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We study the disordered Heisenberg spin chain, which exhibits many body localization at strong disorder, in the weak to moderate disorder regime. A continued fraction calculation of dynamical correlations is devised, using a variational extrapolation of recurrents. Good convergence for the infinite chain limit is shown. We find that the local spin correlations decay at long times as $C \sim t^{-\beta}$, while the conductivity exhibits a low frequency power law $\sigma \sim \omega^\alpha$. The exponents depict sub-diffusive behavior $\beta < 1/2, \alpha > 0$ at all finite disorders, and convergence to the scaling result, $\alpha + 2\beta = 1$, at large disorders.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Single band disordered electrons in one dimension are localized at all temperatures\(^1\). In the presence of interaction\(^2\) recent progress has shown the existence of a many-body localized (MBL) phase at strong disorders, even for high temperatures, while a transition to a delocalized phase occurs as the disorder is weakened\(^3-7\). This MBL phase is marked by a slow logarithmic growth of entanglement entropy after a quench\(^5,8,9\), and the emergence of local integrals of motion\(^10-13\). Certain features of many-body localization have already been experimentally observed in cold atomic systems\(^14,15\) and trapped ions\(^16\), while a theoretical renormalization group analysis\(^17,18\) predicts a continuous MBL transition characterized by a diverging dynamical critical exponent.

At finite but weak disorder, a delocalized thermal phase that exhibits sub-diffusive transport was found\(^6,19-24\). Specifically, the local spin excess decays in time as $t^{-\beta}$, where $\beta < 1/2$ and was seen to vanish continuously at the MBL transition. To account for this sub-diffusive behavior, a Griffiths mechanism was proposed\(^21\), where the long time dynamics are dominated by the existence of rare but large insulating regions. In the clean limit, at high temperature, spin transport is believed to have a diffusive component\(^25-27\), i.e. $\beta = 1/2$, with a small or possibly vanishing Drude weight\(^28,29\). An important question remains: Is there a finite diffusive interval in the weak disorder regime?

The long-time response of the delocalized phase is difficult to access with current numerical tools. Exact Diagonalization (ED) is limited to small chains of order 20 sites, and Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) requires a short entanglement length, which is characteristic of the MBL phase but not the delocalized regime. Therefore, answering this question requires a different approach.

In this article, we calculate the infinite temperature dynamical correlations, using a newly developed method: Variational Extrapolation of Recurrents (VER). Our ap-
proach uses the continued fraction representation. A large, but finite, set of recurrences is computed by tracing over commutators of the Hamiltonian with the relevant spin operators. The remaining recurrences require an extrapolation scheme. Here, we extend the commonly used Gaussian termination approximation\cite{Bursill2010,Cubitt2012} to a family of variational functions chosen to satisfy general physical considerations (i.e. positivity, high frequency decay, etc.) The accuracy of VER functions and their convergence with the number of computed recurrences, is tested and discussed.

We compute the local dynamical spin correlation function and the ac-conductivity of the one dimensional random field Heisenberg model. Our main results are: (i) In the clean limit, the local spin correlations decay with \( \beta = 0.541 \pm 0.065 \), which confirms the expected diffusive behavior. Our method achieves a much higher accuracy than previous estimates of \( \beta = 0.37 \pm 0.1 \)\cite{Serbyn2013,Oganesyan2011}. (ii) At finite disorder, the spin transport is sub-diffusive throughout the delocalized regime (See Figures 1, 2). The ac-conductivity exponent \( (\sigma(\omega) \sim \omega^\alpha) \) is consistent with the scaling relation \( \alpha + 2\beta = 2 \). Thus, we conclude that there is no diffusive phase at any finite disorder.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we introduce the random field Heisenberg model and the response functions we study. Section 3 introduces the continued fraction representation of the correlation functions and explains the VER algorithm under generic settings. Section 4 describes the results obtained by the VER method and provides a comparison with an extensive ED study. In addition, we discuss the error estimation of the extrapolation scheme. Finally, we conclude in Sec. 5 where we discuss the strengths and limitations of the method.

