

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Nematic-driven anisotropic electronic properties of underdoped detwinned Ba(Fe\_{1-x}Co\_{x})\_{2}As\_{2} revealed by optical spectroscopy

C. Mirri, A. Dusza, S. Bastelberger, J.-H. Chu, H.-H. Kuo, I. R. Fisher, and L. Degiorgi Phys. Rev. B **90**, 155125 — Published 21 October 2014 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.155125

## Nematic-driven anisotropic electronic properties of underdoped detwinned $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2$ revealed by optical spectroscopy

C. Mirri<sup>1</sup>, A. Dusza<sup>1</sup>, S. Bastelberger<sup>1</sup>, J.-H. Chu<sup>2</sup>, H.-H. Kuo<sup>2</sup>, I.R. Fisher<sup>2</sup> and L. Degiorgi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratorium für Festkörperphysik, ETH - Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland and

<sup>2</sup>Geballe Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-4045,

U.S.A. and Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Sciences, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory.

2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California 94025, U.S.A.

(Dated: October 6, 2014)

### Abstract

We collect optical reflectivity data as a function of temperature across the structural tetragonalto-orthorhombic phase transition at  $T_s$  on Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> for x = 0%, 2.5% and 4.5%, with uniaxial and in - situ tunable applied pressure in order to detwin the sample and to exert on it an external symmetry breaking field. At  $T < T_s$ , we discover a remarkable hysteretic optical anisotropy as a function of the applied pressure at energies far away from the Fermi level. Such an anisotropy turns into a reversible linear pressure dependence at  $T \ge T_s$ . Moreover, the optical anisotropy gets progressively depleted with increasing Co-content in the underdoped regime, consistent with the doping dependence of the orthorhombicity but contrary to the non-monotonic behavior observed for the dc anisotropy.

PACS numbers: 74.70.Xa,78.20.-e

The tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structural phase transition at  $T_s$ , coincident or preceding the onset of an antiferromagnetic ground state at  $T_N$ , in the underdoped regime of many families of iron-pnictide and chalcogenide superconductors breaks the four-fold rotational symmetry of the tetragonal phase, implying the onset of a nematic phase [1, 2]. The relevance of nematicity, either electronic in nature or spin-induced, in shaping their phase diagram is certainly one of the most debated issue nowadays [3–14]. Several experiments provide evidence for nematicity in iron-pnictides, as dc transport [15–20], thermopower [21], elastic shear-modulus [22, 23] also combined with nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) results [24], neutron scattering [25–29], angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) [30–32], local probes such as scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) [33–35] and magnetic torque [36], time-resolved spectroscopy [37, 38], optical reflectivity [39–42] and Raman spectroscopy [43].

Detailed quantitative measurements of the in-plane charge and spin anisotropy in the nematic phase have been mainly performed on the so-called 122 family of iron-pnictides, like  $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2$  on which we focus here our attention. Since the ferroelastic-like structural transition induces in these materials the formation of dense structural twins below  $T_s$  in order to minimize the elastic energy, applying large magnetic fields [44] or uniaxial pressure turns out to be essential in order to detwin the specimens and thus to overrule the overcasting effect of randomly oriented domains when addressing the in-plane anisotropy of the orthorhombic phase over length scales greater than the average twin dimensions [1].

The vast majority of the experimental probes made use of applied uniaxial pressure in order to detwin the crystals. Besides the dc transport investigation with the piezo device [16], allowing tunable strain, experiments were however performed with constantly applied pressure or possibly at low temperatures only. We were thus motivated to chase the fingerprint of the nematic phase into the charge dynamics, both as a function of temperature and applied pressure. For that purpose, we designed and constructed a pressure device [45], permitting us to tune moderate pressures (i.e., up to approximately 20 MPa) in – situ and consequently giving us access to different experimental situations in terms of cooling procedures across  $T_s$  and  $T_N$ , and applied stress. The device (Fig. 1) consists of a spring bellows, which can be extended/retracted by flushing He gas into its volume or evacuating it through a capillary from outside the cryostat. This allows applying or releasing uniaxial pressure on the lateral side of the sample [46], thus modulating the strength of the external symmetry-breaking field and ultimately controlling the degree of detwinning.



FIG. 1: (color online) (left) Three-dimensional schematic view of the pressure device with a crosssection along the plane of the incident/reflected optical path; (right) Front view along the light path [45]. By flushing He-gas into the spring bellows and evacuating its volume, one can exert and release pressure, respectively, along the direction corresponding to the orthorhombic b-axis, as indicated by the black arrow. The optical mask, placed on top of the pressure device, defines equal spots of the sample and reference Au-mirror surface, which are exposed to the electromagnetic radiation polarized along the a- and b-axis (blue and red arrow, respectively).

