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Graphene and carbon nanotubes have extraordinary mechanical and electronic properties. Intrinsic line defects such as local non-hexagonal reconstructions or grain boundaries, however, significantly reduce the tensile strength, but feature exciting electronic properties. Here, we address the properties of line defects in graphene from first-principles on the level of full-potential density-functional theory, and assess doping as one strategy to strengthen such materials. We carefully disentangle the global and local effect of doping by comparing results from the virtual crystal approximation with those from local substitution of chemical species, in order to gain a detailed understanding of the breaking and stabilization mechanisms. We find that doping primarily affects the occupation of the frontier orbitals. Occupation through n-type doping or local substitution with nitrogen increases the ultimate tensile strength significantly. In particular, it can stabilize the defects beyond the ultimate tensile strength of the pristine material. We therefore propose this as a key strategy to strengthen graphenic materials. Furthermore, we find that doping and/or applying external stress lead to tunable and technologically interesting metal/semi-conductor transitions.

PACS numbers: 61.72.Lk,73.22.Pr,73.22.-f,81.40.Jj

I. INTRODUCTION

Graphene-based materials have attracted wide interest not only for its exquisite electronic properties$^{1-3}$, but also for the extraordinary tensile strength of graphene and carbon nanotubes$^{4,5}$. Although much progress has been made towards production of pristine graphene sheets by chemical vapor deposition$^{6-8}$, grain-boundaries and line defects are still unavoidable for graphene sheets with sizes interesting for applications.$^{9}$ Such one-dimensional defects form when crystal growth starts from multiple centers, as the crystal structures are very unlikely to have the exact same orientation. Because of its low-dimensionality and the rigid bonding structure of graphene the structural variety along these lines are typically governed by the presence of heptagon-pentagon (h-p) and octagon-pentagon (o-p) defects.$^{10}$ Grain boundaries have been studied extensively and are predicted to have distinct electronic,$^{9,11,12}$ magnetic$^{13}$, and chemical properties$^{14}$. But they are also known to reduce the overall tensile strength of the overall graphene sheet.$^{15,16}$

A recent study by Bisset et al.$^{17}$ has shown that the catalytic reactivity of defected graphene can be increased by an order of magnitude when applying mechanical strain. Maximizing the ultimate strength of defected graphene is necessary to optimize it towards a versatile energy material. A fundamental understanding of the electronic and mechanical properties of such defects is therefore essential.

Line defects, continuous h-p and o-p reconstructions, have only recently gained interest as alternative and controllable quasi one-dimensional defects in graphene.$^{18-21}$ These line defects can be specifically engineered from the lattice mismatch of graphene with the substrate during vapor deposition.$^{18}$ Recent STM measurements have already shown that the o-p line defect has remarkable electronic properties.$^{18,19}$ However, its mechanical properties remain largely unknown.$^{22}$

Altering the electronic and corresponding mechanical properties through substitutional doping has been proposed as one strategy to tailor graphene devices towards specific functionalities. Substitutional doping with nitrogen has been realized in the lab through chemical vapor deposition or electrochemical treatment.$^{23-25}$ Its effect on the electronic properties of pristine or point-defected graphene has been intensively studied from both the experimental and computational side.$^{26-28}$ However, relatively few studies have addressed doping in extended line defects.$^{21,30}$ First-principle calculations of the substitution formation energies by Brito et al.$^{30}$ have shown that nitrogen doping is thermodynamically more favored in the vicinity of a line defect compared to the graphene region. This suggests that actually doping at such line defects might be more relevant than in the pristine region itself, which motivates a detailed study of doping effects at such line defects.

