

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# Semiconducting ferroelectric perovskites with intermediate bands via B-site $Bi^{5+}$ doping

Lai Jiang, Ilya Grinberg, Fenggong Wang, Steve M. Young, Peter K. Davies, and Andrew M.

Rappe

Phys. Rev. B **90**, 075153 — Published 29 August 2014 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.075153

# Semiconducting ferroelectric perovskites with intermediate bands via B-site Bi<sup>5+</sup> doping

Lai Jiang,<sup>1</sup> Ilya Grinberg,<sup>1</sup> Fenggong Wang,<sup>1</sup> Steve M. Young,<sup>1</sup> Peter K. Davies,<sup>2</sup> and Andrew M. Rappe<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Makineni Theoretical Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104–6323 <sup>2</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering,

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104–6272

## Abstract

We propose *B*-site Bi<sup>5+</sup>-doped ferroelectric perovskite materials as suitable candidates for the bulk photovoltaic effect and related solar applications. The low-lying 6s empty states of the electronegative Bi atom produce empty bands in the energy gap of the parent materials, effectively lowering the band gap by 1–2 eV, depending on the composition of the ferroelectric end member and the concentration of Bi<sup>5+</sup> in the solid solution. The polarization decreases but survives upon doping, which enables the "shift current" mechanism for photocurrent generation, while the decreased band gap allows absorption of much of the visible spectrum. The magnitude of shift current response is calculated for 0.75Pb<sub>2</sub>InNbO<sub>6</sub>-0.25Ba<sub>2</sub>InBiO<sub>6</sub> (PIN-BIB) and 0.75Pb<sub>2</sub>ScNbO<sub>6</sub>-0.25Sr<sub>2</sub>ScBiO<sub>6</sub> (PSN-SSB) and is predicted to exceed the visible light bulk photovoltaic response of all previously reported materials, including BiFeO<sub>3</sub>. Furthermore, the existence of their intermediate bands and multiple band gaps, combined with Fermi level tuning by A-site co-doping, also allows for their potential application in traditional p - n junction-based solar cells as broadspectrum photoabsorbers.

3

4

5

6

7

8

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$ rappe@sas.upenn.edu

#### 9 I. INTRODUCTION

The capture and conversion of solar energy has recently been of great interest due to 10 <sup>11</sup> its abundance, accessibility and sustainability. However, the efficiency of the current com-<sup>12</sup> mercially available p - n junction based solar cells is limited by the Shockley-Queisser (SQ) <sup>13</sup> limit [1]. Additionally, fabrication of solar cells is complicated by the need to form an <sup>14</sup> interface to enable excited carrier separation. Polar materials, on the other hand, can <sup>15</sup> generate current throughout the bulk when illuminated, due to the lack of inversion sym-<sup>16</sup> metry [2–5]. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the bulk excited carrier <sup>17</sup> separation in ferroelectrics (FEs) and the above-band-gap photovoltages that have been  $_{18}$  observed in FE-based cells [6–11]. Among them, the shift-current mechanism, in which <sup>19</sup> photo-excited coherent states possess intrinsic momentum and generate photocurrent, has  $_{20}$  been shown to corroborate experimental observations [12]. FE ABO<sub>3</sub> perovskite oxides such <sup>21</sup> as  $Pb(Zr_{1/2}Ti_{1/2})O_3$  [13] and BiFeO<sub>3</sub> [14–16] have been the subject of most of the solar 22 absorber FE investigations, due their large polarizations and robustness. However, these <sup>23</sup> FE oxides have band gaps ( $E_{\rm g}$ ) well above the visible range ( $\geq 3 \, {\rm eV}$ ) and therefore cannot <sup>24</sup> absorb most of the solar spectrum [17–21]. This has inspired theoretical and experimental <sup>25</sup> efforts to find FE oxide materials with low band gaps. [22–24] We have previously reported <sup>26</sup> that introducing a combination of Ni ions and oxygen vacancies into FE perovskites gives 27 rise to a smaller gap in the visible range, by modifying the relative energy levels of the band <sup>28</sup> edges [22, 23]. A visible light FE photovoltaic has been recently predicted and experimen- $_{29}$  tally demonstrated [25].