A further goal of this paper is to expand our previous work, mainly devoted to the parent compound (x = 0%) [45], to Co-dopings spanning the underdoped regime of the Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> phase diagram. For this study, single crystals of Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> with x = 0%, 2.5% and 4.5% were grown using a self-flux method [15, 16]. The structural (tetragonal-to-orthorhombic) and magnetic transitions, leading to antiferromagnetically ordered stripes, occur for x = 0% at  $T_s \sim T_N \simeq 135$  K, for x = 2.5% at  $T_s \simeq 98$  K and  $T_N \simeq 92$ K whereas for x = 4.5% at  $T_s \simeq 67$  K and  $T_N \simeq 58$  K, respectively [1]. The last compound also undergoes a superconducting transition at  $T_c \simeq 15$  K [1]. The as-grown single crystals have a plate-like morphology with thickness between 0.1 and 0.3 mm and with the *c*-axis perpendicular to the plane of the plates. They were cut into a square shape, approximately 2 mm on the side with the tetragonal *a*-axis oriented at 45<sup>0</sup> to the edges of the sample so that upon cooling through  $T_s$  the orthorhombic a/b axes are parallel to the edges of the square and the orthorhombic shorter *b*-axis is favored in the direction of the applied uniaxial compressive stress (Fig. 1) [1, 15, 16, 45].

We performed optical investigations by measuring the reflectivity  $R(\omega)$  at nearly normal incidence [47], first by collecting data at room temperature with different spectrometers: the Bruker IFS48 for the mid-infrared (MIR, 500-4000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and near-infrared (NIR, 4000-7000  $cm^{-1}$ ) frequency interval and the PerkinElmer Lambda 950 from NIR up to the ultraviolet (UV) range, i.e.  $3200-48000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The specimens were then mounted into the pressure device (Fig. 1) and placed inside an Oxford SM 4000 cryostat coupled to a Fouriertransform infrared interferometer (Bruker Vertex 80v). This permits  $R(\omega)$  measurements at different temperatures and as a function of pressure in the spectral range from the farinfrared (FIR) up to the NIR, i.e. between 30 and 6000  $\rm cm^{-1}$ . The electromagnetic radiation in all spectrometers was polarized along the a and b axes (Fig. 1); in the following the measured reflectivity will be defined as  $R_a$  and  $R_b$ , respectively [45]. In displaying the data, we refer to the He-gas pressure inside the volume of the pressure device (bellows). The effective pressure felt by the sample depends on its size and thickness, so that a He-gas pressure of 0.1 bar means an effective stress of about 1.5, 1.6 and 1.3 MPa for the x = 0%, 2.5% and 4.5% Co-doping sample, respectively. We refer to Ref. 45 and its supplemental information for more details on our experimental technique and set-up. Here, we report results obtained from zero-pressure-cooled (ZPC) 'pressure-loop' experiments: we reach the selected temperature (T) without applying pressure (p) and at that fixed T we measure  $R(\omega)$  at progressively increasing p from 0 up to a maximum pressure ranging between 0.8 and 1.2 bar, depending from the Co-doping. We subsequently collect  $R(\omega)$  when stepwise releasing p back to 0 bar, thus completing the p-loop. Additional experimental protocols that corroborate our findings are given in Ref. 45.

For the rest of the paper we focus our attention on the MIR spectral range, since clear signatures of the optical anisotropy have been previously recognized at those energies in experiments with samples constantly held under uniaxial stress [39–42]. Figure 2 displays a sampling of  $R(\omega)$  data in the MIR range within the ZPC *p*-loop experiment at selected *T* for the x = 2.5% Co-doping compound. The results are very much representative for the underdoped regime and an equivalent set of data for the parent compound is available in the Supplemental Material of Ref. [45]. The emphasis in Fig. 2(a-i) is at  $T \ll T_s$  and



FIG. 2: (color online) Temperature and pressure dependence of the optical reflectivity  $R(\omega)$  for Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> with x = 2.5% in the MIR spectral range at  $T \ll T_s$ ,  $T \ll T_s$  and  $T \ge T_s$  and variable p (0 bar: (a-c), 1.2 bar: (d-f), zero-released pressure: (g-i)). The blue and red curves in panels (a-i) refer to data collected with the electromagnetic radiation polarized along the a- and b-axis, respectively.  $R_{ratio}(\omega, p) = R_a(\omega)/R_b(\omega)$  in panels (l-q) emphasizes the optical anisotropy [45], which is explicitly shown for increasing (0-0.8-1.2 bar) and decreasing (1.2-0.8-0 bar) pressures ((l-n) and (o-q)), respectively. The dashed vertical lines indicate the frequency where  $R_{ratio}$  is read (see text and later Fig. 4).

 $T \geq T_s$  and at p = 0 and 1.2 bar as well as at zero-released pressure. There is a crossover from a typical metallic behavior of  $R(\omega)$  above  $T_s$  (i.e., monotonic increase towards total reflection for frequency tending to zero) to a depleted  $R(\omega)$  at  $T \ll T_s$ , mainly due to the broad bump and flat  $R(\omega)$  below about 1500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These latter features of  $R(\omega)$  originate from the opening of a pseudogap-like excitation in the magnetic state [39–42]. While not shown, it is worth noting that even at  $T < T_s R(\omega)$  for both polarization directions tends to total reflection in a metallic-like fashion in the far infrared spectral range (i.e.,  $\omega \leq$  $500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). In order to better highlight the evolution of the anisotropic charge dynamics as a function of the external tunable variables T and p, we calculate the reflectivity ratio  $R_{ratio}(\omega) = R_a(\omega)/R_b(\omega)$  (Fig. 2(l-q)). The anisotropy (polarization dependence) of  $R(\omega)$ vanishes above 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup> at all p (i.e.,  $R_{ratio} \simeq 1$ ) but gets enhanced with decreasing T and increasing p at  $\omega < 2500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and eventually saturates for  $p \ge 0.8$  bar at  $T < T_s$ . At zeroreleased pressure, the optical anisotropy, afore achieved at 1.2 bar, persists at  $T \ll T_s$  (Fig. 2(l) and (o)) but is significantly reduced at  $T < T_s$  (Fig. 2(m) and (p)). At  $T \ge T_s$ , the pdependence of the optical anisotropy is fully reversible upon increasing and then decreasing p (Fig. 2(n) and (q)).