In this work we address the effects of nitrogen and boron doping on the electronic and mechanical properties of h-p and o-p line defects in graphene by means of full-potential density-functional theory. This technique is free of empirical parameters and has been proven reliable in the prediction of mechanical properties$^{31}$, especially when an accurate description of the chemical bonding conditions up to the level of bond breaking is necessary. We scrutinize the undoped pristine and line-defected structures and investigate the effect of external strain and then study the effect of doping on the tensile strength and electronic structure of line-defected graphene. We study the effect of local substitutional as well as conventional global doping: local doping is studied in terms of substitutional doping with nitrogen and boron at all distinguished pentagon, heptagon and oc-
tagon sites. Conventional global doping, the change of concentration of quasi-free charge carriers, a.k.a. a shift of the Fermi level, is simulated in the virtual crystal approximation \(^{32,33}\), in which carbon atoms are replaced by virtual chemical species with fractional nuclear charges and fractional electron count. We find that both the substitutional nitrogen doping as well as a global n-doping strengthens the material beyond the ultimate strength of the graphene structure through essentially the same mechanism, namely localization of additional electrons within the defect region. We furthermore suggest doping as a key strategy for tailoring the rich electronic properties of line defects.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

All electronic structure calculations are done on the level of spin-unrestricted density-functional theory within the full-potential, all-electron framework of FHI-aims\(^{34}\). Electronic exchange and correlation is treated on a semi-local level using the PBE functional\(^{35}\). Default tight integration settings and the FHI-aims tier2 basis set ensure well converged total energies. Reciprocal space is sampled very accurately such that the number of Monkhorst-Pack k-grid\(^{36}\) points along each unit cell axis times the length of the lattice vector is always larger than 40 Å. In order to fully decouple periodically reproduced sheets a vacuum of 40 Å has been used throughout. All atoms have been fully relaxed until residual forces are smaller than 10\(^{-2}\) eV/Å. These computational setting reproduce the experimentally observed C-C distance of 1.42 Å for pristine graphene. Dispersion interaction is known to be crucial for an accurate description of interactions perpendicular to the graphene plane.\(^{37}\) All in-plan properties are, however, dominated by the strong covalent C-C bonds. Inclusion of ab-initio dispersion correction\(^{38}\) showed indeed no effect on the geometry and structural stability of graphene and is therefore neglected in this study.

Employed supercells of the defected graphene structures have been increased systematically in size to minimize finite size effects such as the structural relaxation around the defect. Band structures of the line defects were calculated in a supercell irreducible in the direction of the line defect (one octagon and two pentagons, respectively two heptagons and two pentagons), while line defects are separated by 13 hexagons. Calculations of the substitution energetics and all mechanical properties of the octagon-pentagon (heptagon-pentagon) defect were performed in a supercell with two octagons and four pentagons (four heptagons and four pentagons) and seven rows of hexagons separating periodically reproduced line defects, as shown in Fig. 1. These supercells that comprise 68 (72) atoms are necessary to yield converged substitution energies within 10 meV, which has been confirmed with even larger supercells with 9 rows of hexagons. Reference calculations of the pristine graphene

![Fig. 1. Employed supercells of the octagon-pentagon (left) and heptagon-pentagon (right) line defect structures. Doping sites are labeled and highlighted in blue.](image)

were performed in a well converged 64 atoms supercell. The strain-stress relation is determined by stretching the unit-cell perpendicular to the line defect while relaxing all internal degrees of freedom. The stress-tensor was converged until all entries were less than 10\(^{-6}\) eV/Å\(^3\), throughout. The mechanical properties are studied in terms of the Young’s modulus \(\gamma\) and the ultimate strength (or breaking strength) \(\sigma_{\text{max}}\). The Young’s modulus is calculated as the second derivative of the total energy \(E_{\text{tot}}\) with respect to the external strain \(\epsilon\) evaluated at zero strain:

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{V_0} \left. \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial \epsilon^2} \right|_{\epsilon=0}.
\]

With \(V_0\) being the volume of the material (at zero strain), \(\gamma\) is rigorously only defined in three dimensions. Assigning it to a 2D material involves one free parameter, namely the effective thickness of the sheet \(d_{\text{eff}}\). We use the experimental interlayer distance in graphite for \(d_{\text{eff}}\), 3.35 Å\(^4\). Equivalently, \(\gamma\) can be calculated directly from the stress-tensor \(\sigma\) computed with FHI-aims as

\[
\gamma = \frac{z}{d_{\text{eff}}} \left. \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0},
\]

with \(z\) being the dimension of the supercell perpendicular to the sheet. This method yields essentially the same results.

