

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Tunable crossover between one- and three-dimensional magnetic dynamics in Co<sup>{</sup>[I]} single-chain magnets organized by halogen bonding

A. Amjad, J. M. Clemente-Juan, E. Coronado, F. Luis, M. Evangelisti, G. Mínguez Espallargas, and E. del Barco Phys. Rev. B **93**, 224418 — Published 20 June 2016 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.93.224418

# Tunable Crossover between One- and Three-Dimensional Magnetic Dynamics in Co<sup>II</sup> Single-Chain Magnets Organized by Halogen Bonding

A. Amjad,<sup>1</sup> J. M. Clemente-Juan,<sup>2</sup> E. Coronado,<sup>2</sup> F. Luis,<sup>3</sup> M. Evangelisti,<sup>3</sup> G. Mínguez Espallargas,<sup>2,\*</sup> and E. del Barco,<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida 32816, USA

- <sup>2</sup> Instituto de Ciencia Molecular (ICMol), Universidad de Valencia, c/ Catedrático José Beltrán,
  2, Paterna 46980, Spain
- <sup>3</sup>Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón, CSIC-Universidad de Zaragoza, Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada, Zaragoza 50009, Spain

**ABSTRACT:** Low-temperature magnetometry, ac susceptibility and calorimetry have been employed to study Co-based Single-Chain Magnets (SCMs) organized through halogen bonding. Magnetic hysteresis and maxima in the dc and ac susceptibilities, respectively, confirm the SCM behavior of the system. Several characteristic magnetic relaxation regimes are observed at different temperatures, which can be associated with both intra- and inter-chain exchange interactions. Remarkably, tweaking the rate at which an external magnetic field is swept along the axis of the chains enables a controlled transition between the one- and three-dimensional dynamics. Experiments on an isostructural Co-based SCM system crystallized with different halogens do not show three-dimensional (3D) dynamics, illustrating the importance of halogen bonding on the control of inter-chain interactions.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Single-chain magnets (SCMs) are potential candidates for ultra-high magnetic storage devices. Furthermore, one-dimensional (1D) structures have proven to be extremely good candidates for probing and understanding exchange interactions in extended systems. Uniaxial anisotropic spin centers paired with strong magnetic correlations at first neighbors within the chain result in a slow relaxation of the magnetization in these one-dimensional (1D) systems [1-5]. The magnetic dynamics in SCMs at a finite temperature follows the Arrhenius law, where the relaxation rate is given by  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \exp(-\Delta/k_B T)$ , with  $\Delta$  accounting for the activation barrier associated with the creation of a magnetic domain wall, which then propagates within the chain leading to its full magnetization reversal. This barrier is determined by: a)  $\Delta_A$ , the energy to reverse a single spin over the anisotropy barrier resulting from spin-orbit interaction, b) the correlation energy,  $\Delta_{\xi}$ , which is the energy necessary to overcome the intra-chain exchange interactions. The former amounts to  $\Delta_A = |D|S^2$  for integer spins and to  $\Delta_A = |D|(S^2-1/4)$  for half-integer spins, where D is a second-order anisotropy constant. In the Ising limit (i.e., for |D/J| > 4/3), and assuming that exchange interactions between nearest neighbors can be described by a term  $-2JS_1S_2$ , the latter is given by  $\Delta_{\xi} = 4|J|S^2$ . Note that the energy required to create a magnetic domain is larger in the case of infinite chains ( $\Delta = \Delta_A + 2\Delta_{\xi}$ ), since the formation of two domain walls is necessary. However, in real samples, chains present finite lengths due to the presence of crystalline defects, whose concentration ultimately determines the maximum average length of the magnetic domains. In the latter case, a smaller activation energy ( $\Delta = \Delta_A + \Delta_{\xi}$ ) is required, since a single nucleation occurs at the end of each chain. In fact, the two scenarios can be found in the same sample at different temperatures [6,7], since the correlation length (length of a domain) depends exponentially on temperature. For high temperatures, where the correlation length is smaller than the average distance between defects, the system behaves as an ensemble of infinite chains. As the temperature decreases, the increasing correlation length becomes eventually limited by defects and the system behaves as a set of finite chains. The nucleation and motion of the domain walls dictate the magnetic dynamics of a SCM. Once created, the domain walls move within the chain at no energy cost [8].

The role of intra-chain interactions and their intrinsic 1D magnetic nature differentiates SCMs from their close zero-dimensional (0D) relatives, i.e. single-molecule magnets (SMMs) [9-12]. However, both systems share the fact that three-dimensional (3D) interactions may interfere with the low-dimensional magnetic dynamics. In both SCMs and SMMs threedimensional ordering is an unavoidable consequence of the small interactions between entities (inter-chain interactions in SCMs and intermolecular interactions in SMMs), both short (exchange) and long (dipolar) range. The weaker these interactions are, the lower the 3D ordering temperature is. Thus, the chemical design of SCMs is typically directed towards the isolation of magnetic chains though minimization of inter-chain interactions, which among other things may reduce the magnetic bistability of the chains [4]. However, it has been speculated that 3D ordering in SCMs systems may enable hard magnetic behavior (high coercivity) capable to compete with the best current intermetallic compounds used for permanent magnets (e.g. SmCo, NdFeB alloys) [13]. In any case, since a pure 1D magnetic system only exhibits long range order at T = 0 K, understanding the magnitude and origin of inter-chain interactions while maintaining the intra-chain coupling strength is crucial for the future development of the field [14,15].