It is well established [48] that the real part  $\sigma_1(\omega)$  of the optical conductivity displays a characteristic mid-infrared feature, peaked for twinned samples at frequencies ( $\omega'$ ) between 900 cm<sup>-1</sup> for x = 4.5% and 1500 cm<sup>-1</sup> for x = 0% Co-doping (vertical dotted lines in upper right panel (f) of Fig. 3). Furthermore, this excitation turns out to be very much related to the onset of the SDW-like state in the orthorhombic phase and its polarization dependence was previously identified as the most evident signature of the pressure-induced optical anisotropy [39-42]. Ab – *initio* calculations based on DFT as well as dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [40, 49–51] are rather powerful instruments in order to fairly reproduce the anisotropic MIR-feature and to account for it as a fingerprint of the stripe-like magnetic ordering in the orthorhombic state. This further supports our initial choice to mainly emphasize the MIR energy interval, pertinent to the electronic interband transitions. We can thus identify  $\omega'$  as a relevant energy scale where to read  $R_{ratio}$ . In order to comprehensively deploy our findings for the ZPC p-loop experiment, we show indeed in Fig. 3(a-e) the pressure dependence for x = 0% and 4.5% Co-doping of  $\Delta R_{ratio} = R_{ratio}$ -1, defining the deviation from the isotropic case (i.e.,  $R_{ratio} = 1$ ), at  $\omega'$  and at temperatures above/below  $T_s$ . Figure 3 thus allows a comparison between two Co-dopings of the title compounds, spanning the



FIG. 3: (color online) (a-e) Pressure dependence of  $\Delta R_{ratio} = R_{ratio}(p, \omega')$ -1 at selected temperatures above and below  $T_s$  achieved in the ZPC 'pressure-loop' experiment for x = 0% [45] and 4.5% Co-doping (full and open symbols denote increasing and decreasing pressure, respectively). The dashed and dotted lines are guide to the eyes. The upper right panel (f) displays the real part  $\sigma_1(\omega)$  of the optical conductivity for x = 0% and 4.5% Co-doping at  $T < T_s$ , emphasizing the mid-infrared peak overlapped to the low frequency tail of the stronger near-infrared absorption at about 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (data from Ref. 48 on twinned specimens). The vertical dotted lines mark the position of the mid-infrared peak at  $\omega' = 1500$  and 900 cm<sup>-1</sup> for x = 0% and 4.5% Co-doping, respectively, where  $R_{ratio}$  is read.

underdoped regime of their phase diagram. The pressure dependence of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  for both compositions is rather similar at equivalent temperatures with respect to  $T_s$ , even though there is an overall depletion of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  upon doping. We also remark that at  $T \ll T_s$  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  for the parent compound at p = 0 bar does not exactly vanish, suggesting a weak anisotropy (~ 1% or less), which is conceivably due to the partial detwinning caused by the thermal contraction of the pressure device and which however tends to be fully suppressed upon increasing temperature towards  $T_s$  and above it.

We first point out some common features for all Co-dopings in  $\Delta R_{ratio}(p)$  at  $T \ll T_s$ .

When increasing the applied pressure up to 0.2 bar there is yet a moderate increase of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$ , which is then progressively enhanced for pressures around and exceeding 0.4 bar. The saturation in  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  for different Co-dopings is observed to set in for applied pressures above 0.6 bar. The saturation presumably reflects when the samples are fully detwinned. In fact, it has been previously shown, that pressures of about 1 bar (i.e.,  $\sim 10$  MPa as uniaxial pressure effectively felt by the sample) are enough in order to lead to a single domain specimen (see e.g. Fig. 4 of Ref. 1). Any subsequent pressure dependence, as shown in Fig. S6 of the Supplemental Material of Ref. 45, could arise from the intrinsic response to pressure of the orthorhombic structure [52]. Simultaneously, there is an overall decrease of the achieved optical anisotropy at saturation (see below, Fig. 4) for increasing Co-doping. By releasing pressure back to zero and consequently by removing in - situ the stress, the anisotropy clearly persists and hence a remarkable imbalance of the two twin orientations remains frozen in place at  $T \ll T_s$ .  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  at zero released pressure could be then considered as a direct measurement of the optical anisotropy in a single domain specimen even in the absence of any applied stress. At  $T \ll T_s$ , there is thus a clear halfhysteresis behavior of  $\Delta R_{ratio}(p)$  [14], which is squeezed upon Co-doping at equivalent T. Such a hysteretic behavior in detwinned iron-prictides obviously bears a striking similarity with the situation in ferromagnets as far as the magnetization versus external magnetic field at  $T \ll T_C$  ( $T_C$  being the Curie temperature) is concerned. In this context, we may coin the notions of 'initial anisotropy- or virgin-curve' and of 'remanent anisotropy' in order to define the evolution of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$ , representing here the optical anisotropy, upon rising and releasing pressure, respectively. Finally, it is worth noting that the optical anisotropy, encountered at  $T \ll T_s$ , persists and remains unchanged even at  $T \ll T_c$  for x = 4.5% Co-doping. This would suggest that in the underdoped regime the electronic structure far away from the Fermi energy, as probed in the MIR-NIR spectral range, is unaffected by the onset of superconductivity.

