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Abstract

An atomistic numerical scheme is developed and used to study the prototype of relaxor ferroelectrics, that is \( \text{PbMg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3}\text{O}_3 \) (PMN), at finite temperature. This scheme not only reproduces known complex macroscopic properties of PMN, but also provides a deep microscopic insight into this puzzling system. In particular, relaxor properties of PMN are found to originate from the competition between (1) random electric fields arising from the alloying of Mg and Nb ions belonging to different columns of the periodic Table within the same sublattice; (2) the simultaneous condensation of several off-center k-points as a result of a specific short-range, antiferroelectric-like interaction between lead-centered dipoles; and (3) ferroelectric-like interactions. Such origins contrast with those recently proposed for the homovalent \( \text{Ba(Zr,Ti)O}_3 \) solid solution, despite the fact that these two materials have similar macroscopic properties – which therefore leads to a new and comprehensive understanding of relaxor ferroelectrics.
Relaxor ferroelectrics have attracted much attention since their discoveries more than five decades ago. In particular, numerous studies have been conducted on the prototype of ferroelectric relaxors, that is lead magnesium niobate PbMg\(_{1/3}\)Nb\(_{2/3}\)O\(_3\) (PMN), and have revealed anomalous features, which are also inherent to some magnetic systems\(^1\)\(^2\) therefore extending their significance beyond ferroelectrics. For instance, PMN adopts a dielectric response-versus-temperature function that possesses a rounded peak, having a large magnitude and is strongly dependent on the frequency of the applied \(ac\) electric field, while PMN remains macroscopically non-polar, down to the lowest temperatures\(^3\)\(^4\). Measurements of its inverse dielectric permittivity\(^5\) as a function of temperature have also revealed an unusual deviation from linear behavior for a specific temperature, which is now known as the Burns temperature, \(T_B\)\(^6\).

Several conflicting models have been proposed to understand the microscopic origin of relaxor ferroelectrics, in general, and of PMN, in particular. A popular belief to explain their macroscopic anomalies is the existence of the so-called polar nano-regions (PNRs)\(^5\)\(^–\)\(^9\). These polar correlations are thought to appear at \(T_B\) and to freeze on cooling, as similar to dipole glass state. Another widespread and alternative model is that PMN owes its unusual properties to the development of a state formed by nanoscale multidomains\(^10\)\(^–\)\(^13\). Indeed, Ref. [12] proposed that quenched random fields (arising from the fact that the randomly distributed Mg and Nb cations possess different nominal ionic charges) prevent the normal ferroelectric state to take place and rather break it down into a nanodomains state. Reference [10] suggested that the large magnitude of the dielectric permittivity of PMN is then due to the side-wall motion in these nanodomains. Actually, the glassy-state versus nanodomains model is still debated and no consensus or satisfactory description of the real structure currently exists. As detailed in some reviews\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^13\)\(^–\)\(^15\), other concepts have also been considered to explain the peculiar properties of PMN, including chemically-ordered regions\(^16\) possessing large dielectric response\(^17\)\(^,\)\(^18\), overbonded oxygen ions\(^19\), polaronic mechanism\(^20\)\(^,\)\(^21\), and the coexistence of ferroelectric and antiferroelectric couplings\(^22\). It is also unclear if the recent mechanism that has been determined from first-principles-based calculations on the homovalent (Ba,Zr)TiO\(_3\) relaxor ferroelectric – that is the coexistence within the same material of ferroelectrically active and ferroelectrically inactive (silent) ions\(^23\) – holds for PMN.

