

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Effect of the magnon dispersion on the longitudinal spin Seebeck effect in yttrium iron garnets

Hyungyu Jin, Stephen R. Boona, Zihao Yang, Roberto C. Myers, and Joseph P. Heremans Phys. Rev. B **92**, 054436 — Published 27 August 2015

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.92.054436

The effect of the magnon dispersion on the longitudinal spin Seebeck effect in yttrium iron garnets (YIG)

Hyungyu Jin¹, Stephen R. Boona¹, Zihao Yang², Roberto C. Myers^{2,3,4}, and Joseph P. Heremans^{1,3,4}

- 1. Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 43210
- Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 43210
- 3. Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 43210
- 4. Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 43210

ABSTRACT

We study the temperature dependence of the longitudinal spin-Seebeck effect (LSSE) in a yttrium iron garnet $Y_3Fe_5O_{12}$ (YIG) / Pt system for samples of different thicknesses. In this system, the thermal spin torque is magnon-driven. The LSSE signal peaks at a specific temperature that depends on the YIG sample thickness. We also observe freeze-out of the LSSE signal at high magnetic fields, which we attribute to the opening of an energy gap in the magnon dispersion. We observe partial freeze-out of the LSSE signal even at room temperature, where k_BT is much larger than the gap. This suggests that a subset of the magnon population with an energy below k_BT_C ($T_C \sim 40$ K) contribute disproportionately to the LSSE; at temperatures above T_C , we label these magnons *subthermal magnons*. The *T*-dependence of the LSSE at temperatures below the maximum is interpreted in terms of a new empirical model that ascribes most of the temperature dependence to that of the thermally driven magnon flux.

INTRODUCTION

The spin Seebeck effect (SSE), first reported in 2008^{1} , is the experimental manifestation of thermal spin transfer torque. The $SSE^{2,3}$ can be understood as the process by which a spin current is generated by a temperature gradient ∇T in one material, where it is carried by either magnons or spinpolarized electrons, and this spin current then crosses the interface into an adjacent normal metal (NM). Due to strong spin-orbit interactions in the NM, this injected spin current generates an electric field E_{ISHE} via the inverse spin-Hall effect (ISHE). Since this electric field is related to ∇T , it is possible in the regime of linear relations to define a spin-Seebeck coefficient $S_{SSE} = E_{ISHE} / \nabla T$. The effect manifests itself in two geometries, the transverse spin-Seebeck effect (TSSE)^{1,4,5,6,7,8} and the longitudinal spin-Seebeck effect (LSSE)^{9,10,11}, in which the direction of the heat flux and of the spin flux are collinear and normal to the direction of the magnetization, respectively. In the latter geometry, a spin Peltier effect has been observed¹² to be the Onsager reciprocal of the LSSE. The potential issue of the admixture of a Nernst effect into the LSSE signal¹³ has also been addressed.^{14,15,16} The LSSE is well understood¹⁷ at this point; however, it is only applied to ferromagnetic insulators such as yttrium iron garnet Y₃Fe₅O₁₂ (YIG), since the geometry of the measurement means that LSSE signals are indistinguishable from classical Nernst signals if ferromagnetic conductors are used. The general theory for LSSE is given in Ref [17], and the effect in the YIG/Pt system is attributed to magnon transport.^{18,19,20,21} To explain this schematically, we can say that because there is a magnon contribution κ_M to the thermal conductivity,^{22,23} a magnon heat flux $\mathbf{j}_{QM} = -\kappa_M \nabla T$ exists in the presence of a temperature gradient, and thus there must also exist a flux of magnons, i.e., a spin or magnetization flux j_M . In the simplest possible approximation, by treating the magnons as an ideal gas and ignoring the mode and frequency dependence of effects, one could very schematically write that $\mathbf{j}_M = \mathbf{j}_{QM} (g_L \,\mu_B) / (k_B T) = -\kappa_M \nabla T (g_L \,\mu_B) / (k_B T)$. Here, g_L is the Landé

factor and μ_B the Bohr magneton. This thermally driven magnetization flux then crosses the interface through a process governed by a characteristic parameter known as the spin-mixing conductance $g_{\uparrow\downarrow}$, which is the ratio that relates the spin current generated in a material to the energy of the driving spin injection process.²⁴ The directional spin polarization vector is set by the magnetization **M** along the applied field **H** (as given in Fig. 1(b)). This overall spin polarization gives rise in the Pt metal to an inverse spin-Hall field given by $\mathbf{E}_{ISHE} = D_{ISHE} (\mathbf{j}_{QM} \times \mathbf{M})$, which is measured as a voltage $V_{ISHE} = |\mathbf{E}_{ISHE}| L$, where D_{ISHE} is a proportionality constant and L the length of the Pt strip.

