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We study the quantum phase diagram of the spin-1/2 Heisenberg model on the kagomé lattice with first-, second-, and third-neighbor interactions $J_1$, $J_2$, and $J_3$ by means of density matrix renormalization group. For small $J_2$ and $J_3$, this model sustains a time-reversal invariant quantum spin liquid phase. With increasing $J_2$ and $J_3$, we find in addition a $q = (0, 0)$ Néel phase, a chiral spin liquid phase, and a complex non-coplanar magnetically ordered state with spins forming the vertices of a cuboctahedron known as a cuboc1 phase. Both the chiral spin liquid and cuboc1 phase break time reversal symmetry in the sense of spontaneous scalar spin chirality. We show that the chiralities in the chiral spin liquid and cuboc1 are distinct, and that these two states are separated by a strong first order phase transition. The transitions from the chiral spin liquid to both the $q = (0, 0)$ phase and to time-reversal symmetric spin liquid, however, are consistent with continuous quantum phase transitions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum spin liquids (QSLs) are highly entangled states of matter with remarkable properties of great intrinsic interest. The simplest and perhaps most striking subclass of QSLs comprises topologically ordered states, which have a non-vanishing excitation gap, and support emergent quasiparticles with anyonic statistics and fractional quantum numbers. Although QSLs have been demonstrated in many confined models, their relation to magnetically disordered phases in different frustrated systems remains poorly understood. This problem has been intensively studied in the past by many theoretical approaches.

Apart from their intrinsic interest, motivation to understand QSL phases comes from recent experimental discoveries. The kagomé antiferromagnets herbertsmithite and kapellmarite have recently emerged as prominent examples. The simplest and perhaps most striking subclass of QSLs are the topological order, which have a non-zero Chern number and support emergent quasiparticles with remarkable properties of great intrinsic interest. They also conjectured that a four-dimensional topological order is mixed: in support, a nearly quantized topological entanglement entropy was found in the $J_1$-$J_2$ model, but the expected four topological ground state sectors have not been seen in DMRG.

Interestingly, by introducing both second and third neighbor couplings, DMRG studies recently discovered another topological quantum spin liquid (QSL) on the kagomé lattice. This state spontaneously breaks time reversal symmetry (TRS) in the sense of having a complex wavefunction and non-zero scalar spin chirality $\chi_{ijk} = S_i \cdot (S_j \times S_k)$ for some triplets of nearby spins $i, j, k$. Such a state, proposed more than 20 years ago by Kalmeyer and Laughlin, is known as a Chiral Spin Liquid (CSL). It can be regarded as a spontaneous fractional quantum Hall effect. The CSL occurs in several different kagomé spin models with comparable $J_2$ and $J_3$ or chiral interactions, and indeed is more robust than the putative $Z_2$ QSL state discussed earlier: all the expected universal topological properties of the CSL state have been verified numerically.

In this paper, we expose the relations between the two QSL states and nearby ordered phases through a global DMRG study of the full phase diagram of the $J_1$-$J_2$-$J_3$ model (with all exchanges antiferromagnetic):

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i \cdot S_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle} S_i \cdot S_j + J_3 \sum_{\langle\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle\rangle} S_i \cdot S_j.$$  

Good points of comparison are the classical and Schwinger boson mean field phase diagrams, found in Ref. 63. These studies found two magnetically ordered phases breaking TRS, known as cuboc1 and cuboc2, as well as a simpler $q = (0, 0)$ coplanar ordered state which is time-reversal symmetric. They also conjectured that a $Z_2$ TRS breaking QSL “descended” from the cuboc1 state by quantum disordering of the spins might apply to the pure nearest-neighbor KHM, and also extend to the region with small $J_2$ and $J_3$ perturbations. The DMRG phase diagram determined here is shown in Fig. 1(a), and bears out some but not all of these.
features. We indeed find the ordered cuboc1 (see Fig. 1(b) of the spin configuration of cuboc1 state) and \( q = (0, 0) \) states when \( J_3 \) or \( J_2 \) are large, roughly correlating with their classical positions. These classical states surround three more quantum ones; the two aforementioned QSL states and a third state tentatively identified as a Valence Bond Crystal (VBC) state, which breaks translational but not spin-rotation or TRS symmetry. The relations between the spin liquid states and the classical ones will be discussed below. We do not focus on the VBC phase here, but make a few remarks upon it in Sec. VI.

