

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Effect of long- and short-range order on SiGe alloy thermal conductivity: Molecular dynamics simulation

Christopher H. Baker and Pamela M. Norris Phys. Rev. B **91**, 180302 — Published 12 May 2015 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.91.180302 1

2

3

4

7

The Effect of Long- and Short-Range Order on SiGe Alloy Thermal Conductivity: Molecular Dynamics Simulation

Christopher H. Baker^{*} and Pamela M. Norris[†] Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of Virginia, 122 Engineer's Way, Charlottesville, VA, 22904-4746 5 (Dated: April 15, 2015) 6 Abstract We report the role of long- and short-range order on the thermal conductivity and mode re-8 laxation times of a model $Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5}$ alloy using molecular dynamics simulation. All interactions 9 used the Stillinger-Weber potential and the Si and Ge atoms differed only by their mass. The 10

simulated alloys were generated using a Monte Carlo approach to decouple the short-range order 11 from the long-range order. The thermal conductivity is almost entirely determined by the alloy's 12 nearest-neighbor short-range order. Changes to the mode relaxation times between ~ 3 and ~ 6 13 THz upon short-range ordering, and the observed f^{-2} power law trend, suggests that short-range 14 ordering reduces the anharmonic scattering rate of low frequency modes. The trend of thermal 15 conductivity with short-range order may be transferred to real $Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5}$ and other semiconductor 16 alloys to the extent that scattering from mass disorder dominates their thermal conductivities. 17

PACS numbers: 66.70.Df, 63.50.Gh, 61.66.Dk, 61.43.Bn 18

Altering the composition of $Si_{1-x}Ge_x$ and other alloys is one route for engineering their 19 thermal conductivity, $k^{1,2}$ In addition to numerous experimental studies,³⁻⁶ there have been 20 many recent computational studies of the thermal properties of SiGe using classical molecu-21 lar dynamics⁷⁻¹² and density functional theory.^{13,14} Studies have focused on the dependence 22 of k on composition,^{7,14} grain size,¹⁰ nanoparticle inclusions,^{13,15} and nanowire boundary 23 scattering,¹⁶ all in an effort to improve the thermoelectric figure of merit.^{17,18} Alloys possess 24 two additional degrees of freedom for tuning k: the arrangement of the atoms on the lat-25 tice as characterized by the long-range¹⁹ and short-range²⁰ order parameters. In molecular 26 dynamics studies of a Lennard-Jones alloy, Duda et al. showed that the long-range order 27 can be used to tune k over an order of magnitude at low temperatures.^{21,22} Here, we take 28 $Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5}$ as a representative model for semiconductor alloys and we report the effect of 29 long-range and short-range order on the thermal conductivity and normal mode relaxation 30 times at 300 K using molecular dynamics simulation. 31

The Bragg-Williams long-range order parameter, L, gives the probability that an atom 32 occupies the correct lattice site with reference to the ordered structure, and the probability is 33 duly normalized by the atom's concentration in the alloy.¹⁹ The Warren-Cowley short-range 34 order parameters give the probability of an atom having the correct neighbor in a certain 35 neighbor shell with reference to the ordered structure.²⁰ We define a set of short-range order 36 parameters, \mathbb{S}_i , where *i* indexes the neighbor shells, as the square-root of the Warren-Cowley 37 short-range order parameters so that $\lim_{i\to\infty} S_i = \mathbb{L}^{20,23}$ Assuming an equimolar binary alloy 38 for which each lattice site is eligible for a disordering substitution simplifies the expressions 39 for \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{S}_i . Then, $\mathbb{L} \equiv |R - W| / N$, where R(W) is the number of atoms occupying the right 40 (wrong) lattice site, and N is the total number of atoms.²³ Similarly, $\mathbb{S}_i \equiv \sqrt{|R_i - W_i|/N_i}$, 41 where R_i , W_i , and N_i are the numbers of right, wrong, and total neighbor pairs in neighbor 42 shell *i*, respectively.²³ 43