The dependence of LSSE on YIG thickness has been studied in thin films,²⁵ along with the behavior at low¹⁸ and high²⁶ temperatures and at high fields.¹⁶ Particularly interesting is that near the Curie temperature, a specific temperature dependence has been observed that does not reflect that of the magnetization. Here, we present temperature-dependent LSSE data at cryogenic temperatures on both a bulk single crystal and a 4 µm thick film, and we show that they are different. This difference is interpreted in terms of the magnon thermal mean free path relevant to LSSE, ℓ_M , which is constrained in the 4 µm film at low temperatures primarily by boundary scattering (the so-called "ballistic" regime), while this limit is not reached in the 500 μ m bulk crystal. We differentiate ℓ_M from the magnon thermal mean free path, ℓ'_{M} , which is relevant to the magnon thermal conductivity. We also extend the low temperature measurements to high magnetic fields, where we see that the LSSE signal is decreased at high field, and we interpret this suppression in terms of the magnon gap opening due to Zeeman splitting of the magnon dispersion. This suppression is also observed at 300 K, where the thermal energy is much larger than the magnon energy gap we open in our experiments. The fact that there still is a significant suppression of the LSSE at these temperatures and fields is taken as proof that low energy magnon modes appear to constitute a disproportionately large percentage of the LSSE signal at all temperatures. This

leads us to divide the magnon population into two categories: *thermal magnons*, which we define as those magnons at all energies up to $k_B T$, where T is the temperature of the experiment, and subthermal magnons, which we define as those at energies below k_BT_C . We define the cutoff temperature to be $T_C \sim$ 40 K on the basis of the amount of freeze-out measured at room temperature, and note that the experimental magnon dispersion²⁷ at energies of k_BT_C starts deviating significantly from a simple quadratic function of the wavevector. Obviously, the distinction between subthermal and thermal magnons only holds at $T > T_c$, and below T_c , both populations are the same. The concept of subthermal magnons is inspired by the same concept used for phonons in the context of electron-phonon drag in semiconductors.²⁸ In phonon drag, the only phonons that can interact with the electrons are those with propagation vector limited to $2k_F$, where k_F is the wavevector of the electrons at the Fermi surface. In semiconductors where k_F is much smaller than the size of the Brillouin zone, and at high temperatures where the phonons of energy k_BT have a much larger wavevector, this cutoff means that only those "subthermal" phonons with smaller wavevectors can participate in drag. Through our LSSE data we present here, we offer evidence that subthermal magnons in YIG interact more strongly with the electrons in Pt, similarly to phonon drag, such that LSSE is driven not equally by all modes of the magnon population at thermal equilibrium at any given temperature, but instead is biased toward low-energy subthermal magnons.

Since this manuscript was written, a manuscript has appeared on arXiv²⁹ which, for all intents and purposes, agrees very well with the data and conclusions we put forth here. In addition, we propose here an empirical model for the temperature dependence of the LSSE at low temperature and in thin films wherein magnon transport is ballistic. The model takes into account the change of the magnon dispersion, from quadratic at the zone centerto linear ("pseudo-acoustic" as defined by Plant²⁷) at higher energies; this model explains the fact that the observed temperature dependence in thin films below 100 K does not

actually follow a power law of *T*, but varies slowly from a T^{l} law at the lowest temperatures, where the dispersion of the thermal magnons is mostly quadratic, to a T^{2} law at higher temperatures.

EXPERIMENTAL

Two different samples (A and B) were measured in this study. Sample A consists of a 4 μ m thick single-crystalline YIG film grown on a 0.5 mm thick gadolinium gallium garnet (GGG) substrate with a 10 nm thick Pt layer deposited on top of the YIG. Sample B is a 0.5 mm thick single-crystalline YIG slab purchased from Princeton Scientific, Inc, upon which a 10 nm thick Pt layer was also deposited on one polished wide surface of the YIG slab using e-beam evaporation after careful cleaning. Dimensions ($L_x \times L_y \times L_z$, Fig. 1(b)) of the two samples are 2 mm × 6 mm × ~0.5 mm (sample A) and 5 mm × 10 mm × 0.5 mm (sample B).

For LSSE measurements, both samples were mounted in the same way as follows. First, two voltage leads were attached on two edges of the sample separated by L_y . Fine copper wires with 0.001 in. diameter were used for the voltage leads to minimize heat losses through the leads. Point contacts between the voltage leads and the sample were made using silver epoxy, and an effort was made to minimize the size of the contacts. The sample was sandwiched between two rectangular cubic boron nitride (c-BN) pads to promote uniform heat flux across the sample, and Apiezon N grease was used between the sample and c-BN pads to provide uniform thermal contacts. Three 120 Ω resistive heaters connected in series were attached on the top of the upper c-BN pad by silver epoxy. A Cernox thermometer was attached to each c-BN pad using Lakeshore VGE-7031 varnish. The whole sample block was then pushed onto the sample platform wherein the Apiezon N grease was used as a thermal

contact. The sample block and the platform were wrapped together by an insulated wire in order to mechanically secure the heterostructure and provide a solid thermal contact between them. Fig. 1(a) shows a picture of sample A mounted in the way described above.