The free energy balance for the substitution of carbon atoms with nitrogen and boron are approximated on the level of self-consistent total energies. The substitution energy\(^{39}\) per atom

\[
E_f^i(X) = \frac{1}{n} \left( E^i(X) - E_{\text{undoped}} - n \cdot \mu_X + n \cdot \mu_C \right),
\]

is defined as the total energy of the system with substitutional species \(X\) at site \(i\), \(E^i(X)\), referenced against the
FIG. 2. Electronic band structure of the undoped (a & b) pristine graphene, (c & d) octagon-pentagon line defect and (e & f) heptagon-pentagon line defect, projected on the reciprocal supercell vectors, without external strain (a & c & e) and with 10% external strain along the y-direction (b & d & f). Reciprocal space was sampled very accurately on a k-grid mesh of $20 \times 20 \times 1$, $40 \times 4 \times 1$ and $40 \times 4 \times 1$. Points X and Y refer to coordinates (0.5/0/0) and (0/0.5/0) in the coordinate system reciprocal to that defined in Fig. 1. The energy scale is referenced against the Fermi level (red line).

The electronic energy of the undoped system, $E_{\text{undoped}}$, together with the chemical potentials for removing a carbon atom $\mu_C$ and adding an atom of species $X$, $\mu_X$. Analogous to Brito et al.$^{30}$, $\mu_N$ is taken as half of the energy of the nitrogen molecule (closed-shell singlet), $\mu_B$ is the energy of a bulk atom in the alpha-borane conformation and $\mu_C$ is the energy of a carbon atom in the pristine graphene sheet, $n$ is the number of substituted equivalent sites.

III. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

A. Undoped graphene

1. Electronic Properties

Pristine graphene consists of sp$^2$ hybridized carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb structure. Its electronic band structure exhibits states with a linear dispersion (Dirac cone). In our choice of a rectangular supercell these states intersect the Fermi level in the center of the reciprocal space ($\Gamma$). Application of an external stress breaks the symmetry, which is reflected in a shift of the Dirac point in reciprocal space and a general narrowing of the band structure (see Fig. 2(b)).

The electronic band structures of line-defected graphene are qualitative different. The supercell approach periodically reproduces the line defect, which results in essentially flat bands perpendicular to the line defect ($\Gamma \to Y$) for both types of line defects (Figs. 2(c)-(f)). Only in the direction of the line defect ($\Gamma \to X$) the true features become evident. These features were found to be highly robust against variation of the defect concentration. Line defects separated by 3 up to 13 hexagon rows all reproduce the characteristic features shown in Fig. 2. The band structure of the o-p line defect displays two important characteristics, namely two flat band regions close to the $\Gamma$ point, which lead to a remarkable high density of states close to the Fermi level, which makes it interesting for many technological applications by itself. The fact that two bands are crossing the Fermi energy furthermore make it a potential 1-dimensional electric wire, as discovered by Lahiri et al.$^{18}$. Our spin-unrestricted calculations showed negligible spin density in the whole system, giving no reason to expect any ferromagnetic effects which have been predicted for the line defect in graphene nanoribbons.$^{40}$

As pointed out by Lahiri et al.$^{18}$ the flat band region is a true feature of the defect and does not arise from zigzag edge states, which would appear between $2\pi/3$ and $\pi$ along the $\Gamma \to X$ axis.$^{41}$ Our Mulliken charge analysis shows that the flat band region close to the $\Gamma$ point arises from a localized state in a narrow region around the line defect, predominantly on sites A, B, C (shown in Fig. 1), which is in very good agreement with STM measurements.$^{18,43}$ and recent first-principle simulations.$^{44}$ The band region closer to the $X$-point arises almost entirely from contributions of sites D, and is therefore equivalent to the zigzag edge state. Upon external strain the o-p line defect maintains its overall features (Fig. 2(d)), however, with a slight shift of the Fermi-energy and a narrowing of the gap between valence and conduction band. Strain-induced spin-polarization as reported for the line-defect in graphene nanoribbons$^{45}$ has not been observed.