2. MODEL AND OBSERVABLES

We study the dynamical response of the random field Heisenberg model, which serves as a minimal model of disordered and interacting fermions or hard core bosons in one dimension\cite{Oganesyan2011}.

\[ \mathcal{H} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + \sum_{i} h_i S^z_i \tag{1} \]

with \( \vec{S}_i \) a spin-half operator. The local magnetic fields \( h_i \) are independent and distributed uniformly \( h_i \in [-h, h] \). The infinite temperature local autocorrelation function is

\[ C(t) = 2^{-N} \text{Tr} \left( S^z_{N/2}(t) S^z_{N/2}(0) \right) \sim t^{-\beta} \tag{2} \]

where \( N \) is the number of sites, the time dependence of an operator is due to the Heisenberg picture, and the dynamical conductivity at high temperature \( T \) in the Lehmann representation is

\[ T \sigma(\omega) = \pi 2^{-N} \sum_{n \neq m} |\langle n | I | m \rangle|^2 \delta(E_n - E_m - \omega) \tag{3} \]

where \( n, m \) are the eigenstates of the system and \( E_n, E_m \) the corresponding eigenenergies. The spin current operator is

\[ I \equiv 4JN^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (S^x_i S^y_{i+1} - S^y_i S^x_{i+1}). \tag{4} \]

If we add and subtract the \( n = m \) term in Eq. (3), we can write the conductivity as

\[ T \sigma(\omega) = N \text{Im} \left\{ 2^{-N} \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{\omega - \mathcal{L} + i0^+} I \right) \right\} - \pi N 2^{-N} \delta(\omega) \sum_{n} |\langle n | I | n \rangle|^2; \tag{5} \]

where \( \mathcal{L} \) is the Liouvillian, which acts on an operator as \( \mathcal{L} A = [\mathcal{H}, A] \).

Note that \( \sigma(\omega) \) is a long wavelength response of the system, while \( C(t) \) is highly local. At the MBL transition\cite{Luitz2015,Mong2016,Abanin2013}, it is expected that diffusion will be arrested and \( \beta \rightarrow 0 \). According to Ref\cite{Luitz2015}, in the delocalized regime, if space and time scales are simply related, then the structure factor will obey \( C(q, \omega) \sim \omega^{-1} g(q/\omega^z) \) where \( g(q/\omega^z) \) is a universal scaling function. This implies that the dynamical critical exponent is \( z = 1/\beta \). By the continuity equation, the \( q \)-dependent conductivity obeys \( \sigma(q, \omega) \sim \omega^z \left( \frac{2}{q} C(q, \omega) \right) \). Thus,
it follows that $\sigma(q = 0, \omega) \sim \omega^{1-2\beta}$, which results in the scaling relation

$$\alpha + 2\beta = 1. \quad (6)$$

Here, we compute $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as a function of the disorder strength $h/J$.

### 3. NUMERICAL METHOD

#### 3.1. Continued Fraction Representation

The autocorrelations$^{33}$ of any operator $O$ at $T \to \infty$ are described by

$$C(t) = 2^{-N} \text{Tr}(O(t)O(0)) \quad (7)$$

The imaginary part of the Fourier transform of $C(t)$, $C''(\omega)$, defines a set of moments

$$\mu_{2k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \omega^{2k} C''(\omega), \quad k = 0, 1, 2 \ldots \infty \quad (8)$$

which can be computed at infinite temperature as traces of operators

$$\mu_{2k} = 2^{-N} \text{Tr}(O^l L^{2k} O) \quad (9)$$

These moments (Eq. [3]) are the Taylor expansion coefficients of $C(t)$. Quite surprisingly, the same moments also encode information about long timescales, i.e. low frequency fluctuations.

