

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Covalency in oxidized uranium

J. G. Tobin, S.-W. Yu, R. Qiao, W. L. Yang, C. H. Booth, D. K. Shuh, A. M. Duffin, D. Sokaras, D. Nordlund, and T.-C. Weng Phys. Rev. B **92**, 045130 — Published 29 July 2015 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.92.045130

J.G. Tobin<sup>\*,#</sup>, S.-W. Yu,

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA,

R. Qiao, W.L. Yang, C.H. Booth, D.K. Shuh,

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA,

A.M. Duffin,

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA D. Sokaras, D. Nordlund, and T.-C. Weng, Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, Stanford, CA \*Corresponding Author

#email: Tobin1@LLNL.Gov

PACS numbers: 78.70.En, 71.20.Gj, 71.20.Ps, 78.70.Dm

#### <u>Abstract</u>

Using X-ray Emission Spectroscopy (XES) and Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS), it has been possible to directly access the states in the unoccupied conduction bands that are involved with 5f and 6d covalency in oxidized Uranium. By varying the oxidizing agent, the degree of 5f covalency can be manipulated and monitored, for the first time clearly and irrevocably establishing the importance of 5f covalency in the electronic structure of the key nuclear fuel, uranium dioxide.

#### **Introduction**

Actinides, the 5f elements and their compounds, alloys and mixtures, are a crucially important part of modern technological societies. [1-3] Moreover, uranium dioxide is the most widely used nuclear fuel for the generation of electricity [1]. Yet, because of the complexity of the 5f/6d electronic structure in the actinides, a fundamental understanding of their physical behavior, in actinides in general and uranium dioxide in particular, has not been achieved. This could potentially limit the utility of simulations of UO<sub>2</sub> that are part of the safety framework for disposal/storage and energy production. It is of paramount importance that the models for UO<sub>2</sub> be properly benchmarked with experimental results, both because of the issue of fundamental scientific understanding and because of the absolute requirement of the accuracy of computational simulations for safety issues.

Theoretically, it has been proposed that covalency is an important part of the electronic structure of actinide dioxides [4], although some disagree [5]. Experimentally, spectroscopic studies have been reported which support the hypothesis of 5f covalency [6,7]. However, a crucially important and absolutely essential component has been missing: a systematic study where the nature of the oxidant is changed, so the specifics of the 5f and 6d covalencies could be varied and monitored. The turning-on and turning-off of an effect is the essence of a true benchmarking. The work reported here clearly and irrevocably establishes experimentally the strong presence of U5f-O2p covalency in the unoccupied density of states of UO<sub>2</sub>, the most important of our nuclear fuels.

Fluorine is a very reactive and dangerous element [8]. It's halogen cousins,

chlorine and iodine, have common usage as oxidizing agents amongst the general population: e.g., in swimming pools and clean wipes for chlorine; and water purification and wound disinfectant for iodine. However, fluorine's propensity to oxidize is so strong that it ends up being used in applications such as rocket fuel. Moreover, it has a very dangerous side and can be a threat to human well-being. For example, exposure to hydrofluoric acid causes systemic poisoning that can result in death, with local applications sometimes leading to amputations.

Thus, this comparative study will feature the isoelectronic systems uranium dioxide (UO<sub>2</sub>) and uranium tetrafluoride (UF<sub>4</sub>). While isoelectronic, both being  $U^{+4}$  $5f^2$  in the formal limit [9], they exhibit substantially different structures. UO<sub>2</sub> is a fluorite (cubic) material, while  $UF_4$  is monoclinic [10]. However, both exhibit very similar U L<sub>3</sub> extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) behavior, indicative of quantitatively similar interatomic distances [10]. UF<sub>4</sub> has been studied before with X-ray absorption [11], but these new measurements are complementary to the earlier study (e.g., FK(1s) XAS), and have been performed with improved resolution and over a more extensive energy range (e.g the U  $L_3(2p_{3/2})$  X-ray absorption fine structure, both XANES and EXAFS) [10,12]. The result of this comparative study is that UF<sub>4</sub> exhibits continued 6d covalency but the almost complete loss of 5f covalency, while UO<sub>2</sub> clearly displays both strong 5f and 6d covalencies. Here we have the first direct experimental demonstration that 5f covalency is important in actinide oxides, but can be lost with a more powerful oxidizing agent such as fluorine.