The h-p line defect, on the contrary, exhibits a band gap in the direction of the line defect, as shown in Fig. 2(c). The band touching the Fermi-level at the $\Gamma$-point is sharply localized on sites H and neighboring zigzag edge sites. As can be seen in Fig. 2(f), this band crosses the Fermi level when an external stress is applied leading to weak electric conductivity along the line defect. We therefore predict that the electric properties of the h-p line can be mechanically switched between conducting and semi-conducting behaviour, suggesting interesting electronic applications.

A Mulliken charge analysis displays only minor charge redistributions within the defect region. Sites B, C & D...
Young’s modulus for pristine graphene as 1.00 TPa, both for the armchair and the zigzag direction. This is in excellent agreement with experiment (1.0 ± 0.1 TPa)\(^4\) and preceding ab-initio simulations (1.05 TPa)\(^{18}\) and molecular dynamics simulations employing empirical bond order potentials (1.01 ± 0.03 TPa)\(^{47}\).

The ultimate strength \(\sigma_{\text{max}}\), the maximum of the stress-strain curve in Fig. 3, is calculated as 101 GPa at an external strain of 0.183 for the armchair direction (112 GPa at 0.234 external strain for zigzag, not shown in Fig. 3). These values are again in very good agreement with experiment\(^{15}\) (100 GPa and 118 GPa, respectively), showing that the PBE functional allows for an accurate description of the mechanical properties even far away from the equilibrium structure. Differences in the mechanical properties between zigzag and armchair can be explained with the different number of bonds along the break line. With PBE lattice parameters the ratio of bonds per unit length of zigzag to armchair direction is 1.15 which very well matches the respective ratio of the ultimate strengths.

We now focus on the two fundamental configurations, the h-p and the o-p line defect at the zigzag edge (Fig. 1) in order to address the mechanical properties of the line-defected graphene. The resulting stress-strain curves are shown in Fig. 3. The Young’s modulus is 1.01 TPa for both kind of line defects, and is essentially equal to that of pristine graphene. Line defects therefore do not effect the elasticity of graphene, at least in the linear regime. The maximum of the strain-stress curve in Fig. 3 defines the ultimate strength of the material. Further increasing the external strain induces a breaking of chemical bonds and hence ultimate degradation of the graphene sheet. The ultimate strength is calculated as 91.7 GPa (89.9 GPa) for the o-p (h-p) line defect, and is roughly 10% below the pristine zigzag graphene reference. This shows that line defects are indeed weakening the overall strength of the material. We want to point out that the strain value at which the line defect breaks depends on the dimensions of the supercell, i.e. the number of hexagons separating periodic line defects, and does not reflect insufficient convergence. In fact, the ultimate strength of the line defects is converged to the dilute limit already when separated by 5 hexagon rows. The opposite limit of high defect concentration is given by the pure haekelite structure,\(^{48,49}\) i.e. zero hexagons separating the line defects. For this structure we calculate the ultimate strength as 86.3 GPa (89.4 GPa) for pure o-p (h-p) haekelite, which is only slightly below the dilute limit. Overall this shows that the interaction between line-defects is small and decreases rapidly.

Both line defects show slightly different breaking mechanisms. The h-p structure has its weak point at the bond between sites ‘H’ connecting two pentagons (see Fig. 1), where all the stress is loaded onto this bond. On the contrary, the o-p structure has two similarly weak bonds connecting the two hexagon domains (bonds between equivalent sites B and D). This allows to balance the load between both bonds leading to a slightly higher ultimate strength.