The continued fraction representation of the complex correlation function$^{30,37,38}$ is

$$C(z) = 2^{-N} \text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{z - \mathcal{L} O}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2\mu_0}{z - \frac{\Delta_1^2}{z - \frac{\Delta_2^2}{z - \cdots}}} \quad (10)$$

Setting $z \to \omega + i0^+$ defines

$$C(z = \omega + i0^+) = C'(\omega) - iC''(\omega) \quad (11)$$

where $C'$, $C''$ are the real and imaginary parts of $C(z)$ and are related by a Hilbert (Kramers-Kronig) transform. Any finite set of recurrences $\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots \Delta_{n_{\text{max}}}\}$ is algebraically determined by the same number of moments $\{\mu_2, \mu_4, \ldots \mu_{2n_{\text{max}}}\}$. It is easy to see that the local spin correlation function (which is the Fourier transform of Eq. [2]), and the finite frequency conductivity, Eq. [5], can both be expressed as continued fractions.

In the following, we consider a lattice with periodic boundary conditions of length $N > n_{\text{max}}$. This ensures that after applying $\mathcal{L}^{n_{\text{max}}}$ on $O$, none of the generated operators encircle the chain, hence finite size effects are avoided.

#### 3.2. Recurrents Calculation

To reconstruct the response function, $C(\omega)$, using a continued fraction (Eq. [10]) approach, we must calculate the recurrences $\Delta_n$. This is achieved by a Gram-Schmidt procedure in the Operator Hilbert Space (OHS).

The OHS is spanned by spin-half operators of the form $S_i^{a_1} S_i^{a_2} \ldots S_i^{a_k}$. Where $i_k$ is a lattice site index and $a_i = x, y, z$. The infinite temperature inner product between two operators $A, B$ belonging to the OHS is defined as

$$(A, B) = 2^{-N} \text{Tr}[A^\dagger B] \quad (12)$$

where $N$ is the number of sites. Following these definitions we recursively construct a set of orthogonal operators $\{\hat{O}_1\}$ using a Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization

$$\hat{O}_{n+1} = c_{n+1} \left(\mathcal{L} \hat{O}_n - \Delta_n \hat{O}_{n-1}\right)$$

$$\Delta_n = \left(\hat{O}_n, \mathcal{L} \hat{O}_{n-1}\right)$$

$$c_{n+1} = \left[\left(\hat{O}_n, \mathcal{L}^2 \hat{O}_n\right) - |\Delta_n|^2\right]^{-1/2} \quad (13)$$

with $\Delta_0 = 0$ and $c_n$ is a normalization factor. $\mathcal{L}$ is the Liouvillian defined by $\mathcal{L}A = [\mathcal{H}, A]$, square brackets stand for a commutator.

Returning to our case, for the local spin correlations $\hat{O}_0 = S_i^z$, and for the conductivity $\hat{O}_0 = (I, I)^{-1/2} I$ with the spin current operator defined in Eq. (4).

![FIG. 3: (Color online) The VER calculation of the local spin correlations. Blue circles are the calculated recurrences $\Delta_n^2$ for a particular disorder realization of strength $h$. Red circles are variational recurrences $\Delta_n^2$. Green solid lines are the extrapolated higher order recurrences within the VER scheme (see text). The vertical displacement is artificial, where $\Delta_0 = 0$. Even - odd alternation of the recurrences reflect the asymptotic low frequency power law of the correlation function.](image-url)
3.3. Variational Extrapolation of Recurrents (VER) Procedure

To evaluate Eq. (10), for any frequency $\omega$, the full (infinite) set of moments or recurrences is needed. In practice, only a finite number of recurrences can be computed using this procedure since repeatedly applying the Liouvilian leads to a factorial growth in the number of operators in $O_n$. To see that, we note that applying the Liouvillian on a specific 1-product of $l \leq n$ operators in $O_n$ may result in: (i) Addition of a single spin-half operator to the 1-product. (ii) There are of order $l$ new operators generated for each 1-product.

As a result, we can only compute numerically a finite set of recurrences, up to order $n_{\text{max}}$ and must develop an extrapolation scheme for the higher order recurrences, $n_{\text{max}} < n < \infty$.