In this study, we opt for a different approach; band gap reduction is achieved by introducing low-lying empty intermediate bands (IBs) in the middle of the band gap through adopant substitutions. In addition to the benefit of a reduced band gap, the presence of a band in between the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) also opens the possibility of co-doping with donors to make the intermediate band half-filled. Materials with such half-filled IBs, mostly highly mismatched alloys, have been predicted to be as efficient as multi-junction solar cells, while avoiding the complexity of traditional multi-junction or tandem device structures. The theoretical conversion efficiency of a single IB solar cell can be up to 62%, and even higher efficiency of up to 72% is predicted for materials with two IBs [26–29].

We choose the  $Bi^{5+}$  cation as a substituent on the *B*-site of FE perovskites to create the 40 <sup>41</sup> IB state. Previous studies have shown that  $Ba_2ReBiO_6$  (rare earth Re = La, Ce, Nd, Sm, <sup>42</sup> Eu, Gd, Dy) double perovskites have a low-lying IB comprising Bi 6s and O 2p orbitals, with <sup>43</sup> an additional CB  $\approx 1.5$  eV higher comprising Re orbitals [30]. The high electronegativity of  $_{44}$  Bi<sup>5+</sup> and the 6s character of the orbitals lead to a low-lying CB [31] that does not involve the 45 empty states of the other metal atoms. This is unlike the band anticrossing approach used <sup>46</sup> in highly mismatched alloys, which relies on the interaction between localized dopant states <sup>47</sup> and an extended semiconductor matrix to split the IB from the CB [32, 33]. The presence of <sup>48</sup> an IB in Ba<sub>2</sub>*Re*BiO<sub>6</sub> materials suggests that it is possible to create IB states by substitution <sup>49</sup> of Bi<sup>5+</sup> into a transition-metal-based FE perovskite. For example, the substitution of as  $_{50}$  little as 5% Bi^{5+} into Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> reduces  $E_{\rm g}$  from 2.97 eV to 1.70 eV [34]. However, there <sup>51</sup> are no reports of Bi<sup>5+</sup>-doped ferroelectrics. We therefore use first-principles calculations <sup>52</sup> to study the structural and electronic properties of (1 - x) KNbO<sub>3</sub> - x KBiO<sub>3</sub> (KNB),  $_{53}$  (1-x) Pb<sub>2</sub>InNbO<sub>6</sub> - x Ba<sub>2</sub>InBiO<sub>6</sub> (PIN-BIB) and (1-x) Pb<sub>2</sub>ScNbO<sub>6</sub> - x Ba<sub>2</sub>ScBiO<sub>6</sub> (PSN-<sup>54</sup> BSB) solid solutions. We hypothesize that the combination of a ferroelectric end-member  $_{55}$  and  $\mathrm{Bi}^{5+}$  on the B will reduce the band gap while preserving ferroelectricity.

#### 56 II. METHODOLOGY

<sup>57</sup> We performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations using the norm-conserving <sup>58</sup> nonlocal pseudopotential plane-wave method [35]. The pseudopotentials [36] are generated <sup>59</sup> using the OPIUM package [37] with a 50 Ry cutoff of the kinetic energy. DFT calculations <sup>60</sup> were done with the QUANTUM-ESPRESSO package [38] using the local density approxima-<sup>61</sup> tion [39] and PBEsol [40] for the exchange-correlation functional for both structural opti-<sup>62</sup> mization and electronic structure calculations. For a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  perovskite supercell, we used <sup>63</sup> shifted  $4 \times 4 \times 4$  and  $8 \times 8 \times 8$  Monkhorst-Pack k-point grids [41] for the ground state and <sup>64</sup> the density of states (DOS) calculations, respectively. Polarization was calculated by the <sup>65</sup> Berry phase approach [42, 43] on an unshifted  $4 \times 4 \times 20$  k-point grid, where the densely <sup>66</sup> sampled direction is permuted in order to obtain all three polarization components. Due <sup>67</sup> to the multi-valued nature of polarization, we calculated the polarization change when the <sup>68</sup> centrosymmetric non-polar structure deforms to the relaxed low-symmetry structure. To ex-<sup>69</sup> plore the photovoltaic activity for the two Pb-based materials, we evaluate the short-circuit <sup>70</sup> current under linearly polarized light [44] using shift current calculations. We chose the <sup>71</sup>  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  supercell to allow for modeling different doping fractions of Bi<sup>5+</sup>. For structural <sup>72</sup> optimization, both internal coordinates and lattice vectors are relaxed. In order to cor-<sup>73</sup> rect for the band gap underestimation in DFT due to the unphysical delocalization of the <sup>74</sup> Kohn-Sham states [45] (especially prominent when the band edges are composed of strongly <sup>75</sup> correlated *d* and *f* orbitals), we used the DFT+*U* method, in which the Hubbard *U* term <sup>76</sup> accounts for the on-site repulsion of the correlated electrons [46].

