

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Kondo scattering in δ-doped LaTiO_{3}/SrTiO_{3} interfaces: Renormalization by spin-orbit interactions

Shubhankar Das, A. Rastogi, Lijun Wu, Jin-Cheng Zheng, Z. Hossain, Yimei Zhu, and R. C. Budhani

Phys. Rev. B **90**, 081107 — Published 13 August 2014 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.081107

Enhanced spin-orbit interaction and Kondo scattering in δ -doped LaTiO₃/SrTiO₃ interfaces

Shubhankar Das¹, A. Rastogi¹, Lijun Wu², Jin-Cheng Zheng³, Z. Hossain¹, Yimei Zhu² and R. C. Budhani^{1,4,*}

¹Condensed Matter - Low Dimensional Systems Laboratory,

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India

²Condensed Matter Physics and Material Science department,

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

³Department of Physics and Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Theoretical

and Computational Chemistry, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

⁴National Physical Laboratory, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi - 110012, India

We present a study of delta (δ) doping at LaTiO₃/SrTiO₃ (LTO/STO) interface with isostructural antiferromagnetic perovskite LaCrO₃ (LCO) that dramatically alters the properties of the two dimensional electron gas (2-DEG) at the interface. The effects include a reduction in sheet-carrier density, prominence of the low temperature resistivity minimum, enhancement of weak antilocalization below 10 K and observation of a strong anisotropic magnetoresistance (MR). The positive and negative MR for out-of-plane and in-plane field respectively and the field and temperature dependencies of MR suggest Kondo scattering by localized Ti³⁺ moments renormalized by spin-orbit interaction at T < 10 K, with the increased δ -layer thickness. Electron energy loss spectroscopy and density functional calculations provide convincing evidence for blocking of electron transfer from LTO to STO by the δ -layer.

The phenomenon of the formation of a 2-dimensional electron gas (2-DEG) at the interface of epitaxially grown $LaTiO_3$ (LTO) or $LaAlO_3$ (LAO) on TiO_2 terminated $SrTiO_3$ (STO)¹⁻³ has attracted much attention in recent years^{4–9}. It is generally agreed that the gas is formed by transfer of electrons from the polar layer of LAO or LTO to the top TiO_2 layer of STO. Since the carrier concentrations (n_{\Box}) are large (~ 3 × 10¹⁴/cm²), and some of the Ti⁴⁺ ions at the interface may also get converted to Ti³⁺ with $S = \frac{1}{2}$ localized spin, the electron dynamics is likely to be controlled by weak electron-electron (e-e) scattering and magnetic scattering, in addition to the effects of weak static disorder. Moreover, as the interface breaks inversion symmetry, there is a possibility of Rashba spin-orbit scattering¹⁰ emanating from the interface electric field. Some of these issues have been addressed by measuring the magnetoresistance (MR) of 2-DEG formed at LAO/STO $^{11-13}$ and electrolyte gated STO¹⁴. However, no consensus has emerged on the origin of a strong positive MR observed when the external magnetic field is perpendicular to the plane of the film (H_{\perp}) , a change in the sign of the MR when the field is brought in the plane (H_{\parallel}) , a characteristic minimum in R(T) below ~ 100 K followed by a ln T behavior, and finally, saturation of R(T) at still lower temperatures.

In order to address the mechanism of 2-DEG formation at LTO/STO interface, and to identify the dominant scattering processes that control the nature of MR in this system, we have used a novel approach of δ -doping of the interface. The doped structure consists of LTO(m unit cell(uc))/LCO(δ uc)/TiO₂ terminated STO. The LCO/STO alone does not form a 2D gas. The LCO film remains an antiferromagnetic insulator with Cr site spin of 3/2 and $T_N = 298$ K. This is interesting in itself because Cr follows vanadium in the 3d transition series and LaVO₃/SrTiO₃ interface is conducting¹⁵. However,

when LCO is inserted as a δ -layer, the 2-DEG nature of LTO/STO is retained for smaller values of δ (< 3), but with the increasing δ , a significant blocking of carriers by LCO drives the interface insulating. The temperature, magnetic field and angular dependence of MR in $\delta = 0$ indicates a dominant Kondo-type s-d scattering for H_{||} field. However, the characteristics negative MR of Kondo is superceded by positive MR resulting presumably from the enhanced forward scattering of diffusive electrons by the S-O interaction in the T ≤ 10 K regime. For H_⊥, the classical positive MR quadratic in field is seen at T > 10 K. It is interesting to note that the Rashba coupling at the interface of LTO/STO can be modulated by insertion of LCO layers.