Structures with varying \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{S}_1 can be generated by a Monte Carlo approach. For a binary alloy with the aforementioned simplifying assumptions, the seed structure is formed from the definition of \mathbb{L} and the compositional constraint. Beginning from a zincblende reference structure,²⁴ we randomly selected and exchanged n Si atoms and n Ge atoms, where $n = N(1 - \mathbb{L})/4$. At this point, $\mathbb{S}_i \approx \mathbb{L}$. Then, in each Monte Carlo step, we randomly selected atoms to swap that preserved the composition and \mathbb{L} , accepting swaps that brought \mathbb{S}_1 closer to the target value. No consideration has been given to the temperature or configurational entropy of these structures—they are not in equilibrium. Control over \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{S}_i in actual Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5} requires a non-equilibrium growth process,^{25,26} which is likely the case for other semiconductor alloys too.

⁵⁴ We used the Green-Kubo method^{27,28} at thermal equilibrium to measure k. The con-⁵⁵ vergence time of the heat current auto-correlation function was determined by the *first* ⁵⁶ avalanche criterion²⁹ with an averaging window of 8.0 ps (8³ and 12³ conventional cell sam-⁵⁷ ples) or 40.0 ps (18³, 28³, or 42³ conventional cell samples) and a noise-to-signal cutoff of ⁵⁸ 1000.³⁰

The relaxation times, $\tau(\nu)$, were calculated using the normal mode decomposition method²⁸ in the frequency domain.³¹ The atomic trajectories are projected onto the harmonic normal modes (calculated using GULP³²), then Fourier transformed and fit to a Lorentzian:

$$C \frac{\Gamma(\nu)/\pi}{(f - f_0(\nu))^2 + \Gamma^2(\nu)} = t_f^{-1} |\dot{Q}(\nu, f)|^2.$$
(1)

The fit yields $\tau = 1/(4\pi\Gamma)$ and the anharmonic linear frequency, f_0 , for each normal mode, 63 ν . At thermal equilibrium, the coefficient C is guaranteed to be $\frac{1}{2}k_BT$ from the equipartition 64 principle. The duration of data collection, t_f , ought to be much greater than the maximum 65 $\tau(\nu)$ for the material.³³ \dot{Q} is the Fourier transform of the normal mode velocity coordinate, 66 $\dot{q}^{:34} \dot{Q} = \int_0^{t_f} \dot{q} \exp(-2\pi i f t) dt$.³⁵ Due to the sharpness of the peak in $|\dot{Q}|^2$, we increased the 67 weighting near the base by taking the decimal logarithm of each side of Eq. 1. Only points 68 above 0.104 meV/THz (1 amu·Å²·ps⁻¹) were used in the fit. Because the global minimum 69 of the root-mean-square of the residuals lay in a narrow well surrounded by local maxima, 70 we found it necessary to do a grid search before regression, with 31 points linearly spaced 71 between ± 0.1 THz of the peak frequency and 31 points logarithmically spaced between Γ of 72 10^{-5} and 10^{-1} ps⁻¹. 73

⁷⁴ All simulations were performed using LAMMPS³⁶ with a time step of 0.5 fs. The ⁷⁵ zincblende lattice constant was set to 5.43 Å, and the Si and Ge atoms only differed in their ⁷⁶ masses: 28.09 and 72.64 amu respectively. The Stillinger-Weber potential³⁷ was used for all ⁷⁷ interactions since the effect of strain on k is small compared to that of mass disorder.⁷ The ⁷⁸ system was equilibrated at 300 K for 1 ns in a canonical ensemble enforced by a Nosé-Hoover ⁷⁹ thermostat^{38,39} with a coupling time of 2 ps. The system was then run for an additional ⁸⁰ 1 ns in a microcanonical ensemble before data were collected for $36 \cdot 2^{17}$ time steps (2.36 ns), FIG. 1. (color online) Short-range order parameters of the 8^3 unit cell SiGe structures. Each color represents a different long-range order and each line is the average of 10 independently generated samples.