By increasing T towards  $T_s$ , we observe, commonly to all Co-dopings, a narrowing of the hysteretic behavior, which fully collapses at  $T \sim T_s$ . Moreover, at zero released pressure  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  is substantially reduced upon increasing T, since the thermally assisted domainwall motion leads to retwinning of the sample in a stress free-environment [45]. Above  $T_s$  there should not be any hysteresis since the material is tetragonal, as indeed observed experimentally. At  $T \geq T_s$ , the pressure dependence of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  may well be approximated with a linear behavior. These observations further reinforce the notion that there seems to be an equivalent impact of the pressure on  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  in the title compounds as of the magnetic field with respect to the magnetization in a ferromagnet. In summary, we may state that the hysteretic behavior, observed in  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  below  $T_s$ , arises due to the blocking of the twin boundary motion [14], which then appears to be thermally activated upon increasing T.

We shall now try to place our optical findings for all investigated Co-doped 122 ironpnictides into a common context and perspective. Figure 4(a) displays the temperature dependence of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  for x = 0%, 2.5% and 4.5% Co-doping at pressures where saturation occurs in the optical anisotropy (i.e.,  $p \ge 0.8$  bar at  $T < T_s$ , depending from the doping) as well as at released p = 0 bar (i.e., so-called remanent state), with the T scale normalized by  $T_s$  of each composition. There is a similar behavior for all compounds. First of all, the temperature dependence of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  at the remanent state undergoes a sudden drop close to and above  $T_s$ , which further points out the effect of the thermal activation of the twin boundary motion. Second, the inspection of the data reported in Fig. 3(a-e) and Fig. 4(a)emphasizes that the largest optical anisotropy at saturation and at  $T < T_s$  is achieved for x = 0%. While several factors may influence the doping dependence, the largest anisotropy for x = 0% than for x = 2.5% or x = 4.5% Co-doping could derive from a stronger order parameter of the structural phase transition in the parent compound. Alternatively, we may state that the depleted optical anisotropy upon doping, at saturation and at each  $T < T_s$ , implies a decreasing lattice softness, going hand in hand with the Co-content dependence of the orthorhombicity (a - b)/(a + b) (a and b are the lattice constants of the respective axes) [12]. This is explicitly shown in Fig. 4(b), which compares the Codoping dependence (x) of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  at saturation for  $T \ll T_s$  with respect to the measured orthorhombicity in Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> [53]. Such a direct relationship between  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  at saturation and (a-b)/(a+b) contrasts with the non-monotonic anisotropy of the dc transport data upon doping [1, 15]. This might be the consequence of the multi-band nature of the title compounds. Since transport measurements are only sensitive to small energy scales close to the Fermi level, it is possible to get quite non-monotonic behavior of the transport anisotropy across the phase diagram depending on what the underlying bands are doing. Moreover, the non-monotonic resistivity anisotropy mainly appears in the antiferromagnetic state, where the Fermi surface (FS) has been severely reconstructed and Lifshitz transitions may occur as a function of doping. It was suggested, that the small in-plane dc anisotropy



FIG. 4: (color online) (a) Temperature dependence of  $\Delta R_{ratio}(\omega')$  at saturation (i.e., at  $p \ge 0.8$  bar, depending from Co-doping) as well as at its remanent state (i.e., at released p = 0 bar) for x = 0% ( $T_s \sim 135$  K), 2.5% ( $T_s \sim 98$  K) [45] and 4.5% ( $T_s \sim 67$  K) Co-doping. The *T*-axis is normalized by  $T_s$  and  $\omega'$  is defined by the vertical dotted lines in Fig. 3(f). (b) Co-doping dependence (x) of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  at 10 K and at saturation (from panel (a)) and of the orthorhombicity (a-b)/(a+b) [53].

in the parent compound is mainly the consequence of the presence of an isotropic high mobility Dirac pocket, which is progressively suppressed upon doping [54]. In this sense, the resistivity anisotropy certainly does not have to track better avatars of the nematic order parameter as a function of doping and can be only revealed when the contribution due to the remaining anisotropic FS pockets dominates upon chemical substitution. Therefore, the comparison proposed in Fig. 4(b) may indicate that a direct mapping of the orthorhombicity and consequently of nematicity into the electronic structure can be identified most clearly at energy scales away from the Fermi level.