**B. Substitutional doping**

The advantage of a computational study of substitutional doping is that one can easily test every element in the periodic table. Species whose covalent radii differ much from that of carbon are, however, unlikely to yield stable and planar structures. In fact, we tested substitutional doping with oxygen, phosphorous and silicon which all lead to very unstable structures. We, therefore, focus on nitrogen and boron as two species with very similar covalent radii. Compared to carbon both species show opposite trends in ionization potential and electron affinitites and should hence result in opposite doping conditions (n- and p-type). Both line defects provide several distinct
sites shown in Fig. 1 which were tested for substitutional doping at three different doping concentrations: single-atom substitution ($n_{min}=1$), substitution of half of all equivalent sites ($1/2 n_{max}$) and substitution of all equivalent sites (four-fold substitution for sites A,C,D,F & I and eight-fold for site B,G & H in the structures shown in Fig. 1). Several different configurations are possible for $1/2 n_{max}$ concentration. In the following we always report results for the lowest energy configuration. Except for boron doping of site D, maximized distances between doping atoms are always the energetically preferred configuration.

1. Substitution energies

Boron and even more so nitrogen substitution is much more favored in the defect region than in the pristine region. This becomes evident in the single-substitution energies summarized in Table I. Even in the pristine-like region in the defected system (site E) substitution is significantly more favored than the substitution in a pristine graphene supercell (labeled $E^*$ in Table I). This reflects the long-range perturbation of nitrogen doping on the electronic structure discussed previously by Lambin et al. and the long-range effects on the electronic structure induced by defects in graphene. We hence predict a gradient in the doping concentration towards the defect, which in turn leads to a macroscopic gradient in the electrochemical potential.

Table I shows that boron substitution is energetically less favored than nitrogen substitution. In fact, only site H is found to have a negative energy for single boron substitution. In the o-p line defect sites B and C show the lowest cost for boron substitution of $\sim$0.1 eV. Nitrogen substitution is not only generally more favored for single substitutions, but also allows for higher doping concentrations. In fact, nitrogen substitution at half the equivalent sites is favorable for sites A,B,D & I, and site A even has a negative substitution energy in the high-concentration limit. The clear preference of site A for high doping concentrations can be explained with the large distance between equivalent sites, minimizing the repulsive dopend-dopend interaction. Comparing the substitution energetics between both types of line defects shows that the h-p structure is in general less attractive to doping atoms. Here, only site I yields negative substitution energies for up to $1/2 n_{max}$, and only a small positive value for the high concentration limit. We observe the general trend that the substitution energy per atom increases with the concentrations, showing that dopend-dopend interaction for nitrogen and boron is indeed repulsive. This is in agreement with previous studies of pristine graphene. Only in the case of boron doping at site D we see that substitution for mid concentrations becomes lower in energy when both boron atoms sit at the same octagon.

Structural reorganization upon doping is very small, in general. It is $\sim$0.1 Å for boron and less than 0.04 Å for nitrogen substitution. Fully optimized structures reveal an average N-C (B-C) bond distance of 1.43 Å (1.50 Å) for the o-p line defect, and 1.42 Å (1.49 Å) for the h-p line defect. This trend is in very good agreement with other results in the literature and consistent with the covalent radii of N and B relative to the sp$^2$ hybridized carbon atoms. All equilibrium geometries remain planar except for the high boron concentration limit at sites H, where an undulative distortion occurs.

Our substitution energies reproduce the same relative stabilities of the sites as reported by Brito et al. (Ref. 30). However, they are systematically higher by 0.2-0.4 eV for nitrogen and lower by 0.1-0.2 eV for boron substitution. The systematic shift for the line defects and for the pristine graphene agree within 0.1 eV, which points to a different calibration of the chemical potentials. We emphasize that we use very tight convergence settings for the forces, k-grid and supercell sizes, and argue that the FHI-aims tier2 basis sets used in this study guarantee a very accurate description of the total energy, without applying any pseudopotential approximation for the core electrons. For the lack of previous studies, we cannot reference the h-p defect against literature values.

In the following study of the electronic and mechanical properties we focus on the lowest energy configurations (for a given concentration) as the thermodynamically most relevant candidates: site A & D for single substitution of nitrogen and nitrogen in the medium concentration limit $1/2 n_{max}$ - site A for the high concentration limit in the o-p line defect, and site I for nitrogen doping in the h-p line defect. Boron substitution is mostly unfavored energetically, but can be made thermodynamically feasible at high temperatures and/or adequate partial pressures. We therefore also discuss boron substitution for all concentrations and study sites B & C for single substitution, sites C & D for medium concentrations $1/2 n_{max}$, site C for maximal concentration, and site H for the h-p line defect.

