 = (001)$ variety is indicative of in-plane magnetic moments that are described by IR $\Gamma_9$ or $\Gamma_{10}$ (Table III). Of these $\Gamma_{10}$ is inconsistent with the observed relative intensities while $\Gamma_9$ provides the excellent fit shown in Fig. 5(c). The contribution to magnetic scattering from out of plane moments (Fig. 5(a-b)) is so weak that we cannot place meaningful limits on how much of this order remains in Phase III. The experimental data in Fig. 5(c) is consistent with an AFM $k_1 = (001)$ spin structure of the $\Gamma_9$ variety (Fig. 2(b)) with moments within the tetragonal basal plane. The total moment obtained is $\mu_{Ce_1} + \mu_{Ce_2}$ = 0.85(2)$\mu_B$. Consistent with the assignment of Ce1 with moment $\leq 0.45\mu_B$ to the $k_2$ structure, the Rietveld refinement displayed in Fig. 5(c) indicates the Ce1 site contributes less than 0.1$\mu_B$ to the $k_1$ structure in Phase III.

In a field of 7 Tesla the magnetization per cerium site reaches a value of 0.6$\mu_B$ corresponding to 2/3 of the low $T$ ordered moment on the Ce2 sites, which make up 2/3 of the cerium in Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$. This is consistent with the magnetization in 7 T of only the Ce2 sites that consist of FM layers leaving the Ce1 layers in the proposed in-plane $k_2 = (0.5,0,0)$ type AFM structure. This hypothesis could be tested by higher field magnetization measurements.

B. Electronic Structure

Density functional calculations were done on Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ for three types of magnetic configurations. The first is the ferromagnetic (FM) state. The second is the AFM $k_1 = (001)$ structure, which corresponds to Phase I in Fig. 2 and is referred to as AFM1. The third magnetic configuration examined is Phase III of Fig. 2, with the same magnetic moment arrangements for Ce2 sites as in the AFM1 state and $k_2 = (1/2,0,0)$ periodicity for Ce1 sites. This structure is referred to as AFM2. The magnetic unit cell for the AFM2 state is $2 \times 1 \times 1$ times the crystallographic unit cell.

Among all three magnetic configuration considered, AFM2 has the lowest energy. The relative energy for AFM2 state is $E(\text{AFM2}) - E(\text{FM}) = -127$ meV/F.U., while the relative energy for AFM1 is $E(\text{AFM1}) - E(\text{FM}) = 2$ meV/F.U. This comparison supports AFM2 as the ground state. For the AFM2 state, the DFT inferred magnetic moments on both Ce sites are between 0.96 $\sim$ 0.97$\mu_B$, which is significantly larger than the experimentally observed values on Ce1 sites (0.45$\mu_B$), but close to the moment on Ce2 sites (0.85$\mu_B$). One possible reason for the reduced moment on Ce1 compared to DFT is that the Ce1 atoms and As2 atoms are both spatially and energetically close to each other, which might result in Kondo screening.$^{34,44}$

To examine the possibility of magnetism on the Cu site, a fixed spin moment calculation was performed for
La$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ (Fig. 14), the non-magnetic analogue of Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$, with methods similar to those for the Ce compound. The energy of La$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ increases monotonically with increasing spin moment, indicative of a paramagnetic ground state. This monotonic behavior implies there is no tendency for the 3d electrons to become magnetic, which is consistent with the experimental observations.

The density of states (DOS) for Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ in the AFM2 state is shown in Fig. 15. Unlike for Fe-pnictides, the majority of the Cu bands lie close to the O bands, despite the fact that O has lower electronegativity than Cu. The increased energy of O bands might be due to their proximity to Ce atoms. At the Fermi level, Cu and As contribute most to the DOS. The non-zero DOS at the DFT Fermi level is indicative of a metal. The Ce1 atoms have a lower energy, and their bands are broader than for the Ce2 atoms.