10 July 2015

#### **Experimental**

The X-ray measurements were performed upon three beamlines: BL 8.0 at the Advanced Light Source (ALS) at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL); BL 6-2 and BL 11-2, both at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL). For BL 8.0, energy calibrations were performed at the Fe  $2p_{3/2}$  white line (710 eV for iron oxide) for the beamline monochromator and at the FK(1s) at 675 eV [1] for the fluorescence monochromator and detector. Details of the BL 8.0 characteristics can be found in Ref. 13. The Partial Fluorescence Yield (PFY) U  $L_3$  data [14.15] were collected at SSRL wiggler beamline 6-2 using an LN<sub>2</sub>-cooled Si (311) double monochromator calibrated so that the inflection point of the Zr K-edge absorption from a Zr reference foil was at 17998.0 eV. The emission energy was measured using a seven-crystal Ge(777) Johann-type X-ray emission [16] spectrometer at an emission energy of approximately 13.6 keV, corresponding to the U L  $_{\alpha 1}$  fluorescence. The energy of the emission spectrometer was calibrated with elastic scattering peaks while the incident beam (beamline) monochromator was calibrated at the Au L<sub>2</sub>-edge absorption edge (13734.0 eV), using a Au reference foil. Data were collected at room temperature (300 K). The experimental resolution was 1.7 eV at the U  $L_{\alpha 1}$ emission energy, which is dominated by the broadening due to the  $3d_{5/2}$  core hole of about 3.5 eV [12]. The conventional XANES U L<sub>3</sub>-edge data [10] were collected in fluorescence mode from the U L $_{\alpha}$  line on BL 11-2 at SSRL, with a half-tuned double Si(220) ( $= 0^{\circ}$ ) LN<sub>2</sub>-cooled monochromator on unfocused beam and a 100-element Ge solid-state detector [17], with the sample at T = 50 K. The effective line-width in the conventional XANES measurements is dominated by the  $2p_{3/2}$  core hole lifetime

broadening of about 8 eV [10,12], much greater than the PFY XANES. The uranium dioxide sample used in Reference 7 was polycrystalline with a well-defined surface [1,6,7]. The uranium tetrafluoride was a single crystalline sample, with significant surface degradation [1]. The surface degradation will be shown to be irrelevant.

#### **Results and Discussion**

In two earlier studies, strong evidence of 5f and 6d covalency was found in UO<sub>2</sub>. First, XAS was used to show that the unoccupied states of uranium and oxygen overlapped in a significant way, suggesting that there was both U5f-O2p hybridization and U6d-O2p hybridization [6]. Second, a detailed study with Resonant Inverse Photoelectron Spectroscopy (RIPES) was performed, which provided another confirmation of the splitting of the uranium UDOS into two subbands, for the U5f and U6d states. Moreover, it was found that the U4d XES provided essentially the same information, without the limitation of the strong surface sensitivity of RIPES. While the electron gymnastics are clear and simple for RIPES, the mechanisms to explain the effect for XES must rely upon the availability and flow of background electrons. For example, one possible mechanism for this XES process is discussed in Ref. 1. An alternative but very closely related picture would be the following. While the decay process of XES provides a measure of the Occupied Density of States (ODOS), it is the ODOS in the presence of a core hole. It is a known that increasing the nuclear charge in the actinides can shift binding energies of near-valence core states such as the 5d's, 5p's and 4f's by  $\sim 10 \text{ eV/unit}$  charge or more [18]. Thus, in the process of ionization, the original low-lying UDOS could now be occupied and the 4d XES could provide a measure of the original low-lying UDOS.

10 July 2015

These results are summarized in Figure 1.