For the KNB system, we performed calculations at x = 0.125, where one of the eight Nb re atoms in the supercell is replaced by a Bi atom. For the Pb-based materials, the two *B* ro cations form a rock-salt ordering to reduce the long-range Coulombic interaction [47]. Electronic structures are calculated for x = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. The positions of the dopant Bi atoms are chosen so that the high-symmetry structure possesses inversion symmetry and has no spontaneous polarization.

#### 83 III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# <sup>84</sup> A. $Bi^{5+}$ doping in KNbO<sub>3</sub>

The calculated band structures and projected densities of states (PDOS) of KNbO<sub>3</sub> (KNO) and KNB with LDA+U functionals are shown in Fig. 1. We added U terms to both the Nb 4d and the Bi 5d orbitals. The effective U values are determined by a linear response approach [48] to be 3.86 eV (Nb 4d) for KNO, and 3.94 eV (Nb 4d) and 1.11 eV (Bi of KNB, respectively. The electronic properties of KNO and KNB are summarized in Table I. Note that the only significant effect of the Hubbard U term is to increase the  $E_g$  of KNO from 1.7 eV to 2.1 eV, by raising the CB energy. The KNB band gap is insensitive to the addition of U because its IB has negligible Nb 4d or Bi 5d characters. The LDA+U band gap for KNO, although improved, is still 1 eV below the experimental value, in agreement with other theoretical predictions [49]. Use of PBEsol+U (which is designed for solids), LDA, and LDA+U all yield similar results for KNB. Therefore we expect that the general trend of band gap reduction by Bi<sup>5+</sup> doping in KNbO<sub>3</sub> is accurately reproduced by LDA or calculations.

<sup>98</sup> Inspection of the KNB electronic structure (Fig. 1b) shows that when Bi<sup>5+</sup> is substituted

|            |     | $E_{\rm g}~({\rm eV})$ | $P (C/m^2)$ |      |  |  |
|------------|-----|------------------------|-------------|------|--|--|
| Method     | KNO | KNB                    | KNO         | KNB  |  |  |
| Experiment | 3.1 | N/A                    | 0.41        | N/A  |  |  |
| LDA        | 1.7 | 0.8                    | 0.42        | 0.26 |  |  |
| LDA+U      | 2.1 | 0.8                    | 0.40        | 0.25 |  |  |
| PBEsol+U   | 2.4 | 0.9                    | 0.42        | 0.24 |  |  |

TABLE I. Electronic properties of KNO and KNB. Experimental band gap [50] and polarization [51] are available for KNO but not for KNB.

<sup>99</sup> for Nb<sup>5+</sup> an IB emerges, while the CB and VB do not change significantly. From the <sup>100</sup> PDOS it is evident that the IB mainly comprises Bi 6s and O 2p. Due to the presence of <sup>101</sup> the IB, the LDA+ $U E_g$  drops from 2.1 eV for KNO to 0.8 eV for KNB. The 0.25 C/m<sup>2</sup> <sup>102</sup> calculated polarization value of KNB shows that the solid solution is still FE after Bi<sup>5+</sup> <sup>103</sup> doping. Inspection of the KNB band structure shows strong dispersion of the IB, such that <sup>104</sup> there is only a small band gap between the IB and the original CB. This is unfavorable for <sup>105</sup> the potential use of KNB in multi-gap solar cells.



FIG. 1. LDA+U band structures and PDOS of (a) KNO and (b) KNB, shaded areas indicate total DOS.