A versatile approach to tune the interactions between chains in a controlled manner consists of covering the inner magnetic core with non-magnetic organic ligands with suitable functionalities that can modify the inter-chain metal-metal couplings [16,17]. We have

previously reported the use of halogen bonding [18] (the attractive interaction where the halogen atoms act as electrophiles [19,20]), to direct the packing of linear cobalt chloride chains causing a decrease in the antiferromagnetic inter-chain interactions and the appearance of features typical of SCMs. In addition, this type of SCM based of Co<sup>II</sup> can present very interesting magnetic phenomena, such as strong magneto-chiral dichroism [21].

In this article, we report detailed ac and dc susceptibility and specific heat studies that confirm the SCM nature of these Co<sup>II</sup>-based systems. Magnetic measurements detect a phase transition to 3D ordering occurring at temperatures below 0.45 K in the compound with stronger halogen bonding (Br halogen), while no such ordering is observed in the derivative with Cl halogen, down to the lowest temperature achieved in the experiments (34 mK). Interestingly, a crossover between 1D and 3D magnetic dynamics can be induced by varying the sweep rate of the applied magnetic field. The exclusive "visco-magnetic" (in a parallelism to visco-elastic) behavior observed in this SCM compound may lead to novel applications in which the magnetic response of a device changes attending to the characteristic time of the input.

#### SAMPLE DESCRIPTION AND EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Two single-chain magnets of formula *trans*-[CoCl<sub>2</sub>(3,5-X<sub>2</sub>py)<sub>2</sub>] [18], with halogens X = Br (1) and X = Cl (2), have been studied in this work. Both compounds crystallize in the tetragonal space group  $P \overline{4}b2$  and consist of linear chains that run parallel to the crystallographic *c*-axis, as shown in Figure 1(a). These chains are formed by edge-shared octahedra of composition *trans*-CoCl<sub>4</sub>(3,5-X<sub>2</sub>py)<sub>2</sub> with the four chlorine atoms occupying the equatorial plane and forming symmetrical  $\mu_2$ -Cl bridges which connect adjacent cobalt centers while the organic pyridine-based ligands (3,5-dihalopyridines) complete the coordination sphere of the cobalt atoms at *trans* positions. Two different orientations of the chains are found in the crystal structure, related by a

90° rotation as shown in Figure 1(b). The chains are formed by Co<sup>II</sup> ions as the magnetic core, laying at the intersections of three 2-fold symmetry axes, bridged by chloride ligands that lead to ferromagnetic exchange interactions within the chain, as evidenced by high temperature (T > 2 K) susceptibility measurements [18]. The coordination environment of each Co center is completed by two halopyridines bonded to the metal center via the nitrogen atom. The most remarkable difference between 1 and 2 is the strength of the halogen bonds formed by the halopyridines, that is, the interaction of the carbon-bound halogens (C–X) with nucleophiles [19,20]. As shown in Figure 1b, each of the linear chains interacts with four neighbouring chains via halogen bonds between the electrophilic region of one carbon-bound halogen (the axial region) and the nucleophilic site of another (the equatorial region), i.e. a type II halogen-halogen interaction. Table 1 summarizes the structural parameters of the two compounds as extracted from X-ray diffraction.

Table 1. Structural parameters of the trans- $[CoCl_2(3,5-X_2py)_2]$  SCMs, with halogens X = Br(1) and X = Cl(2).

	(1) X = Br	(2) $X = Cl$		
Space group	Tetragonal	Tetragonal		
	$(P \overline{4}b2)$	$(P \overline{4}b2)$		
a=b (Å)	13.7871(10)	13.7352(11)		
c(Å)	3.7439(6)	3.6340(4)		
CoCo intra-chain	3.7439(6)	3.6340(4)		
distance (Å)				
Inter-chain distance (Å)	9.7490(7)	9.7123(8)		
Halogen bond strength,	0.969	1.070		
R <sub>XX'</sub>				

The present study mainly focuses on the compound with the stronger halogen bond (1), which shows 3D magnetic dynamics at low temperatures. Recent electron-paramagnetic resonance (EPR) studies performed on a single crystal of this compound demonstrate that the system behaves as a collection of finite SCMs at temperatures below 2 K [22].

Dc susceptibility measurements were carried out on sub-millimeter sized single crystals of compounds 1 and 2 with the use of high sensitivity micro Hall-effect magnetometry ( $\mu$ -HEM) [23] (see Figure 1c), at temperatures down to 34 mK achieved by an *Oxford Instruments* dilution refrigerator, and in conjunction with a 1.2 - 1.2 - 8.0 T superconducting vector magnet. For temperatures down to 2 K, ac susceptibility measurements on powdered crystalline samples of compound 1 were carried out by means of a commercial SQUID magnetometer equipped with an ac measurement option. A homemade susceptometer [24], installed in a dilution refrigerator, was employed to extend the ac susceptibility measurements down to 0.1 K. The heat capacity of a powdered crystalline sample of 1 was measured from 0.3 K up to 30 K with a commercial Physical Properties Measurement System that makes use of the relaxation method [25].