Furthermore,  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  at saturation is temperature dependent already above  $T_s$ , with a broad crossover through the structural transition (Fig. 4(a)) [55]. Such a pressure-induced orthorhombicity in the paramagnetic state provides some evidence for a substantial susceptibility, as fingerprint of the fluctuations related to the nematic-driven ferroelastic structural transition. In this context, we recall the linear *p*-dependence of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  for  $T \geq T_s$  (Fig. 3(d,e)). In our previous work [45], we have proposed to calculate its slope ( $\Delta R_{ratio}/p$ ) as a function of temperature, which was claimed to represent an (optical) estimation of the nematic susceptibility, very similar to the outcome from the *dc* data [16]. Our findings about the pressure-induced optical anisotropy, common to the whole underdoped regime, are in broad agreement with ARPES data [30, 31] on detwinned specimens. ARPES results are very instrumental in revealing an in-plane electronic anisotropy characterized by a large energy splitting of two orthogonal bands with dominant  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$ character. Such a band splitting already develops at  $T > T_s$  for stressed crystals and may indicate a pressure-induced orbital ordering. This naturally accounts for our findings on the optical anisotropy in terms of dichroism, generated by the external symmetry breaking field [4]. Upon doping, the onset of the band splitting, observed in ARPES [30, 31], is bound to the structural phase transition, in agreement with the optical data displayed in Fig. 3(a-e). The band splitting extends much less above  $T_s$  for unstressed than for stressed crystals, which backs up our analysis of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  at saturation and for the remanent state deployed in Fig. 4(a). As anticipated above and as well established by dc transport [16] and by NMR and elastic shear moduli experiments [22–24], the ferro-pnictides suffer an anomalously large nematic susceptibility, explaining the large electronic anisotropy observed for strained samples in ARPES [30, 31] and inferred by the current optical work at  $T > T_s$ .

On the contrary, even though our measurements for underdoped specimens certainly point out an extensive fluctuation regime above  $T_N$ , within which the ferroelastic transition at  $T_s$  occurs, there is no need, based on our data, to invoke the onset of the nematic phase transition at  $T^* > T_s$ , as proposed for instance by the magneto-torque experiment [36].

In conclusion, we demonstrate the capability of optics to get insights into the nematicdriven tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structural transition in the underdoped regime of the title compounds. The main findings evinced from our work are (i) the capability to release in - situ p, as symmetry breaking field, and thus to observe the anisotropy of the electrodynamic response at  $T \ll T_s$  for unstressed but fully detwinned crystals and (ii) the hysteretic nature of the optical anisotropy upon varying the stress due to the motion of the nematic domain walls. Our data give clear cut evidences for the impact of the ferro-elastic transition at energy scales deep into the electronic structure and for its fluctuations over a remarkable temperature interval above  $T_s$ . The discovered optical fingerprint of the nematic fluctuations originates from an intrinsic pressure-induced electronic anisotropy and bears testimony for the electronic nature of the structural phase transition. We have not observed any changes in the optical anisotropy at  $T \ll T_c$  in the underdoped regime, which would suggest that superconductivity emerges within an electronic polarized state. Our results could equally favor scenarios for the structural and magnetic transitions in the underdoped regime based on the ferro-orbital ordering [3–8], which implies the involvement of spin-orbital coupling, or any models related to a spin-driven nematic order affecting however the charge channel of the excitation spectrum [9–13]. In conjunction with other studies [16, 19, 27, 30, 31, 43] we nonetheless speculate that the orbital degree of freedom as well as its precursor effects above  $T_s$  are indispensable ingredients at least in characterizing the normal state properties out of which superconductivity develops in the iron-pnictides.

As for the future, this work paves the way for a systematic survey of the generic phase diagram, going beyond the underdoped regime, and specifically of the far infrared optical properties. These latter experiments, presently in progress, will permit the analysis of the effective metallic contribution in the charge dynamics in terms of Drude weight and scattering rates of the itinerant charge carriers, thus allowing a more robust link to the dc transport properties. Furthermore, it could be of interest to compare our results achieved on electron-doped materials with data collected on hole-doped ones, and to possibly use the hysteretic behavior in order to precisely test the impact of doping-induced disorder [14], thus expanding at finite frequencies the debate already addressed by dc transport investigations [42, 56, 57].

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank A. Lucarelli for his initial contribution in designing the pressure device and W. Ku, E.W. Carlson, M. Sigrist, S. Kivelson, T. Devereaux and D. Lu for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the Swiss National Foundation for the Scientific Research and by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under contract DE-AC02-76SF00515.