A comprehensive understanding of lead-based relaxors, in general, and its representative compound, i.e. PMN, in particular, is therefore still lacking, despite the introduction of remarkable pioneering analytical approaches, such as the Random-Site\(^24\), Spherical-Random-Bond-Random-Field\(^25\), and Soft Pseudospin Glass\(^26\) models as well as other models\(^27\). One reason behind this
paucity of knowledge is that finite-temperature properties of heterovalent lead-based relaxors are rather challenging to mimic due, e.g., to the facts that its mixed $B$-sublattice is formed by ions that are chemically very different (Mg and Nb in PMN) and that the other cation sublattice possesses (lead) ions that strongly desire to move away from their ideal positions$^{7,28,29}$.

The goal of this paper is to report the development and results of a new atomistic numerical scheme that is able to realistically mimic the subtle and intriguing features of PMN, and which further provides a deep insight (that we believe to be unprecedented) into the microscopic description of this prototypical relaxor ferroelectric. In particular, this scheme reveals the major importance of random electric fields as well as complex and unexpected antiferroelectric-like interactions (involving several off-center vectors in the reciprocal space), allowing to understand the properties of PMN. The use of this scheme also resolves the aforementioned issues about the microscopic nature of PMN, and also elucidates the (controversial) effect of chemical ordering on properties of PMN.

As detailed in the Supplemental material$^{30–35}$, we developed an effective Hamiltonian ($H_{\text{eff}}$) for PMN. Its degree of freedom are (1) the local soft-mode in unit cell $i$, $u_i$, which represents the collective motion of Pb, Mg/Nb and oxygen ions associated with the lowest transverse optical phonon branch$^{36}$. $u_i$ is therefore directly proportional to the local electric dipole moment in cell $i$. It is technically chosen to be centered on the Pb sites, as consistent with the known fact that lead ions significantly move off-center in Pb-based perovskites$^{28,29,37–40}$; (2) Nb/Mg-centered dimensionless local displacements, $v_i$, that are related to the inhomogeneous strain inside each cell$^{36}$; and (3) the homogeneous strain tensor, $\eta_{\text{HH}}$.$^{36}$ Such effective Hamiltonian also depends on $\{\sigma_j\}$ variables that characterize the atomic configuration of the PMN solid solution. More precisely, $\sigma_j=+1$ or $−1$ corresponds to the presence of a Mg or Nb atom located at the B-lattice site $j$, respectively$^{41}$. Here, we average properties over 30 different alloy configurations, all randomly selected and frozen during the simulations, in order to mimic well disordered PMN systems$^{42}$. Monte-Carlo simulations using this $H_{\text{eff}}$ are performed using $18 \times 18 \times 18$ supercells (29,160 atoms) with periodic boundary conditions. Note that the parameters entering the expressions of the total energy of the $H_{\text{eff}}$ of PMN are initially determined by conducting first-principles computations$^{43–45}$ on small cells. However, these parameters are also allowed to vary from their first-principles values in the present work, in order to obtain a better agreement with experiments. In particular and as discussed below, we found that two types of these parameters play an important role on properties of PMN and need to be carefully adjusted from their computed values. They
are (i) the short-range parameter called $j_5$ in Ref. 36, that characterizes a specific interaction between second-nearest neighbors (e.g., between different Cartesian components of the local modes that are centered on two Pb sites that are the closest along the $<110>$ pseudo-cubic directions); and (ii) the magnitude of the coefficients denoted by $Q_{|j-i|}(\sigma_j)$, which represent the strength and direction of the so-called random electric fields\textsuperscript{12,24,46,47}.