To extend the measurements to UF<sub>4</sub>, an operational change was necessary. While the UO<sub>2</sub> sample used in Reference 7 had a well-defined and clean surface, the UF<sub>4</sub> sample would not be thus. It is possible to sputter clean the surface of a UF<sub>4</sub> sample, but then the stoichiometry would be ruined. Rather than change the stoichiometry, it was decided to probe the UF<sub>4</sub> only with spectroscopies that could sample bulk behavior. For example, as reported earlier, while X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) could only see uranium, oxygen and carbon on the surface of the UF<sub>4</sub> sample, the F1s XES was clearly and strongly visible. [1] Interestingly, it is important that there is little or no F on the surface: This means that the F signal will be coming from the bulk. This issue will be revisited later.

Consider the spectra in Figure 2. In the top panel, there is the XES for the detector photon energy region of 660 eV to 760 eV, using an excitation-photonenergy of 810 eV. The detector energy here is calibrated upon similar measurements made in-house of the FK(1s) XES [1]. The F1s peak is strong and well defined, very similar to the F1s peak in Reference 1. The U4d peak, shown in the blow-up, is at least three orders of magnitude weaker, but still visible above the noise. Clearly, there is only one major peak here, already different than the result for uranium dioxide. Because the XPS showed no surface peak, it is safe to conclude that the F1s XES is solely derived from the bulk. It is important that the F1s and U4d features were collected in one scan, eliminating the issue of energy calibration for an isolated U4d peak. While time consuming (8- 12 hours), it permits the overlay of peaks that will be utilized below. Similarly, a wide XAS spectrum was collected for

10 July 2015

the uranium tetrafluoride, shown in the middle panel. Here the energy calibration is based upon the Fe2p of iron oxide, which can be seen in both the  $I_0$  and Total Electron Yield (TEY) Curves. TEY is fairly surface sensitive: the poor quality of the TEY is a reminder and confirmation of the corrosion of the outside of the uranium tetrafluoride sample. This can be further confirmed by looking at the O1s XAS of the UF<sub>4</sub> sample, shown in an insert in the upper right corner. Oxygen should not be present in the uranium tetrafluoride, so the observation of any O1s intensity is indicative of surface corruption. Moreover, the three peak spectral structure is reminiscent of the O1s spectra of  $UO_3$  of Magnussen et al [19], the  $(AnO_2Cl_4)^{-2}$ uranyl compounds, reported by Clark et al. [20] and the  $UO_2F_2$  of the PNNL group [21], not at all like our O1s from uranium dioxide, also shown in the corner inset. Thus, some of the corrosion may be in the form of  $UO_2F_2$  or  $UO_3$  or an analogous uranyl structure. In contrast to the surface sensitive TEY, the Total Fluorescence Yield exhibits a plethora of fine structure, which is all easily understandable. As with the XES, the TFY measurements are all photon-based and thus bulk sensitive. One can clearly see the detailed spectral features near the F1s threshold near 690 eV. In addition to the sharp peaks due to the electronic transitions described below, there are also Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS) features that dominate the spectrum about 20 eV beyond the main peak. Both the FK(1s) and the  $UL_3(2p_{3/2})$  EXAFS are consistent with that expected for the nominal UF<sub>4</sub> structure, as shown by the FEFF 10.0 [22] calculation of the EXAFS function  $\chi$  [23], shown in Figure 2. It is also worth noting that the F1s EXAFS from UF<sub>4</sub> and the O1s EXAFS from  $UO_2$  are very similar, paralleling the strong similarities in their L<sub>3</sub> EXAFS [10].

There should be U4d<sub>5/2</sub> XAS (about 736 eV) and U4d<sub>3/2</sub> XAS (about 778 eV) peaks [11,12]. However, as suggested by the XES results, these peaks will be small relative to the F1s XAS. Moreover, all of the uraniums will contribute, thus broadening the already weak features with shifts from the chemical inhomogeneity of the different forms of uranium. Hence, the likelihood of seeing a U4d XAS peak is small, and instead, these will manifest themselves as broadening in the F1s EXAFS. It should also be noted that the strong F1s EXAFS is supportive of the contention that the F1s intensity is bulk derived, being so clearly associated with the L<sub>3</sub> EXAFS from bulk uranium fluoride. (Finally, similar F1s XAS and O1s XAS data were collected at the University of Wisconsin Synchrotron Radiation Center, using a different UF<sub>4</sub> sample with lessened surface damage. [24]) Next, the data from these measurements are overlayed in a fashion similar to the data in Figure 1.