FIG. 2. (color online) Thermal conductivities of the 8^3 unit cell SiGe structures. The thermal conductivity is constant within the uncertainty (2σ) along the rows, indicating that the short-range order is the dominant factor. Underlined data were also studied for size effects (Fig. 3).

printing the conduction term of the heat current every 10 time steps for calculating k,⁴⁰ and printing atomic velocities every 36 time steps for calculating $\tau(\nu)$.

Ten independent samples of size 8^3 conventional cells were generated for each combination 83 of \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{S}_1 in the range 0.0 to 0.9 for $\mathbb{S}_1 \geq \mathbb{L}$ and their thermal conductivities were 84 calculated. The uncertainty in k is reported as twice the standard deviation of the ten 85 The relaxation times of 2000 normal modes were calculated for one calculated values. 86 sample of each set. These normal modes include all those below 3 THz (excluding the zero 87 frequency modes) with the rest randomly distributed among the remaining modes. Size 88 effects were explored for select pairs of \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{S}_1 by increasing the domain size to 12^3 , 18^3 , 89 28^3 , and 42^3 conventional cells and performing a linear extrapolation procedure.^{12,41,42} 90

While only S_1 was used as a metric for the Monte Carlo generation, S(r), where r is the 91 neighbor distance, systematically decreases towards \mathbb{L} (Fig. 1). One might characterize the 92 approach of $\mathbb{S}(r)$ toward \mathbb{L} by a decay length scale, which would be less than 1.5 nm for each 93 structure plotted in Fig. 1. The case $S_1 > \mathbb{L}$ can be thought of as corresponding to an alloy 94 composed of grains defined by anti-phase boundaries. Then, S(r) would scale with the mean 95 grain size and \mathbb{L} would scale with the ratio of volumes occupied by phase and anti-phase 96 grains. For structures with $\mathbb{L} = 0$, $\mathbb{S}(r)$ is substantially above zero at all neighbor distances 97 (Fig. 1). This is a consequence of the small domain size and our choice to define \mathbb{S}_i using 98 the square-root. The square-root amplifies the small deviations of $|R_i - W_i|/N_i$ from zero; 99 the deviations of this ratio from the ideal value (defined with respect to \mathbb{L}) were about the 100 same for all structures. The small domain size also inhibits the exact convergence of S(r)101 to \mathbb{L} when $\mathbb{S}_1 \gg \mathbb{L}$. Nevertheless, the structures exhibit unique $\mathbb{S}(r)$ profiles. 102

To within the uncertainty, the thermal conductivity depends only on a structure's \mathbb{S}_1 , or possibly \mathbb{S}_i for small *i* (Fig. 2). Thus, \mathbb{L} affects *k* to the extent that it sets the lower limit for $\mathbb{S}(r)$. Consistent with the low temperature trend observed by Duda *et al.*,²² $\partial k/\partial \mathbb{S}_1$ increases FIG. 3. (color online) Size effects on the thermal conductivity for select SiGe structures. Each filled symbol is the average of 10 samples. The arcs along the k axis indicate the 95% confidence interval of the intercept based on the 50 total samples for each (\mathbb{L} , \mathbb{S}_1) pair. The intercepts are ordered by \mathbb{S}_1 and not \mathbb{L} , indicating that just as in Fig. 2, the bulk k is determined by \mathbb{S}_1 . Previous studies of the $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{S}_1=0$ alloy are also plotted.

as S_1 approaches one. We observe the same trend in k with respect to ordering because the structures investigated by Duda *et al.*,²² which were generated from the definition of \mathbb{L} , always had $S_i \approx \mathbb{L}$.