The films are deposited using pulsed laser ablation on STO, as described in our earlier works 3,16 . We have deposited three sets of films. In the first set 0, 0.5, 3, 5 and 10 uc of LCO was grown first on STO followed by 20 uc thick LTO film. In the second set the δ is 5 uc and the LTO was varied from 4 to 24 uc. In the last set, the LTO is 16 uc while LCO is reduced from 5 to 0 uc in steps of 1 uc. The atomic and chemical states of the interface have been studied using X-ray reflectivity and cross sectional scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) in conjunction with electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS). In addition, Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations have been performed to analyze charge density profile of the interface. Electron transport measurements have been performed in a 14 Tesla (\mathcal{T}) system (Quantum Design PPMS) fitted with a sample rotator which allowed measurement of angular MR.

Fig. 1 shows a sketch of various atomic planes of the heterostructure along with high angle angular dark field (HAADF) images taken from STEM. The atomically sharp interfaces and uniformly distributed 3 uc LCO between LTO and STO is clearly seen with bright back-



FIG. 1: (a) Oxides planes along [001] direction of the δ -doped interface. (b) DFT Charge transfer in $(\text{LTO})_3(\text{LCO})_\delta(\text{STO})_3$. The positive (negative) value means the gain (loss) of charge. The left, middle and right regions are 3 uc of LTO, δ -uc of LCO and 3 uc of STO, respectively. This figure also shows Cr L₃/L₂ intensity ratio across the interface (brown inverted triangles). (c),(d) HAADF image showing interfaces between LTO, LCO and STO with 3 uc LCO (bright atom columns). An intensity line-profile (yellow) from the column marked by the blue dark line is included in (d). (e) EELS spectrum image from vertical scan line in (c), showing Ti L_{2,3}, O K and Cr L_{2,3} edges at $\approx 460 \text{ eV}$, 530 eV and 580 eV respectively. (f) a series of Ti L_{2,3} edges (black circles) across two interfaces from the spectrum image (e) acquired from the line scan partially shown in (c) (see text for details).

ground contrast due to the high atomic number Z in the LCO unit-cell. The higher peak intensity marked by the red arrows in Fig. 1(d) than the average Sr peak in STO indicates diffusion of La/Cr into STO, limited to 1 to 2 uc. A 2D elemental map based on EELS spectrum image shown in Fig. $S1^{17}$ also confirms the coherent and atomic sharp interfaces. An EELS image with the Ti $L_{2,3}$, O K and Cr $L_{2,3}$ edges from the vertical scan line in (c) but extended into STO is depicted in Fig. 1(e). The EELS spectra (open dots) as a function of atomic position (Fig. 1(c)) are plotted in Fig. 1(f). The overlaid red lines are results from the multiple linear least square fitting, the spectrum with weighted linear combination of Ti^{3+} and Ti⁴⁺ reference spectra. Four distinct peaks representing e_g and t_{2g} electron orbital of Ti - L₂ and L₃ energy level are clearly visible on the STO side and they became broader with peak separation of e_q and t_{2q} less pronounced at the interface and into the LTO side, indicating an increase of the Ti³⁺ state. Composition mapping revealed a constant distribution of oxygen across the region and complementary increase and decrease in Cr and Ti, respectively, in the LCO layer with a 1-2 uc diffusion length¹⁷. Since it is known that the Cr²⁺ containing compounds have higher L_3/L_2 Cr-absorption edge intensity ratio compared to the Cr^{3+} containing compounds^{18,19}, we have analyzed the L₃ and L₂ intensities for $\delta = 1, 2$ and 3 uc samples (see Fig S7 of supplementary section). Our analysis indicates that L_3/L_2 changes from 1.84 to

1.77 on moving from LTO/LCO interface to LCO/STO interface in the $\delta = 3$ uc sample. This result suggests that the δ -layer gains electrons from the LTO layer. The percentage of Ti³⁺ over the sum of Ti³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ across the interface suggests a significant charge transfer from LTO to STO near the interface. To confirm these findings, we conducted DFT calculations by constructing a supercell with 3 uc LTO on the left, δ uc LCO in the middle and 3 uc STO on the right¹⁷. The calculations show significant charge transfer from LTO to STO (Fig. 1(b)), which reduces with the increase of δ . Interestingly, Cr in LCO also receives electrons, confirming its reduced valence state as suggested by EELS measurements.