2. Electronic structure

The electronic structure for substitutional doped line defects is summarized in Fig. 4. Low nitrogen concentrations in the o-p (Figs. 4(a)) and h-p (Figs. 4(e)) line defects essentially reproduce the electronic band structure of the undoped systems (Fig. 3(c) & (e)). The Fermi energy is shifted towards higher energy, reflecting n-type doped conditions as expected from nitrogen doping in graphene. On the other hand, boron doping leads to significant changes in the band structure (Figs. 4(c) & (f)); e.g., additional bands crossing the Fermi level. This behaviour can also be seen by the charge transfer process with the substituted atom. While nitrogen only loses 0.01-0.02 electrons, charge transfer associated to boron is an order of magnitude larger, with an uptake of 0.2-0.4 electrons per boron atom. This suggests that boron atoms act as electron sinks, which sub-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_f$ [eV]</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>$E^*$</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N n=1</td>
<td>−0.41</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>−0.41</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=1/2 $n_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>−0.43</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>−0.43</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=$n_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. 30</td>
<td>−0.67</td>
<td>−0.50</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>−0.84</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B n=1</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=1/2 $n_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=$n_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. 30</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Calculated substitution energies per atom (in eV) with nitrogen and boron at several distinct sites labeled in Fig. 1 and for different concentrations as defined in Eq. 3. $E$ refers to the substitution in the hexagonal area in the defected system, while $E^*$ denotes the substitution in pristine graphene.

Sustantially effects the mechanical properties discussed in Section III B 3. This charge transfer reaction between doping atoms and line defects is long range, which becomes evident when studying nitrogen doping deep in the hexagonal domain (7 hexagons away from the defect). In this case the charge transfer between the substitutional atom and its environment differs as well by an order of magnitude (−0.03 electrons for nitrogen, and +0.37 for boron). Strikingly, the effect on the charges in the defect region is a magnitude larger for nitrogen (0.12 more electrons) compared with boron (0.01 less electrons). This suggests that the line-defects act as strong sinks for electrons (n-doping) but not for holes (p-doping).

With increasing concentration nitrogen doping leads to drastic changes in the electronic structure. Fig. 4(b) shows the bands structure for the high concentration limit of substitution at site D. The former metallic o-p line defect now becomes semiconducting along the line defect. This transition is accompanied by a charge transfer of 0.05 electrons from the nitrogen atoms onto sites C. Furthermore, the flat band regions characteristic for the o-p line defect completely disappear. A corresponding metal/semiconductor transition has also been reported for pristine graphene when substituted nitrogen atoms form a line of dimers. The case of substitution at sites D in the high concentration limit essentially resembles such a line of nitrogen dimers. We therefore assign the observed metal/semiconductor transition to the line of such nitrogen dimers and not to the line defect. Nonetheless we would like to point out that the line of nitrogen dimers is a much more realistic scenario in the line defect than in pristine graphene, since it yields much lower substitution energies.

3. Mechanical properties

The effect of nitrogen and boron substitutional doping on the Young’s modulus is negligible in both cases. Even for large concentrations the Young’s modulus is calculated as 1.01 ± 0.02 TPa. In contrast to that, the overall strength of the material is significantly influenced by the species and concentration of the substitutional dopend. Table II summarizes the ultimate strength of the doped
line defects calculated for different doping concentrations in their lowest energy conformations (see Section III B 1). Nitrogen doping consistently leads to a higher ultimate strength than boron doping. In fact, boron doping does not promote the ultimate strength of the line defects at all, but rather decreases the strength significantly below the reference value of the undoped system. Contrary to that nitrogen doping stabilizes the material beyond the ultimate strength of the undoped system. We even observe an astonishing high ultimate strength of 101 GPa for the substitution of site D in the high concentration limit. This is essentially equal to the ultimate strength of pristine graphene (100 GPa, note that the direction of strain is to be compared to the armchair direction). Analysis of the individual bonding strengths reveals that in this case the bonds between the line defect and neighboring hexagons become the weakest, and not the defect structure itself.