The LSSE measurements were performed using a liquid helium cryostat for sample A and a Physical Properties Measurement System (PPMS) for sample B. Sample B was also measured in the liquid helium cryostat for a cross-check, and the data obtained from the two different instruments showed good agreement in terms of the temperature dependence of LSSE signal. We observed that the magnitude of the signal can be slightly different for different measurement sets, possibly because of an error in the measured temperature difference induced by varying thermal contact resistances between the sample and the c-BN pads. Nevertheless, we confirmed that the temperature dependence itself is not affected by the small variability of the magnitude. The measurement configuration is shown in Fig. 1(b). First, the Cernox thermometers were calibrated as a function of temperature from room temperature down to 2 K. Resistances of the thermometers were measured at different temperatures using an AC bridge, and those values were interpolated to extract actual temperatures during LSSE measurements. LSSE measurements were made at different temperatures, and ~1h stabilization time was given after changing the base temperature to ensure thermal equilibrium between the sample and the system. After a temperature gradient $\nabla_z T$ was applied across the sample, additional $15 \sim 30$ min wait time was used. The transverse voltage V_y was measured using a Keithley 2182A nanovoltmeter while the magnetic field H_x was continuously swept between a same H_x value in both polarities and in both directions (+ $H_x \rightarrow -H_x$ and - H_x) \rightarrow +H_x). For low field measurement, H_x was swept between ± 800 Oe at 4 Oe/s, and for high field measurement, between \pm 70 kOe at 30 Oe/s. The temperature difference ΔT_z was separately measured from the attached thermometers using the same heater power as that used for the V_y measurement. The

sample chamber was kept under a high vacuum ($<10^{-6}$ torr) and covered by the gold-plated radiation shield to minimize convective and radiative heat losses, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Raw traces of the measured transverse voltage V_y as a function of applied field H_x for both samples are shown in Fig. 2. Around room temperature, both samples show clear LSSE signals under an applied temperature gradient ∇T_z , wherein V_y switches sign with the magnetization in the hysteresis loops (Fig 2(a), (d)). The magnitude of the switch, ΔV_y , is defined as the difference between the saturation voltages.⁷ The signals become noisier at low temperatures (Fig. 2(b), (e)) due to the smaller magnitude of both the voltage signals and the temperature differences across the samples. The raw traces of sample B exhibit an additional loop at low H_x values, and the size of this loop is almost independent of temperature. While some of the previous studies reported a similar repeatable trend in their LSSE signals,^{9,30} no explicit description has been given thus far to explain these observations. Based on the lack of temperature dependence of the loop size, we may tentatively attribute the additional loop to the presence of magnetic domains in the bulk sample. Fig. 2(c) and 2(f) show that ΔV_y varies linearly with ΔT_z for both samples, so that a spin-Seebeck coefficient $S_y \equiv E_y / \nabla T_z = L_z \Delta V_y / 2L_y \Delta T_z$ can be defined.

The same LSSE measurement was extended up to $H_x = \pm 70$ kOe for sample B. At $T_{avg} = 296$ K, a gradual reduction of $V_y / \Delta T_z$ is observed as H_x increases (Fig. 3(a)). This behavior around room temperature is similar to what has been reported by Kikkawa et al.¹⁶ also on YIG/Pt. The authors attributed the decrease of the LSSE signals to the suppression of magnon excitation caused by the magnon gap opening under an applied *H*. At low energies ($T = \hbar \omega / k_B < 30$ K), the magnon dispersion relation for

YIG can be expressed reasonably well using the classic Heisenberg ferromagnet model for spin waves,³¹ which is given as³²

$$\hbar\omega_k = \mu_B g_L B + Da^2 k^2 \tag{1},$$

where \hbar is Planck's constant, ω_k is the angular frequency of the magnon mode with wavevector k, μ_B is the Bohr magneton, g_L is the Landé factor ($g_L = 2.046$ for YIG³³), D is a spin-wave stiffness parameter, and a is the size of the unit cell. Applying a non-zero external H creates a forbidden zone in the dispersion (Eq. (1)) as well as in the density of states of magnons due to the Zeeman effect,³¹ thus freezing out magnons with energy $\hbar \omega < \mu_B g_L B$. Since thermally excited magnons are believed to induce the SSE in ferromagnetic insulators^{18,19,20,21,25}, their suppression by an external H is expected to reduce the measured ISHE voltage. Fig. 3(b) shows that the suppression of $V_y / \Delta T_z$ in applied H is more pronounced at $T_{arg} = 10$ K. If we define $V_{y,max}$ as the maximum value of the ISHE voltage obtained at low H, the $V_y /$ ΔT_z at $H_x = \pm 70$ kOe ($V_{y,70kOe} / \Delta T_z$) is less than 50 % of $V_{y,max} / \Delta T_z$. Surprisingly, about 20% suppression is observed at all temperatures up to $T_{arg} = 296$ K. We compared the magnitude of $V_{y,max} / \Delta T_z$ in these high H measurements (Fig. 3(c) and (d)) with that in the independently measured low H results on sample B (Fig. 2(d)-(f)), and found that they agree well with each other.