FIG. 2: (a) Temperature dependence of R_{\Box} of LTO(20 uc)/LCO(δ uc)/STO heterostructure. Inset shows the variation of R_{\Box} and n_{\Box} with doping in LTO(16 uc)/LCO(δ uc)/STO. (b) $R_{\Box}(T)/R_{\Box}(2K)$ of $\delta = 0$, 0.5 and 5 uc. The solid line in $\delta = 5$ uc curve is the ln T fit.

Fig. 2(a) shows the sheet resistance (R_{\Box}) as a function of temperature (T) for LTO(20 uc)/LCO(δ uc)/STO samples of $\delta = 0$ and 10. We see a metallic behavior upon lowering the T from 300 K. On cooling below ≈ 20 K. a resistance minimum followed by a slight upturn and then saturation of R_{\Box} at $T \leq 7$ K is seen for the $\delta = 0$. As the δ -layer becomes thicker, minimum (T_m) shifts towards higher temperature and the upturn becomes more prominent. This trend of R_{\Box} has been seen in all samples of $\delta = 0.5, 3, 5$ and 10 uc. Inset of Fig. 2(a) shows R_{\Box} and n_{\Box} at 300 K as a function of δ -layer thickness. While R_{\Box} increases progressively, the n_{\Box} drops with the increase in δ layers. For $\delta = 0$, the n_{\Box} at 300 K is $\approx 3 \times$ 10^{14} cm^{-2} , which is very close to the areal charge density $(3.2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ expected if half an electron per unit cell is transferred to STO surface from the LTO layers to suppress the polarization catastrophe. The insertion of a few unit cells of LCO leads to a dramatic decrease in n_{\Box} , in fact by a factor of 50 and 280 for $\delta = 3$ and δ = 5 uc respectively at 300 K. These observations are consistent with STEM results, which suggest conversion of Cr^{3+} to Cr^{2+} in the LCO layers, and the results of the DFT calculations.

Fig. 2(b) is a plot of the $R_{\Box}(T)/R_{\Box}(2 \text{ K})$ of $\delta = 0, 0.5$ and 5 uc to emphasize the minimum in $R_{\Box}(T)$ at T_m . Below T_m the resistance follows a ln T dependence, but this divergence is cutoff on further lowering the temperature. This saturating tendency of R_{\Box} is prominent in the $\delta = 0$. The simplest interpretation for the ln T rise can be given in terms of weak localization (WL) in 2D where a constructive interference between partial waves of diffusive electrons can lead to enhance backscattering and hence an increase in resistance, which continues to grow at lower temperatures as the dephasing inelastic scattering is reduced due to phonon freeze $out^{20,21}$. Since weak localization is an orbital effect, it has a distinct dependence on the angle between the H and the plane of the film. H_{\perp} quenches quantum backscattering because of the Aharonov-Bohm phase acquired by the partial waves. A similar dependence of R_{\Box} in zero field also results from e-e interaction in $2D^{22,23}$. The distinction between the two can be made by measuring the MR, which in the latter case is positive and mostly isotropic. However, before we dwell upon the MR data, a key observation of Fig. 2(b) is the truncation of the divergence of R_{\Box} at $T \ll T_m$. Such an effect can arise due to a phenomenon closely associative with WL in the presence of the S-O interaction. The dephasing of the spin degree of freedom by S-O in diffusive trajectories can suppress the quantum backscattering and thereby truncate the ln T growth of R_{\Box} at low temperatures. This weak antilocalization (WAL)²⁰ becomes prominent at $T \ll T_m$ as the S-O gains strength at lower temperatures.