<sup>106</sup> Due to the large ionic radius mismatch between Nb<sup>5+</sup> (0.64 Å) and Bi<sup>5+</sup> (0.76 Å) on the <sup>107</sup> *B*-site and the fact that the end-member KBiO<sub>3</sub> does not form a stable perovskite phase [52], <sup>108</sup> the KNB solid solution is unlikely to form with normal solid-state synthesis methods. It <sup>109</sup> nevertheless serves as a proof-of-concept that lowering the band gap by "IB insertion" while <sup>110</sup> maintaining strong P is possible for FE oxides.

### 111 B. Bi<sup>5+</sup> doping in more feasible double perovskite systems

To suggest more feasible candidates for efficient solar energy conversion, we examine 112  $_{113}$  solid solutions in which one end member is a naturally occurring perovskite with  $\mathrm{Bi}^{5+}$  on <sup>114</sup> the *B*-site and the other is a FE perovskite. For better solubility, the lattice mismatch <sup>115</sup> between end members should be kept to a minimum. Therefore, we studied the structural and electronic properties of PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB. The lattice parameters of these end 116 members in the perovskite phase are PIN (4.11 Å) [53], BIB (4.23 Å) [54], PSN (4.08 Å) [55], 117 and BSB (4.18 Å) [56], both alloy pairs differing by less than 3%. We study the rock-salt 118 ordered B-cation arrangement because it minimizes the Coulombic interaction between B-119 cations with different charges. This B-cation ordering can be achieved in PSN and PIN 120 with slow annealing, and both PSN and PIN exhibit ferroelectricity for ordered or partially 121 ordered *B*-cation arrangements. [57, 58]. 122

The calculated band gaps and polarizations for the two solid solutions at different doping 123 <sup>124</sup> concentrations are shown in Fig. 2. The polarization values generally decrease with increas-<sup>125</sup> ing Bi<sup>5+</sup> concentration, consistent with the decrease in the concentration of ferroelectrically <sup>126</sup> active Pb and Nb ions. The effect of Bi<sup>5+</sup> doping on the band gap is more complicated. <sup>127</sup> For PIN-BIB  $E_{\rm g}$  decreases monotonically with increasing x, while for PSN-BSB there is a <sup>128</sup> minimum  $E_{\rm g}$  at x = 0.25. At this concentration, Bi<sup>5+</sup> causes a substantial decrease in  $E_{\rm g}$ while still preserving a substantial polarization. In addition, the formation energy of PIN-129 BIB and PSN-BSB at x = 0.25 are both negative, indicating that these solid solutions are 130 energetically more favorable than their parent materials (See Fig. S1 in the supplementary 131 material). Therefore, we examine PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB at x = 0.25 more closely. The 132 properties of PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB at x = 0.25 are summarized in Table II. 133

The band structures and PDOS with LDA functionals for the two solid solutions at x = 0.25 are shown in Fig. 3. From here on, we focus on studying solid solutions at x = 0.25, and the doping concentration will not be explicitly denoted. The reduction in band gap is 137 caused by IBs that are of Bi 6s and O 2p origin. Comparison of KNB, PIN-BIB and PSN-138 BSB band structures shows that Bi<sup>5+</sup> introduces IBs, independent of the composition of



FIG. 2. (a) LDA band gap and (b) polarization vs. composition for PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB. x is the concentration of end member BIB or BSB.

TABLE II. Band gap  $E_{\rm g}$ , polarization P and lattice constants a, b and c of 0.75PIN-0.25BIB and 0.75PSN-0.25BSB. Numbers in parenthesis denote the three components of polarization.

|         | $E_{\rm g}~({\rm eV})$ | $P (C/m^2)$                     | a (Å)  | b (Å) | c (Å) |
|---------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| PIN-BIB | 1.4                    | 0.25 (0.07, 0.21, 0.11          | ) 8.26 | 8.25  | 8.34  |
| PSN-BSB | 1.3                    | $0.37 \ (0.11, \ 0.33, \ 0.11)$ | ) 8.16 | 8.16  | 8.16  |



FIG. 3. Band structures and PDOS for (a) PIN-BIB and (b) PSN-BSB, shaded areas indicate total DOS.