- I.R. Fisher, L. Degiorgi, and Z.X. Shen, In-plane electronic anisotropy of underdoped '122' Fearsenide superconductors revealed by measurements of detwinned single crystals, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 74, 124506 (2011) and references therein.
- [2] M.A. Tanatar, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, N. Ni, S.L. Bud'ko, P.C. Canfield, A.I. Goldman, and R. Prozorov, Direct imaging of the structural domains in the iron pnictides AFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> (A = Ca, Sr, Ba), *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 180508(R) (2009).
- [3] C.-C. Lee, W.-G. Yin, and W. Ku, Ferro-orbital order and strong magnetic anisotropy in the parent compounds of iron-pnictide superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 267001 (2009).
- [4] C.-C. Chen, J. Maciejko, A.P. Sorini, B. Moritz, R.R.P. Singh, and T.P. Devereaux, Orbital order and spontaneous orthorhombicity in iron pnictides, *Phys. Rev. B* 82, 100504(R) (2010).
- [5] W. Lv, F. Krüger, and P. Phillips, Orbital ordering and unfrustrated ( $\pi$ ,0) magnetism from degenerate double exchange in the iron pnictides, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 045125 (2010).
- [6] W. Lv and P. Phillips, Orbitally and magnetically induced anisotropy in iron-based superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* 84, 174512 (2011).
- [7] X. Zhang and E. Dagotto, Anisotropy of the optical conductivity of a pnictide superconductor from the undoped three-orbital Hubbard model, *Phys. Rev. B* 84, 132505 (2011).
- [8] Z. Wang and A.H. Nevidomskyy, Orbital nematic order and interplay with magnetism in the two-orbital model for iron pnictides, *cond-mat/1408.1408*, unpublished (2014).
- [9] R.M. Fernandes, and A.J. Millis, Nematicity as a probe of superconducting pairing in ironbased superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 127001 (2013).
- [10] C. Fang, H. Yao, W.-F. Tsai, J.P. Hu, and S.A. Kivelson, Theory of electron nematic order in LaFeAsO, *Phys. Rev. B* 77, 224509 (2008).
- [11] C. Xu, M. Müller, and S. Sachdev, Ising and spin orders in the iron-based superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* 78, 020501(R) (2008).
- [12] R.M. Fernandes, A.V. Chubukov, J. Knolle, I. Eremin, and J. Schmalian, Preemptive nematic order, pseudogap, and orbital order in the iron pnictides, *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 024534 (2012) and Erratum, *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 109901 (2012).
- [13] R.M. Fernandes, A.V. Chubukov, and J. Schmalian, What drives nematic order in iron-based superconductors?, *Nature Physics* 10, 97 (2014).

- [14] E.W. Carlson and K.A. Dahmen, Using disorder to detect locally ordered electron nematics via hysteresis, *Nature Commun.* 2, 379 (2011).
- [15] J.-H. Chu, J.G. Analytis, K. De Greve, P.L. McMahon, Z. Islam, Y. Yamamoto, and I.R. Fisher, In-plane resistivity anisotropy in an underdoped iron arsenide superconductor, *Science* 329, 824 (2010).
- [16] J.-H. Chu, H.-H. Kuo, J.G. Analytis, and I.R. Fisher, Divergent nematic susceptibility in an iron arsenide superconductor, *Science* 337, 710 (2012).
- [17] A.F. Wang, J.J. Ying, X.G. Luo, Y.J. Yan, D.Y. Liu, Z.J. Xiang, P. Cheng, G.J. Ye, L.J. Zou,
  Z. Sun, and X.H. Chen, A crossover in the phase diagram of NaFe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>As determined by electronic transport measurements, New J. Phys. 15, 043048 (2013).
- [18] J.J. Ying, X.F. Wang, T. Wu, Z.J. Xiang, R.H. Liu, Y.J. Yan, A.F. Wang, M. Zhang, G.J. Ye, P. Cheng, J.P. Hu, and X.H. Chen, Measurements of the anisotropic in-plane resistivity of underdoped FeAs-based pnictide superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 067001 (2011).
- [19] E.C. Blomberg, M.A. Tanatar, R.M. Fernandes, I.I. Mazin, B. Shen, H.-H. Wen, M.D. Johannes, J. Schmalian, and R. Prozorov, Sign-reversal of the in-plane resistivity anisotropy in hole-doped iron pnictides, *Nature Commun.* 4, 1914 (2013).
- [20] H.-H. Kuo, M.C. Shapiro, S.C. Riggs, and I.R. Fisher, Measurement of the elastoresistivity coefficients of the underdoped iron-arsenide Ba(Fe<sub>0.975</sub>Co<sub>0.025</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 88, 085113 (2013).
- [21] S. Jiang, H.S. Jeevan, J. Dong, and P. Gegenwart, Thermopower as a sensitive probe of electronic nematicity in iron pnictides, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 067001 (2013).
- [22] R.M. Fernandes, L.H. VanBebber, S. Bhattacharya, P. Chandra, V. Keppens, D. Mandrus, M.A. McGuire, B.C. Sales, A.S. Sefat, and J. Schmalian, Effects of nematic fluctuations on the elastic properties of iron arsenide superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 157003 (2010).
- [23] A.E. Böhmer, P. Burger, F. Hardy, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, R. Fromknecht, M. Reinecker, W. Schranz, and C. Meingast, Nematic susceptibility of hole- and electron-doped BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> iron-based superconductors from shear modulus measurements, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 047001 (2014).
- [24] R.M. Fernandes, A.E. Böhmer, C. Meingast, and J. Schmalian, Scaling between magnetic and lattice fluctuations in iron pnictide superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 137001 (2013).
- [25] C. Dhital, Z. Yamani, W. Tian, J. Zeretsky, A.S. Sefat, Z. Wang, R.J. Birgeneau, and S.D.

