

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Spin-liquid ground state in the frustrated J\_{1}-J\_{2} zigzag chain system BaTb\_{2}O\_{4}

A. A. Aczel, L. Li, V. O. Garlea, J.-Q. Yan, F. Weickert, V. S. Zapf, R. Movshovich, M. Jaime, P. J. Baker, V. Keppens, and D. Mandrus
 Phys. Rev. B 92, 041110 — Published 13 July 2015
 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.92.041110

This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan).

## Spin Liquid Ground State in the Frustrated $J_1$ - $J_2$ Zigzag Chain System BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

A.A. Aczel,<sup>1,\*</sup> L. Li,<sup>2</sup> V.O. Garlea,<sup>1</sup> J.-Q. Yan,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Weickert,<sup>4</sup> V.S. Zapf,<sup>4</sup>

R. Movshovich,<sup>4</sup> M. Jaime,<sup>4</sup> P.J. Baker,<sup>5</sup> V. Keppens,<sup>2</sup> and D. Mandrus<sup>2, 3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Quantum Condensed Matter Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

<sup>3</sup>Materials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

<sup>4</sup>MPA-CMMS, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

<sup>5</sup>ISIS Facility, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Oxford, Oxfordshire, OX11 0QX, UK

We have investigated polycrystalline samples of the zigzag chain system  $BaTb_2O_4$  with magnetic susceptibility, heat capacity, neutron powder diffraction, and muon spin relaxation ( $\mu$ SR). No magnetic transitions are observed in the bulk measurements, while neutron diffraction reveals the presence of low-temperature, short-range, intrachain magnetic correlations between  $Tb^{3+}$  ions.  $\mu$ SR indicates that these correlations are dynamic, as no signatures of static magnetism are detected by the technique down to 0.095 K. These combined findings provide strong evidence for a spin liquid ground state in  $BaTb_2O_4$ .

PACS numbers: 75.40.-s, 75.25.-j, 75.30.Cr

Spin liquids are exotic ground states of frustrated magnets in which local moments are highly correlated but still fluctuate strongly down to zero temperature<sup>1</sup>. In principle, the fluctuations of a spin liquid can be quantum or classical in nature. Several types of spin liquids have been proposed theoretically, including Anderson's resonating valence bond state<sup>2</sup>, spin ice<sup>3,4</sup> and others characterized by either gapped or gapless low-energy excitations<sup>5</sup>. The experimental search for new spin liquid candidates is an ongoing area of interest since this state of matter remains largely unexplored in the laboratory. Some well-known examples of quantum spin liquid candidates include ZnCu<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>(herbertsmithite)<sup>6,7</sup>, BaCu<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>(vesignieite)<sup>8</sup>, and Ba<sub>3</sub>NiSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub><sup>9</sup>, while their classical counterparts are the pyrochlore magnets Ho<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> and Dy<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>1,10,11</sup>.

Magnetic systems characterized by frustration and lowdimensionality have proven to be useful starting points in the quest for uncovering additional spin liquids, but particular complications have severely limited the number of viable candidates. For example, although one-dimensional (1D) magnets and two-dimensional Heisenberg systems are not expected to order due to the Mermin-Wagner theorem<sup>12</sup>, most real low-dimensional materials are governed by weak exchange interactions in the other spatial dimensions and therefore exhibit magnetic order at low temperatures. Furthermore, although magnetic frustration can prevent leading terms in the Hamiltonian from selecting a conventional ordered ground state, there are instances in which the sub-leading terms can still drive the system to an ordered spin configuration<sup>13</sup>. To overcome these obstacles and find new spin liquid candidates, it is important to perform detailed studies on a wide variety of frustrated, low-dimensional magnets.

The family of materials  $AR_2O_4$  (A = Ba, Sr; R = rare earth)<sup>14-16</sup> satisfy the two criteria described above. Two crystallographically-inequivalent R sites independently form two different types of zigzag chains<sup>17–19</sup> running along the *c*-axis as shown by the schematics in Fig. 1(a), and therefore quasi-1D magnetic behavior may be expected. Bulk characterization studies have also shown that most members of the family have dominant antiferromagnetic (AFM) exchange in-

teractions and relatively large frustration indices. Geometric frustration can arise in this structure type if the  $J_2$  exchange interactions are AFM and the  $J_1$  couplings are of comparable strength. Note that the two chain types may have inequivalent  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  interactions.