Figure 1a reports the dielectric susceptibility, $\chi$, of disordered PMN as a function of temperature, computed from the cumulant method detailed in Ref. 48 and involving the local soft modes ($\chi$ therefore corresponds to the experimental situation for which small electric fields are applied). The dielectric susceptibility of PMN has a rounded peak while, as shown in the right inset of Fig. 1b, no polarization is numerically found to appear on zero field cooling, even at the lowest temperatures. Such features are consistent with experiments on PMN and are characteristics of relaxor ferroelectrics\textsuperscript{3–5}. They also contrast with the case of “normal” ferroelectrics for which the dielectric susceptibility has a sharp peak around the paraelectric-to-ferroelectric phase transition temperature. Other information revealed by Fig. 1a is that $\chi$ follows a Curie-Weiss law\textsuperscript{49} $C/(T - T_0)$ (where $T$ is the temperature, and with $C = 1.27 \times 10^5$K and $T_0 = 400$K) for temperatures above $\simeq 600$K, while it deviates from this law for temperatures below 600K. Interestingly, this latter temperature corresponds to the Burns temperature ($T_B = 620$K) reported for PMN\textsuperscript{6} below which there is a known deviation of $\chi$ from the Curie-Weiss law, and above which the Curie-Weiss law is well obeyed with $C = 1.25 \times 10^5$K and $T_0 = 396$K according to Ref.\textsuperscript{5} (note that similar values of the Curie-Weiss constant were obtained in other experiments, e.g., $C = 2.05 \times 10^5$K and $C \approx 10^6$K in Refs\textsuperscript{11} and\textsuperscript{14}, respectively). Comparisons between the predicted and measured values of both $T_B$ and $T_0$, as well as of the Curie-Weiss constant, therefore attest to the accuracy of the simulations after careful selection of the effective Hamiltonian parameters. It is also worth mentioning that $\chi$ exhibits rather large error bars for temperatures below $T_B$, in general, and close or below to its peak, in particular. This indicates that properties of PMN are rather sensitive to the atomic configuration. Moreover, $T_0$, which usually corresponds to the Curie temperature in normal ferroelectrics, can be identified here as the so-called $T^*$ temperature inherent to relaxors\textsuperscript{5,8,50–53}. We will come back to these points later on.

We also conducted simulations for which disordered PMN is cooled down under a $dc$ electric field that is oriented along the pseudo-cubic [111] direction, and having Cartesian components equal to $1.0 \times 10^7$V/m along the $x$-, $y$-, and $z$-axes (the magnitude of this field is therefore $\sqrt{3} \times 10^7$V/m). Under these circumstances, PMN adopts a significant electrical polarization along
the direction of the applied field below a certain temperature, and, correspondingly, a large dc susceptibility (not shown here), which differs from the susceptibility, obtained by the cumulant method, and that can be considered as one of the main features of the relaxors and glasses\(^{26}\). Interestingly, such polarization remains finite when this field is removed at low temperature. The left inset of Fig 1b reveals that this polarized state (obtained at 10 K here) possesses some degrees of disorder in it since not all the dipoles are aligned along the [111] direction – which is in contrast with the case of a typical ferroelectric like BaTiO\(_3\) but is consistent with what was observed experimentally in PMN by local probes such as the nuclear magnetic resonance technique in Ref. [7]. We numerically find that this degree of disorder originates from the random electric fields existing inside PMN. The system is then heated under no electric field starting from this low-temperature, polarized state. The resulting temperature dependence of the Cartesian components of the super-cell average of the local mode, \(\langle u \rangle\), is displayed in Fig. 1b (note that the \(x\)-, \(y\)- and \(z\)-axes are chosen along the pseudo-cubic [100], [010] and [001] directions, respectively). One can, e.g., see that the \(x\)-, \(y\)- and \(z\)-Cartesian components of \(\langle u \rangle\) are all predicted to have a magnitude of 0.050 a.u at 10K, 0.045 a.u. at 150 K, and of 0.039 at 250K, which corresponds to a polarization of 0.54C/m\(^2\), 0.49C/m\(^2\), and 0.43C/m\(^2\), respectively, that is oriented along [111]. These magnitudes agree rather well with measurements providing 0.46C/m\(^2\) at temperature close to 0K\(^{54}\) and 0.41C/m\(^2\) at 150K\(^{55}\) as well as with first-principles calculations yielding values ranging between 0.40C/m\(^2\) and 0.65C/m\(^2\) at 0K\(^{38,39}\) – which further emphasizes the capability of our \(H_{eff}\) to realistically mimic the complex properties of PMN. Figure 1b also indicates that the polarization decreases on heating and then, at about 250 ± 50K, experiences a sudden jump down leading to its vanishing. Such striking behavior has indeed been experimentally reported, with the temperature associated with this jump being called the depolarization, temperature, \(T_{depol}\), and being equal to \(\approx 210 - 230K\) for (111) crystals\(^{12,56-58}\). The temperature at which this jump occurs is also linked to the freezing temperature, \(T_f\), below which PMN is non-ergodic\(^{12}\) – as evidenced in Fig. 1b by the different ground states obtained depending on the cooling regime (zero-field cooling or removing of electric field after field cooling).