Wilson, Effect of uniaxial strain on the structural and magnetic phase transitions in BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 087001 (2012).

- [26] L.W. Harriger, H.Q. Luo, M.S. Liu, C. Frost, J.P. Hu, M.R. Norman, and P. Dai, Nematic spin fluid in the tetragonal phase of BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 84, 054544 (2011).
- [27] Y. Song, S.V. Carr, X. Lu, C. Zhang, Z.C. Sims, N.F. Luttrell, S. Chi, Y. Zhao, J.W. Lynn, and P. Dai, Uniaxial pressure effect on structural and magnetic phase transitions in NaFeAs and its comparison with as-grown and annealed BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 87, 184511 (2013).
- [28] S. Avci, O. Chmaissem, J.M. Allred, S. Rosenkranz, I. Eremin, A.V. Chubukov, D.E. Bugaris, D.-Y. Chung, M.G. Kanatzidis, J.-P. Castellan, J.A. Schlueter, H. Claus, D.D. Khalyavin, P. Manuel, A. Daoud-Aladine, and R. Osborn, Magnetically driven suppression of nematic order in an iron-based superconductor, *Nature Commun.* 5, 3845 (2014).
- [29] X. Lu, J.T. Park, R. Zhang, H. Luo, A.H. Nevidomskyy, Q. Si, and P. Dai, Nematic spin correlations in the tetragonal state of uniaxial-strained BaFe<sub>2-x</sub>Ni<sub>x</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Science* **345**, 657 (2014).
- [30] M. Yi, D. Lu, J.-H. Chu, J.G. Analytis, A.P. Sorini, A.F. Kemper, B. Moritz, S.-K. Mo, R.G. Moore, M. Hashimoto, W.-S. Lee, Z. Hussain, T.P. Devereaux, I.R. Fisher, and Z.-X. Shen, Symmetry-breaking orbital anisotropy observed for detwinned Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> above the spin density wave transition, *PNAS* 108, 6878 (2011).
- [31] M. Yi, D.H. Lu, R.G. Moore, K. Kihou, C.-H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Yoshida, A. Fujimori, and Z.-X. Shen, Electronic reconstruction through the structural and magnetic transitions in detwinned NaFeAs, *New. J. Phys.* 14, 073019 (2012).
- [32] Q. Wang, Z. Sun, E. Rotenberg, F. Ronning, E.D. Bauer, H. Lin, R.S. Markiewicz, M. Lindroos, B. Barbiellini, A. Bansil, and D.S. Dessau, Uniaxial "nematic-like" electronic structure and Fermi surface of untwinned CaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, cond-mat/1009.0271, unpublished (2010).
- [33] T.-M. Chuang, M.P. Allan, J. Lee, Y. Xie, N. Ni, S.L. Bud'ko, G.S. Boebinger, P.C. Canfield, and J.C. Davis, Nematic electronic structure in the 'parent' state of the iron-based superconductor Ca(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Science* **327**, 181 (2010).
- [34] M.P. Allan, T.-M. Chuang, F. Massee, Y. Xie, N. Ni, S.L. Bud'ko, G.S. Boebinger, Q. Wang, D.S. Dessau, P.C. Canfield, M.S. Golden, and J.C. Davis, Anisotropic impurity states, quasi-particle scattering and nematic transport in underdoped Ca(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Nature Physics* 9, 220 (2013).

- [35] E.P. Rosenthal, E.F. Andrade, C.J. Arguello, R.M. Fernandes, L.Y. Xing, X.C. Wang, C.Q. Jin, A.J. Millis, and A.N. Pasupathy, Visualization of electron nematicity and unidirectional antiferroic fluctuations at high temperatures in NaFeAs, *Nature Physics* 10, 225 (2014).
- [36] S. Kasahara, H.J. Shi, K. Hashimoto, S. Tonegawa, Y. Mizukami, T. Shibauchi, K. Sugimoto,
  T. Fukuda, T. Terashima, A.H. Nevidomskyy, and Y. Matsuda, Electronic nematicity above the structural and superconducting transition in BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1-x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, *Nature* 486, 382 (2012).
- [37] L. Stojchevska, T. Mertelj, J.-H. Chu, I.R. Fisher, and D. Mihailovic, Doping dependence of femtosecond quasiparticle relaxation dynamics in Ba(Fe,Co)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystals: Evidence for normal-state nematic fluctuations, *Phys. Rev. B* 86, 024519 (2012).
- [38] A. Patz, T. Li, S. Ran, R.M. Fernandes, J. Schmalian, S.L. Bud'ko, P.C. Canfield, I.E. Perakis, and J.Wang, Ultrafast observation of critical nematic fluctuations and giant magnetoelastic coupling in iron pnictides, *Nature Commun.* 5, 3229 (2014).
- [39] A. Dusza, A. Lucarelli, F. Pfuner, J.-H. Chu, I.R. Fisher, and L. Degiorgi, Anisotropic charge dynamics in detwinned Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Europhys. Lett* **93**, 37002 (2011).
- [40] A. Dusza, A. Lucarelli, A. Sanna, S. Massidda, J.-H. Chu, I.R. Fisher, and L. Degiorgi, Anisotropic in-plane optical conductivity in detwinned  $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2$ , New. J. Phys. 14, 023020 (2012).
- [41] M. Nakajima, T. Liang, S. Ishida, Y. Tomioka, K. Kihou, C.H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Kakeshita, T. Ito, and S. Uchida, Unprecedented anisotropic metallic state in undoped iron arsenide BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> revealed by optical spectroscopy, *PNAS* 108, 12238 (2011).
- [42] M. Nakajima, S. Ishida, Y. Tomioka, K. Kihou, C.H. Lee, A. Iyo, T. Ito, T. Kakeshita, H. Eisaki, and S. Uchida, Effect of Co doping on the in-plane anisotropy in the optical spectrum of underdoped Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 217003 (2012).
- [43] Y. Gallais, R.M. Fernandes, I. Paul, L. Chauvière, Y.-X. Yang, M.-A. Méasson, M. Cazayous, A. Sacuto, D. Colson, and A. Forget, Observation of incipient charge nematicity in Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 267001 (2013).
- [44] J.P.C. Ruff, J.-H. Chu, H.-H. Kuo, R.K. Das, H. Nojiri, I.R. Fisher, and Z. Islam, Susceptibility anisotropy in an iron arsenide superconductor revealed by X-ray diffraction in pulsed magnetic fields, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 027004 (2012).
- [45] C. Mirri, A. Dusza, S. Bastelberger, J.-H. Chu, H.-H. Kuo, I.R. Fisher, and L. Degiorgi, Hysteretic behavior in the optical response of the underdoped Fe-arsenide  $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2$  in