Consider now the overlay of spectra in Figure 3, for the uranium tetrafluoride sample. To align the XAS spectra, we used the threshold method, developed earlier for uranium dioxide [6]. Here, instead of using the low energy 4f XAS to access the 6d UDOS, the bulk sensitive  $L_3$  ( $2p_{3/2}$ ) XAS has been utilized. Again, this method is dependent upon the dominance of electric dipole selection rules, as in Reference 6. The energy scale on the lower panel is determined by that used in the analysis of the uranium dioxide [1,7] and the alignment of the F1s peaks in the inhouse and ALS experiments and the utilization of the inclusive wide scan in Figure 2. The U4d XES spectra were measured at several excitation energies, always producing the result of a single, fairly strong peak at  $hv_{DET} = 743$  eV, often with the suggestion of a weaker feature at slightly lower photon energy. Shown in Figure 3

are data that were collected at  $hv_{EX} = 722 \text{ eV}$ , with an extended data collection period to reduce the noise. This energy is seemingly below threshold, but as reported earlier [1], the wide lifetime broadening in these XES events permits excitations with lower energies. At  $hv_{EX} = 722 \text{ eV}$ , there is clearly a second but very weak feature at about  $hv_{DET} = 735 \text{ eV}$ . This feature matches perfectly with the reduced peak in the F1s XAS, suggesting that the U5f-F2p hybridization has been reduced relative to the U5f-O2p hybridization in the uranium dioxide. Note that the major peaks in both the F1s XAS and the U4d XES have shifted together, retaining their overlap. This suggests that the U6d-F2p hybridization remains intact. (The U6d-derived peak in the U4d XES is caused by a two-electron process, as discussed in References 1 and 7.) This hypothesis is confirmed by the placement of the U2p XAS, in the vicinity of the main F1s XAS and U4d XES peaks.

At this point, it is useful to look at the internal structure of the UL<sub>3</sub> peak. This has been done in detail in Reference 12 for both UO<sub>2</sub> and UF<sub>4</sub>, so here only the pertinent aspects will be summarized. Cluster calculations by Ryzhkov et al provide a histogrammatic UDOS for uranium tetrafluoride and uranium dioxide [25,26]. For UF<sub>4</sub>, using Doniach-Sunjic lineshapes [27] and assuming equal intensities, the smaller yellow curves are generated as shown in Figure 3, along with the sum shown as the larger, broader curve. Clearly, some of these 6d states in the UDOS fall directly below the F1s XAS main peak and above the U4d XES main peak, thus confirming the assignment as U6d-F2p hybridization. The FEFF XANES calculations (not shown) confirm this assignment.

In conclusion, by changing the oxidizing agent, it is possible to

10 July 2015

enhance (UO<sub>2</sub>) or diminish (UF<sub>4</sub>) the U5f-2p hybridization. The highly electronegative F drives the system towards ionic behavior, while the dioxide exhibits strong U5f-O2p hybridization. The U6d-2p hybridization persists, even in the ionic UF<sub>4</sub>. Clearly, the UO<sub>2</sub> is a U5f-O2p covalent material. This result provides a powerful benchmarking for calculations of the electronic structure of uranium dioxide and enhances the likelihood of the accuracy of safety simulations based upon our understanding of the uranium dioxide electronic structure. Further, there is an important application to more highly radioactive samples here: the 4d XES is feasible with either photon or electron excitation, so in-house experiments upon highly radioactive Np, Pu and Am samples are possible. The relative magnitude or the two U4d XES features can provide a direct measure of 5f-2p hybridization versus 6d-2p hybridization, without the need to take highly radioactive samples to synchrotron radiation light sources.