Here it is pertinent to introduce one more scattering phenomenon which can lead to a minimum followed by saturation of R_{\Box} in disordered metallic films. This is the Kondo scattering of conduction electrons of spin S'_e by localized magnetic impurity in the system of spin S_i . The interaction between the two moments is given by the hamiltonian, $H_{ex} = J.\overline{S_i}.\overline{S_e}$ where J is positive and hence a stable configuration demands anti parallel arrangement of $\overrightarrow{S_i}$ and $\overrightarrow{S_e}$. The Kondo interaction leads to a resistivity $\Delta \rho_k = -B \ln T$, here B is a positive constant and a function of J, $N(E_F)$ (the density of states at Fermi level) and other properties of the electron gas. However, $\Delta \rho_k$ cannot increase without a bound²⁴. Eventually, the divergence of $\Delta \rho_k$ is cutoff and it becomes constant below a temperature of the order of Kondo temperature, $T_K = T_F \exp(-\frac{1}{JN})$. This unitary limit is however not reached in metal films^{25–27}. A H-field suppresses Kondo scattering thereby leading to a negative isotropic MR. Recently, Kondo mechanism has been proposed for $R_{\Box}(T, H)$ of a 2-DEG formed on the surface of STO by electrostatic gating¹⁴. It has been argued that highly localized $3d^1$ electrons of some Ti^{3+} ions (spin 1/2) are the source of Kondo scattering. The idea of magnetic scattering is supported by the recent observation of ferromagnetism at LAO/STO interface⁷.

In Fig. 3 we show $R_{\Box}(T)$ at different H_{\perp} for $\delta = 0, 0.5, 3$ and 5 uc. The H_{\perp} shifts resistivity minimum to higher T (see insets) and a dramatic positive MR is evident which is inconsistent with the WL but agrees broadly with the e-e scattering scenario. In the latter case the magnetoconductance goes as $\sim -\frac{e^2}{\hbar}\frac{\dot{F}_{\pi}}{4\pi^2}(0.084) \times$



FIG. 3: (a-d) $R_{\Box}(T)$ of LTO(20 uc)/LCO(δ uc)/STO films as a function of ln T for different H_{\perp} . Inset shows δT_m vs H_{\perp} plot; where $\delta T_m = T_m(H) - T_m(0)$. All the samples show positive MR down to 2 K.

 $\left(\frac{g\mu_BH}{k_BT}\right)^2$ for $\frac{g\mu_BH}{k_BT} \ll 1$, where \tilde{F}_{σ} has the upper bound of 4/3. Clearly, a positive MR is expected which goes as H². At high field a ln(H) dependence of MR has been predicted.

We probe the MR further as a function of H-field. A Positive ($\approx 14\%$) out-of-plane MR (MR_⊥) for $\delta = 0$ uc is observed at 2 K and 10 \mathcal{T} (fig. 4(a)). The MR_⊥ has a H² dependence, which, at first glance can be attributed to the e-e scattering. The upper bound for H to see H² dependance at 4.2 K is $\approx 3.16 \mathcal{T}$ and the slope of MR vs H² curve is $\approx 0.714 \times 10^{-7} / \mathcal{T}^2$ (calculated from the e-e scattering theory). However, the measured slope for $\delta = 0$ is $1.69 \times 10^{-3} / \mathcal{T}^2$, which suggests that the e-e interaction alone is not responsible for the large MR_⊥. A sizable contribution to MR_⊥ can also come from the classical defect scattering²⁸ that follows the Kohler's rule: $\frac{\Delta R}{R_0} \propto a[\frac{H}{R_0}]^2$. The inset of Fig. 4(a) shows Kohler's plot for the $\delta = 0$. From these MR_⊥ data the mobility of carriers at 2 K and 100 K comes out to be 403 and 86 cm²-V⁻¹-S⁻¹ respectively.

Fig. 4(b) and 4(c) show that the MR_{\perp} at 2 K and 10 \mathcal{T} for $\delta = 0.5$ and 3 uc decreases to 9% and 4% respectively. At lower fields it also deviates from H² and a cusp appear near H = 0. This indicating the presence of an additional scattering mechanism that becomes operational below \approx 10 K. We separate out the contribution of this process by extrapolating the H² dependence seen at H $\geq 6 \mathcal{T}$ to lower fields and then subtracting the extrapolated value from the measured R_{\square}(H) (inset of Fig. 4(c) for $\delta = 0.5$ and 3 uc). We attribute this distinct contribution to MR_{\perp} at T \leq 10 K to S-O scattering, which in the 2D limit for H_{\perp} can be expressed as^{21,22,29,30};

$$\frac{\Delta R_{\Box}(H)}{[R_{\Box}(0)]^2} = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi^2\hbar} \left[\Psi(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{H_{\varphi}}{H}) - \ln\frac{H_{\varphi}}{H}\right]$$
(1)