The general expectation for the  $AR_2O_4$  family, according to theoretical predictions of the classical zigzag chain<sup>20,21</sup> with AFM  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  couplings, is that a small (large) ionic radius<sup>22</sup> r for the R atom will decrease (increase) the  $J_1$  distance and induce Néel (double Néel or helimagnetic) order on the chains. Schematics of the Néel and double Néel magnetic order, with the latter state expected in the large AFM  $J_2$  limit of the Ising zigzag chain, are shown in Fig. 1(a). The zigzag chain framework has served as a good starting point for understanding the AR<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ground states, as Néel and double Néel long-range order have been observed in the systems with the smallest and largest R ionic radii studied with neutron scattering (SrYb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, r = 0.87 Å<sup>23</sup> and BaNd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>,  $r = 0.98 \text{ Å}^{24}$ ). However, a variety of magnetic phases have been discovered in the intermediate regime for the R ionic radii that are not easily explained by previous theoretical work. These phases include coexisting long-range Néel and short-range double Néel order in SrEr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(r = 0.94 Å)<sup>17,25</sup>, coexisting short-range Néel and short-range double Néel order in SrHo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> $(r = 0.90 \text{ Å})^{19,26,27}$ , incommensurate magnetic order in SrTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(r = 0.92 Å)<sup>28</sup>, short-range magnetic order in  $\text{SrDy}_2\text{O}_4(r = 0.91 \text{ Å})^{18,29}$ , and no magnetic ordering of any kind in  $\text{SrTm}_2\text{O}_4(r = 0.88 \text{ Å})^{30}$ . It is also interesting to note that long-range order observed in this family has often been found to arise from only one rare earth site. Since the  $J_1$ and  $J_2$  exchange path distances are essentially equal for the two chain types, this behavior may be a consequence of the inequivalent, distorted oxygen octahedral local environments of the rare earths comprising each chain.

In this work, we extend previous investigations of the  $AR_2O_4$  family with intermediate R ionic radii to polycrystalline  $BaTb_2O_4$  (r = 0.92 Å) by performing a combination of magnetic susceptibility, heat capacity, neutron diffraction, and muon spin relaxation measurements on this system. The magnetic species is  $Tb^{3+}$ , which is a non-Kramers ion with



FIG. 1: (a) Schematic of the ab-plane for the AR<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> structure, showing the R atoms only. The two inequivalent sites are illustrated in different colors. Schematics of the zigzag chain are also presented, showing both the Néel and double Néel ground states that arise from the classical  $J_1$ - $J_2$  Ising model in the strong AFM  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  limits respectively. (b) Magnetic susceptibility of BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, showing no evidence for long-range order down to 2 K. (c)  $C_p$  data measured between 0 and 12 T are shown on a double logarithmic scale with no indication of magnetic order. The low temperature peak centered at  $T^* = 1.5$  K in zero field is likely due to a low-lying crystal field level.

a large angular momentum J = 6. We find no evidence for long-range magnetic ordering or spin freezing in any of our measurements down to 0.095 K. However, neutron diffraction reveals the presence of extremely short-range, intrachain magnetic correlations at temperatures below the modulus of the Curie-Weiss temperature  $|\theta_{CW}| = 18$  K, extending up to next nearest neighbor Tb<sup>3+</sup> ions at most. These findings provide compelling evidence that BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is a new spin liquid candidate.

Polcrystalline BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was synthesized by using the procedure presented in the Supplemental Information<sup>31</sup>. The magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  of polycrystalline BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was measured in applied fields  $\mu_0 H = 0.01$  T and 0.1 T using a Quantum Design Magnetic Properties Measurement System. The data are presented in Fig. 1(b), with  $\chi = M/\mu_0 H$ (magnetization/applied field), and the results are in reasonable agreement with previous work<sup>15</sup>. The high temperature data is well-described by a Curie-Weiss law, with a fit between 150 and 350 K yielding  $\theta_{CW}$  = -18 K and an effective moment  $\mu_{eff} = 9.55 \ \mu_B$ . The effective moment is close to the expected value of 9.72  $\mu_B$  for Tb<sup>3+</sup>. There is no evidence for long-range magnetic order from the  $\chi$  measurements down to 2 K. Zero-field-cooled and field-cooled data were collected from 2 - 50 K, and no signatures of spin freezing are found either.