In general, the continued fraction expansion can be formally truncated using a complex termination function $T(z)$

$$ C(z) = \frac{2}{z - \Delta^2_1} \frac{1}{z - \Delta^2_2} \cdots \frac{1}{z - \Delta^2_{n_{\text{max}}}} (14) $$

Clearly, the termination function, $T(z)$, cannot be uniquely inferred given a finite set of low order recurrences. To restrict the functional search space we employ a variational approach. Explicitly, we introduce a complex variational response function, $\tilde{C}(z; \{\alpha_i\})$. The precise choice of the function $\tilde{C}(z; \{\alpha_i\})$ for different observables is discussed in Subsection 3.4.

$$ \tilde{C}(z; \{\alpha_i\}) = \frac{2}{z - \Delta^2_1} \frac{1}{z - \Delta^2_2} \cdots \frac{1}{z - \Delta^2_{n_{\text{max}}}} (15) $$

In the above equation, we also defined the complex variational termination function $\tilde{T}(z; \{\alpha_i\})$ through the continued fraction

Our task is to determine the variational parameters $\alpha_i$ from the numerically computed set of recurrences $\Delta_n$. Since $\tilde{C}''(\omega; \{\alpha_i\}) = -\text{Im} \left[ \tilde{C}(z = \omega + ic; \{\alpha_i\}) \right]$ is a known function, and its moments (Eq. (5)) have a closed form, the recurrences $\Delta^2_n$ can be computed numerically to arbitrary precision. This enables us to estimate the variational parameters $\alpha_i$ by performing a numerical least square minimization

$$ \chi^2 = \min_{\{\alpha_i\}} \sum_{n=n_{\text{min}}}^{n_{\text{max}}} \left( \Delta^2_n - \Delta^2_n(\{\alpha_i\}) \right)^2 (16) $$

Empirically, we found that the first few recurrences, $n < n_{\text{min}}$, exhibit a transient behavior that deviates from the asymptotic functional form in Eq. (15). For this reason, in Eq. (16), only recurrences with $n \geq n_{\text{min}}$ are considered in the numerical fit. In practice, we use $n_{\text{min}} = 3$ throughout our calculations. We illustrate this procedure in Fig. 3 where we compute the recurrences of the local spin susceptibility for a number of disorder strengths.

Having determined the variational parameters $\{\alpha_i\}$ we can now invert the continued fraction relation in Eq. (15) to obtain $\tilde{T}(z)$. Finally, in Eq. (14) we substitute $T(z)$ in favor of the variational termination function $\tilde{T}(z)$ to form our variational estimate, $C_{\text{VER}}(z)$, for the true response function.

The quality of fit and resulting error bars are determined by two criteria: (i) The magnitude of $\chi^2$, the least square fit between the computed and the variational recurrences (Eq. (16)). (ii) The convergence of $C_{\text{VER}}(\omega)$ with $n_{\text{max}}$. This will be discussed in detail in Subsection 4.2.

3.4. Choice of Variational Functions

For the local spin correlations we modified the variational function suggested by Ref. [29] in the context of clean Heisenberg chains. We use the positive variational functions,

$$ \tilde{C}'' = |\omega|^{\beta - 1} \exp \left\{ - \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \right\} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{4} c_n \left| \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \right|^n \right)^2 (17) $$