The significant suppression of the LSSE signal at high *H* at $T_{avg} = 10$ K hints at which magnons are mainly responsible for the LSSE in YIG/Pt, which is further revealed by investigating the temperature dependence of the suppression effect. We can define $\Delta S_y = S_{y,max} - S_{y,70kOe}$, where $S_{y,max} \equiv L_z \Delta V_{y,max} / 2L_y \Delta T_z$ and $S_{y,70kOe} \equiv L_z \Delta V_{y,70kOe} / 2L_y \Delta T_z$. Fig. 4(a) shows the temperature dependence of $\Delta S_y / S_{y,max}$ derived from the high *H* measurements (Fig. 3) on sample B. Above $T_{avg} = 30$ K, the $\Delta S_y / S_{y,max}$ is almost constant at ~ 0.2 (inset in Fig. 4(a)), indicating that about 20% of the LSSE signal is suppressed at $H_x = \pm 70$ kOe. The $\Delta S_y / S_{y,max}$ increases gradually below 30 K and then shows a drastic increase below 15 K reaching ~ 0.9 at about 7 K, suggesting that the LSSE almost disappears at this temperature and field. For YIG, at $H_x = \pm 70$ kOe, a gap of $\mu_B g_L H / k_B = 9.6$ K opens in the dispersion relation given by Eq. (1) as shown in Fig. 4(b). Therefore, it is likely that most magnons are suppressed below 8 K in $H_x = \pm$ 70 kOe and so is the LSSE, which is consistent with the experimental result in Fig. 4(a). But, as had already been pointed out in Ref [23], magnon suppression cannot be complete in $H_x = \pm 70$ kOe fields at temperatures above 10 K. Some amount of field suppression of the LSSE is measured at all temperatures, while not in specific heat or thermal conductivity.²³ Here, we verified experimentally on another piece of Sample A that the change in thermal conductivity of YIG at 300 K is of the order of 1% at 70 kOe. We thus conclude that low-energy magnons (i.e. those of energy below ~ $k_B T_C$) must play a more prominent role in producing the LSSE. We estimate the value of the Maxwell-Boltzmann critical temperature $T_C \sim 40$ Κ using statistics by setting $0.2 = \Delta S_y / S_{y,\text{max}} = \exp(\mu_B g_L B / k_B T_C) - 1$, but note that there is considerable uncertainty in the precise energy scale of the relevant modes, since we expect all magnon modes to contribute to thermal spin transport and LSSE, just some to contribute more than others. In particular, the inflection point observed in the low-temperature behavior of $\Delta S_y / S_{y,max}$ at 15 K in Fig. 4(a) occurs in the same temperature range. Similar to the case of phonons,^{28,34} we call these low-energy ($E < k_B T_C$), long-wavelength magnons subthermal magnons to distinguish them from the thermal magnons with relatively higher energies and short wavelengths that populate the magnon spectrum in thermal equilibrium at $T > T_C$.

We now discuss the temperature and thickness dependence of the LSSE in YIG/Pt. Fig. 5 shows the temperature dependence of S_y for sample A and sample B between 10 K and 300 K. The S_y of sample A has a maximum at 180 K. The S_y of sample B, meanwhile, has a maximum at 70 K and a ~ $T^{1.19}$ (dashed line) dependence at low temperature. The longitudinal thermal conductivity κ_{xxx} was measured independently, but is not shown because the data reproduce those of Slack & Oliver³⁵ quite exactly. The temperature dependence of the resistivity of the Pt film on sample B was measured to change from 0.35 $\mu\Omega$ m below 5 K to 0.52 $\mu\Omega$ m at room temperature. The temperature dependence of both these properties is quite different from that of the observed LSSE signal, which suggests that there is likely no significant correlation between them. The temperature dependence of the spin Hall angle in Pt has been recently measured³⁶ and shown to be approximately constant between 10 K and 300 K. Furthermore, the spin mixing conductance at the YIG/Pt interface is considered temperature independent for the purposes of this study, since we remain far below the ferrimagnetic transition temperature of 550K in YIG³⁷. Therefore we concentrate instead on the temperature dependence of the thermally driven magnon flux, and we assume that the temperature dependence of the LSSE signal arises primarily from that of the of the out-of-thermodynamic-equilibrium magnon flux driven by the temperature gradient.

In general, since the magnetization flux at the YIG/Pt interface is in YIG driven by a temperature gradient, we can describe this flux by

$$j_M = g_L \mu_B j_\# = g_L \mu_B L_{\#T} \nabla T \tag{2},$$

where $j_{\#}$ is the magnon number flux, and $L_{\#T}$ is the effective kinetic coefficient that relates this number flux to the accelerating force, the temperature gradient. The number flux is also related to the magnon heat flux j_Q , which is itself related to the magnon thermal conductivity κ_M by Fourier's law $j_Q = -\kappa_M \nabla T$. Using the Boltzman diffusion equation in the relaxation time approximation, both kinetic coefficients can be written for each magnon mode as an integral over the magnon energies E:³⁸

$$L_{\#T} = \int_{0}^{E_{MAX}} v_G^2 \tau(E) \mathfrak{D}(E) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial T}\right) dE$$

$$\kappa_M = \frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{E_{MAX}} E v_G^2 \tau'(E) \mathfrak{D}(E) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial T}\right) dE$$
(3).

Here $\mathfrak{D}(E)$ is the magnon density of states and f is the Bose-Einstein statistical distribution function, so that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial T} = \frac{x}{T} \frac{e^x}{\left(e^x - 1\right)^2}$ where $x \equiv E/k_B T$ is the reduced energy. Note that in this qualitative model the

magnon relaxation time relevant to LSSE, $\tau(E)$, is not necessarily the same as that relevant to thermal conductivity $\tau'(E)$.

At very low temperature where the magnon dispersion is purely quadratic²⁷ and given by Eq. (1), the group velocity and density of states in the absence of magnetic field become:

$$v_{G} = \frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{Da^{2}} E^{1/2}$$

$$\mathfrak{D}(E) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \frac{E^{1/2}}{\left(Da^{2}\right)^{3/2}}$$
(4).