**Figure 1.** (a) A side view of the trans- $[CoCl_2(3,5-Br_2py)_2]$  SCM structure with the chain running parallel to the c-axis. Cobalt (deep blue), bridging chloride (green), nitrogen (blue), carbon (black), and carbon bound halogen (red). (b) Packing of the chains within the crystal, with adjacent chains rotated by 90 degrees with respect to each other about the c-axis. (c) A sketch showing the placement of a single crystal of  $Co^{II}$  SCMs on top of a micro Hall-effect sensor with the crystallographic c-axis (direction of the chains) parallel to the sensor plane and to the main magnetic field of the superconducting vector magnet employed in the study.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

<u>Magnetic susceptibility</u>. Figure 2 shows the variable-temperature magnetic properties of 1 as a  $\chi T$  vs. T plot, where  $\chi$  is the molar magnetic susceptibility derived from direct current (dc) magnetization data collected in the 2 – 300 K temperature range and under an applied magnetic field of 0.1 T.



**Figure 2.** Temperature dependence of dc  $\chi T$  for **1**, collected for  $\mu_0 H = 0.1$  T. Inset: Same set of data plotted vs. 1/T. The y-axis is logarithmic and the solid blue line highlights the linear dependence of  $ln(\chi T)$  on the reciprocal temperature in the temperature range 4 - 20 K.

The room-temperature  $\chi T$  value (2.7 cm<sup>3</sup>Kmol<sup>-1</sup>) is higher than that calculated from the spin-only value (1.875 cm<sup>3</sup> K mol<sup>-1</sup> for a spin S = 3/2), in agreement with the orbital contribution to the magnetic moment expected for octahedral high-spin Co<sup>II</sup>. We note that  $\chi T$  gradually decreases on lowering the temperature below approximately 200 K, reaching the minimum (1.9 cm<sup>3</sup> K mol<sup>-1</sup>) at  $\approx 25$  K. This behavior points to the thermal depopulation of magnetic energy levels of isolated Co<sup>II</sup> -ions which are split into 6 doublets, a consequence of splitting of the <sup>4</sup>T<sub>1g</sub> ground state due to spin-orbit coupling and crystal field distortions [26]. due to spin-orbit coupling and crystal field distortions for  $Co^{II}$  behaves then as an anisotropic effective spin  $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$  associated with the lowest lying Kramer's doublet of Co<sup>II</sup> ion. Lowering the temperature even further leads to a steep increase in  $\chi T$ , showing the presence of dominantly ferromagnetic interactions that we associate to the intra-chain exchange interactions.

An anisotropic exchange model has been developed to reproduce the low-temperature magnetic data of 1 and 2 (in the range 4 K < T < 20 K). The model is based on exact calculations performed on closed chains of increasing lengths (up to 12 spins) (see Supplementary information). The following exchange parameters have been obtained:  $J_z = 4.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for 1 and 3.9 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 2 (along the chains) and  $J_{xy} = 2.6$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for 1 and 1.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 2 (perpendicular to the chains). Notice that a fully isotropic exchange model (with  $J_z = J_{xv}$ ) provides a much worse fit; even if anisotropic Landé factors are considered (Figure 3). The magnetic parameters are summarized in Table 2. This result supports the existence of an anisotropy in the exchange couplings, as expected for this type of Co(II) chains. Further support for this anisotropy is provided by the temperature dependence of  $\chi T$ . As shown by the inset of Figure 2, between 4 and 20 K,  $\chi T \approx C_{\text{eff}} \exp(\Delta \xi / k_{\text{B}}T)$ . From the exponential fit we obtain  $C_{\text{eff}} \approx 1.9 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$  and the exchange energy  $\Delta_{\xi} \approx 4.1$  K. Since  $\Delta_{\xi} = 4|J|S^2$ , we obtain an effective intra-chain coupling  $J \approx 2.8$ cm<sup>-1</sup>, in good agreement with the previous estimate. Below 4 K, inter-chain interactions and lattice defects that prevent the formation and movement of 1D domain walls are likely responsible for the deviation of  $\ln (\gamma T)$  from linearity (Figure 2).



*Figure 3.* Thermal dependence of the dc  $\chi$ T product of 1 (down) and 2 (upper) in the 2–20 K interval; the solid lines represent the best fit to an anisotropic model and dashed lines represent best fit to an isotropic model (see Table 2).