Having demonstrated the predictive capability of our simulations at both global/macroscopic (cf Fig. 1a) and local/nanoscopic (left inset of Fig. 1b) levels, let us now provide a microscopic insight of PMN by showing, in Figures 2 (a-d), snapshots of the dipolar patterns within a \((x, y)\) plane for different temperatures, when the system is cooled under no field. These snapshots reveal that correlated clusters, usually referred to as polar nanoregions (PNRs) and inside which dipoles
are nearly parallel to each other and contain at least two Pb-centered dipoles, indeed exist in PMN, as suggested in Refs.\textsuperscript{6,40,58–61} and similar to the case of the homovalent BZT relaxor\textsuperscript{23,42,62,63}. These PNRs are delimited by red lines in Figs 2(a-d), and are numerically found by using the same Bayesian algorithm as in Refs.\textsuperscript{42,62} from instantaneous snapshots (configuration of the last Monte-Carlo sweep for any investigated temperature). Their average (dimensionless) size\textsuperscript{64} is computed as $\langle s \rangle = \langle N^2 \rangle / \langle N \rangle$, where $N$ is the number of Pb sites belonging to a PNR, and the brackets denote the average over all the PNRs existing inside the supercell. Figure 2e displays the predicted temperature dependency of $\langle s \rangle$. The data of Figs 2 indicate that $\langle s \rangle$ rapidly grows and the number of PNRs becomes larger upon cooling below $T_B$, in general, and below $T_f$, in particular (note that PNRs containing a single Pb dipole are included in the definition of $\langle s \rangle$, which explains why $\langle s \rangle$ is not equal to 0 above the Burns temperature). Indeed, Figs. 2c and 2d reveal the large number of PNRs below 200K, and Fig. 2e demonstrates an average cluster size of 19. Interestingly, such size corresponds, in one dimension, to a length of about 72Å, which is similar to the spatial correlation length of 62.4Å obtained experimentally by neutron diffuse scattering\textsuperscript{58} at 10K. Note that the Supplemental Material also discusses the dynamic versus static characters of these PNRs for different temperatures (see Fig. 1 there), which is found to be in line with experimental findings\textsuperscript{51,58}. As a result, on decreasing temperature, the size (cf Figs. 2) and the degree of the static character (see the Supplemental Material\textsuperscript{30}) of the polar correlations in PMN are increasing to get a microscopic configuration, below $T_f$, which is described by many nanosize clusters that are separated from a few isolated, non-vanishing dipoles – which contrasts with the case of BZT\textsuperscript{23}.