#### **Acknowledgements**

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory is operated by Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Work at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (CHB, DKS) was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences (OBES), Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences (CSGB), Heavy Element Chemistry (HEC) Program of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The ALS is supported by the Director, Office of Science, OBES of the U.S. Department of Energy at LBNL under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource is a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the DOE, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. The UF<sub>4</sub> sample was originally prepared at Oak Ridge National Laboratory and provided to LLNL by J. S. Morrell of Y12. [9] JGT wishes to thank (1) Glenn Fox and the PRT Program at LLNL for support during his sabbatical at LBNL; (2) DKS for his hosting of the sabbatical at GTSC/LBNL: and (3) CHB for the opportunity to learn new hard X-ray skills and collect data in the middle of the night again.

10 July 2015

#### **References**

- S.-W. Yu and J.G. Tobin, J. Electron Spectroscopy Rel. Phen. 187, 15 (2013) and references therein.
- 2. I.L. Pegg, Physics Today **68**, 33 (2015).
- 3. M.L. Wald, The New York Times, page A20, October 17, 2014.
- I.D. Prodan, G.E. Scuseria, R.L. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 76, 033101 (2007).
- L. Petit, A. Svane, Z. Szotek, W.M. Temmerman, and G. M. Stocks, Phys. Rev. B 81 045108 (2010).
- 6. S.-W. Yu, J. G. Tobin, J. C. Crowhurst, S. Sharma, J. K. Dewhurst, P. Olalde-Velasco, W. L. Yang, and W. J. Siekhaus, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 165102 (2011).
- 7. J.G. Tobin and S.-W. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett, **107**, 167406 (2011).
- V Gouverneur and K. Seppelt, Chem. Rev. **115**, 563 (2015), Fluorine Chemistry Special Issue and references therein; Fluorine, <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fluorine</u>.
- 9. J. Tobin, J. Electron Spectroscopy Rel. Phen. **194**, 14 (2014).
- 10. J.G Tobin, C.H. Booth, W. Siekhaus and D.K. Shuh, "EXAFS Investigation of UF<sub>4</sub>," J. Vac. Sci. Tech. A, accepted March 2015.
- G. Kalkowski, G. Kaindl, W.D. Brewer and W. Krone, Phys. Rev B 35, 2667 (1987).

- JG Tobin, S.-W. Yu, C.H. Booth, T. Tyliszczak, D.K. Shuh, G. van der Laan, D. Sokaras, D. Nordlund, T.-C. Weng, and P.S. Bagus, Phys. Rev. B 92, 035111 (2015).
- J. J. Jia, T. A. Callcott, J. Yurkas, A. W. Ellis, F. J. Himpsel, M. G. Samant, J.
   Stohr, D. L. Ederer, J. A. Carlisle, E. A. Hudson, L. J. Terminello, D. K. Shuh, and R. C. C. Perera, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 66, 1394 (1995).
- C.H. Booth, Yu Jiang, D.L. Wang, J.N. Mitchell, P.H. Tobash, E.D. Bauer, M.A.
   Wall, P.G. Allen, D. Sokaras, D. Nordlund, T.-C. Weng, M.A. Torrez, and J.L.
   Sarrao, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **109**, 10205 (2012).
- C.H. Booth, S.A. Medling, Y. Jiang, E.D. Bauer, P.H. Tobash, J.N. Mitchell, D.K.
   Veirs, M.A. Wall, P.G. Allen, J.J. Kas, D. Sokaras, D. Nordlund, T.-C. Weng, J.
   Electron Spectroscopy and Rel. Phen. **194** 57 (2014).
- D. Sokaras, T.-C. Weng, D. Nordlund, R. Alonso-Mori, P. Velikov, D. Wenger,
   A. Garachtchenko, M. George, V. Borzenets, B. Johnson, T. Rabedeau and U.
   Bergmann, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 84, 053102 (2013).
- J. J. Bucher, P. G. Allen, N. M. Edelstein, D. K. Shuh, N. W. Madden, C. Cork, P. Luke, D. Pehl, and D. Malone, Review of Scientific Instruments 67, 3361 (1996).
- B.W. Veal, D.J. Lam, H. Diamond and H.R. Hoekstra, Phys. Rev. B 15, 2929 (1977).
- M. Magnuson, S.M. Butorin, L. Werme, J. Nordgren, K.E. Ivanov, J.-H. Guo,
   D.K. Shuh, Applied Surface Science 252, 5615(2006).