Table	2.	Best	sets	of	parameters	for	fitting	the	low	temperature	magnetic	behavior	of
compo	oun	ds 1 a	and 2	•									

sample	Model	$J_z$	$J_{\rm xy}$	J	$g_{z}$	$g_{\mathrm{xy}}$	R
		(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )			
1	anisotropic	4.9	2.6	3.4	4.8	3.5	6.3x10 <sup>-5</sup>
1	isotropic	-	-	7.5	4.2	2.7	6.4x10 <sup>-3</sup>
2	anisotropic	3.9	1.6	2.4	6.0	3.8	8.7x10 <sup>-5</sup>
2	isotropic	-	-	6.9	4.4	3.0	6.1x10 <sup>-3</sup>

<u>Magnetic hysteresis</u>. Figure 4 shows Hall-effect magnetometry results obtained on a single crystal of compound 1 at low temperatures (T = 0.034 - 1 K), sweeping the magnetic field at a constant rate of 0.15 T/min. Data in Figure 4 are collected with the magnetic field applied along the crystallographic *c*-axis. Clear hysteresis loops, shrinking as the temperature increases; confirm the behavior of this compound as a molecular magnet. Similar measurements carried out on compound 2 show weak hysteretic behavior with much narrower loops and negligible coercive fields at the lowest temperature (shown in Supplementary information).



**Figure 4.** Hysteresis loops recorded on a single crystal of trans- $[CoCl_2(3,5-Br_2py)_2]$  SCMs at different temperatures with the magnetic field applied parallel to the crystallographic c-axis (i.e. field along the chains). The inset shows the field derivative of the magnetization at 300 mK, highlighting the three peaks, characteristic of different dynamic magnetization processes in this sample.

The hysteretic behavior in this sample is quite complex and interesting, as can be clearly observed from the non-monotonous shape of the hysteresis loops. Further insight into this not so simple behavior is achieved by plotting the field derivative of the magnetization at T = 300 mK (inset of Figure 4) as function of the magnetic field. For this temperature and sweep rate the hysteresis shows three distinct peaks, which are associated to three different relaxation mechanisms in this sample. It will be argued below that peaks 2 and 3 are associated with 1D and 3D magnetic dynamics, respectively, while peak 1 corresponds to the zero-field susceptibility, which decreases when increasing temperature. Moreover, the analysis of peak 1 as a function of the angle of application of the external magnetic field is used to determine the orientation of the magnetic symmetry axes. Figure 5(a) depicts a polar plot showing the

modulation of the zero-field susceptibility (i.e. height of peak 1) at 230 mK as a function of the angle of application of the magnetic field with respect to the crystallographic *c*-axis. An angle increment of 10 degrees was used. Within the errors associated with the crystal orientation ( $\pm 5$  degrees), the observed 2-fold modulation, with minima along 90° - 270° and maxima along 0 - 180°, evidences a magnetic symmetry axis directed along the crystallographic *c*-axis, i.e. the magnetic easy axis lies parallel to the chains (long crystal axis).



**Figure 5.** (a) Angle dependence of the zero-field susceptibility calculated from the field derivative of the magnetic hysteresis obtained at 230 mK, with the field rotation in the ac plane. The starting angle corresponds to the magnetic field applied along the long axis of the crystal (c-axis). The maxima at 0-180° reveal the magnetic easy axis of the complex lying parallel to the molecular chains. (b) Combination of orthogonal easy-plane anisotropies (formed by the four Cl ions) to give an overall easy anisotropy axis along the axes of the chains (c-axis) as a result of the inter-chain exchange interaction.

The uniaxial anisotropy observed in this sample is difficult to reconcile with the symmetry of the local coordination of the Co<sup>II</sup> atoms, which consist of four neighboring Cl ions

forming a plane parallel to the chain's axis and two N ions forming an axis perpendicular to the Cl-plane, and consequently to the axis of the chain. Thus, we instead propose an easy-plane type local anisotropy for the Co, with the easy magnetic plane composed of four Cl ions, and containing chain axis. Since adjacent chains are rotated by 90 degrees with respect to the *c*-axis, the corresponding easy magnetic planes of the Co ions in different chains are orthogonal to each other. As a result of the weak inter-chain exchange interaction, the two orthogonal easy-planes will combine to develop an overall easy magnetic axis along the chain axis, which is the only "easy" direction for the Co ions in all chains, as illustrated in Figure 5(b).

*Three-dimensional magnetic correlations.* The evolution of the dM/dH maxima (peaks 1-3 in Figure 4 inset) with temperature is shown in Figure 6. Obviously, peak 1 (the zero-field susceptibility) does not shift with temperature, whereas peak 2 decreases slightly, as the temperature increases. As mentioned above, this peak relates to 1D hysteretic dynamics intrinsic to the SCMs and survives at high temperatures (T > 1 K), and it will be discussed in the following section. We focus here on the distinct temperature behavior of peak 3, which appears only below 0.45 K and whose field position quickly grows with decreasing temperature until saturating at  $H_{max} = 0.142$  T. Within the mean-field theory one can calculate the temperature dependence of the reduced magnetization parameter  $m = M/M_s$  from the following expression:  $F\left(\frac{2JSm}{k_BT}\right) = m$ , with  $F(x) = 2S + \frac{1}{2s}Coth\left[2S + \frac{x}{2s}\right] - \frac{1}{2s}Coth\left[\frac{x}{2s}\right]$ . Since the magnetization value at a given field is directly related to the coercive field (represented by peak 3 in this case), data in Figure 6 are well reproduced by the same model, multiplying *m* by the saturation coercive field  $H_{max}$  (continuous red line). However, this model assumes that all Co ions are coupled by the same exchange parameter, whether or not in the same chain, so the extracted value of the

exchange constant has no physical meaning in this context, and the model is basically used here to qualitatively show the characteristic ordering response of the system. This behavior is characteristic of a magnetic phase transition, with the ordering parameter vanishing at the transition temperature,  $T_c \sim 0.45$  K, which we associate to 3D magnetic ordering as a result of weak inter-chain exchange interactions. We exclude dipolar coupling as the possible origin since 3D ordering is not observed in **2**, which displays almost identical structural characteristics.