The specific heat  $(C_p)$  below 2 K was measured with a home-built probe based on the adiabatic heat-pulse technique in a He-3/He-4 dilution refrigerator from Oxford Instruments. Data at higher temperatures were taken in a Quantum Design Physical Properties Measurement System equipped with a 12 T superconducting magnet. Fig. 1(c) shows  $C_p$  data in selected applied fields. No evidence for magnetic order is found, while the observed field dependence is likely indicative of crystal field splitting that changes with  $\mu_0 H$ .

The zero field  $C_p$  data show a sharp upturn for T < 0.3 K that can be attributed to nuclear Schottky contributions of Tb<sup>32,33</sup> or Ba nuclei. A broad maximum is also observed at  $T^* = 1.5$  K, which likely corresponds to a low-lying crystal field level. To estimate the entropy recovered on warming

through this peak, the lattice contribution  $C_{lat}$  was first determined by fitting the  $C_p$  data from 20 - 40 K (where the field-dependence is minimal) to the function  $C_{lat} = AT^3 + BT^5 + DT^7$  and then subtracted off. Integrating the remaining contribution  $C_{mag}/T$  for T > 0.3 K yields an entropy of 4.9(1) J/K mol-Tb. The recovered entropy is between the values of Rln(3) and Rln(4) assuming it corresponds to one Tb site only, or close to Rln(2) if both Tb sites are considered. It is difficult to make specific conclusions from the entropy analysis, due to the monoclinic site symmetries and the inequivalence of the local environments for the two different Tb sites. The build-up of magnetic correlations with decreasing T can also complicate this analysis<sup>34</sup>.

Neutron powder diffraction was performed with 5 g of polycrystalline BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> between 0.3-300 K at Oak Ridge National Laboratory using the HB-2A powder diffractometer of the High Flux Isotope Reactor with a collimation of 12'-open-6'. Data with a neutron wavelength of 2.41 Å is depicted in Fig. 2(a) and (d) with T = 0.3 K and 10 K respectively. Successful Rietveld refinements were performed using FullProf<sup>35</sup> with the known room temperature space group  $Pnam^{15}$ , indicating that there are no structural phase transitions down to 0.3 K. The lattice constants at 0.3 K refined as a = 10.4226(2) Å, b = 12.1784(2) Å, and c = 3.4965(1) Å. Detailed structure information obtained from refinements of the T = 300 K and 0.3 K data can be found in the Supplemental Information<sup>31</sup>.

No evidence was found for long-range order in the diffraction data of BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. However, magnetic diffuse scattering was observed instead in both the 0.3 and 10 K datasets. The diffuse scattering is modeled as background in Fig. 2(a) and (d), and most clearly seen in Fig. 2(b) and (e). The *Q*dependence of this scattering remains almost unchanged up to 10 K. These findings indicate that there are significant magnetic correlations that persist well above the onset of any possible long-range order. We note that the combined diffraction and susceptibility data rule out a well-isolated crystal field singlet ground state as found for  $Tm_2Ti_2O_7^{36}$  and possibly applicable to  $SrTm_2O_4^{30}$ , since the signatures for such a scenario



FIG. 2: (a) HB-2A neutron diffraction data with  $\lambda = 2.41$  Å at 0.3 K for polycrystalline BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The solid curve is a fit generated from a structural Rietveld refinement using the space group *Pnam*. (b) An enlarged version of the data shown in panel (a), emphasizing the magnetic diffuse scattering. (c) A 0.3-100 K difference plot, with the intensity normalized by the Tb<sup>3+</sup> magnetic form factor squared. The curves are fits to various models that include magnetic correlations between Tb<sup>3+</sup> ions separated by one particular distance only. (d)-(f) Similar plots to those shown in panels (a)-(c), but with T = 10 K.

are a constant low-T magnetic susceptibility and the absence of any elastic magnetic scattering, in contrast to observations.