Let us now discuss and reveal the key features at the origin of the aforementioned microscopic description and properties of PMN relaxor. For that, we, first, decided to compute correlations between dipoles as follows:

$$\theta_{\alpha,\alpha}(r) = \frac{1}{N_{sc}} \sum_i \frac{u_{i,\alpha} u_{i+r,\alpha}}{|\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_{i+r}|},$$

where the index $i$ runs over all the $N_{sc}$ Pb-sites of the supercell and where $\mathbf{u}_i$ and $\mathbf{u}_{i+r}$ are the local modes in cell $i$ and in the cell centered on the Pb atom distant from $r$ from the cell $i$, respectively$^{65}$. $u_{i,\alpha}$ and $u_{i+r,\alpha}$ are the $\alpha$-Cartesian component of $\mathbf{u}_i$ and $\mathbf{u}_{i+r}$, respectively. Note that $\theta_{\alpha,\alpha}(r)$ is equal to 1/3 for any $\alpha$ (i.e., $x$, $y$ or $z$) and for any $r$ in the hypothetical case for which the dipoles all have the same magnitude and are all lying along the pseudocubic [111] direction. Positive
and negative signs of $\theta_{\alpha,\alpha}(r)$ are representative of correlations and anticorrelations, respectively, between the $\alpha$-component of $u_i$ and $u_{i+r}$. Figures 3a and 3b display $\theta_{x,x}(r)$ for the $r$ vectors lying in the $(x, y)$ plane, at 10K and for two different realizations of disordered PMN. While these two correlations between the $x$-components of the local modes can present some differences in, e.g., shape, anisotropy and values at some particular $r$ distances, they also share a remarkable feature: the existence of significant anticorrelations in regions of space centered about $r_1 = \pm 4.5 a_{lat} x$ and $r_2 = \pm 4.5 a_{lat} x \pm 9 a_{lat} y$ in our $18 \times 18 \times 18$ supercell, where $a_{lat}$ is the lattice constant of the 5-atom primitive cell and where $x$ and $y$ are unit vectors along the $x$- and $y$-axis, respectively. Note that we also numerically found (not shown here) (1) similar anticorrelations of the $x$-components of the local modes in the $(x, z)$ plane for regions centered about $r_1$ and $r_3 = \pm 4.5 a_{lat} x \pm 9 a_{lat} z$ (where $z$ is the unit vector along the $z$-axis); and (2) similar anticorrelations of the $y$- and $z$-components of the local modes in the planes and around positions that can be deduced by cyclic permutations from the case of the anticorrelations between the $x$-components of the local modes. These anticorrelations can be thought as representative of antiferroelectric-like interactions existing inside PMN, which is consistent with the previously suggested idea that antiferroelectricity plays some role in relaxor behaviors. Moreover, such anticorrelations between the $x$-components of the local modes were further found to be associated with the Fourier transform of the distribution of the $x$-component of the local modes inside the supercell being significant at several off-center vectors of the first Brillouin zone. Typically, in our $18 \times 18 \times 18$ supercells, these off-center k-points are among the eight given by $\frac{2\pi}{9 a_{lat}} (\pm x \pm y)$ and $\frac{2\pi}{9 a_{lat}} (\pm x \pm z)$, and that will be denoted as $k_{max,i}$ in the following, where $i$ runs from 1 to 8. Note that (1), for the case of the $x$-components of the local modes, these $k_{max,i}$ lie, close to $\Gamma$, along the $\Gamma - M$ lines of the first Brillouin zone, where the $M$ points are given by $\frac{\pi}{a_{lat}} (\pm x \pm y)$; (2) the $k_{max,i}$ are given by $\frac{2\pi}{9 a_{lat}} (\pm x \pm y)$ and $\frac{2\pi}{9 a_{lat}} (\pm y \pm z)$ for the Fourier transform of the $y$-components of the local modes, and by $\frac{2\pi}{9 a_{lat}} (\pm x \pm z)$ and $\frac{2\pi}{9 a_{lat}} (\pm y \pm z)$ for the Fourier transform of the $z$-components of the local modes; and (3) the $k_{max,i}$ vectors also depend on the size of the periodic supercell used in the simulations. For instance, they are still lying among the $\Gamma - M$ lines of the first Brillouin zone when using $N \times N \times N$ supercells with $N = 12, 14$ or 16, but their magnitude is now equal to $\sqrt{2} \frac{2\pi}{N a_{lat}}$ in these cases (note that these k-points are thus not located at zone boundaries). As a result, one can infer that the magnitude of the “real” $k_{max,i}$ for which the Fourier transforms of the Cartesian-components of the local modes are maximum ranges in-between 0.0884 and 0.1571 in $\frac{2\pi}{a_{lat}}$ units (note that this magnitude can also be rather sensitive to the $j_5$ parameter).