A phonon is insensitive to material heterogeneities with length scales much less than 109 the phonon's wavelength. Instead, these phonons can be thought of as traveling through 110 a material with effective, averaged properties, e.g. density and elastic moduli.² Ab initio 111 calculations have shown that phonons with frequencies less than 2 THz carry 88% of the 112 heat in SiGe.¹⁴ Making use of the dispersion calculated for an empirical model of SiGe,¹¹ 113 this translates to a phonon wavelength greater than ~ 3.0 nm (longitudinal) or ~ 1.8 nm 114 (transverse) in our systems. These wavelengths are greater than the S(r) decay lengths of 115 about 1.5 nm or less (Fig. 1), which would suggest a reduced dependence of k on \mathbb{S}_1 as the 116 system size increases, introducing more long wavelength modes. Yet Fig. 3 shows that the 117 strong dependence of k on \mathbb{S}_1 persists out to the bulk limit. 118

To explore the dependence of k on S_1 further, Fig. 4 shows the normal mode relaxation 119 times. Figure 4a compares our results for the completely disordered structure to previous 120 molecular dynamics simulations using normal mode decomposition.^{9,11,12} Though each work 121 investigated Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5} thermal conductivity at 300 K, slightly different simulation and fitting 122 procedures were used. Our relaxation times agree with those of Hori *et al.*¹¹ The agreement 123 with He et al.⁹ is also good, especially considering their use of a Tersoff potential⁴⁴ instead 124 of the Stillinger-Weber potential. The relaxation times of Larkin and McGaughey¹² are 125 significantly shorter than the others, although a similar trend is shown. The disagreement 126 may be due to their use of the virtual-crystal modes for the normal mode decomposition.⁴⁵ 127

Figures 4b,c and Fig. 4d show the mode relaxation times for two paths between the ordering extrema: $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{S}_1) = (0.0, 0.0) \rightarrow (0.9, 0.9)$. That Figs. 4c and 4d are so similar, and Fig. 4b shows no significant change in $\tau(\nu)$ with \mathbb{L} , supports the conclusion of Fig. 2: that \mathbb{S}_1 accounts for the entire change in k upon ordering.

¹³² The relaxation times below 10 THz are roughly fit by an f^{-2} power law (Figs. 4b-d).

FIG. 4. (color online) Mode relaxation times for slices through $\mathbb{L}-\mathbb{S}_1$ parameter space. (a) Comparison of our fully disordered SiGe structure to previous results. (b) Constant \mathbb{S}_1 slice. The majority of modes are unaffected by \mathbb{L} . Note the change in ordinate scale. (c) Constant \mathbb{L} slice. All modes are sensitive to \mathbb{S}_1 . (d) $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{S}_1$ slice. The relaxation times are nearly identical to those of (c), in agreement with the thermal conductivity trends of Figs. 2 and 3.

The fitted exponents fell within -2 ± 0.15 for each plotted (L, S₁) pair. The relaxation times below ~1.5 THz have a greater variance for two reasons. The total simulation time (4.36 ns) was comparable to the fitted relaxation time, so these modes are non-thermalized, invalidating the assumption of equipartition for those modes. The relaxation times are also comparable to the period of data collection, reducing the accuracy of the fit. It is likely that the power law trend in this regime continues as f^{-2} but with a reduced variance,^{2,14} although this cannot be verified by the present simulations.

Figures 4c and 4d also show a change in the character of the relaxation times, especially in the range of 3 to 6 THz. The curve is smooth for $S_1=0$. But as S_1 increases, peaks and valleys form where the momentum and energy selection rules for phonon scattering become more and less restrictive. Furthermore, a bandgap forms at ~11 THz.