<sup>139</sup> the parent FE material. This suggests that Bi<sup>5+</sup> substitution, if experimentally achievable, <sup>140</sup> would lower the band gap of other FE perovskites as well. Fig. 3 shows that PSN-BSB <sup>141</sup> has an IB with a larger bandwidth than that of PIN-BIB. A more dispersive IB implies <sup>142</sup> a lower effective mass for the electrons and more mobile carriers, which could allow for <sup>143</sup> better conductivity for photoexcited electrons, whereas a narrower band (such as the one in <sup>144</sup> PIN-BIB) indicates isolated Bi 6*s* states and lower probability of electron hopping between <sup>145</sup> sites.



FIG. 4. E point intermediate band wavefunctions along the Bi - O - Bi chain in (a) PIN-BIB and (b) PSN-BSB.

<sup>146</sup> By comparing Fig. 3a to Fig. 3b it is evident that the difference in bandwidth is mostly <sup>147</sup> the result of different dispersion behaviors of the IB at the E point (1/2, 1/2, 1/2), i.e., the IB <sup>148</sup> energy at E point is at minimum in PIN-BIB, but at maximum in PSN-BSB. At other high <sup>149</sup> symmetry points in the Brillouin zone, the dispersion trend is generally the same between <sup>150</sup> the two solid solutions, with differences in the magnitude. We attribute the differing E <sup>151</sup> point dispersions to the atomic orbitals of In or Sc available to participate in IB formation. <sup>152</sup> Consider a Bi–O–B'–O–Bi chain, at E point the wavefunction periodicity requires that the <sup>153</sup> two Bi atoms at the ends of the chain (in any direction) provide s orbitals of opposite 154 phases to the IB, shown in Fig. 4. For B', all orbitals that are symmetric with respect to the plane perpendicular to the O-B'-O line have effectively non-bonding contribution to  $_{156}$  the IB, because their constructive and destructive overlap with the two opposite phase O 2p $_{157}$  lobes cancel each other. This leaves only p orbitals as possible participating orbitals to the <sup>158</sup> IB. From PDOS of PIN-BIB (Fig. 3a), we see that the valance 5p in  $\text{In}^{3+}$  is readily available in the IB, which is reflected in the In p-O  $p \sigma$  bond shown in Fig. 4a. On the other hand 159 for  $Sc^{3+}$ , 4p orbitals are too high in energy and 3p orbitals are too low, compared to the 160 valence 3d. The PDOS of PSN-BSB (Fig. 3b) shows negligible p contribution to the IB, 161 and the wavefunction in Fig. 4b contains very small fraction of Sc p–O p  $\sigma^*$  bond, possibly 162 <sup>163</sup> from anti-bonding interaction of the low energy Sc 3p in the core state. The bonding vs. <sup>164</sup> anti-bonding characteristic explains the energy minimum in In-containing vs maximum in <sup>165</sup> Sc-containing solid solutions.

For a more mathematical description of the IB dispersion difference between the Sc-166 and In-containing perovskites, we construct and fit a tight-binding model for perfect cubic 167 PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB where O p, Bi s, B' s, B' p and B' d are the basis orbitals, whose 168 on-site energies are  $e_p$ ,  $e_s$ ,  $e'_s$ ,  $e'_p$  and  $e'_d$ , respectively. The hopping matrix elements that are considered in our model include  $t_{sp}$  ( $\sigma_{\text{Bi }s \to \text{O}p}$ ),  $t'_{sp}$  ( $\sigma_{\text{B' }s \to \text{O}p}$ ),  $t'_{pp}$  ( $\sigma_{B' p \to \text{O}p}$ ) and  $t'_{dp}$  $(\sigma_{B' d \to O p})$ . By minimizing the difference between the tight-binding band structure and 171 <sup>172</sup> the corresponding DFT band structure, we obtained the on-site energies and hopping terms <sup>173</sup> listed in Table III. We show in Fig. 5 the comparison of DFT and tight binding band 174 structure for the two solid solutions, with the IB plotted as a band whose width at each  $_{175}$  k-point represents the relative contribution from different B' atomic orbitals, in order to <sup>176</sup> illustrate the difference in orbital contributions between PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB. Bi s and O p contributions are not shown because they are too large and overshadow the more delicate 177  $_{178}$  B' orbital contributions at different k-points. It is clear that in PIN-BIB, the IB consists of  $_{179}$  mostly In s and some In p at E point, whereas in PSN-BSB, the majority Sc orbital is Sc  $_{180}$  d. Note that in the DFT bands we use a relaxed distorted structure, which allows a little  $_{181}$  Sc d contribution at the E point; whereas in the tight binding model for simplicity a high  $_{192}$  symmetry cubic structure is used, in which symmetry forbids any Sc d contribution at the 183 E point.