**Figure 6.** Magnetic phase diagram of 1. The symbols indicate the position of the peaks observed in the field derivative of the magnetic hysteresis loops of Figure 4. The solid red line is the theoretical mean field estimation of the reduced magnetization parameter, assuming chains formed by  $S_{eff} = 1/2$  spins.

A quick estimation of the magnitude of the inter-chain exchange interactions giving rise to the 3D ordering observed in this material at low temperatures can be obtained using meanfield theory and assuming chains formed by  $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$  spins. In this case, using J = 2.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the intra-chain coupling and the critical temperature  $T_c = 0.45$  K, we estimate the inter-chain coupling *J* using the Oguchi's model [27], where  $J' = 3I(\eta)k_BT_C/4S(S+1)$ , with  $\eta = |J|/|J| \approx 0.008$ ,  $I(\eta) \sim 7$ , and therefore  $|J'| \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Although weak, the inter-chain interactions have a profound influence on the establishment of 3D magnetic order. The mechanism leading to full order could be inhibited by the (slow) dynamics of the 1D excitations. Besides, the ordering process should also be readily disturbed by the presence of lattice defects that prevent the formation and movement of domain walls along the chains. The experimental evidence for the onset of 3D magnetic ordering should be very dependent on the initial conditions of temperature and applied field, which could concur with freezing-in some amount of disorder during the ordering process.

The slow relaxation of the magnetization is further explored with zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) magnetic susceptibility experiments performed at a fixed magnetic field applied along the easy axis direction (parallel to the chains). In our ZFC measurement, the sample is cooled down to 34 mK in the absence of a magnetic field. Then at a given field  $H_{ZFC}$ , the temperature is increased up to 1.4 K while the sample magnetization is monitored. Subsequently, the sample is cooled again without eliminating the measuring field, and the FC measurement is performed. Figure 7a shows the ZFC-FC magnetization obtained in the presence of a measuring field  $H_{ZFC-FC} = 50$  G. A clear departure between the ZFC (solid black circles) and FC (open red circles) curves occurs below  $T_c \sim 0.45$  K, coinciding with the 3D phase transition temperature extracted from the magnetic hysteresis. Moreover, ZFC-FC measurements were carried out for different values of the  $H_{ZFC-FC}$ . Figure 7b shows the dependence of the transition temperature on the measuring field, decreasing slowly as the field increases until abruptly vanishing (not observable at T > 34 mK) for fields over  $\sim 0.14$  T. This field value coincides with the saturation coercive field observed in the magnetic hysteresis (solid circles in Figure 6).

However, as we show in the following section by means of ac susceptibility, the 1D magnetic dynamics within the chains are well described with an Arrhenius law (see Figure 8d), from which a dc blocking temperature of  $T_B \sim 0.56$  K would be expected, qualitatively coinciding with the temperature of the transition between the ZFC and FC curves in Figure 7a. Although it is important to note that a distinct frequency-independent peak appears around 0.4 K in the ac susceptibility data, also coinciding with the transition in the ZFC-FC data. These observations may imply an interconnection between 1D intra-chain and 3D inter-chain dynamics in this system, as we discuss below.



**Figure 7.** (a) ZFC-FC measurement of a single crystal of  $Co^{II}$  SCMs in the presence of a measuring magnetic field  $H_{ZFC-FC} = 50$  G. (b) Behavior of the transition temperature as a function of the field applied for the ZFC-FC measurements. A critical field  $H_c \sim 0.14$  T is observed, coinciding with the saturation field position of peak 3 in the hysteresis loops.

In view of the dc magnetization studies that evidence a magnetic phase transition occurring below  $T_c \sim 0.45$  K, we decided to investigate further the role of magnetic correlations by means of specific heat  $c_p$ , measurements. Figure 8 shows  $c_p$  for 1, as collected for H = 0. The non-magnetic vibronic specific heat contributes above liquid-helium temperatures, whereas the magnetic contribution is responsible for a broad feature that develops itself in the low temperature region. This behavior neatly contrasts with that expected for a magnetic phase transition, viz., a sharp singularity at the ordering temperature. Furthermore, the low temperature  $c_p$  is characterized by relatively small values, which do not exceed ~ 0.16 R. Below 1 K,  $c_p$ decreases linearly down to the lowest investigated temperature. The small specific heat reflects itself in a low entropy content at low temperature (inset of Figure 8). The temperature dependent entropy has been calculated using the expression  $S(T)/R = \int_0^T c_p(T)/T dT$ , combined with the linear extrapolation of  $c_p$  down to absolute zero. We note that this magnetic entropy remains substantially below the value expected for S = 1/2 spin, i.e.,  $R\ln(2S+1) = 0.69$  R. This indicates that spins are unable to achieve thermal equilibrium with the lattice during the time of each single measurement, (typically dozens of seconds) and it further explains why the specific heat maximum is relatively broad. In fact, by using the anisotropic exchange parameters derived from the magnetic data, a sharper maximum placed at about 2 K and at higher values of  $c_p$  (about 0.5 R) has been calculated (see Figure 8). Therefore, the frozen-in entropy can be associated with the slow relaxation of the magnetization, as encountered not only in SCMs but also, more generally, in magnetic systems exhibiting spin-glass-like dynamics. Indeed, these specific heat features and the linear temperature dependence for the lowest temperatures are also found in spin glasses [28]. Finally, and most importantly, the fact that spins are off-equilibrium explains why the experimental  $c_p$  fails to detect any sharp anomaly at the 3D ordering temperature. This