We therefore attribute the dependence of k on \mathbb{S}_1 (instead of \mathbb{L}) to the alteration of 144 the phonon eigenvectors caused by short-range ordering. As S_1 increases, the eigenvectors 145 approach those of the zincblende crystal. While high frequency modes might significantly 146 contribute to k in the limit $S_1 \rightarrow 1$, most of the increase for the ordering range studied here 147 is caused by a reduction in the anharmonic scattering of the low frequency modes. The 148 reduction in anharmonic scattering may be due to fewer states that satisfy momentum 149 and energy selection rules, a reduction in the scattering cross-section, or both mechanisms. 150 The same trend of k with disorder was observed Garg *et al.*, who saw a reduction in k151 with greater disorder, when they went from a virtual crystal to an explicitly disordered 152 supercell.¹⁴ They found that the change in k was due to altered mode relaxation times, 153 caused by a modification of the mode eigenvectors. 154

The findings may be cautiously generalized to other simulated and real alloys provided the thermal conductivities of their disordered states arise primarily from the same mechanisms as those found in the present model of $Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5}$, namely the scattering of lattice vibrations by mass disorder. That k depends almost solely on S_1 has implications for the characterization and theoretical modeling of such alloys. When examining an alloy with the purpose of understanding its thermal conductivity or predicting it, a characterization technique sensitive to the short-range order must be used, *e.g.* diffuse X-ray scattering.⁴⁶ Similarly, future efforts to theoretically model thermal transport in ordered alloys should focus on the short-range order or its effect on anharmonic phonon scattering.

In summary, we performed molecular dynamics simulations of a Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5} alloy, representing a model semiconductor alloy, and calculated the thermal conductivity as it depends on the long- and short-range ordering. We found that the bulk thermal conductivity depends almost wholly on the short-range order of the alloy for a fixed composition. Relaxation time calculations support this dependence. Changes in the character of the mode relaxation times upon ordering imply that the corresponding increase in thermal conductivity is caused by a reduction in disorder-induced anharmonic phonon scattering.

171 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank T. Hori, J. Shiomi, Y. He, G. Galli, J. Larkin, and A. McGaughev 172 for sharing their relaxation time data. We are indebted to A. McGaughey and J. Larkin 173 for their comments and insights, and we thank L. Zhigilei for helpful discussion. This work 174 was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (CTS-1134301) and was 175 conducted with government support under contract FA9550-11-C-0028 and awarded by the 176 Department of Defense, Air Force Office of Scientific Research, National Defense Science and 177 Engineering Graduate (NDSEG) Fellowship, 32 CFR 168a. C. Baker gratefully acknowledges 178 the support from NDSEG and VSGC fellowships. 179

- 180 * chb2fd@virginia.edu
- 181 [†] pamela@virginia.edu
- ¹ B. Abeles, D. S. Beers, G. D. Cody, and J. P. Dismukes, *Physical Review* **125**, 44 (1962).
- ¹⁸³ ² B. Abeles, Physical Review **131**, 1906 (1963).
- ³ S. T. Huxtable, A. R. Abramson, C.-L. Tien, A. Majumdar, C. LaBounty, X. Fan, G. Zeng,
- J. E. Bowers, A. Shakouri, and E. T. Croke, Applied Physics Letters 80, 1737 (2002).
- ⁴ G. Joshi, H. Lee, Y. Lan, X. Wang, G. Zhu, D. Wang, R. W. Gould, D. C. Cuff, M. Y. Tang,
- 187 M. S. Dresselhaus, G. Chen, and Z. Ren, Nano Letters 8, 4670 (2008).