An experimental estimate of the magnon thermal conductivity mean free path ℓ'_M is given by Ref. [23] for T > 2 K. The model is further developed only for the ballistic regime where the magnon thermal conductivity mean free path ℓ'_M and the LSSE mean free path ℓ_M are limited by sample size and therefore temperature-independent. Then we have: $\tau(E) = \ell_M / v_G$; $\tau'(E) = \ell'_M / v_G$. Consequently, we can derive the kinetic coefficient of the magnon number flux as well as the thermal conductivity:

$$L_{\#T} = \frac{3\ell_M}{2\pi^2} \frac{k_B^2}{\hbar} \frac{1}{Da^2} T_0^{\infty} \frac{x^2 e^x}{\left(e^x - 1\right)^2} dx$$

$$\kappa_M = \frac{\ell'_M}{3\pi^2} \frac{k_B^3}{\hbar} \frac{1}{Da^2} T^2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^3 e^x}{\left(e^x - 1\right)^2} dx$$
(5).

One recognizes in Eq. (5) the familiar magnon thermal conductivity T^2 scaling law. In that case, Eq. (5) predicts that the thermally driven magnon flux, and thus the LSSE signal, should scale as T^1 . Previous studies show that at T < 2 K, the T^2 law for κ_M is observed,²² but not²³ above 2 K, for two reasons. First, because the measurements were taken on bulk samples, the ballistic regime is not reached until T < 2 K and there is a dependence of ℓ'_M on T. The second reason is the non-parabolicity of the magnon dispersions. Eq. (1) already breaks down at energies below 10 K: indeed Ref [27] shows that the temperature dependence of the saturation magnetization curves departs from the Bloch $T^{3/2}$ law already below 10K.

At higher energies (> 8 meV) and temperatures, the magnon dispersion becomes linear,²⁷ and the thermal conductivity in the ballistic regime is expected to follow a T^3 law, with $L_{\#T} \propto T^2$. In terms of group velocity, it increases with energy when the dispersion is quadratic, but saturates at a maximum value v_{GS} when the dispersion becomes linear. These features can be captured by fitting the measured "pseudo-acoustic" magnon dispersion curves in YIG²⁷ (and ignoring the pseudo-optical modes) with a phenomenological model for the magnon dispersion written by analogy with the electron dispersion in narrow gap semiconductors such as PbTe³⁹ as:

$$\gamma(E) \equiv E(1 + \frac{E}{E_0}) = Da^2 k^2 \tag{6}$$

where E_0 parametrizes the saturation value v_{GS} of the group velocity v_G , as shown below.⁴⁰ The group velocity and DOS are given by:

$$v_{G} = \frac{2\sqrt{Da^{2}}}{\hbar} \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\gamma'}$$

$$\mathfrak{D}(E) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \frac{\gamma' \sqrt{\gamma}}{\left(Da^{2}\right)^{3/2}}$$

$$\gamma' \equiv \frac{d\gamma}{dE} = 1 + 2\frac{E}{E_{0}}$$

(7).

The value of E_0 is derived from v_{GS} by taking the limit $v_{GS} = \lim_{E \to \infty} (v_G) = \frac{\sqrt{DE_0 a^2}}{\hbar}$. Ref [27] reports for $D = 46 \text{ K} \times k_B, v_{GS} = 1.8 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ along the (0,0,1) axes, and $E_0 = 270 \text{ K} \times k_B$.

The integrals in Eq. (3) can be fully evaluated and expressed in terms of ℓ_M and ℓ'_M :

$$L_{\#T} = \frac{1}{3k_B T^2} \int_0^\infty \ell_M E v_G \mathfrak{D}(E) \frac{e^x}{\left(e^x - 1\right)^2} dE$$

$$\kappa_M = \frac{1}{3k_B T^2} \int_0^\infty \ell'_M E^2 v_G \mathfrak{D}(E) \frac{e^x}{\left(e^x - 1\right)^2} dE$$
(8).

In the ballistic regime with a constant ℓ_M and ℓ'_M

$$L_{\#T} = \frac{3\ell_M}{2\pi^2} \frac{k_B^2}{\hbar} \frac{1}{Da^2} T \int_0^{\infty} \left(1 + x \frac{k_B T}{E_0} \right) \frac{x^2 e^x}{\left(e^x - 1\right)^2} dx$$

$$\kappa_M = \frac{\ell'_M}{3\pi^2} \frac{k_B^3}{\hbar} \frac{1}{Da^2} T^2 \int_0^{\infty} \left(1 + x \frac{k_B T}{E_0} \right) \frac{x^3 e^x}{\left(e^x - 1\right)^2} dx$$
(9).

From Eqs (5) and (9), a temperature-dependence of the LSSE signal intermediate between T^{l} and T^{2} is expected, which is shown as a full line in Fig. 5 and appears to describe the results on the thin-film sample (sample A) below the maximum quite well.

We can now discuss the difference in the temperature dependence of S_y between sample A and sample B in Fig. 5 by considering the length scale of subthermal magnons in terms of the sample thickness. Based on the suppression of LSSE at high fields, we can state that most of the LSSE signal observed at low temperatures comes from magnons at energies below k_BT_C . We can then extrapolate the thermal conductivity mean free path data of Ref [23] into the range of 10 K to 40 K, and we make the reasonable assumption that these subthermal magnons will have ℓ'_M of a few µm or above within this temperature range. Since sample A is only 4 µm thick, we expect the subthermal magnons in this sample to be in the ballistic regime with a constant $\ell_M = 4$ µm, and therefore Eqs (5) and (9) to hold at low temperatures. This assumption of ballistic magnon transport in sample A is expected to break down once the temperature becomes high enough that ℓ_M becomes limited by the increased prevalence of magnonphonon interactions.