phenomenon has already been studied, both theoretically [29] and experimentally [30], in single-molecule magnets, whose underlying physics so closely relate to that of single-chain magnets.



**Figure 8.** Zero-field molar specific heat, normalized to the gas constant, for  $Co^{II}$  SCMs. Below 1 K, the magnetic contribution to  $c_p$  is well described by a linear temperature dependence, i.e.,  $c_p/T = 0.15R$  (dashed line). The behavior of an anisotropic chain with the parameters derived from the magnetic susceptibility data are reported as dotted line. Inset: molar entropy for H = 0, as obtained from the  $c_p$  data.

<u>One-dimensional dynamics</u>. Above the 3D ordering temperature, T > 0.45 K, and temperatures upto 2 K, 1 shows simpler hysteresis loops, where only peaks 1 (zero field) and 2 are observed (see Figure 4). The slow dynamics for fields below the coercive field represented by peak 2 and above the phase transition temperature must be associated to intra-chain relaxation processes (1D dynamics). To probe this dynamics ac magnetic susceptibility studies were performed. Figure 9 shows the in-phase  $\chi'$  and out-of-phase  $\chi''$  susceptibility components are recorded at different



frequencies as a function of temperature. A maximum in the out-of-phase susceptibility is expected when the angular frequency  $2\pi v$  equals the rate of a given relaxation process.

**Figure 9.** In-phase (a-c) and out-of-phase (b-d) susceptibilities of  $Co^{II}$  SCMs 1 obtained at different frequencies as a function of temperature and collected for dc field H = 0 (a-b) and  $\mu_0 H = 0.1 T$  (c-d). Inset to d: semi-logarithmic plot of the predominant relaxation time vs. reciprocal temperature. The straight line is the Arrhenius fit, which provides  $\Delta = 13.5$  K for the activation energy and  $\tau_0 = 4 \times 10^{-9}$  s for the pre-exponential factor.

For zero applied field both in-phase and out-of-phase components of the susceptibility show a relatively broad anomaly, which extends from 1 K to 3 K (Figure 9 (a-b)). A closer inspection reveals that this anomaly is the sum of two contributions, likely centered at  $T \approx 1.5$  K for v = 316 Hz and rapidly shifting towards higher temperatures with increasing v, and a second

one centered near  $\approx 2.1$  K, respectively. Unfortunately, the relatively small temperature separation between these two contributions does not permit us to study their experimental behavior individually. On applying a static magnetic field of 0.1 T, the two contributions separate mutually (Figure 9 (c-d)). On the one hand, the applied field almost completely suppresses the anomaly initially centered at  $\approx 2.1$  K. We are not in a position to provide an explanation for the origin of this peak. On the other hand, the anomaly initially centered at  $\approx 1.5$ K remains in the 1 - 2 K range, while showing clearly its dependence on the ac excitation frequency. The relaxation time of a thermal activation process is usually described with the Arrhenius law, i.e.,  $\tau = \tau_0 \exp(\Delta/k_{\rm B}T)$ , where  $\tau = 2\pi v$ ,  $\tau_0$  is the pre-exponential factor and  $\Delta$  is the activation energy. A fit of the cusp in the out-of-phase susceptibility  $\chi''$  observed in field at 1-2K to the above equation provides  $\Delta = 13.5$  K and  $\tau_0 = 4 \times 10^{-9}$  s (see inset of Figure 9). We tentatively associate this feature to spin excitations within the chains, in spite of the fact that  $\Delta$  is significantly larger than the correlation energy  $\Delta_{\xi} \approx 4.1$  K, estimated from the dc susceptibility data. The difference can be due to the fact that the anisotropy of Co<sup>II</sup> is not purely Ising-like, thus the activation energy does not necessarily equal  $\Delta_{\xi}$ , and to contributions associated with singleion excitations,  $\Delta_A$ , as expected for 1D segments, e.g., limited by crystalline defects. Also, we cannot rule out that inter-chain interactions, despite their weakness, might play a small influence on the intra-chain magnetic dynamics.