Furthermore, Figures 3c and 3d display the temperature evolution of the square of the Fourier transform of the $x$-component of the local dipoles configuration at some of these $k_{\text{max},i}$ points for the two alloy configurations associated with Figs 3a and 3b, respectively. Interestingly, these quantities are non-zero only below $450\pm50\text{K}$, which we identify as the so-called $T^*$ critical temperature of relaxors and which we also considered to be equal to $T_0$ in the aforementioned Curie-Weiss relationship for the dielectric response. Note that different measurements provide values of $350\text{K}^{50}$, $400\text{K}^{5,8,51}$, and $500\text{K}^{52}$ for $T^*$ in PMN, and that both hyper-Raman$^{71}$ and inelastic neutron$^{72}$ scattering techniques pointed out to a condensation of the soft polar mode at respectively $400\text{K}$ and $340\text{K}$. It is interesting to realize that the onset of anticorrelations shown in Figs. 3c and 3d occurs in a temperature range that is consistent with the condensation of the $M$- and $R$-point zone boundary soft modes observed in PMN by Swainson et al.$^{69}$ between $400\text{K}$ and $450\text{K}$. Such experimental data (i) therefore cover a rather wide temperature interval inside which our prediction falls in and (ii) which can be understood by realizing that Figs. 3c and 3d reveal that this $T^*$ can depend on the alloy configuration (and thus should be experimentally dependent on the sample preparation and the spatial (and probably time) scale resolution of the experimental technique). As a matter of fact, Fig. 3c gives a $T^*$ close to $400\text{K}$ while Fig. 3d yields a value closer to $450\text{K}$. Note that the Supplemental Material also provides additional details about the effect of atomic ordering on properties of PMN systems. Figures 3c and 3d further show that the square of the Fourier transform increases when decreasing the temperature below $T^*$ until reaching a rather significant value that also strongly depends on the atomic configuration used to represent disordered PMN. For instance, the two configurations resulting in the correlations depicted in Figs. 3a and 3b possess values of about $12\%$ for $\frac{2\pi}{b_{\text{lat}}}(x + z)$ and of about $7\%$ for $\frac{2\pi}{b_{\text{lat}}}(x + y)$ of the total spectra gathering the Fourier transforms at all possible k-points at $10\text{K}$, respectively. Such results indicate that, as similar to the BZT case$^{23}$, anticorrelations begin to occur at the $T^*$ critical temperature and strengthen as the temperature is reduced below $T^*$. The fact that Figs. 3c and 3d demonstrate that several of these symmetry-related $k_{\text{max},i}$ exist for any disordered atomic configuration at any temperature below $T^*$ makes the disordered PMN different from an incommensurate crystal, for which only a single off-center k-point condenses at a critical temperature in one macroscopic domain$^{15,73}$. The simultaneous condensation of several k-points presently discovered here is also a key ingredient of the so-called weak crystallization theory$^{74}$ and is also likely consistent with the idea of phonon localization advanced in Refs. [75 and 76] to explain the relaxor behavior in PMN (note also that the occurrence of correlated regions localized in real space, such as the PNRs, also automatically
imply the existence of more than one k-point in the Fourier transform of the local modes). It is
important to know that increasing the magnitude of a specific short-range order parameter between
second nearest neighbors (namely, the $j_5$ coefficient indicated in the Method Section) was numer-
ically found to enhance both the strengths of the anticorrelations and of the Fourier transform of
the local modes at $k_{\text{max},i}$ for any temperature below $T^*$, therefore demonstrating its relevance to
understand PMN, as well as, the possibility for tuning relaxor-like properties.