For this study, we use the DMRG with SU(2) spin rotational symmetry on cylinders by keeping a number of \( U(1) \)-equivalent states \( M \) as large as \( M_{\text{max}} = 26000 \). Two cylinder geometries, denoted XC and YC, are used, such that for the XC (YC) cylinder, one of the three bond orientations is along the \( x \) (\( y \)) axis, as shown in Fig. 2. We abbreviate specific cylinders by \( \text{XC}2L_y-L_x \) and \( \text{YC}2L_y-L_x \), where \( L_x \) (\( L_y \)) is the number of unit cells in the \( x \) (\( y \)) direction. In general, we obtain results with DMRG truncation error less than \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) and \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \) for the cylinders with \( L_y = 4 \) and 6, respectively.

**II. \( q = (0, 0) \) NéEL PHASE IN THE \( J_1-J_2 \) KHM**

We begin by studying the \( q = (0, 0) \) Néel order in the small \( J_2 \) region with \( J_3 = 0 \), and first investigate the spin correlations on cylinders of varying widths. A gapped magnetically disordered phase would be expected to show exponentially decaying correlations. In a long-range magnetically ordered phase, the correlations should remain non-zero in magnitude at long distances in two dimensions. On a long cylinder of even width, exponential decay is still expected even when the two dimensional limit is ordered, but in that case the decay is characterized by a correlation length \( \xi \) which grows linearly with system width. Thus it is crucial to investigate the scaling of the correlation length.

Fig. 3(a) shows the correlations between spins on the same sublattice, \( \langle S_0 \cdot S_d \rangle \), on the XC8-24 cylinder. One sees that the spin correlation length continues to grow with increasing

**FIG. 1:** (a) Quantum phase diagram of the spin-1/2 \( J_1-J_2-J_3 \) kagomé Heisenberg model for \( 0.0 \leq J_2 \leq 0.25 \) and \( 0.0 \leq J_3 \leq 0.5 \). The phases shown are: a time-reversal invariant quantum spin liquid (QSL) phase, a coplanar magnetically ordered \( q = (0, 0) \) Néel phase, a time-reversal broken chiral spin liquid (CSL) phase, a non-coplanar magnetically and chiral ordered cuboc1 phase, and a valence bond crystal (VBC) phase. The cuboc1 phase remains stable for larger \( J_3 \) beyond the range shown here (we have checked up to \( J_3 \leq 1.0 \)). The dashed region indicates the uncertainty in locating the phase boundary when \( q = (0, 0) \) Néel and cuboc1 states. The purple dashed line shows the line of classical degeneracy between the \( q = (0, 0) \) Néel and cuboc1 states. (b) The configurations of spins (arrows indicate the direction of static moments) of the cuboc1 state on the kagomé lattice. On each small triangle, the spins are coplanar and sum to zero. In each hexagon, sets of three consecutive spins are non-coplanar, as are the sets obtained by taking every second spin around the hexagon. This breaks time-reversal symmetry in the sense that the scalar spin chirality is non-zero and the wavefunction is intrinsically complex.

**FIG. 2:** (a)-(c) show the spin-spin correlations for different phases on the YC8 and XC8 cylinders. The green site is the reference spin, the blue and red colors denote positive and negative correlations, respectively, of the site in question with the reference spin. The area of circle is proportional to the magnitude of the spin correlation. The large dashed hexagon in (b) shows the short-range spin correlations in CSL phase. The arrows in (c) show the reference spin (the red solid arrow) and the direction of other spins (the blue dashed arrow) whose correlations are plotted in Fig. 3. Panel (d) plots the nearest-neighbor bond energy on the XC8 cylinder in the VBC phase.
For $J_2 \geq 0.15$, we find that $m^2$ extrapolates to finite values in the thermodynamic limit, indicative of $q = (0, 0)$ Néel long range order. Because of the limited range of system width, we have considerable uncertainty in the location of the phase boundary and cannot reliably estimate an error bar. However, we feel confident that the magnetic order is robust for $J_2 = 0.2$, as shown in Fig. 3(b-c), which sets a lower bound on the transition point.