the electronic nematic phase, *Phys. Rev. B*  $\mathbf{89}$ , 060501(R) (2014), and Supplemental Material therein.

- [46] The pressure device (Fig. 1) [45] is superior to the piezo technique [16]. The latter performs well at high temperatures, allowing a realistic investigation of the nematic susceptibility (at  $T \ge T_s$ ), but less so at low temperatures due to the limited dynamic range of the piezo itself.
- [47] M. Dressel and G. Grüner, *Electrodynamics of Solids*, Cambridge University Press (2002).
- [48] A. Lucarelli, A. Dusza, F. Pfuner, P. Lerch, J.G. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, I.R. Fisher, and L. Degiorgi, Charge dynamics of Co-doped BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, New. J. Phys. 12, 073036 (2010).
- [49] A. Sanna, F. Bernardini, G. Profeta, S. Sharma, J.K. Dewhurst, A. Lucarelli, L. Degiorgi, E.K.U. Gross, and S. Massidda, Theoretical investigation of optical conductivity in Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 83, 054502 (2011).
- [50] Z.P. Yin, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, Magnetism and charge dynamics in iron pnictides, *Nature Physics* 7, 294 (2011).
- [51] K. Sugimoto, E. Kaneshita, and T. Tohyama, Origin of in-plane anisotropy in optical conductivity for antiferromagnetic metallic phase of iron pnictides, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 033706 (2011).
- [52] At  $T \ll T_s$ , the optical anisotropy for all Co-dopings tends to saturate for applied pressures of He-gas between 0.8 and 1 bar (Fig. 3(a-e)); i.e., approximately 15 MPa as pressure effectively felt by the sample, which corresponds to pressure-values of about a factor 10 smaller than predicted by M. Tomić, H.O. Jeschke, R.M. Fernandes, and R. Valentí, In-plane uniaxial stress effects on the structural and electronic properties of BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and CaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 87, 174503 (2013).
- [53] R. Prozorov, M.A. Tanatar, N. Ni, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, S.L. Bud'ko, A.I. Goldman, and P.C. Canfield, Intrinsic pinning on structural domains in underdoped single crystals of Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 80, 174517 (2009).
- [54] H.-H. Kuo, J.-H. Chu, S.C. Riggs, L. Yu, P.L. McMahon, K. De Greve, Y. Yamamoto, J.G. Analytis, and I.R. Fisher, Possible origin of the nonmonotonic doping dependence of the inplane resistivity anisotropy of  $Ba(Fe_{1-x}T_x)_2As_2$  (T = Co, Ni and Cu), *Phys. Rev. B* 84, 054540 (2011).
- [55] The broadening of  $\Delta R_{ratio}$  was found to get enhanced the larger is the applied pressure (see Fig. 4 in Ref. [45]), so that its temperature dependence at saturation (i.e.,  $p \ge 0.8$  bar,

depending from the Co-doping) looks quite similar to the behavior of the dc anisotropy for temperatures above  $T_s$  in equivalent experimental conditions [15, 45].

- [56] S. Ishida, M. Nakajima, T. Liang, K. Kihou, C.H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Kakeshita, Y. Tomioka, T. Ito, and S. Uchida, Anisotropy of the in-plane resistivity of underdoped Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> superconductors induced by impurity scattering in the antiferromagnetic orthorhombic phase, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 207001 (2013).
- [57] H.-H. Kuo and I. R. Fisher, Effect of disorder on the resistivity anisotropy near the electronic nematic phase transition in pure and electron-doped BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 227001 (2014).