Interestingly, we can also infer that, in addition to $j_5$, the random electric fields are also key in-
gredients at the very heart of the properties of PMN. To illustrate this fact, we conducted additional
simulations in which the random electric fields are switched off (practically, the $Q_{|j-i|}(\sigma_j)$ coef-
ficients are imposed to be null). Figures 1c and 1d show the resulting temperature dependency of
the dielectric response and of the $x$-component of the $<u>$ supercell average of the local modes
(which is also equal to its $y$ and $z$ components), respectively, when the system is progressively
cooled from high temperature down to 10K. Comparing Fig. 1a with Figs 1c and 1d tells us that
the random electric fields play a primordial role in the relaxor behavior of PMN: without them,
disordered PMN would display a first-order transition at around $550\pm50K$ (that is characterized by
a large and sharp peak in the dielectric susceptibility) above which the system is macroscopically
paraelectric while below which it is ferroelectric with an electrical polarization lying along the
pseudo-cubic [111] direction and increasing in magnitude as the temperature is reduced (as shown
by Fig. 1d). Figure 1c further shows that the Curie-Weiss law is also followed for temperatures
above 750K with a corresponding $T_0$ of 633K, when random fields are not included in the simula-
tions. Surprisingly, for temperatures ranging between $T = 550K$ to 750K (that is “just” above the
transition temperature) another Curie-Weiss law is obeyed, one for which $T_0$ is now very close to
500K. We numerically find that the existence of these two different Curie-Weiss laws originates
from the appearance of the aforementioned ($j_5$-driven) AFE-like features for temperatures ranging
between 550K to 750K. On the other hand, these AFE-like features are found to vanish in favor
of a ferroelectric state for temperatures smaller than $550 \pm 50K$, that is below the phase transi-
tion – as demonstrated by the inset of Fig. 1d revealing that the low-temperature polar state is
homogeneous, when random electric fields are turned off. Such findings therefore demonstrate the
strong competition between ferroelectric and AFE-like interactions. Moreover, It is also important
to know that the temperature at which the peak of the dielectric response occurs when random
fields are neglected does not neither necessarily coincide with the Burns temperature nor $T_0$ or $T^*$
of the true disordered PMN system (i.e., incorporating the $Q_{|j-i|}(\sigma_j)$ coefficients). As a matter
of fact, we numerically found that it is possible to find different combinations between the aforementioned $j_5$ and $Q_{j-i}(\sigma_j)$ parameters giving the same $T_0$ and $T_B$ as in Fig. 1a for disordered PMN (in general the larger $j_5$ is in magnitude the bigger $Q_{j-i}(\sigma_j)$ is for these combinations), while the position of the peak of the dielectric response reported in Fig. 1c (in case of no random electric field) does depend on this combination. Note that, technically speaking, we also needed to vary a third effective Hamiltonian parameter, along with $j_5$ and $Q_{j-i}(\sigma_j)$ coefficients, to have a fixed $T_0$ temperature. This third parameter is the harmonic coefficient of the local electric dipoles, and is therefore directly related to the strength of the ferroelectric instability at the zone-center. Such facts (further) demonstrate that ferroelectric degrees of freedom, antiferroelectric-like motions and random fields all interact with each other to produce the striking properties of disordered PMN, and thus all need to be accounted for to understand this complex system, in particular, and lead-based relaxors, in general.