III. CHIRAL SPIN LIQUID PHASE

Prior work has fully established the CSL state in the $J_1$-$J_2$-$J_3$ KHM along the parameter line $J_2 = J_3 = J'$ with $0.1 \lesssim J' \lesssim 0.7$\cite{footnote55}. Moreover, the topological order of the CSL was found to be that of the $\nu = 1/2$ Laughlin state\cite{footnote55}. This completely fixes the universal topological aspects of the CSL. Here we study some non-universal aspects of the CSL, which help to show its relation to the surrounding phases. First, we determine the complete domain of the CSL phase through a study of the scalar spin chirality. In Fig. 4(a), we show the correlation function between chirality on pairs of the smallest triangles of the kagomé lattice (indicated with the number “1” in the inset of Fig. 4(b)), for the YC8-24 cylinder with $J_2 = 0.2$ and various $J_3$. In the $q = (0, 0)$ Néel phase, for example for $J_3 = 0.1$, the chiral correlations decay rapidly and exponentially to zero. With increasing $J_3$, the chiral correlations gradually grow, apparently establishing long-range order (i.e. saturating to a finite value at large distance) at $J_3 \approx 0.22$. This behavior persists to $J_3 \approx 0.4$, beyond which the chiral correlations exhibit a sharp decrease. We define $\chi$ as the square root of the long-distance chiral correlations in Fig. 4(a) $\chi \equiv \sqrt{\langle \bar{\chi}_0 \bar{\chi}_d \rangle}$ ($d$ is the longest available distance) to describe the variation of chiral correlation. Fig. 4(b) shows the $J_3$ dependence of $\chi$, which clearly indicates the CSL phase exists over a well-defined but limited range of $J_3$. From this figure, we conservatively estimate $\chi = 0$ for $J_3 \lesssim 0.2$ and $J_3 \gtrsim 0.4$. We note that chiral order, which breaks the discrete $Z_2$ time reverse symmetry, can exist even in a one-dimensional system. So we must carefully consider the behavior on wider cylinders to firmly establish the presence of chiral order in two dimensions. To do so, we compare the behavior on the YC8 cylinder to that on XC12 and YC12 cylinders, as in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d). We see that for some exchange parameters, the chiral order grows stronger with increasing system width, which we take as evidence for time-reversal symmetry breaking in two dimensions. Using this behavior as a first criterion for the CSL, and the second that magnetic correlations are short-ranged, we arrive at the shaded boundary between the $q = (0, 0)$ Néel phase and the CSL shown in Fig. 1(a).

To further reveal the structure of the chirality in the CSL, we study the chiral correlations between pairs of triangles of each of the four types shown in the inset of Fig. 4(b). Results for $J_2 = 0.2, J_3 = 0.24$ on YC8-24 and YC12-24 cylinders are shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d). Clearly correlations of all four types of triangles have long-range order, which
demonstrates spontaneous scalar spin chirality on all triangles. The largest chirality occurs on the smallest triangles (labeled $\Delta_1$). As a check, we also calculate the expectation value of the local scalar spin chirality directly using a complex code, which allows broken time-reversal symmetry. The results for the YC8-24 cylinder at $J_2 = 0.2, J_3 = 0.3$ are shown in Fig. 4(e). We see that the expectation values are indeed all non-zero and of a uniform sign. Moreover the magnitudes of the spontaneous spin chirality obtained in this way obey $|\langle \chi_{\Delta_1} \rangle| > |\langle \chi_{\Delta_2} \rangle| > |\langle \chi_{\Delta_3} \rangle| > |\langle \chi_{\Delta_4} \rangle|$, consistent with the results of the correlation function analysis. We note that, up to very small discrepancies which we attribute to the boundary effects due to the cylinder geometry, the spontaneous chiralities respect the translational and rotational symmetries of the lattice.

Finally, we consider the spin correlations on passing between the $q = (0, 0)$ phase and the CSL state. We take $J_2 = 0.2$ as an example – see Fig. 5. When $J_3$ is small, the system is in the $q = (0, 0)$ phase and the spin correlations are large and slowly decaying with a correlation length that grows with system width. With increasing $J_3$, the spin correlations decrease gradually. We define the long-distance spin correlation $S \equiv \sqrt{|\langle S_0 \cdot S_d \rangle|}$ ($d$ is the longest distance) as a crude estimate of magnetic order. The inset of Fig. 5 shows the $J_3$ dependence of $S$, which decreases rather smoothly and for practical purposes vanishes around $J_3 \approx 0.2$. This corresponds to the onset of the CSL phase. For larger $J_3$, the short range $q = (0, 0)$ spin correlation pattern is destroyed and the system shows instead a pattern of spin correlations which at short distances is consistent with that of the cuboc1 state. One such an example for $J_2 = 0.2, J_3 = 0.3$ is shown in Fig. 2(b).