In contrast to this situation, the subthermal magnons in the bulk sample B are expected to propagate diffusively at all temperatures due to the much larger YIG thickness (500 μ m), since the maximum magnon mean free path observed in Ref. [23] was only 100 μ m at 2K. As in sample A, however, the magnon mean free path in sample B is also a function of temperature with a negative exponent,²³ which results in a slightly slower *T* dependence of the LSSE than that in sample A, as observed in Fig. 5. We attribute this difference to the fact that there is likely no purely ballistic magnon transport in sample B, and thus inelastic magnon scattering starts to affect the subthermal magnons starting from even the lowest temperatures examined in this study. Furthermore, we can explain the shift

in peak temperature between sample A and sample B by considering that magnons in sample B (the bulk crystal) are sensitive to any mechanism that scatters magnons over a length scale longer than 4 μ m, whereas those in sample A (the 4 μ m film) are not. In other words, boundary scattering persists as more important than diffusive inelastic magnon-phonon or magnon-magnon⁴¹ scattering for magnons up to $T_C \sim$ 40 K in sample A, whereas other inelastic magnon scattering processes are already significant above 45 K in sample B. As a result, the maximum in S_y in sample B appears at 70 K, a much lower temperature than in sample A ($T_{avg} = 180$ K).

It is noted that the S_y of sample A is larger than that of sample B above 80 K and becomes smaller below 80 K because of the faster *T* dependence. Kehlberger et al.²⁵ demonstrated that the LSSE signal increases as the thickness of YIG increases up to 100 nm and starts to saturate for larger thicknesses at room temperature, while Rezende et al.¹⁸ observed no difference in LSSE between 8 µm thick and 1 mm thick YIG samples under the same ∇T_z . Both data are consistent with each other in the sense that 8 µm is likely to already be larger than the mean free path of subthermal magnons at room temperature (~ 100 nm), which can be estimated from the data in Ref. [23]. The same argument does not apply to our result, however, as the magnitude of S_y is quite different in sample A and sample B at room temperature. Indeed, we find that the magnitude of S_y can be a quite complex problem because the magnitude of the voltage induced by the LSSE is sensitive to the Pt/YIG interface condition;^{42,43,44} since sample A and sample B in our study were processed under different conditions, we cannot exclude this possibility for explaining the observed difference in the absolute magnitude of S_y .

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we present magnetic field and temperature dependent data of the longitudinal spin-Seebeck effect on the YIG/Pt system on a 4 μ m thick film and on a bulk YIG. From the freeze-out of the LSSE signal in high-field data at different temperatures below 300 K, we conclude that subthermal magnons (defined as those with energies below a critical energy k_BT_C with T_C of the order of 40 K) must play a more important role in the LSSE than most magnons present at thermal equilibrium at $T > T_C$. We also attribute the temperature-dependence of the LSSE predominantly to that of the thermally-driven magnon flux, for which we develop a new model that takes into account the departure of the magnon dispersion relations from purely parabolic to a relation that more closely resembles that measured by neutron diffraction. We argue that this non-parabolic dispersion is the reason behind the observed temperature dependence of LSSE signal below the maximum, which deviates from the expected T^{T} law for parabolic magnons when their mean free path is constant.

Our new experimental data have identified through LSSE measurements a characteristic length scale traveled by thermally excited magnons before they lose momentum. Our results suggest that this particular length scale of magnon transport relevant to LSSE (ℓ_M) may actually be longer, at a given temperature, than the magnon thermal conductivity mean free path (ℓ'_M) identified in ref. [23]. To reconcile this apparent discrepancy, we consider that ref. [23] utilized thermal conductivity and specific heat measurements to probe thermally excited magnons, whereas the probe utilized here (LSSE) is fundamentally different: LSSE necessarily involves not just the thermal excitation and transport of magnons within YIG, but also the transfer of magnon spin across an interface. Thus we interpret the length scale ℓ_M revealed in this study as simply the effective thermal mean free path of those "subthermal" magnons that are more actively involved in the spin transfer torque process, since those are the modes to which LSSE is inherently more sensitive. This is consistent with the emerging consensus that magnon transport phenomena seem to have a strong spectral dependence. In addition to the present study, Guo et al.⁴⁵ have recently provided an analysis of the LSSE in YIG/Pt in terms of a "magnon propagation length", and other recent results in YIG/Pt systems have also commented on the length scale of magnon transport in YIG as revealed by non-local electrical spin detection driven by optothermal excitation at low temperatures⁴⁶ and by electrical excitation at room temperature⁴⁷. Although these results appear to differ somewhat in specific terminology, we believe that the physics underlying these observations is consistent when we consider the temperatures at which the experiments are performed and the ways in which the magnons are probed. Future theoretical consideration of these collective results may yield an even more robust and unified model useful for understanding these and other experimental observations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work is supported as part of the ARO MURI under award number W911NF-14-1-0016, US AFOSR MURI under award number FA9550-10-1-0533 (HJ), and the NSF MRSEC program under grant DMR 1420451. The thin-film sample was kindly supplied by Professors E. Saitoh and K. Uchida, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, whom we also acknowledge for useful conversations.