FIG. 4: (Color online) ED calculation of $T\sigma(\omega)$ for $N = 14$. Different disorder strengths, (red diamonds) $h/J = 0.5$, (blue circles) $h/J = 0.7$, and (green squares) $h/J = 1.0$ are shown. The structure of the functions resembles a Gaussian with a positive low frequency power law.
where $\omega_0, \lambda, \alpha, c_1, c_2, \ldots$ are the fitted parameters. The rationale for choosing Eq. (17), is based on physical arguments: Known dynamical correlators of similar lattice models (e.g. the $S = 1/2$ quantum XY model in one and two dimensions) exhibit Gaussian fall-off at high frequencies, with a scale parametrized by $\omega_0$. We allow for a non-Gaussian fall-off with a stretch parameter $\lambda$. At low frequencies, we allow for an arbitrary power law, which is parametrized by $\beta - 1$. In the presence of disorder, additional energy scales are expected. Therefore, Eq. (17) can incorporate extra peaks and frequency scales, using higher order polynomial coefficients $c_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Insight into the effects of $\omega_0, \beta$, is gained by examining the pure power law $\times$ Gaussian function (i.e. $c_n = 0, \lambda = 1$), whose recurrents are:

$$\Delta^2_{2k} = \omega_0^2 k, \quad \Delta^2_{2k+1} = \omega_0^2 (k + 1 - \beta/2)$$

Eq. (18) demonstrates two important points: (i) The average slope at high orders $k \to \infty$ depends on $\omega_0$. (ii) The even-odd alternations at finite $k$, reflect the low frequency parameter $\beta$. The case of the pure Gaussian ($\beta = 1$) has no even-odd alternations, $\Delta^2_n = \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 n$. In Fig. 3 we compare the exact recurrents $\Delta_n^2$ of the local spin auto-correlation function, to those of the VER scheme $\Delta_n^2$, for several different disorder realizations. Note the even-odd alternation of the recurrents, which signals the low frequency power law singularity of the correlation functions.

To obtain an educated guess for the functional form of the variational ansatz (Eq. (15)) for the AC conductivity we use ED on small systems, up to $N = 14$ sites. This ED calculation involves the full Hilbert space in order to comply with VER calculations which are not restricted to the $\sum_i S_i^z = 0$ sector. In Fig. 4 we depict $T\sigma(\omega)$ for different disorder realizations. We see that all curves can be modeled using a power law multiplying a Gaussian. In addition, in certain disorder realizations, we notice that the dynamical conductivity displays an additional shoulder at finite frequency. This effect is taken into account by adding a symmetric Gaussian whose center is shifted (Eq. (5)). The considerations above led us to this variational function:

$$T\sigma(\omega) = C \left| \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \right|^\alpha \exp \left( -\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}^{2/\lambda} \right) + B \exp \left( -\left( \frac{\omega + \omega_1}{\omega_2} \right)^2 \right) + \omega_1 \to -\omega_1,$$

(19)

In the clean limit, a spurious zero frequency delta function appears in the continued fraction because of the inclusion of the $n = m$ terms in Eq. (3). (A spurious zero frequency delta-function appears in ED calculations for a different reason.) At finite but weak disorder, the spurious delta function becomes negligible, which enables a good fit to our variational function Eq. (19).

4. RESULTS

4.1. Variational Extrapolation of Recurrents

We have computed the operator traces in Eq. (9) up to order $k = n_{\text{max}}$ for the local spin correlations, and the conductivity. For the clean Heisenberg model, with $n_{\text{max}} = 19$, the local spin correlations exhibit a low frequency power law,

$$C^\prime\prime_{\text{Heis}}(\omega) \sim \omega^{-0.459 \pm 0.065}$$

(20)

For finite disorder, the values of the exponent $\beta$ extracted from $C^\prime\prime(\omega) \sim \omega^{\beta-1}$, are presented in Fig. 4.
For each disorder strength, we use $10^3$ realizations and $n_{\text{max}} = 15$. The AC conductivity is defined on a lattice of size $N = 30$, while recurrents are computed up to order $n_{\text{max}} = 12$. The distribution of these exponents is discussed in Subsection 4.2.