FIG. 4: (a-c) MR_{\perp} of $\delta = 0$, 0.5 and 3 uc respectively. Inset of (a) shows Kohler plot for $\delta = 0$ uc while inset of (c) reveals the WAL effect after subtracting high field H^2 data. The solid curves in the inset of (c) are the fit to the Eq. (1). (d-f) show MR_{\parallel} for the same set of samples. A negative MR_{\parallel} for all three temperatures is seen for $\delta = 0$ but the $\delta = 0.5$ and 3 uc show positive MR_{\parallel} at lower field at 2 K and a crossover from positive to negative MR at higher field. In Fig. (e, f) the black solid line for 10 K MR_{\parallel} is the fit using Kondo model (Eq. (2)) and at 2 K it's fitted using Kondo + WAL in the range -5 $\mathcal{T} \leq \mathrm{H} \leq 5 \mathcal{T}$.

Where $\Delta R_{\Box}(H) = R_{\Box}(H) - R_{\Box}(0)$, $\Psi(x)$ is the digamma function and $H_{\varphi} = \hbar/(4eL_{\varphi}^2)$. The length $L_{\varphi} = \sqrt{D\tau_{\varphi}}$ where D and τ_{φ} are diffusion constant and phase coherence time respectively. Inset of Fig. 4(c) shows the fits of Eq. (1) to MR_{\perp} of $\delta = 0.5$ and 3 uc. This yields L_{φ} of ≈ 33 nm and 46 nm for $\delta = 0.5$ and 3 uc respectively. These numbers are reasonable considering the fact that the scattering is taking place in the plane of the film where L_{φ} has no dimensional constraints.

Fig. 4(d-f) shows MR_{\parallel} of $\delta = 0$, 0.5 and 3 uc films. Interestingly, for $\delta = 0$ we have a negative MR_{\parallel} at T < 50 K. The suppression of classical positive MR can be understood as the thickness of the 2-DEG is within one carrier mean free path. This MR anisotropy also supports the 2D nature of the metallic state in these interfaces.

Interesting MR_{||} is seen in Fig. 4(e) and 4(f) for $\delta = 0.5$ and 3 uc respectively at 2 K. Here, the data can be divided in two regions, a positively sloped MR at lower field and a negatively sloped MR at the higher field, resulting in a local MR maximum seen at 3.6 and 3.2 \mathcal{T} for $\delta = 0.5$ and 3 uc respectively. In our samples this maxima is observed at a much higher field than in 2D

metal films of Bi and Au where the crossover field is ~ 0.1 and 2.5 \mathcal{T} respectively^{11,31,32}. This in-plane positive MR_{||} diminishes above ~5 K.

The negative MR_{\parallel} supports the Kondo mechanism. To establish in further, we fit the MR_{\parallel} of $\delta = 0, 0.5$ and 3 uc at 10 K to a simple Kondo model¹⁴

$$R^{model}\left(H_{\parallel}\right) = R_0 + R_K\left(H_{\parallel}/H_1\right) \tag{2}$$

where R_0 is the residual resistance, $R_K(H_{\parallel}/H_1)$ is a function for zero temperature MR of Kondo impurity, which is related to magnetization and can be calculated using Bethe-ansatz technique¹⁷, and H_1 is a H-field scale related to T_K and g-factor of impurity spin³³. The MR_{$\parallel}$ at 2 K of $\delta = 0$ uc also fits to the Kondo model (Eq. 2). We note that the negative MR_{$\parallel}$ at 10 \mathcal{T} (Fig. 4(e, f)) increases with δ -layer thickness and thus bears an inverse relation with n_{\Box} (see Fig. 2(a)). In Kondo theory $R_K(T=0, H=0) \propto n_{\Box}^{-1}N(E_F)^{-134}$. The data shown in Fig. 4(e, f) are consistent with this picture.</sub></sub>

The positive MR_{||} at 2 K in $\delta \neq 0$ at fields below a critical value appears to be the contribution of the WAL. To fit the 2 K data we add the WAL and Kondo terms (Eq. (1) and (2)). As the WAL effect is insignificant at higher fields, we fit the 2 K data in the range -5 $\mathcal{T} \leq H \leq 5 \mathcal{T}$. The black line in Fig. 4(e) and (f) for the 2 K data is this fit¹⁷. The quality of fit strongly suggests that the WAL effect rides over the Kondo scattering at T < 10 K.