Our results highlight site A and D (I and H) as outstanding sites for substitutional nitrogen doping as they are energetically most favored and also promote the ultimate strength. In fact, we verified that doping at the energetically less preferred sites (e.g. B and C) decreases the ultimate strength. As discussed above, these two sites show the largest atomic charges in the undoped system without strain, and also observe the largest change in charge upon strain. Furthermore, structural relaxations upon nitrogen doping are almost negligible. This suggests that substitutional doping strengthens the material not through changing the character of chemical bonds but simply through an increase of electron density in the defect region. As the defect region acts as an electron sink, the latter could be also done through global (and not local substitutional) doping, i.e. the global increase of quasi-free charge carriers, which may then accumulate in the defect region. We will put this hypothesis to scrutiny in the following section.

### C. Doping with virtual species

Within the limits of thermodynamical stability quasi-free additional electrons can be realized through homogeneous doping in the lab. Alternatively, such conditions can be generated through an application of an external voltage. An advantage of a computational study is that one is not constrained to what is chemically or experimentally feasible. One can even substitute with virtual species with fractional nuclear charge and equivalent fractional number of electrons. This approach is especially straightforward to realize in a full-potential DFT framework like FHI-aims as no uncertainty can arise from scaling any pseudopotentials.

In order to reveal whether a local or global effect of doping leads to an enhanced ultimate strength, we perform two numerical experiments in which we dope with such virtual chemical species. In the first, we only substitute all equivalent sites of site A and D in the o-p line defect, respectively site H and I in the h-p line defect, with virtual chemical species between nuclear charges 5 and 7.4 and measure the resulting ultimate strength. Z<sub>X</sub> will denote the proton and electron number of the virtual species at site X. In a second experiment we simultaneously substitute all atoms in the system including all atoms in the hexagonal domain region. This procedure is known as the virtual crystal approximation. Because of the high-concentration character of the doping in both experiments we can employ smaller supercells with half the size.

Local substitution with virtual species reveals a broad peak around Z<sub>A</sub>=Z<sub>D</sub>=6.5 (Z<sub>H</sub>=Z<sub>I</sub>=6.5), whereas virtual species with Z<sub>A</sub>&lt;6 and Z<sub>D</sub>&gt;7.2 reduce the ultimate strength of the line defect, as shown in Fig. 5. With 102 GPa (100 GPa) for the o-p (h-p) line defect the peak values lie above those for nitrogen substitution and for pristine graphene. Especially in the o-p case, where sites A and D are equal in numbers, the contour lines in Fig. 5(a) are symmetric to the diagonal, i.e. symmetric with respect to exchange of A and D. While site A and D have very different local environments, substitution at these sites has essentially the same effect. This suggests that the improvement of the mechanical properties is not caused by changes in the local bonding structure, but simply through additional electrons which occupy the same orbital in the defect region.

The second experiment, the virtual crystal approxi-
FIG. 5. Contour plot showing the ultimate strength of the octagon-pentagon (heptagon-pentagon) line defect doped with virtual species at sites A and D (H and I) in GPa. \(Z_X\) denotes the proton and electron number of the virtual species at site \(X\). Calculation were performed in the high concentration limit of substitutional doping. A Gaussian smearing of 0.3 was used to smoothen the contours.