- ¹⁸⁸ ⁵ R. Cheaito, J. C. Duda, T. E. Beechem, K. Hattar, J. F. Ihlefeld, D. L. Medlin, M. A. Rodriguez,
- M. J. Campion, E. S. Piekos, and P. E. Hopkins, Physical Review Letters 109, 195901 (2012).
- ⁶ R. B. Wilson and D. G. Cahill, Nature Communications 5, 5075 (2014).
- ⁷ A. Skye and P. K. Schelling, Journal of Applied Physics **103**, 113524 (2008).
- ⁸ J. Chen, G. Zhang, and B. Li, Applied Physics Letters **95**, 073117 (2009).
- ⁹ Y. He, I. Savić, D. Donadio, and G. Galli, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 14, 16209
 (2012).
- ¹⁰ C. Abs da Cruz, N. A. Katcho, N. Mingo, and R. G. A. Veiga, Journal of Applied Physics 114,
 ¹⁹⁶ 164310 (2013).
- ¹⁹⁷ ¹¹ T. Hori, T. Shiga, and J. Shiomi, Journal of Applied Physics **113**, 203514 (2013).
- ¹² J. M. Larkin and A. J. H. McGaughey, Journal of Applied Physics **114**, 023507 (2013).
- ¹⁹⁹ ¹³ A. Kundu, N. Mingo, D. A. Broido, and D. A. Stewart, Physical Review B 84, 125426 (2011).
- ²⁰⁰ ¹⁴ J. Garg, N. Bonini, B. Kozinsky, and N. Marzari, Physical Review Letters **106**, 045901 (2011).
- ¹⁵ N. Mingo, D. Hauser, N. P. Kobayashi, M. Plissonnier, and A. Shakouri, Nano Letters 9, 711
 (2009).
- ²⁰³ ¹⁶ Z. Wang and N. Mingo, Applied Physics Letters **97**, 101903 (2010).
- ¹⁷ A. J. Minnich, M. S. Dresselhaus, Z. F. Ren, and G. Chen, Energy and Environmental Science
 ²⁰⁵ 2, 466 (2009).
- ¹⁸ C. J. Vineis, A. Shakouri, A. Majumdar, and M. G. Kanatzidis, Advanced Materials 22, 3970
 (2010).
- ¹⁹ W. L. Bragg and E. J. Williams, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A **145**, 699 (1934).
- ²⁰⁹ J. M. Cowley, Physical Review **77**, 669 (1950).
- ²¹ J. C. Duda, T. S. English, D. A. Jordan, P. M. Norris, and W. A. Soffa, Journal of Physics:
 ²¹¹ Condensed Matter 23, 205401 (2011).
- ²¹² ²² J. C. Duda, T. S. English, D. A. Jordan, P. M. Norris, and W. A. Soffa, Journal of Heat
 Transfer 134, 014501 (2012).
- ²³ H. Sato, in *Physical Chemistry: An Advanced Treatise*, Vol. 10, edited by W. Jost (Academic
 Press, 1970) Chap. 10, p. 579.
- ²¹⁶ ²⁴ The true ordered structure of $Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5}$ consists of alternating bilayers of Si and Ge in the ²¹⁷ [111] direction.⁴⁷ We took the zincblende structure as the reference since the usage of a single
- potential for all interactions in the simulation gives all ordered structures equivalent potential