We plot the disorder-averaged $\alpha, \beta$ in Fig. 1. Contrary to previous observations\textsuperscript{[21]}, but with some agreement with Ref.\textsuperscript{[8]} and larger scale ED results up to $N = 22$ (see Sec. 4.3 for full range of disorder strengths), we find no diffusion ($\beta = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha = 0$) for disorders with $0 < h \leq 1.5$. Instead, we find a single sub-diffusive phase ($\beta < 0.5, \alpha > 0$) which begins at arbitrarily weak disorder. We are unable to directly probe the MBL transition using VER, since beyond $h \gtrsim 1.5$ the relative errors in extracting $\alpha, \beta$ are greater than 25%. The critical disorder was estimated to be $h_{\text{MBL}} \approx 3.72, 20, 21$ and is consistent with a naive extrapolation of our results to stronger disorder.

The sum $\alpha + 2\beta$ is also plotted in Fig. 1. The scaling hypothesis (Eq. (6)) is verified in the stronger disorder regime. However, at weak disorder we note a systematic deviation from unity. We have no explanation for the deviation from scaling in this regime. We leave open the possibility that it might be an artifact of systematic errors in the VER scheme, and insufficient averaging over disorder realizations.

### 4.2. Convergence and Error Estimation

We investigate the stability of the VER scheme by increasing the number of recurrents $n_{\text{max}}$. Convergence of the local spin correlation function and the AC conductivity are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 respectively. For the latter, the VER extrapolation is compared directly with ED up to $N = 14$ and we observe that 13 recurrents are sufficient to recover the exact result. The finite DC conductivity seen in the ED calculation (Fig. 4) is an artifact arising from the delta function broadening parameter in Eq. (3).

The dynamical response of the clean Heisenberg limit is explored in Fig. 7. We deduce the diffusive exponent value $\beta = 0.5$ from the VER analysis of the first 19 recurrents. The error in the exponents, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, is estimated by the least square fit $\chi^2$ (Eq. (16)), and is found to be larger than the statistical error arising from disorder averaging.

It is instructive to explore the probability density function (PDF) of the extracted exponents and Fig. 8 depicts the numerically computed PDF of $\beta$ for different values of disorder. We note that in all cases the probability is peaked about its center. The width of the histogram is expected to decrease with system size and eventually vanish in the thermodynamic limit. We also note that, as expected, with increasing disorder, the weight flows toward the localized regime, i.e. $\beta \to 0$.

### 4.3. Exact Diagonalization

To benchmark the prediction of the VER scheme, we solve for Eq. (1) using exact diagonalization (ED) on large chains of size up to $N = 22$. We perform full diagonalization of the $\sum_i S_i^z = 0$ sector using a layered shift and invert spectral transformation. We utilize the SLEPC (Scalable Library for Eigenvalue Problem Computations) library to apply the transformation in a parallelized way and compute the time dependent local spin correlation function. The power law exponent, $\beta$, is fitted using a time window that begins after the initial transient, and ends before the appearance of finite size effects at long times, seen as flattening of the response function (see Fig. 2). We note that the ED results predicts sub-diffusive transport even at small disorder strengths,
h/J ~ 0.5. This is in qualitative agreement with the VER approach.

We emphasize that it is essential to study relatively large system sizes in order to probe the nature of the sub-diffusive Griffiths phase. For smaller system sizes, \( N \leq 14 \), one finds an exponent \( \beta > 0.5 \) for small disorders \( h < 1.0 \). This is a finite size artifact that arises from fitting the transient in the relatively short time windows before finite size features appear. Observing the scaling of \( C(t) \) as a function of system size reveals a systematic shift to lower exponents consistent with the VER approach and sub-diffusion at even small disorders.

5. DISCUSSION

The continued fraction describes, in principle, the infinite size lattice. It describes equally well the high and low frequency regimes. We have seen, in Eq. (18), that the asymptotic low frequency power law determines the alternation between the even and odd order recurrents. This can be observed even by looking at the behavior of the low order recurrents in Fig. 5.

In this work, similarly to previous studies, we focus solely on the infinite temperature limit. Due to the finite on-site Hilbert space, the energy density is bounded. As a result, at finite but large temperature, \( T \gg J \), the Kubo formula would receive relative corrections of order \( O(J/T)^2 \). Importantly, universal properties and in particular the sub-diffusive nature of transport, are expected to remain valid. Finite temperature corrections to transport can, in principle, be incorporated into the VER calculation. We leave this interesting line of research to future studies.