The MR of the $\delta = 0$, 0.5 and 3 uc for different orientation (θ) of the H with respect to sample normal has been measured (see fig. S6 of supplementary). As we tilt the H towards sample plane, a crossover from positive MR to negative MR is observed. This change of sign at 10 \mathcal{T} happens at 80⁰, 70⁰ and 50⁰ for $\delta = 0$, 0.5 and 3 uc respectively. The angular variation of R_{\Box} is of the type $R(\theta, T) = R(T) \cos^2(\theta) + R_0(T)$, where R(T = 2 K) = 33, 36, 44 Ω and R₀(T = 2 K) = 233, 466, 906 Ω for $\delta =$ 0, 0.5, 3 uc respectively.

In summary, We have established a strong suppression of n_{\Box} in 2-DEG at LTO/STO interface by inserting δ -thick layer of an iso-structural perovskite LCO. Our spectroscopic measurements suggest that Cr ions at the interface act as traps and absorb electron donated by the LTO. The saturation tendency of resistance at $T \leq 10$ K and the ln T dependence between 10 K and T_m are consistent with the Kondo scattering of electrons by localized spins. The origin of the latter can be attributed to electrons in Ti d¹ configuration which are presumably in Ti_{xy} orbitals forming heavy polarons with spin S = 1/2, while the conduction takes place in extended band of $\text{Ti}_{yz/zx}$ motif^{14,35–37}. Such Ti^{3+} site will presumably have zero spin due to complete delocalization of 3d¹ electron. We also argue that the interfacial Cr^{3+} ions (S = 3/2) may also contribute to s-d scattering. However, as most of the Cr^{3+} spins are antiferromagnetically ordered, such a contribution may come only from the disordered spins located at the LaCrO₃-SrTiO3 interface. Our STEM results shown in Fig. 1(d) do indicate some diffusion of La/Cr into STO. Further, if some of the Cr^{3+} ions

are converted into Cr^{2+} as indicated by our EELS measurements and also suggested by the depletion of 2DEG carrier density on δ -doping, the site spin of Cr^{3+} would deviate from S= 3/2 and affect the antiferromagnetic arrangement. The emergence of a cusp in the positive MR for H_{\perp} in δ -doped samples at T < 10 K is in agreement with the prediction of 2D-WAL theory as evidence by the large value of L_{φ} . The 2D-WAL also couples with Kondo MR response of the sample at T < 10 K and $H_{\parallel} \leq 3 \mathcal{T}$. An important finding of this work is the enhanced S-O interaction in the presence of δ -layer. In the Rashba scenario, it needs to be seen how the δ -layer enhances the

- * Electronic address: rcb@iitk.ac.in,rcb@nplindia.org
- ¹ A. Ohtomo, D. A. Muller, J. L. Grazul and H. Y. Hwang, Nature (London) **419**, 378 (2002).
- ² A. Ohtomo, and H. Y. Hwang, Nature (London) **427**, 423 (2004).
- ³ A. Rastogi, A. K. Kushwaha, T. Shiyani, A. Gangawar and R. C. Budhani, Adv. Mater. **22**, 4448 (2010).
- ⁴ W. Siemons, G. Koster, H. Yamamoto, W. A. Harrison, G. Lucovsky, T. H. Geballe, D. H. A. Blank and M. R. Beasley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 196802 (2007).
- ⁵ D. A. Dikin, M. Mehta, C. W. Bark, C. M. Folkman, C. B. Eom and V. Chandrasekhar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 056802 (2011).
- ⁶ L. Li, C. Richter, J. Mannhart and R. C. Ashoori, Nat. Phys. 7, 762 (2011).
- ⁷ A. Brinkman, M. Huijben, M. V. Zalk, J. Huijben, U. Zeitler, J. C. Maan, W. G. V. D. Wiel, G. Rijnders, D. H. A. Blank and H. Hilgenkamp, Nat. Mater. 6, 493 (2007).
- ⁸ G. Herranz, M. Basletic, M. Bibes, C. Carretero, E. Tafra, E. Jacquet, K. Bouzehouane, C. Deranlot, A. Hamzic, J. M. Broto, A. Barthelemy and A. Fert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 216803 (2007).
- ⁹ J. Biscaras, N. Bergeal, A. Kushwaha, T. Wolf, A. Rastogi, R. C. Budhani and J. Lesueur, Nat. Commun. 1, 89 (2010).
- ¹⁰ A. D. Caviglia, M. Gabay, S. Gariglio, N. Reyren, C. Cancellieri and J. M. Triscone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 126803 (2010).
- ¹¹ F. J. Wong, R. V. Chopdekar and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. B 82, 165413 (2010).
- ¹² X. Wang, W. M. Lu, A. Annadi, Z. Q. Liu, K. Gopinadhan, S. Dhar, T. Venkatesan and Ariando, Phys. Rev. B 84, 075312 (2011).
- ¹³ A. Joshua, J. Ruhman, S. Pecker, E. Altman and S. Ilani, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **110**, 9633 (2013).
- ¹⁴ M. Lee, J. R. Williams, S. Zhang, C. D. Frisbie and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 256601 (2011).
- ¹⁵ Y. Hotta, T. Susaki and H. Y. Hwang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 236805 (2007).
- ¹⁶ A. Rastogi, J. J. Pulikkotil, S. Auluck, Z. Hossain and R. C. Budhani, Phys. Rev. B 86, 075127 (2012).
- ¹⁷ See supplementary materials for details about the characterisation and analysis of the data.