0.3-100 K and 10-100 K difference plots are shown in Fig. 2(c) and (f), with the data normalized by the Tb<sup>3+</sup> form factor squared. We verified that 100 K was a suitable background temperature by finding no evidence for magnetic correlations in the raw data (not shown). Similar oscillatory scattering patterns are clearly evident in both difference plots, therefore no drastic change is found in the correlation length through  $T^*$ . The intensity of the difference plots was fit to the function:

$$I(Q) = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} \frac{\sin(Qd_{ij})}{Qd_{ij}} \tag{1}$$

which has proven to be a useful starting point for describing short range magnetic correlations in several different systems<sup>37-42</sup>. This equation represents the expected polycrystalline response for a local magnetic structure with isotropic interactions; the spins at sites *i* and *j* are correlated over distances of  $d_{ij}$  only. Antiferromagnetic (ferromagnetic) correlations are inferred from  $A_{ij} < 0$  ( $A_{ij} > 0$ ). In BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, the 0.3 K refinement discussed above yields a  $J_2$  distance of 3.50 Å, while the two types of zigzag chains are found to have inequivalent  $J_1$  distances of 3.59 Å and 3.62 Å. The shortest interchain distance in the system corresponds to the  $J_4$  exchange path, which has a length of 4.01 Å.

The best fits of the  $BaTb_2O_4$  data, shown by the solid curves in Fig. 2(c) and (f), require only one term with A < 0

and d = 3.58(4) Å at 0.3 K (3.61(3) Å at 10 K). These fits correctly account for the positions of the peaks and valleys in the diffuse scattering. The inclusion of additional terms incorporating larger Tb-Tb distances does not improve the quality of the fitting. We note that the lack of perfect agreement between the best fits and our data may be due to the role that magnetic anisotropy plays in BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> - a similar conclusion was made for  $Tb_2Ti_2O_7^{38,43}$ . Single component fits for  $BaTb_2O_4$  are also shown in Fig. 2(c) with d fixed to 3.50 Å and 4.01 Å, and they show that the overall agreement with the data is very similar in the former case and significantly worse for the latter. Based on these combined findings, the strongest conclusion we can make from this data is that short-range, intrachain AFM correlations are present in BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, extending up to next nearest neighbors at most. Furthermore, since the magnetic diffraction pattern for BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> can be modeled with only one local magnetic structure, this implies that the two Tb<sup>3+</sup> sites host very similar magnetic ground states or one of them has a non-magnetic singlet ground state.

The observation of magnetic diffuse scattering in neutron diffraction studies alone does not allow one to determine whether the moments are truly static or dynamic in the magnetic ground state. For example, diffuse scattering was observed in diffraction measurements of the pyrochlore systems  $Tb_2Mo_2O_7^{37}$  and  $Tb_2Ti_2O_7^{38}$ , but the molybdate has been characterized as a spin glass<sup>44</sup> while the titanate is a quantum spin ice candidate<sup>45</sup>. Muon spin relaxation ( $\mu$ SR) measurements<sup>46</sup> proved to be instrumental in searching for



FIG. 3: (a)  $\mu$ SR spectra collected in selected LFs at 0.095 K, with fits indicated by the solid lines as described in the text. Very little decoupling of the zero field relaxation is observed up to 0.2 T, which suggests that its origin is a dynamic mechanism. (b) *T*dependence of the relaxation rate  $\lambda_1$ , which saturates below 1 K. This *T*-dependence is consistent with dynamic behavior of the moments down to the lowest temperatures investigated.

the evidence of spin freezing needed to differentiate between spin glass and more exotic dynamic ground states in these pyrochlore systems<sup>38,47</sup>.

Therefore, to better understand the magnetic ground state of  $BaTb_2O_4$ ,  $\mu SR$  was performed at the EMU (10-300 K) and MuSR (0.095-4 K) spectrometers in longitudinal field (LF) geometry at the ISIS Pulsed Neutron and Muon Source. Zero field data were collected at 0.095, 0.5, 2, and 4 K, and no features characteristic of long-range order or spin freezing were observed. Data were also collected in several different LFs at 0.095 K. Some selected spectra are shown in Fig. 3(a), and they fit well to the expression:

$$A(t) = A_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + A_B e^{-\lambda_B t}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where the two terms represent muons that stop in the sample and the sample holder respectively.