The magnetic relaxation in this compound is particularly complicated and it can also be deduced by inspecting the very low-temperature ac susceptibility, since additional features can be identified besides the aforementioned ones. Note that the maximum absorption of a faster relaxation mechanism takes place at temperatures lower than 0.1 K (Figure 9), which are not experimentally accessible for our measurements. This feature results in relatively large values of

the in-phase and out-of-phase susceptibilities that nearly hinder a smaller anomaly centered at  $\approx$  0.4 K. As revealed by the in-field out-of-phase susceptibility measurements, the temperature at which this anomaly takes place does not depend on the ac frequency. This is a clear signature of the onset of 3D correlations, which we have already observed by  $\mu$ -HEM.

The extracted values for **2** are similar, indicating that both compounds are composed of comparable single-chain magnets. However, **2** does not show 3D ordering down to the lowest temperature available in the magnetization experiments (34 mK), which we attribute to a different halogen-halogen contacts between the chains as a result of the different nature of the halogens (Br and Cl) employed in the synthesis.

**Crossover between 1D and 3D dynamics.** Once the two main relaxation dynamics of **1** have been identified, i.e. 3D ordering below 0.45 K as a result of weak inter-chain exchange interactions and 1D dynamics associated to propagation of domain walls within the chains, we focus now the attention on the remarkable possibility to transit in between the two mentioned regimes by tuning the sweep rate of the applied magnetic field. Figure 10 shows magnetic hysteresis loops obtained at 34 mK (well below the phase transition temperature) obtained for field sweep rates ( $\alpha = dH/dt$ ) ranging from 0.05 T/min to 0.45 T/min. The hysteresis is strongly field sweep rate dependent. For convenience, the three interesting phenomena discussed above are highlighted as 1, 2 and 3 in Figure 10 (in relation to the corresponding peaks in the dM/dH in Figure 4). At the lowest sweep rate (black curve in Figure 10), the magnetization only shows signs of peaks 1 and 3, the zero-field susceptibility and the 3D ordering process. Peak 2, related to intra-chain 1D dynamics, is completely absent. As the sweep rate is increased, signs of 1D dynamics (peak 2) start to emerge, while the slow 3D dynamics (large hysteresis delimited by

peak 3) faints, eventually vanishing for rates over 0.25 T/min, for which peak 2 dominates the slow magnetic relaxation without further change at higher rates.



*Figure 10.* Magnetic hysteresis loops obtained at 34 mK by sweeping the magnetic field along the crystallographic c-axis at different rates,  $\alpha$ .

The crossover between the two regimes is more clearly observed in Figure 11, where the magnitude of the three dM/dH peaks is plotted as a function of the field sweep rate at 34 mK. Note that the fading of peak 3 as peak 2 emerges does not imply that the source of 3D ordering is not present, since the inter-chain interactions remain intact and the temperature of the experiment is well below the phase transition temperature. Indeed, it is a direct consequence of the 1D dynamics taking over the relaxation at high sweep rates and making the magnetization to reverse overcoming the 3D interactions. In other words, below the transition temperature and provided enough time is given to the system to accommodate during relaxation (slow sweep rates), the inter-chain interactions will fully develop and keep the system ordered until the corresponding reversal field ( $H_c = 0.14$  K at 34 mK), when the Zeeman energy overcomes the inter-chain

coupling. However, if the field is swept at a fast rate, faster than the characteristic rate of the 3D ordering, the intra-chain dynamics prevents the system from ordering.



*Figure 11. Maximum, of the susceptibility from the magnetization as function of the applied magnetic field sweep rate. The symbols dictate the experimental data whereas the solid lines are guides for the eyes.* 

The critical sweep rate, above which the 1D dynamics start governing the relaxation (appearance of peak 2 in Figure 11), is  $\alpha_c \sim 0.1$  T/min. Attending to the parameters used to fit the high temperature susceptibility data, this rate corresponds to a critical energy rate  $\frac{1}{k_B} \frac{dE_c}{dt} = g\mu_B S\alpha = 0.24$  K/min. Note that at this rate the reversal due to the 1D dynamics (peak 2,  $H_{p2} = 0.018$  T) is reached in 0.18 minutes (i.e. ~11 s), which illustrates a lower bound for the characteristic time associated to the 3D magnetic ordering process. If the system is allowed to organize for a longer period (by sweeping slower), inter-chain exchange interactions will cause 3D magnetic ordering. Note that for the typical time needed to sweep through the observed

hysteresis loops at the critical sweep rate, the characteristic critical energy,  $\frac{E_c}{k_B} \sim 0.5$  K, is comparable with the ordering temperature, T = 0.45 K.

## CONCLUSIONS

Two Co-based single-chain magnets with formula *trans*-[CoCl<sub>2</sub>(3,5-X<sub>2</sub>py)<sub>2</sub>], with subtle structural differences have been studied. The use of different halogens, X = Br (1) and X = Cl (2), allowed tuning of the inter-chain interactions within the crystal. Detailed magnetic study revealed the system to be of easy-axis type with the easy axis lying along the chains. Additionally, the hysteresis reveal multiple relaxation phenomena, further investigated and proved using ac susceptibility and specific heat measurements. Remarkably, a crossover between 1D and 3D magnetic dynamics is obtained by varying the sweep rate and magnitude of the applied magnetic field. This change in behavior may allow designing new molecular materials with a magnetic response, which depends on the characteristic time of the input stimulus.