local electric field at the interface.

We thank P. C. Joshi for technical support. RCB acknowledges J. C. Bose National Fellowship of the Department of Science and technology, Government of India. SD and AR thank IIT Kanpur and CSIR for financial support. This research has been funded by the CSIR-India and IIT Kanpur. Work at BNL was supported by U. S. Department of Energy, office of Basic Energy Science, under contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886. JCZ acknowledge the support of NSF of China (grand no. U1232110).

- ¹⁸ T. L. Daulton and B. J. Little, Ultramicroscopy **106**, 561 (2006).
- ¹⁹ R. Colby, L. Qiao, K. H. L. Zhang, V. Shutthanandan, J. Ciston, B. Kabius and S. A. Chambers, Phys. Rev. B 88, 155325 (2013).
- ²⁰ G. Bergmann, Physics Reports **107**, 1 (1984).
- ²¹ P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 287 (1985).
- ²² S. P. Chiu and J. J. Lin, Phys. Rev. B 87, 035122 (2013).
- ²³ M. Liu, Cui-Zu Chang, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, W. Ruan, K. He, Li-li Wang, X. Chen, Jin-Feng Jia, Shou-Cheng Zhang, Qi-Kun Xue, X. Ma and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 83, 165440 (2011).
- ²⁴ J. Kondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. **32**, 37-49 (1964).
- ²⁵ V. Chandrasekhar, P. Santhanam, N. A. Penebre, R. A. Webb, H. Vloeberghs, C. V. Haesendonck and Y. Bruynseraede, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2053 (1994).
- ²⁶ N. Giordano, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 2487 (1996).
- ²⁷ T. Gang, M. Deniz Yilmaz, D. Atac, S. K. Bose, E. Strambini, A. H. Velders, M. P. de Jong, J. Huskens and W. G. van der Wiel, Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 232 (2012).
- O. N. Tufte and E. L. Stelzer, Phys. Rev. **173**, 775 (1968).
 S. Hikami, A. I. Larkin, and Y. Nagaoka, Prog. Theor.
- Phys. 63, 707 (1980).
 ³⁰ B. Grbic, R. Leturcq, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, D. Reuter and A. D. Wieck, Phys. Rev. B 77, 125312 (2008).
- ³¹ Y. F. Komnik, V. V. Andrievskii and I. B. Berkutov, Low Temp. Phys. **33**, 79 (2007).
- ³² T. Kawaguti and Y. Fujimori, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **52**, 722 (1983).
- ³³ N. Andrei, K. Furuya and J. Lowenstein, Rev. Mod. Phys.
 55, 331 (1983).
- ³⁴ T. A. Costi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1504 (2000).
- ³⁵ M. Salluzzo, J. C. Cezar, N. B. Brookes, V. Bisogni, G. M. De Luca, C. Richter, S. Thiel, J. Mannhart, M. Huijben, A. Brinkman, G. Rijnders and G. Ghiringhelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 166804 (2009).
- ³⁶ Y. Kim, R. M. Lutchyn and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. B 87, 245121 (2013).
- ³⁷ B. R. K. Nanda and S. Satpathy, Phys. Rev. B 83, 195114 (2011).