- energy and many compound semiconductors do order into a zincblende structure, *e.g.* those of
 Ref. 48.
- ²⁵ P. C. Weakliem and E. A. Carter, Physical Review B 45, 13458 (1992).
- ²⁶ K. L. Whiteaker, I. K. Robinson, J. E. Van Nostrand, and D. G. Cahill, Physical Review B
 57, 12410 (1998).
- ²⁷ D. A. McQuarrie, *Statistical Mechanics* (University Science Books, 2000).
- ²²⁵ ²⁸ A. J. C. Ladd, B. Moran, and W. G. Hoover, Physical Review B **34**, 5058 (1986).
- ²⁹ J. Chen, G. Zhang, and B. Li, Physics Letters A **374**, 2392 (2010).
- ³⁰ In a minority of samples, the noise-to-signal never reached 1000. In these cases, the maximum
 in the noise-to-signal determined the convergence time.
- ²²⁹ ³¹ J. M. Larkin, J. E. Turney, A. D. Massicotte, C. H. Amon, and A. J. H. McGaughey, Journal
- of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience 11, 249 (2014).
- ³² J. D. Gale and A. L. Rohl, Molecular Simulation **29**, 291 (2003).
- ²³² ³³ Of course, t_f must be chosen before the maximal τ is known.
- ²³³ ³⁴ M. T. Dove, *Introduction to Lattice Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- ³⁵ Dropping the negative frequency component of $|\dot{Q}(f,\nu)|^2$ causes factors of two in Eq. 1 to cancel.
- The linear, instead of angular, Fourier transform adds a factor of 2π to the expression for τ . See Refs. 31 and 42.
- ³⁶ S. Plimpton, Journal of Computational Physics **117**, 1 (1995).
- ³⁷ F. H. Stillinger and T. A. Weber, Physical Review B **31**, 5262 (1985).
- ²³⁹ ³⁸ S. Nosé, Journal of Chemical Physics **81**, 511 (1984).
- ³⁹ W. G. Hoover, Physical Review A **31**, 1695 (1985).
- ⁴⁰ E. S. Landry, *Thermal transport by phonons across semiconductor interfaces, thin films, and* ²⁴² superlattices., Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie Mellon University (2009).
- ⁴¹ J. Shiomi, K. Esfarjani, and G. Chen, Physical Review B 84, 104302 (2011).
- ⁴² A. J. H. McGaughey and J. M. Larkin, Annual Review of Heat Transfer **17**, 49 (2014).
- ⁴³ J. M. Larkin, Vibrational mode properties of disordered solids from high-performance atomistic
- simulations and calculations, Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie Mellon University (2013).
- ⁴⁴ J. Tersoff, Physical Review B **39**, 5566 (1989).
- ⁴⁵ The disagreement remains perplexing since their calculations of k using the virtual-crystal
- normal mode relaxation times agree with the k calculated by the Green-Kubo method, as

- plotted in Fig. 3 and Ref. 43. Furthermore, the virtual-crystal approximation with *ab initio*
- force constants produced k values consistent with experiment at 300 K in Ref. 14.
- ⁴⁶ B. E. Warren, X-Ray Diffaction (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1969).
- ⁴⁷ J. Z. Tischler, J. D. Budai, D. E. Jesson, G. Eres, P. Zschack, J.-M. Baribeau, and D. C.
- ²⁵⁴ Houghton, Physical Review B **51**, 10947 (1995).
- ⁴⁸ I. Vurgaftman, J. R. Meyer, and L. R. Ram-Mohan, Journal of Applied Physics **89**, 5815 (2001).



Figure 1 15Apr2015

0.9	8.78 1.70	8.65 1.64	8.76 4.63	9.35 6.10	9.00 2.56	10.39 $\overline{4.45}$	9.44 2.27	8.96 2.91	10.04 4.97	8.0	1
	5.77 1.95	5.90 1.42	5.80 1.41	6.31 2.43	5.95 2.33	$\frac{6.05}{2.27}$	6.25 1.86	5.84 1.75	5.06 1.31		
	5.05 1.41	4.75 2.05	4.83 2.06	4.80 1.48	4.80 1.48	5.11 1.69	4.53 1.74	4.08 1.66	1(р <mark></mark>].
0.6	4.10 1.40	3.63 1.00	3.68 1.63	3.95 1.37	3.87 1.60	3.80 1.39	3.98 2.32		9	э-	
1	<u>3.79</u> 1.00	3.31 _{0.79}	3.75 1.11	3.22 0.95	3.31 0.85	$\frac{3.12}{0.86}$			8	з -	-
S	3.14 1.12	2.89 _{0.92}	3.36 1.50	3.03 1.24	2.56 _{0.58}		k (W	m ⁻¹ ł	< ⁻¹)	7 -	-
0.3	3.02 1.13	3.16 _{0.52}	2.71 _{0.64}	2.56 _{0.86}			2σ (V	Vm ⁻¹ ł	< ⁻¹) (<u>-</u> 6	-
	2.63 0.61	2.81 1.05	2.50 0.47						ł	5 -	
	2.39 0.55	2.28 0.53							ž	4 -	
0.0	2.62								:	3 -	-
	0.0	1	1	0.3			0.6			0.9)