FIG. 6. Electronic band structure of the virtual pristine graphene crystal for virtual species with proton/electron number of \(6.0 \leq Z \leq 6.2\). The zero level (red) refers to the Fermi energy in each system.

mation, simulates a homogeneous addition of electrons at every site in the system. In contrast to any local substitution this does not induce local perturbation by itself and is therefore adequate to simulate global doping. We want to point out that replacing all carbon atoms with a virtual species of nuclear charge of 6.1 is not the same as adding 0.1 free electrons per atom, as a certain fraction is localized at the position of the atom due to the increased Coulomb attraction to the nucleus. However, a certain fraction is quasi-free and may redistribute according to local variations of the electrostatic potential. The virtual crystal for values of \(6.0 \leq Z \leq 6.2\) retains \(sp^2\) hybridized graphene structure. This is reflected in the band structure (Fig. 6), which remains almost unchanged for values \(6.0 \leq Z \leq 6.2\) compared to the real carbonic graphene. The effect is solely a filling of former unoccupied bands together with the corresponding shift of the Fermi level towards n-doped conditions. The shift of the Fermi energy from 6.0 to 6.05 is larger than from 6.05 to 6.2. This can be explained by the different density of states being present to accommodate the additional electrons. Differences in the occupation of the bands integrate exactly to the number of additional electrons in the system. Hence, the virtual crystal approximation is a way to add electrons without distorting the electronic structure and therefore proves adequate to mimic global doping. Similar shifts towards p-doped conditions can be achieved analogously with \(Z<6\). According to our findings in the previous section, such doping regimes do not promise improved mechanical properties and are therefore neglected in the further discussion.

The virtual crystal approximation is now applied to study the effect of such additional electrons which arise from global and homogeneous doping on the mechanical properties of line defects. As can be seen in Fig. 7, additional electrons only show an effect in case of the line defects, namely an increase of the ultimate strength of about 10%. This can be understood from the frontier molecular orbitals of the line defects which become occupied with increasing \(Z\). As discussed previously in Section III A, these states are located in the defect region and promote chemical bonding. The ultimate strength of the pristine structure of virtual species with \(6.0 \leq Z \leq 6.2\) is largely the same as of the real carbonic system. The increase in ultimate strength is therefore solely due to the stabilization of the defect region. We note that for \(Z > 6.2\) the ultimate strength of the pristine structure increases significantly (not shown in the Fig. 7). However,
FIG. 7. Ultimate yield stress for the octagon-pentagon and heptagon-pentagon line defect and pristine graphene calculated in the virtual crystal approximation. The x-axis labels the proton and electron number of the virtual species constituting the structure. 6.0 refers to the reference real carbon system.

for such large values of \( Z \) the band structure shows more significant deviations from the \( Z=6.0 \) case. We therefore do not consider this feature a physical effect but rather the break-down of the virtual crystal approximation.

For the validated values of \( 6.0 \lesssim Z \lesssim 6.2 \), the improved mechanical properties have to be regarded as a true physical effect of additional charges localized in the defect region. This suggests that strengthening of the defected graphene structures can not only be achieved through substitutional doping at specific sites, but also through a global shift of the Fermi energy. This can alternatively be realized through global doping or application of an external voltage.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we performed an ab-initio computational study of the electronic structure and mechanical properties of the octagon-pentagon and heptagon-pentagon line defect in graphene. We found that the electronic properties of the system change significantly upon application of external strain. Specifically, we predict a strain-induced transition from semi-conducting to metallic behaviour for the heptagon-pentagon line, which promises interesting technological application. We scrutinized the effect of substitutional nitrogen (boron) doping with different concentrations and determined sites A,D & H (only H for boron) as thermodynamical most accessible doping sites. We found that nitrogen is energetically much more favored than boron as a dopant. We showed that substitutional nitrogen doping shifts the Fermi energy while leaving the band structure largely untouched, which suggests doping as a potential pathway for electronic structure engineering, while maintaining the electronic properties of the pristine graphene domain. Substitutional nitrogen doping was furthermore found to enhance the ultimate strength, eliminating the line defect as the weak spot in the graphene structure. We disentangled local and global doping effects by comparing to substitution with virtual species in the virtual crystal approximation. We found that both local substitution and global doping provides quasi-free charge carriers. We found that doping primarily changes the occupation of the frontier orbitals in the defect region: populating these orbitals leads to an electrostatically favorable electron distribution in the defect regions, ultimately promoting chemical bonding in the defect regions. We conclude that stabilization of the defect region can also be realized through application of an electric potential, an effect which we suggest to exploit in graphene devices under extreme conditions.
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