**IV. CUBOC1 PHASE**

The cuboc1 state was first proposed for a kagomé antiferromagnet in an exact diagonalization study of the $J_1$-$J_3$ KHM for $J_3 \gtrsim 0.25$66. It is characterized by a 12-sublattice non-coplanar magnetic ordering in which the spins point towards the corners of a cuboctahedron (see Fig. 1(b)), one of the Archimedean solids63. In the classical $J_1$-$J_2$-$J_3$ KHM, the cuboc1 phase occurs for $J_3 > J_2$ ($J_2 < 1.0$), and shares a direct phase boundary with the $q = (0, 0)$ Néel phase as shown in Fig. 1(a)63. Owing to its non-coplanarity, the cuboc1 state breaks time-reversal symmetry and it is natural therefore to imagine it may be the classical ancestor of a CSL state. Here we investigate this possibility in more detail, and argue that
the CSL in the KHM is not the descendent of the *cuboc1* state.

We first however verify the magnetic order of the *cuboc1* state by studying the spin-spin correlation function. The spin correlation pattern is, in sign and magnitude, consistent with the *cuboc1* state for several cylindrical geometries, provided they are chosen compatible with the enlarged unit cell of this state. An example is shown in Fig. 6(a), where a *cuboc1* pattern with a 12-site unit cell indicated by the dashed hexagon is clearly seen. A characteristic feature is that the spin correlations in the columns denoted by the red arrows are small and decay quickly. This follows naturally from the classical picture of the *cuboc1* state, because these spins are perpendicular to the reference spin.

To quantify the magnetic ordering, we study the evolution of the spin correlations with increasing $J_3$. An example is shown in Fig. 6(b) for $J_2 = 0.2$, $0.24 \leq J_3 \leq 0.5$ on the YC8-24 cylinder. One sees that the spin correlations decay quite fast for $J_3 < 0.4$, consistent with the gapped CSL shown in Fig. 4(b). At $J_3 = 0.4$, the spin correlations are sharply enhanced and approach finite values at long distance for $J_3 > 0.4$. We define $S$ as the square root of the long-distance spin correlations in Fig. 6(b) $S \equiv \sqrt{|\langle S_0 \cdot S_d \rangle|}$ ($d$ is the longest distance), and plot it versus $J_3$ in the inset, which shows a jump of $S$ from zero to a finite value at $J_3 \approx 0.4$. The abrupt simultaneous onset of spin order and vanishing chiral order on small triangles (Fig. 4(b)), together indicate a phase transition from the CSL to a magnetically ordered phase.

To be fully confident of magnetic ordering, we must consider finite size effects. We compare the spin correlations on YC8 and YC12 cylinders (the XC12 cylinder is incompatible with *cuboc1* state). Interestingly, the *cuboc1* state has significantly enhanced entanglement entropy—a point which we return to below—which prevents us from obtaining fully converged results on YC12 cylinder. Therefore, we instead compare the spin correlations on the YC8 and YC12 cylinders as obtained with similar truncation errors. As shown in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d) for $J_2 = 0.2$, $J_3 = 0.5$, the spin correlations grow with decreasing truncation error (increasing $M$) in both systems. For similar truncation errors, the correlation length $\xi$ on the wider YC12 cylinder is always larger than that on the YC8 cylinder. Consequently, the converged spin correlations (obtained from extrapolation with respect to truncation error, as shown by the plus symbol) on the YC12 cylinder are stronger than those on the YC8 cylinder. The growing spin correlation length is consistent with the presence of magnetic order in two-dimensional thermodynamic limit.

Now we justify the claim that the CSL cannot be regarded as a quantum fluctuating *cuboc1* state. To see this, we first consider the pattern of scalar spin chirality in the *cuboc1* state. Classically, the spins in triangles $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ are coplanar, so these possess zero scalar spin chirality. Spin chirality is instead concentrated in triangles $\Delta_3$ and $\Delta_4$, where the spins are non-coplanar\textsuperscript{63}. We indeed see precisely this behavior in the numerical calculations of chirality correlations, which are large and consistent with long-range chiral order only for triangles $\Delta_3$ and $\Delta_4$, as shown in Fig. 6(e). This is why the chirality calculated for the small ($\Delta_1$) triangles in Fig. 4(b) jumps to zero in the *cuboc1* state. In Fig. 6(e), we see some