Figure Captions

FIG. 1. (Color online) Experimental setup for LSSE measurement. (a) Experimental setup on a liquid helium cryostat used for both sample A and B. (b) Schematic illustration of the measurement geometry for sample A. The same geometry applies to sample B except that the GGG substrate is absent in sample B. The sample dimensions are not drawn to scale.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Low field LSSE measurement. (a) – (c) Transverse voltage V_y as a function of magnetic field H_x (a,b), and ΔV_y versus applied temperature difference ΔT_z (c) for sample A. (d) – (f) V_y as a function of H_x (d,e), and ΔV_y versus ΔT_z (f) for sample B.

FIG. 3. (Color online) High field LSSE measurement on sample B. (a), (b) $V_y / \Delta T_z$ as a function of H_x measured up to $H_x = \pm 70$ kOe ("high field") at $T_{avg} = 296$ K (a) and at $T_{avg} = 10$ K (b). (c), (d) Magnification of low field ($H_x = \pm 3$ kOe) results at $T_{avg} = 296$ K (c) and at $T_{avg} = 10$ K (d).

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) *T* dependence of $\Delta S_y / S_{y,max}$ for sample B. Here, $\Delta S_y / S_{y,max} \equiv (S_{y,max} - S_{y,70kOe}) / S_{y,max}$ with $S_{y,max}$ and $S_{y,70kOe}$ defined as in the text. $\Delta S_y / S_{y,max} = 1$ indicates the fully suppressed S_y at $H = \pm 70$ kOe (i.e. $S_{y,70kOe} = 0$). The inset shows the same data extended to 300 K in log scale. T_{avg} denotes the average sample temperature. (b) Schematic diagram of magnon dispersion of YIG below 0.6 THz (30 K) per Ref [27]. The red arrow indicates opening of a magnon gap equivalent to ~ 9 K when $H = \pm 70$ kOe is applied. The red dashed lines mark the temperature (~ 15 K) below which significant increase in $\Delta S_y / S_{y,max}$ starts to occur.

FIG. 5. (Color online) *T* dependence of the spin Seebeck coefficient S_y for the 4 µm film sample A (green diamond) and the bulk sample B (red circle). The dashed line represents a power law for the bulk sample, and the full line represents the values calculated from the model (Eq. 9) in the text for the thin-film sample, renormalized to go through the data.

Figure 1 (one column)



Figure 2 (two columns)



Figure 3 (one column)



Figure 4 (one column)



Figure 5 (one column)



References

- ¹ K. Uchida, S. Takahashi, K. Harii, J. Ieda, W. Koshibae, K. Ando, S. Maekawa, E. Saitoh, *Nature* **455**, 778 (2008).
- ² S. R. Boona, R. C. Myers, and J. P. Heremans, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 7, 885 (2014).
- ³ G. E. W. Bauer, E. Saitoh, and B. J. van Wees, *Nature Mater.* **11**, 391 (2012).
- ⁴ C. M. Jaworski, J. Yang, S. Mack, D. D. Awschalom, J. P. Heremans, and R. C. Myers, *Nature Mater.* **9**, 898 (2010).
- ⁵ K. Uchida, J. Xiao, H. Adachi, J. Ohe, S. Takahashi, J. Ieda, T. Ota, Y. Kajiwara, H. Umezawa, H. Kawai, G.E.W. Bauer, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, *Nature Mater.* **9**, 894 (2010).
- ⁶ C. M. Jaworski, R. C. Myers, E. Johnston-Halperin, and J. P. Heremans, *Nature* 487, 210 (2012).
- ⁷ H. Jin, Z. Yang, R. C. Myers, and J. P. Heremans, *Solid State Commun.* **198**, 40 (2014).
- ⁸ S. Bosu, Y. Sakuraba, K. Uchida, K. Saito, T. Ota, E. Saitoh, and K. Takanashi, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 224401 (2011).
- ⁹ K. Uchida, H. Adachi, T. Ota, H. Nakayama, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97**, 172505 (2010).
- ¹⁰ R. Ramos, T. Kikkawa, K. Uchida, H. Adachi, I. Lucas, M. H. Aguirre, P. Algarabel, L. Morellón, S. Maekawa, E. Saitoh, and M. R. Ibarra, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 072413 (2013).
- ¹¹ K. Uchida, T. Nonaka, T. Kikkawa, Y. Kajiwara, and E. Saitoh, *Phys. Rev. B* 87, 104412 (2013).
- ¹² J. Flipse, F. K. Dejene, D. Wagenaar, G. E. W. Bauer, J. B. Youssef, and B. J. van Wees, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 027601 (2014).
- ¹³ D. Qu, S. Y. Huang, J. Hu, R. Wu, and C. L. Chien, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 067206 (2013).
- ¹⁴ S. Y. Huang, X. Fan, D. Qu, Y. P. Chen, W. G. Wang, J. Wu, T. Y. Chen, J. Q. Xiao, and C. L. Chien, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 107204 (2012).