The Cu 3d band is very broad, with a bandwidth of about 10 eV. Although centered around -3 eV, the tail of the 3d band is visible up to 5 eV, and the Fermi level just crosses this tail. This indicates a weakly correlated 3d band, consistent with a non-magnetic state for Cu.

### V. DISCUSSION

Having presented and analyzed comprehensive data for the magnetic and electronic properties of Cu$_4$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$, we now discuss the overall physical picture of this material. Table IV provides a summary of the spin structures proposed for the three magnetic Phases. While the upper two transitions mark the development of distinct magnetic modulations with wave vectors $k_1 = (001)$ and $k_2 = (1/2, 0, 0)$ in the Ce$_2$O$_2$ and the CeCu$_4$As$_4$ layers respectively, the transition to Phase III is a spin flop transition where the staggered magnetization already established for Ce$_2$O$_2$ in Phase I rotates into the basal plane. This last transition is by far the most prominent as observed by magnetic neutron scattering because it allows for diffraction at low $Q$ which is extinguished by the polarization factor in the higher temperature Phases.

The lower transition to Phase III might be understood as follows. If we allow for isotropic bilinear spin exchange interactions between different cerium sites then the effect of the Ce$_2$O$_2$ layers on the CeCu$_4$As$_4$ layers is analogous to a uniform magnetic field, which in Phase II is oriented perpendicular to the staggered magnetization and along $c$. Rotation of the Ce$_2$O$_2$ magnetization into the basal plane can then be understood as the result of a competition between incompatible single ion and/or exchange anisotropy for the Ce1 and Ce2 sites. Having ordered antiferromagnetically with spins within the basal plane in Phase II, CeCu$_4$As$_4$ layers apparently have an easy plane character. Phase I on the other hand demonstrates an easy axis character to Ce$_2$O$_2$ layers. The final spin flop transition at $T_3$ might then be understood as the easy-plane character prevailing as Ce$_2$O$_2$ spins rotate into the basal plane and perpendicular to $k_2$. This is consistent with the diffraction data though these do not establish the orientation of Ce$_2$O$_2$ spins within the basal plane.

Comparison to Pr$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_{2-\delta}$ is instructive. The strength of RKKY exchange interactions can be expected to vary between rare earth ions in accordance with the so-called de Gennes factor $F = (g - 1)^2 J (J + 1)$, which is 0.18 for cerium and 0.8 for praseodymium for a ratio of $F$(Pr)/$F$(Ce) = 4.4. While the ordered spin structures for Pr$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_{2-\delta}$ are as yet unknown, there are two Phase transitions at $T_N = 35(3)$ K and $T_2 = 22$ K. The enhancement of $T_N$ is not nearly as large as might be expected based on de Gennes scaling but the similar ratio for $T_2/T_N$ of approximately 1.5 for Ce and 1.6 for Pr is consistent with an analogy between Phases I and II of the two compounds. The absence of a third transition for Pr$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_{2-\delta}$ is consistent with $T_3$ for Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ being contingent on competing anisotropies that might not exist for Pr$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_{2-\delta}$.

Replacing Ni for Cu in CeNi$_4$As$_4$O$_{2-\delta}$ results in just a single peak in the specific heat at $T_N = 1.7$ K. The change in entropy up to 40 K, the effective moment, and the Weiss temperature are however, similar to CeCu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$, which indicates the cerium moment persists. Increased magnetic frustration and quenched chemical or structural disorder are potentially relevant factors to account for the suppression relative to Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ of the higher temperature transitions. Here we note that the rare earth layers are separated by transition metal ions so that all inter-layer interactions must proceed through the copper or nickel layer. The dramatic effect of changing these layers from copper to nickel indicates the upper transitions are driven by interlayer interactions.