**FIG. 6:** Numerical studies of the *cuboc1* phase. All plots in this figure use $J_2 = 0.2$. In (a) we show the spin correlations for a central region of the YC12-24 cylinder with $J_3 = 0.5$, following the same conventions as Fig. 2. The dashed hexagon indicates the 12-site unit cell. Panel (b) shows log-linear plots of the spin correlations for various $J_3$ values on the YC8-24 cylinder (here $J_3 = 0.24, 0.3, 0.38, 0.4, 0.42, 0.45, 0.5$ for the successive curves with increasing values of the correlations). The inset plots the $J_3$ dependence of the long-distance spin correlation $S$. Plots (c) and (d) compare the spin correlation for $J_3 = 0.5$ on the YC8-24 and YC12-24 cylinders with different truncation errors. The data with plus symbol give the results of an extrapolation to zero truncation error. Panel (e) contrasts the correlations of the chirality on the four different types of triangles (as shown in the inset) well into the *cuboc1* phase for $J_3 = 0.7$ on the XCS-24 cylinder. The correlations on the $\Delta_1$, $\Delta_2$ triangles are very small and sometimes change sign. This is probably consistent with zero spontaneous chirality on these triangles in the thermodynamic limit.
very small residual chirality correlations on the type 1 and 2 triangles, but these are consistent with short-range correlations, which are always non-zero and do not indicate symmetry breaking. The absence of scalar spin chirality in the small triangles in the cuboc1 phase reflects an invariance of this state under a combined $C_3$ rotation in spin space (about an axis through two antipodal points on the cuboctahedron) and a real space reflection through a plane bisecting a column of small triangles. The CSL state breaks this symmetry. Hence the two phases are symmetry distinct even beyond the presence of spin ordering.

Finally, we return to the entanglement entropy in the cuboc1 phase. The large entropy may be understood from general arguments. In the two-dimensional limit, the cuboc1 phase fully breaks SU(2) spin symmetry, and so has three gapless Goldstone modes (a number equals to the number of generators of SU(2)). This is described field-theoretically by an 2+1-dimensional SO(3) matrix non-linear sigma model. If we now imagine placing the cuboc1 state on a (compatible) cylinder, the momentum along the circumferential direction $k_y$ becomes quantized, and we naïvely expect three gapless one-dimensional bosonic modes with $k_y = 0$. In general, these modes are interacting, and for long cylinders fluctuate strongly and are expected to open up a gap, since the non-linear sigma model in 1+1-dimensions is asymptotically free. However, this gap is exponentially small when the cylinder circumference is large, and so we can expect a wide regime in which the cylinder behaves like a system of three gapless free bosonic modes.

For a general free gapless bosonic system (actually any conformal field theory) in 1+1-dimensions, the entanglement entropy of a bipartition into two halves follows the area law:

$$S(l_x) = (c/6) \ln((L_x/\pi) \sin(l_x/\pi/L_x)) + g,$$

where $c$ is the characteristic central charge of the system, $g$ is a nonuniversal constant reflecting short-range entanglement, and $l_x$ and $L_x$ are the length of subsystem and the whole system, respectively. The non-linear sigma model argument above implies $c = 3$. Thus the large entanglement entropy of the cuboc1 state on cylinders could be attributed to its Goldstone mode structure.

We verify this numerically in more detail, and find behavior consistent with this prediction. An example of the $l_x$ dependence of entropy is shown in Fig. 7(a) for $J_2 = 0.2, J_3 = 0.7$ on the XC8-18 cylinder. We bipartition the system column by column, and denote the number of columns as $l_x$. The entropy fits quite well using the area law behavior with $c = 3.0, g = 3.3$. In Fig. 7(b), we plot the same data versus $\ln((L_x/\pi) \sin(l_x/\pi/L_x))$, where the slope of the dashed line determines the central charge. We find that the entropy on XC8-16 cylinder also follows the same central charge $c = 3.0$.

![FIG. 7: Entanglement entropy in the cuboc1 phase.](image)

**V. QUANTUM PHASE TRANSITIONS**

It is interesting to study the phase transitions between the well established topological CSL and other phases surrounding it. Continuous phase transitions from such a topologically ordered phase are of general interest as examples of unconventional quantum criticality. Thus we attempt to establish if any of the transitions in our system are indeed continuous.

First, we consider the phase transition from the CSL to the $q = (0,0)$ Néel phase. For $J_2 = 0.2$ on YC8 cylinder, we find the transition occurs at about $J_3 \simeq 0.2$, based upon the behavior of chiral and spin correlations in Fig. 4(b) and Fig. 5. To gauge the order of the transition, we plot in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) the $J_3$ dependence of the ground-state energy and entanglement entropy for $J_2 = 0.2$ in a range spanning the $q = (0,0)$ to CSL transition on the YC8-24 cylinder. We find that both the ground-state energy and entropy vary smoothly with $J_3$, indeed so smoothly that a transition cannot be identified from these data. This suggests the CSL to Néel transition may be continuous. However, we should caution that the absence of sharp features is not evidence for criticality – which in any case would be difficult to verify on the small systems studied here. It does indicate that the CSL to Néel transition is not strongly first order.