Assuming the magnetic fields experienced by muons in  $BaTb_2O_4$  are static, then their magnitude can be estimated

from the zero field  $\mu$ SR data by using the approximation:  $B_{loc} = \lambda_1 / \gamma_\mu$ , where  $\gamma_\mu = 135.5 \times 2\pi$  MHz/T is the muon gyromagnetic ratio. In the present case, this analysis yields  $B_{loc} = 0.0125$  T for the lowest temperatures studied. However, this description is incompatible with the form of the data arising from LF measurements at low temperature. If the muon spin relaxation in zero field was caused by a static mechanism, then a LF around one order of magnitude larger than the local field should be sufficient to completely decouple the relaxing signal. Fig. 3(a) shows that this is the case for the relaxation from the sample holder, but quite the opposite is true for the relaxation from the sample contribution. In fact, the sample component of the  $\mu_0 H_{LF} = 0.2$  T spectrum shows only modest changes from the zero field case. This implies that the muon spin relaxation arising from the sample has a dynamic origin and rules out a static spin-glass-like ground state for  $BaTb_2O_4$ .

 $\mu$ SR spectra were also collected with  $\mu_0 H_{LF} = 0.02$  T at a variety of different temperatures to allow for an accurate measurement of  $\lambda_1 = 1/T_1$ . Fig. 3(b) depicts the *T*-dependence of  $1/T_1$ . No sharp peak is observed as would be expected in the case of spin freezing<sup>47</sup>;  $1/T_1$  saturates below  $\sim 1$  K instead. A single exponential muon spin relaxation rate from the sample is also observed at all temperatures. These findings are indicative of large, rapidly-fluctuating internal magnetic fields, as expected for a paramagnet<sup>38,46</sup>, and they are in good agreement with the decoupling scheme observed in the LF scan at 0.095 K.

Our combined data are consistent with a cooperative paramagnetic or spin liquid ground state for BaTb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, as we have found evidence for extremely short-range, dynamic, intrachain magnetic correlations between Tb<sup>3+</sup> ions that persist down to 0.095 K. Theoretical models based on the classical zigzag chain with  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  intrachain exchange alone cannot explain the origin of this exotic state. Additional measurements and theoretical work are needed to understand the role that interchain interactions, single ion anisotropy, dipolar interactions, and the crystal field level schemes for the two Tb<sup>3+</sup> sites play in the ground state selection for this material.

## Acknowledgments

We acknowledge useful discussions with B.D. Gaulin, M.J.P. Gingras, J.A.M. Paddison, and J.R. Stewart. This research was supported by the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Basic Energy Sciences. A.A.A. and V.O.G. were supported by the Scientific User Facilities Division. J.-Q.Y. and D.M. were supported by the Materials Science and Engineering Division. The neutron experiments were performed at the High Flux Isotope Reactor, which is sponsored by the Scientific User Facilities Division. Work at NHMFL-LANL was supported by NSF, US DOE and the State of Florida. Finally, we thank the staff of ISIS, where the  $\mu$ SR experiments were performed, for their hospitality.