In summary, we use a new effective Hamiltonian method to study finite-temperature properties of PMN. This numerical technique reproduces known anomalous striking signatures of this prototype of relaxor ferroelectrics, therefore demonstrating its accuracy and capability. It also reveals the nanoscale picture of PMN and the microscopic origins of its properties. It is also worth realizing that a similar technique was recently used to investigate the lead-free and homovalent Ba(Zr,Ti)O$_3$ system$^{23}$. Comparing that study$^{23}$ to the present one reveals that different relaxor ferroelectrics can exhibit similar macroscopic properties but their microscopic origins can be fundamentally different: in one case, the difference in polarizability between the ions belonging to the mixed sublattice (that is Ti and Zr in Ba(Zr,Ti)O$_3$) was found to be essential to reproduce relaxor behavior via the formation of small embedded polar nanoregions. On the other hand, in case of PMN, the major players are the random electric fields arising from the mixed $B$-sublattice, the strong short-range interactions between lead-centered electrical dipoles and the competition between ferroelectric and antiferroelectric interactions, which result in a complex nanodomain structure exhibiting anticorrelations associated with several off-center k-points. We therefore hope that the present work results in a deeper and broader knowledge of the fascinating class of relaxor ferroelectrics, and can also be useful for the understanding of other inhomogeneous systems with remarkable properties like colossal magnetoresistance or high-temperature superconductivity where competing states in the presence of random fields are key ingredients too$^{78}$.
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Figure 1. (color online) Predicted temperature dependence of several properties in PMN solid solutions.
Panel (a) shows the dielectric susceptibility, and its inverse, when cooling down the disordered PMN system under no bias field. $T_B$ and $T_0$ correspond to the Burns temperature and the critical temperature extrapolated from the Curie-Weiss law at high temperatures, respectively. Panel (b) displays the $x$-component of the supercell average of the local mode, $\langle u \rangle$, (which is equal to its $y$- and $z$-components) when heating the system under no field, after having cooled it under an electric field and then having removed this field at 10K. The depolarizing temperature is $T_{depol} = 250 \pm 50$ K and is indicated by a vertical dashed line. The left inset of Panel (b) shows an example of the dipolar configuration when the field is removed at 10K. The right inset of Panel (b) shows the $x$-component of $\langle u \rangle$, but when the system is cooled under no field. The error bars in Panels (a) and (b) are those arising from computing averages over 30 different chemical configurations. Panels (c) and (d) show the dielectric susceptibility (as well as its inverse) and the $x$-component of the supercell average of the local mode (which is identical to its $y$- and $z$-components), respectively, when random fields are switched off. The left inset of Panel (d) displays the resulting dipolar configuration at 10K, when random fields are turned off.
Figure 2. (Color online) Snapshots of the local modes’ patterns within a \((x, y)\) plane for different temperatures (Panels a-d) and temperature dependence of the average size of the polar nanoregion (Panel e), when disordered PMN is cooled under no field. In Panels (a-d), the corresponding temperature is indicated on the top left and the red lines delimit the PNRs. The error bars in Panel (e) are those resulting from incorporating 30 different chemical configurations into the computation of the averaged PNR size.
Figure 3. (Color online) Properties associated with anticorrelations and off-center points in disordered PMN. Panels (a) and (b) show the $\theta_{x,r}(r)$ correlation between the $x$-components of the local modes centered on lead atoms for the $r$-vectors lying in the $(x,y)$ plane at 10 K for two different realizations of the disordered PMN system. Panels (c) and (d) display the temperature dependence of the square of the Fourier transform of the $x$-component of the local modes’ configurations for different $k_{\text{max},i}$ points for the atomic configurations corresponding to Panels (a) and (b), respectively. The three integers, $n_x$, $n_y$ and $n_z$ indicated in the legends of Panels (c) and (d) index the $k_{\text{max},i}$ points, that is such k-points are given by $\frac{2\pi}{18a_{\text{lat}}} (n_x x + n_y y + n_z z)$ for our $18 \times 18 \times 18$ supercell. Note also that the square of the Fourier transform of the $x$-component of the local modes’ configurations is invariant by inversion in the reciprocal space, i.e. $\frac{2\pi}{18a_{\text{lat}}} (n_x x + n_y y + n_z z)$ and $\frac{2\pi}{18a_{\text{lat}}} (-n_x x - n_y y - n_z z)$ have precisely the same value of the Fourier transform.