This is in contrast to the transition from the CSL to the cuboc1 phase. As shown in Figs. 8(c) and 8(d) of the results on XC8 cylinder for $J_2 = 0.2$, we find both the energy and the entropy have a sharp change at $J_3 \simeq 0.38$, which are also observed on YC8 cylinder at $J_3 \simeq 0.4$. Note that for a large system, the theoretical expectation at a first order transition between these two phases is a slope discontinuity in the ground state energy and a jump in the entanglement entropy, both of which are compatible with Figs. 8(c) and 8(d). The sharp changes observed in these quantities are also consistent with the sudden drop of chiral correlation in Fig. 4(b) as well as the enhancement of spin correlations in Fig. 6(b). All these results indicate a strong first-order transition from the CSL to the cuboc1 phase. The first order nature of this transition is another indication that the CSL phase should not be regarded as a quantum fluctuating descendent of the cuboc1 phase, as discussed above in Sec. IV.

Next we consider the $J_1$-$J'$ model with $J_2 = J_3 = J'$ to
V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The CSL phase seems to arise as a result of quantum fluctuations around the line of classical degeneracy between the two types of classical order: the $q = (0, 0)$ Néel phase and cubocI phase. The chirality structure of the CSL and cubocI phases are distinctly different, and indeed we find a strong first order phase transition between them.

Both the quantum phase transition between the CSL and the $q = (0, 0)$ Néel state, and that between the CSL and the time-reversal symmetric QSL, are quite smooth and consistent with continuous behavior. If continuous, these could be interesting examples of unconventional quantum critical points\cite{70,71}. It is not clear even what to expect for the universal field theories for these phase transitions from the theory of QSLs. The nature of the time-reversal symmetric QSL itself is controversial, making it hard to speak definitively about that transition. If we suppose that the QSL itself is of the gapless U(1) Dirac type\cite{45}, then this transition could be understood as simple “chiral symmetry breaking”-type transition in which a scalar mass gap appears for the Dirac fermions\cite{58,72}. The mechanism for generation of an appropriate Chern-Simons term to describe the universal aspects of the QSL from such a Dirac mass is well-known\cite{58,73}. However, it is not clear that the proposed U(1) Dirac state is even stable as a phase. At this point, we have only some speculative ideas for the field theories that might describe transitions from a $Z_2$ version of the time-reversal symmetric QSL liquid state, or from the $q = (0, 0)$ Néel state, to the CSL. We suggest this may be a possibly fruitful problem for future research.

We did not concentrate much in this work on the tentatively identified VBC phase, which occurs in the small $J_2$ region ($J_2 < 0.05$) as shown in Fig. 1. In this region, our DMRG calculations converge to a ground state with non-uniform bond energy, as shown in Fig. 2(d). On the YC8 cylinder, this pattern does not appear to break the lattice translational symmetry, but clearly breaks some point group symmetries of the kagomé lattice. A similar VBC pattern is also found on the XC12 cylinder\cite{74}, though there may be some suggestions of translational symmetry breaking in that case. Since a topologically trivial gapped state is not possible without an even number of spins per unit cell, the breaking of translational symmetry is a key which must be established. In the future, it will be interesting to address this issue with more care, and to compare this VBC phase to the one found in the $J_1$-$J_2$ kagome Heisenberg model with small negative second neighbor exchange, $J_2 \sim -0.05$\cite{50}.
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Note added.—Upon finalizing the manuscript we noticed a recent preprint reporting a DMRG\cite{75} study of the $J_1$-$J_2$ Heisenberg model, and also finds that the $q = (0, 0)$ order emerges for $J_2 > 0.2$. We also noticed a preprint on variational Monte Carlo studies\cite{50} of the same model based on the
Gutzwiller projected fermion wavefunction, which claims that the $U(1)$ Dirac spin liquid may be stable in a region with finite $J_2 > 0$.
On the XC12 cylinder, while the bond energy we obtained keeps breaking the lattice rotational symmetry as that on the XC8 cylinder in Fig. 2(d), it also has a slightly translational symmetry breaking, which may be owing to the less convergence.