- \* author to whom correspondences should be addressed: Email:[aczelaa@ornl.gov]
- <sup>1</sup> L. Balents, Nature **464**, 199 (2010).
- <sup>2</sup> P.W. Anderson, Science **235**, 1196 (1987).
- <sup>3</sup> S.T. Bramwell and M.J.P. Gingras, Science **294**, 1495 (2001).
- <sup>4</sup> M.J.P. Gingras in *Highly Frustrated Magnetism*, Eds. C. Lacroix, P. Mendels and F. Mila, Springer (2009).
- <sup>5</sup> X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 165113 (2002).
- <sup>6</sup> J.S. Helton, K. Matan, M.P. Shores, E.A. Nytko, B.M. Bartlett, Y. Yoshida, Y. Takano, A. Suslov, Y. Qiu, J.H. Chung, D.G. Nocera, and Y.S. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 107204 (2007).
- <sup>7</sup> T.-H. Han, J.S. Helton, S. Chu, D.G. Nocera, J.A. Rodriguez-Rivera, C. Broholm, and Y.S. Lee, Nature **492**, 406 (2012).
- <sup>8</sup> Y. Okamoto, H. Yoshida, and Z. Hiroi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 033701 (2009).
- <sup>9</sup> J.G. Cheng, G. Li, L. Balicas, J.S. Zhou, J.B. Goodenough, C. Xu, and H.D. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 197204 (2011).
- <sup>10</sup> M.J. Harris, S.T. Bramwell, D.F. McMorrow, T. Zeiske, and K.W. Godfrey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2554 (1997).
- <sup>11</sup> A.P. Ramirez, A. Hayashi, R.J. Cava, R. Siddharthan, and B.S. Shastry, Nature **399**, 333 (1999).
- <sup>12</sup> N.D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1133 (1966).
- <sup>13</sup> N.P. Raju, M. Dion, M.J.P. Gingras, T.E. Mason, and J.E. Greedan, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 14489 (1999).
- <sup>14</sup> H. Karunadasa, Q. Huang, B.G. Ueland, J.W. Lynn, P. Schiffer, K.A. Regan, and R.J. Cava, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 144414 (2005).
- <sup>15</sup> Y. Doi, W. Nakamori, and Y. Hinatsu, J. Phys.: Cond. Matt. 18, 333 (2006).
- <sup>16</sup> T. Besara, M.S. Lundberg, J. Sun, D. Ramirez, L. Dong, J.B. Whalen, R. Vasquez, F. Herrera, J.R. Allen, M.W. Davidson, and T. Siegrist, Progress in Solid State Chemistry **42**, 23 (2014).
- <sup>17</sup> T.J. Hayes, G. Balakrishnan, P.P. Deen, P. Manuel, L.C. Chapon, and O.A. Petrenko, Phys. Rev. B 84, 174435 (2011).
- <sup>18</sup> A. Fennell, V.Y. Pomjakushin, A. Uldry, B. Delley, B. Prevost, A. Desilets-Benoit, A.D. Bianchi, R.I. Bewley, B.R. Hansen, T. Klimczuk, R.J. Cava, and M. Kenzelmann, Phys. Rev. B. **89**, 224511 (2014).
- <sup>19</sup> J.-J. Wen, W. Tian, V.O. Garlea, S.M. Koohpayeh, T.M. Mc-Queen, H.-F. Li, J.-Q. Yan, D. Vaknin, and C.L. Broholm, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 054424 (2015).
- <sup>20</sup> F. Heidrich-Meisner, I.A. Sergienko, A.E. Feiguin, and E.R. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B 75, 064413 (2007).
- <sup>21</sup> T. Hikihara, M. Kaburagi, H. Kawamura, and T. Tonegawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **69**, 259 (2000).
- <sup>22</sup> R.D. Shannon, Acta Cryst. A32, 751 (1976).
- <sup>23</sup> D.L. Quintero-Castro, B. Lake, M. Reehuis, A. Niazi, H. Ryll, A.T.M.N. Islam, T. Fennell, S.A.J. Kimber, B. Klemke, J. Ollivier, V.G. Sakai, P.P. Deen, and H. Mutka, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 064203 (2012).
- <sup>24</sup> A.A. Aczel, L. Li, V.O. Garlea, J.-Q. Yan, F. Weickert, M. Jaime, B. Maiorov, R. Movshovich, L. Civale, V. Keppens, and D. Mandrus, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 134403 (2014).
- <sup>25</sup> O.A. Petrenko, G. Balakrishnan, N.R. Wilson, S. de Brion, E. Suard, and L.C. Chapon, Phys. Rev. B 78, 184410 (2008).
- <sup>26</sup> O. Young, L.C. Chapon, and O.A. Petrenko, Journal of Physics:

Conference Series 391, 012081 (2012).