### VI. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, field dependent physical properties and neutron scattering measurements as well as band structure calculations were carried out on the layered transition metal pnictide compound Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$. The crystal structure resembles interleaving 122 and 111 Fe pnictide structures, resulting in two rare earth sites with very different local environments, and a complex interplay between their distinct magnetism. The neutron scattering measurements reveal three successive transitions, consistent with the magnetization and specific heat measurements. For the first transition at $T_N = 24$ K, neutron scattering indicates alternating FM layers with spins oriented along $c$. Below the second transition $T_2 = 16$ K neutron scattering reveals the loss of spin fluctuations which we can account for by in-plane AFM ordering of Ce1 site. The third transition $T_3 = 1.9$ K appears to be a spin-flop transition where all the magnetic moment directions switch to in-plane...
TABLE IV: Overview of our working hypothesis for the magnetic structure in the three distinct Phases of Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$: Phase $I$ ($16 \text{ K} < T < 24 \text{ K}$), Phase $II$ ($2 \text{ K} < T < 16 \text{ K}$), and Phase $III$ ($T < 2 \text{ K}$). In the table, $k_1 = (0, 0, 1)$ and $k_2 = (1/2, 0, 0)$. The basis vector for one dimensional IR $\Gamma_2$ associated with $k_2$ is (100). The proposed structures are consistent with the experimental data but they are not uniquely determined by the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Phase $I$ ($16 \text{ K} &lt; T &lt; 24 \text{ K}$)</th>
<th>Phase $II$ ($2 \text{ K} &lt; T &lt; 16 \text{ K}$)</th>
<th>Phase $III$ ($T &lt; 2 \text{ K}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce1 in CeCu$_4$As$_4$</td>
<td>Propagation vector ($k$)</td>
<td>$k_1$, $k_2$</td>
<td>$k_1$, $k_2$</td>
<td>$k_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classification (IR)</td>
<td>$\Gamma_9$, $\Gamma_2$</td>
<td>$\Gamma_3$, $\Gamma_2$</td>
<td>$\Gamma_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spin orientation</td>
<td>$b$, $a$</td>
<td>$c$, $a$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moment size ($\mu_B$)</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.1$ $\leq 0.45$</td>
<td>$0.17(2)$ $\leq 0.3$</td>
<td>$0.14(6)$ $\leq 0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce2 in Ce$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>Propagation vector ($k$)</td>
<td>$k_1$</td>
<td>$k_1$</td>
<td>$k_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classification (IR)</td>
<td>$\Gamma_9$</td>
<td>$\Gamma_3$</td>
<td>$\Gamma_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spin orientation</td>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moment size ($\mu_B$)</td>
<td>0.85(1)</td>
<td>0.22(3)</td>
<td>0.18(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

polarization. A large negative magneto-resistance ($\approx 30\%$) around $T_3$ is observed up to $\mu_0 H = 9 \text{ T}$, which indicates a significant impact of magnetic fluctuations on transport behavior and for fields beyond 3 T, the temperature dependence of both resistivity and heat capacity deviate from that of a Fermi liquid. This is possibly due to the anisotropic quasi-two-dimensional nature of the electronic state as indicated by neutron data and the band structure calculations.

A curious feature of Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$ are the broad nature of the specific heat anomalies at $T_N$ and $T_2$. The diffraction experiments presented here reveal magnetic order with a correlation length exceeding 75 Å but in harmony with the specific heat data, the corresponding staggered magnetization emerges gradually upon cooling and without a critical onset (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). The specific heat and scattering data consistently point to cross-over phenomena rather than actual Phase transitions and thus the absence of true symmetry breaking. Potentially relevant features that could lead to this unusual situation are (1) disorder impacting the weak interactions between layers (2) frustration (3) the interplay of alternating layers of spins with distinct quasi-2D Ising transitions and (4) Kondo screening. More detailed experiments on single crystalline samples will be required to distinguish between these scenarios and achieve a deeper understanding of the unusual sequence of transitions in Ce$_3$Cu$_4$As$_4$O$_2$.
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