- D.L. Clark, "Chemical Bonding in Molecules with Valence 5f Electrons," SESTEC 2014 International Conference, Mumbai, India, February 2014, LA-UR-14-20496.
- J. D. Ward, M. Bowden, C. Tom Resch, S. Smith, B. K. McNamara, E. C. Buck,
  G. C. Eiden and A. M. Duffin, "Identification of Uranyl Minerals Using
  Oxygen K-Edge X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy," Geostandards and
  Geoanalytical Research, accepted 2015, DOI: 10.1111/j.1751908X.2015.00337.x
- J.J. Rehr, J.J. Kas, F.D. Vila, M.P. Prange, K. Jorissen, "Parameter-free calculations of X-ray spectra with FEFF9," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12 (21) 5503 (2010).
- B.K. Teo, "EXAFS Basic Principles and Data Analysis," Springer Verlag, New York, 1986.
- 24. A.M. Duffin et al., private communication.
- Yu. A. Teterin, K. I. Maslakov, M. V. Ryzhkov, O.P. Traparic, L. Vukcevic, A.
   Yu. Teterin, and A. D. Panov, Radiochemistry 47, 215 (2005).
- A. Yu. Teterin, Yu. A. Teterin, K. I. Maslakov, A. D. Panov, M. V. Ryzhkov, L. Vukcevic, Phys. Rev. B 74, 045101 (2006).
- 27. J.G. Tobin and F.O. Schumann, Surface Science **478**, 211 (2001).

#### **Figure Captions**

- Figure 1 The Unoccupied Density of States (UDOS) of UO<sub>2</sub>, as determined from the O1s, U4f and U4d XAS and the U 4d XES is shown here. See text for details. Here, the XES is performed in house, using an electron gun for excitation.
  [7]
- Figure 2 The XES and XAS of UF<sub>4</sub>, plus supporting measurements and calculations, are displayed here. See text for details. The solid part of the U2p data is from Beamline 6-2 [12] ; the dashed from Beamline 11-2 [10]. TFY is total fluorescent yield. TEY is total electron yield. I<sub>0</sub> is a measure of the beamline photon intensity. The  $\chi_{Calc}$  curves for the F1s and U2p<sub>3/2</sub> are deviations from zero, shown as a horizontal line in each case. Each of the  $\chi_{Calc}$  curves were generated using FEFF based upon a cluster model for UF<sub>4</sub> and each curve here runs from KE (kinetic energy) = 20 eV to KE = 120 eV. The U2p<sub>3/2</sub>  $\chi_{Calc}$  (F1s  $\chi_{Calc}$ ) curve in this range has a minimum value of -0.15 (-0.07) and a maximum value of 0.10 (0.21). The U2p<sub>3/2</sub>  $\chi_{Calc}$  (F1s  $\chi_{Calc}$ ) curve has a calculated value of E<sub>F</sub> =17164.58 eV (680.84), but the plot uses E<sub>F</sub> near 17,150 eV (695 eV) for the comparison to the experiment. The slanted, dashed blue lines show the positions of the EXAFS oscillations, as indicated by the  $\chi$  function obtained from a FEFF calculation [22].
- Figure 3 The Unoccupied Density of States (UDOS) of UF<sub>4</sub>, as determined from the F1s and U2p XAS and the U 4d XES is shown here. A simulated U2p spectrum is also included, with the underlying states from the Ryzhkov cluster calculations [25,26]. See text for details.



Figure 1

**Covalency in Oxidized Uranium** 



Figure 2



Figure 3