## **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### **Corresponding authors**

\*Email: delbarco@ucf.edu.

\*Email: <u>guillermo.minguez@uv.es</u>.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge fruitful discussions with Stephen Hill. A.A. and E.d.B acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (DMR#1503627). G.M.E., J.M.C.-J. and E.C. acknowledge the Spanish MINECO (Excellence Unit Maria de Maeztu MDM-2015-

0538 and projects MAT2014-56143-R, CTQ2014-29509-P and CTQ2014-52758-P, and the excellence network NANOMOLNet on molecular nanoscience MAT2014-52919-REDC), the EU (COST Action MOLSPIN) and the Generalidad Valenciana (Prometeo Program). F. L. and M. E. acknowledge funding from the MINECO-FEDER grant MAT2015-68204-R and from Gobierno de Aragón (E98-MOLCHIP).

### REFERENCES

- R. Clérac, H. Miyasaka, M. Yamashita, and C. Coulon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 12837 (2002).
- [2] A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi, N. Lalioti, C. Sangregorio, R. Sessoli, G. Venturi, A. Vindigni, A. Rettori, M. G. Pini and M. A. Novak, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 40, 1760 (2001).
- [3] C. Coulon, R. Clérac, W. Wernsdorfer, T. Colin and H. Miyasaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 167204 (2009).
- [4] C. Coulon, H. Miyasaka and R. Clérac, Structure and Bonding (Berlin) Single-Molecule Magnets and Related Phenomena; Structure and Bonding, 163-206, (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006).
- [5] D. Gatteschi and A. Vindigni in *Molecular Magnets Physics and Applications*, edited by J. Bartolomé, F. Luis and J. F. Fernández, (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2014), Chap., 8.
- [6] J. K. L. da Silva, A. G. Moreira, M. S. Soares and F. C. Sá Barreto, Phys. Rev. E. 52, 4527 (1995).
- [7] J. H. Luscombe, M. Luban, and J. P. Reynolds, Phys. Rev. E. 53, 5852 (1996).
- [8] L. Bogani, A. Vindigni, R. Sessoli and D. Gatteschi, J. Mater. Chem. 18, 4750 (2008).
- [9] R. Sessoli, D. Gatteschi, A. Caneschi and M. A. Novak, Nature. 365, 141 (1993).
- [10] J. R. Friedman, M. P. Sarachik, J. Tejada and R. Ziolo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3830 (1996).
- [11] J. Tejada, B. Barbara and E. M. Chudnovsky, in *Molecular Magnets Physics and Applications*, edited by J. Bartolomé, F. Luis and J. F. Fernández, (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2014), Chap., 1-3.
- [12] O. Kahn, Molecular Magnetism (VCH publishers, 1993).
- [13] R. Sessoli, Angew. Chem. Int. Edn. 47, 5508 (2008).
- [14] H. Sun, Z. Wang and S. Gao, Coord. Chem. Rev. 254, 1081 (2010).
- [15] H. Miyasaka, K. Takayama, A. Saitoh, S. Furukawa and H. Yamashita, Chem. Eur. J. 16, 3656 (2010).

- [16] D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli and J. Villain, *Molecular Nanomagnets* (Oxford University Press, 2006).
- [17] H. Miyasaka and M. Yamashita, Dalton Trans. 4, 399 (2007).
- [18] J. M. Clemente-Juan, E. Coronado, G. Mínguez Espallargas, H. Adams, L. Brammer, Cryst. Eng. Comm. 12, 2339 (2010).
- [19] P. Metrangolo, F. Meyer, T. Pilati, G. Resnati and G. Terraneo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47(33), 6114 (2008).
- [20] L. Brammer, G. Mínguez Espallargas and S. Libri, Cryst. Eng. Comm. 10, 1712 (2008).
- [21] R. Sessoli, M.-E. Boulon, A. Caneschi, M. Mannini, L. Poggini, F. Wilhelm and A. Rogalev, Nature Phys., 11, 69 (2015).
- [22] A. Amjad, G. M. Espallargas, J. Liu, J. M. Clemente-Juan, E. Coronado, S. Hill and E. Del Barco, Polyhedron 66, 218 (2013).
- [23] A. D. Kent, S. von Molnar, S. Gider, and D. D. Awschalom, J. Appl. Phys. 76, 6656 (1994).
- [24] A. Morello et al., Phys. Rev. B. 73, 134406 (2006).
- [25] M. Evangelisti, F. Luis, L. J. de Jongh and M. Affronte, J. Mater. Chem. 16, 2534 (2006).
- [26] A. Abragam, B. Bleaney, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions*; (Dover Publications, New York, 1986).
- [27] T. Oguchi, Phys. Rev. 133, A1098 (1964).
- [28] K. Binder and A. P. Young, Rev. Mod. Phys. 58, 801 (1986).
- [29] J. F. Fernandez, Phys. Rev. B. 66, 064423 (2002).
- [30] M. Evangelisti, F. Luis, F. L. Mettes, N. Aliaga, G. Aromi', J. J. Alonso, G. Christou and L. J. de Jongh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 117202 (2004).