The VER method however has its limitations. Its accuracy depends on the choice of the variational family of trial functions. This can be improved by adding more parameters. Confidence in the resulting correlation function is increased by testing for convergence with \( n_{\text{max}} \). However, for interacting models, the cost of computing high order recurrents increases exponentially (or faster) with \( n_{\text{max}} \). Hence it is reassuring to find examples, such as the clean Heisenberg limit, where \( C_{\text{VER}} \) converges rapidly with \( n_{\text{max}} \), as shown in Fig. 5.

How does VER compare to existing numerical approaches? The computational costs of ED also increase exponentially, but with the lattice size. Hence, ED is limited by boundary effects, which dominate the long time behavior of the response functions. This is especially problematic in the delocalized, weak disorder regime. For example, ED calculations run into long time saturation especially in the weak disorder thermal phase (see inset of Fig. 2). Therefore ED and VER are complementary and suitable in different regimes - ED in the MBL phase, and VER for the ergodic phase.

The Numerical Linked Cluster (NLC) method addresses the thermodynamic limit by extrapolation. It is interesting to note that NLC works better in the localized regime while VER works in the delocalized phase, such that a combination of the two can be particularly powerful.

Our primary conclusion is the absence of diffusion for the disordered Heisenberg model at any finite disorder strength. This is indicated by \( \beta < 0.5 \) of the spin relaxation, and by \( \alpha > 0 \) of the conductivity. It is interesting to ask if this result depends on (i) Dimensionality - is there a diffusive phase in two and higher dimensions? and (ii) Integrability of the clean limit - Could the strong sensitivity to weak disorder be related to the extensive number of local conserved operators in the clean Heisenberg model? Answers to these questions demand additional studies. Based on the results above, we find the continued fraction VER method to be a promising route to address these questions.
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Appendix A: Recurrents to Moments and vice versa

The moments of the variational response function (Eq. (15)) are known in closed form. The recurrents can then be computed following the method suggested in Ref. 23 for converting moments to recurrents.

Moments to recurrents - given a set of moments \( \mu_{2k}, k = 0, \ldots, n_{\text{max}} \) with \( \mu_0 = 1 \). The recurrents \( \Delta^2_n \equiv \mu^{(n)}_{2n} \) are extracted from

\[
\mu^{(n)}_{2k} = \frac{\mu^{(n-1)}_{2k}}{\Delta^2_{n-1}} - \frac{\mu^{(n-2)}_{2(k-1)}}{\Delta^2_{n-2}}
\]

for \( n = 1, \ldots, n_{\text{max}} \) and \( k = m, \ldots, n_{\text{max}} \). With initial values \( \mu^{(0)}_{2k} = \mu_{2k}, \Delta^2_{-1} = \Delta^2_{0} = 1, \) and \( \mu^{(-1)}_{2k} = 0 \).

For completeness we add the inverse transformation, Recurrents to moments - given a set of recurrents \( \Delta^2_n, n = 1, \ldots, n_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Delta^2_{-1} = \Delta^2_{0} = 1, \) the moments \( \mu_{2n} \equiv \mu^{(0)}_{2n} \) are extracted from

\[
\mu^{(n)}_{2k} = \frac{\mu^{(n-1)}_{2k}}{\Delta^2_{n-1}} + \frac{\mu^{(n-2)}_{2(k-1)}}{\Delta^2_{n-2}}
\]
with $\mu_{2n}^{(n)} = \Delta_n^2$. For $n = k, k - 1, \ldots, 1$, $k = 1, \ldots, n_{\text{max}}$, and with initial values $\mu_{2k}^{(-1)} = 0$. 

34. There are very few cases for which $C(t)$ is known analytically. An exception is the quantum XY model (See Ref. 35).
37. H. Mori, Progress of Theoretical Physics 34, 399 (1965).