- ¹⁵ T. Kikkawa, K. Uchida, Y. Shiomi, Z. Qiu, D. Hou, D. Tian, H. Nakayama, X.-F. Jin, and E. Saitoh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 067207 (2013).
- ¹⁶ T. Kikkawa, K. Uchida, S. Daimon, Y. Shiomi, H. Adachi, Z. Qiu, D. Hou, X.-F. Jin, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, *Phys. Rev. B* 88, 214403 (2013).
- ¹⁷ S. Hoffman, K. Sato, and Y. Tserkovnyak, *Phys. Rev. B* 88, 064408 (2013).
- ¹⁸ S. M. Rezende, R. L. Rodríguez-Suárez, R.O. Cunha, A. R. Rodrigues, F. L. A. Machado, G. A.
- Fonseca Guerra, J. C. Lopez Ortiz, and A. Azevedo, Phys. Rev. B 89, 014416 (2014).
- ¹⁹ M. Agrawal, V. I. Vasyuchka, A. A. Serga, A. Kirihara, P. Pirro, T. Langner, M. B. Jungfleisch, A.V.
- Chumak, E. T. Papaioannou, and B. Hillebrands, Phys. Rev. B 89, 224414 (2014).
- ²⁰ J. Xiao, G. E. W. Bauer, K. C. Uchida, E. Saitoh, and S. Maekawa, *Phys. Rev. B* 81, 214418 (2010).
- ²¹ H. Adachi, J. I. Ohe, S. Takahashi, and S. Maekawa, *Phys. Rev. B* 83, 094410 (2011).
- ²² R. L. Douglass, *Phys. Rev.* **129**, 1132 (1963).
- ²³ S. R. Boona and J. P. Heremans, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 064421 (2014).
- ²⁴ M. Weiler, M. Althammer, M. Schreier, J. Lotze, M. Pernpeintner, S. Meyer, H. Huebl, R. Gross, A. Kamra, J. Xiao, Y.-T. Chen, H. J. Jiao, G. E. W. Bauer, and S. T. B. Goennenwein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 176601 (2013).
- ²⁵ A. Kehlberger, R. Röster, G. Jakob, M. Kläui, U. Ritzmann, D. Hinzke, U. Nowak, M. C. Onbasli, D. H. Kim, C. A. Ross, M. B. Jungfleisch, and B. Hillebrands, arXiv: 1306.0784 (2013).
- ²⁶ K. I. Uchida, T. Kikkawa, A. Miura, J. Shiomi, and E. Saitoh, *Phys. Rev. X* 4, 041023 (2014).
- ²⁷ J. S. Plant, J. Phys. C 16, 7037 (1983).
- ²⁸ C. Herring, *The role of low-frequency phonons in thermoelectricity and thermal conduction*, Halbleiter und Phosphore, p184 (1958).
- ²⁹ T. Kikkawa, K. Uchida, S. Daimon, Z. Qiu, Y. Shiomi, and E. Saitoh, arXiv: 1503.05764v1 (2015).

- ³⁰ K. Uchida, M. Ishida, T. Kikkawa, A. Kirihara, T. Murakami, and E. Saitoh, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **26**, 343202 (2014).
- ³¹ A. L. Chernyshev, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 060401(R) (2012).
- ³² C. Kittel, *Quantum Theory of Solids*, 2nd revised printing (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1987).
- ³³ V. Castel, N. Vlietstra, B. J. van Wees, and J. B. Youssef, *Phys. Rev. B* 86, 134419 (2012).
- ³⁴ C. Herring, *Phys. Rev.* **95**, 954 (1954).
- ³⁵ G. A. Slack and D. W. Oliver, *Phys. Rev. B* 4, 592 (1971).
- ³⁶ M. Isasa, E. Villamor, L.E. Hueso, M. Gradhand, and F. Casanova, *Phys. Rev. B* 91, 024402 (2015)
- ³⁷ S.R. Marmion, M. Ali, M. McLaren, D.A. Williams, and B.J. Hickey, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 220404(R) (2014)_
- ³⁸ R. Berman, *Thermal Conduction in Solids*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1976.
- ³⁹ J. P. Heremans, Acta Physica Polonica **108**, 609 (2005).
- ⁴⁰ Unlike in electronic structures, where both conduction and valence bands are described by Eq. (6), here only the E > 0 root of Eq. (6) is considered.
- ⁴¹ S. M. Rezende and J. C. Lopez Ortiz, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 104416 (2015).
- ⁴² Z. Qiu, K. Ando, K. Uchida, Y. Kajiwara, R. Takahashi, H. Nakayama, T. An, Y. Fujikawa, and E. Saitoh, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 092404 (2013).
- ⁴³ Z. Qiu, D. Hou, K. Uchida, and E. Saitoh, arXiv: 1410.1655 (2014).
- ⁴⁴ M. B. Jungfleisch, V. Lauer, R. Neb, A. V. Chumak, and B. Hillebrands, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 022411 (2013).
- ⁴⁵ E.J. Guo, A. Kehlberger, J. Cramer, G. Jakob, and M. Klaui, arXiv:1506.06037 (2015)
- ⁴⁶ B.L. Giles, Z. Yang, J. Jamison, and R.C. Myers, arXiv:1504.02808 (2015)
- ⁴⁷ L.J. Cornelissen, J. Lie, R.A. Duine, J. Ben Youssef, and B.J. Van Wees, arXiv:1505.06325 (2015)