- <sup>27</sup> O. Young, A.R. Wildes, P. Manuel, B. Ouladdiaf, D.D. Khalyavin, G. Balakrishnan, and O.A. Petrenko, Phys. Rev. B 88, 024411 (2013).
- <sup>28</sup> H.-F. Li, C. Zhang, A. Senyshyn, A. Wildes, K. Schmalzl, W. Schmidt, M. Boehm, E. Ressouche, B. Hou, P. Meuffels, G. Roth, and T. Brückel, Front. Phys. 2, 42 (2014).
- <sup>29</sup> T.H. Cheffings, M.R. Lees, G. Balakrishnan, and O.A. Petrenko, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25, 256001 (2013).
- <sup>30</sup> H.-F. Li, B. Hou, A. Wildes, A. Senyshyn, K. Schmalzl, W. Schmidt, C. Zhang, T. Brückel, and G. Roth, arXiv: 1404.0044 (unpublished).
- <sup>31</sup> See Supplemental Information at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/xx.xxxx/PhysRevB.xxx.xxxxxx.
- <sup>32</sup> C.A. Catanese, A.T. Skjeltorp, H.E. Meissner, and W.P. Wolf, Phys. Rev. B 8, 4223 (1973).
- <sup>33</sup> H.W.J. Blote, R.F. Wielinga, and W.J. Huiskamp, Physica (Amsterdam), 43, 549 (1969).
- <sup>34</sup> B.D. Gaulin, J.S. Gardner, P.A. McClarty, and M.J.P. Gingras, Phys. Rev. B 84, 140402(R) (2011).
- <sup>35</sup> J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Physica B **192**, 55 (1993).
- <sup>36</sup> M.P. Zinkin, M.J. Harris, Z. Tun, R.A. Cowley, and B.M. Wanklyn, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8, 193 (1996).
- <sup>37</sup> J.E. Greedan, J.N. Reimers, C.V. Stager, and S.L. Penny, Phys. Rev. B 43, 5682 (1991).
- <sup>38</sup> J.S. Gardner, S.R. Dunsiger, B.D. Gaulin, M.J.P. Gingras, J.E. Greedan, R.F. Kiefl, M.D. Lumsden, W.A. MacFarlane, N.P. Raju, J.E. Sonier, I. Swainson, and Z. Tun, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1012 (1999).
- <sup>39</sup> B. Fak, F.C. Coomer, A. Harrison, D. Visser, and M.E. Zhitomirsky, Eur. Phys. Lett. 81, 17006 (2008).
- <sup>40</sup> P.M. Sarte, H.J. Silverstein, B.T.K. Van Wyk, J.S. Gardner, Y. Qiu, H.D. Zhou, and C.R. Wiebe, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 23, 382201 (2011).
- <sup>41</sup> B.G. Ueland, C.F. Miclea, K. Gofryk, Y. Qiu, F. Ronning, R. Movshovich, E.D. Bauer, J.S. Gardner, and J.D. Thompson, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 121109(R) (2014).
- <sup>42</sup> B.G. Ueland, J.S. Gardner, A.J. Williams, M.L. Dahlberg, J.G. Kim, Y. Qiu, J.R.D. Copley, P. Schiffer, and R.J. Cava, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 060408(R), (2010).
- <sup>43</sup> J.S. Gardner, B.D. Gaulin, A.J. Berlinsky, P. Waldron, S.R. Dunsiger, N.P. Raju, and J.E. Greedan, Phys. Rev. B 64, 224416 (2001).
- <sup>44</sup> B.D. Gaulin, J.N. Reimers, T.E. Mason, J.E. Greedan, and Z. Tun, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3244 (1992).
- <sup>45</sup> M.J.P. Gingras and P.A. McClarty, Rep. Prog. Phys. 77, 056501 (2014) and references therein.
- <sup>46</sup> P.D. de Reotier and A. Yaouanc, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9, 9113 (1997).
- <sup>47</sup> S.R. Dunsiger, R.F. Kiefl, K.H. Chow, B.D. Gaulin, M.J.P. Gingras, J.E. Greedan, A. Keren, K. Kojima, G.M. Luke, W.A. Mac-Farlane, N.P. Raju, J.E. Sonier, Y.J. Uemura, and W.D. Wu, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 9019 (1996).