From the fitting data, it is evident that in PIN-BIB both s and p on-site energies are



FIG. 5. Comparison between (a, c) DFT and (b, d) tight-binding electronic bands for (a, b) PIN-BIB and (c, d) PSN-BSB. The intermediate bands are composed of circles whose centers reside on the corresponding energy levels and whose radii are proportional to the norm of the coefficients of the atomic orbital basis for the eigenstates at each k-point.

| TABLE III. | Tight   | binding | model | parameters | of F | PIN-BIB | and | PSN-BS | B fitte | d from | corresp | onding |
|------------|---------|---------|-------|------------|------|---------|-----|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| DFT band s | structu | res.    |       |            |      |         |     |        |         |        |         |        |

| e (eV)       | PIN-BIB     | PSN-BSB     | t (eV)  | PIN-BIB | PSN-BSB |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|---|---------|---------|
| Bi $e_s$     | $6s \ 1.25$ | 6s 1.38     | $t_{sp} (\sigma_{\mathrm{Bi} \ s \to \mathrm{O} \ p})$  | 4.22    | 4.39    |
| In/Sc $e'_s$ | $5s \ 2.69$ | 4s 5.51     | $t'_{sp} (\sigma_{\mathbf{B}' \ s \to \mathbf{O} \ p})$ | 1.39    | 0.41    |
| In/Sc $e'_p$ | $5p \ 6.88$ | 3p - 17.28  | $t'_{pp} (\sigma_{B' p \to O p})$                       | 2.03    | 0.39    |
| In/Sc $e'_d$ | 4d -6.72    | $3d \ 4.16$ | $t'_{dp} (\sigma_{B' \ d \to O \ p})$                   | 0.55    | 2.91    |
| In/Sc $e_p$  | 2p  0.00    | 2p  0.00    |   |         |         |

higher than the filled d orbitals, and the hopping from In s and In p to O p is more significant compared to the hopping from In d. For PSN-BSB the p state is the filled core state with low on-site energy and interacts weakly with O p compared to the valence d states. The Sc is valence, but still has smaller interaction with O p, leaving Sc d as the only orbital that has significant mixing with O. These results demonstrate that In s, In p and Sc d are mostly favorable to participate in IB formation, with remarkable agreement between the DFT and tight binding bands shown in Fig. 5.

### $_{192}$ C. Shift current study of the Bi<sup>5+</sup> doped double perovskites

Several mechanisms have been proposed to account for the photocurrent produced by FE 193 <sup>194</sup> materials under illumination. In thin films, excited carrier separation and the resulting photovoltage and photocurrent have been ascribed to extrinsic effects such as engineered domain 195 wall structures and the depolarizing field due to incomplete polarization charge compensa-196 tion at the ferroelectric-electrode interfaces [6, 7]. In addition, an intrinsic bulk photovoltaic 197 effect can also arise in a homogeneous noncentrosymmetric material, which is mainly gov-198 erned by the "shift current" mechanism [8–11]. Like the normal linear (in light intensity) interfacial photovoltaic effect, shift current is a second-order nonlinear optical effect with 200 the photocurrent quadratic in the electric field (therefore linear in intensity). However, shift 201 current does not rely on an external engineered asymmetry or an internal depolarization 202 <sup>203</sup> field to separate charge. Under sustained illumination, electrons are continuously excited to <sup>204</sup> a quasiparticle coherent state that is entangled with the radiation source, resulting in a net <sup>205</sup> direct current due to the broken inversion symmetry. While we make clear that our designed <sup>206</sup> materials can be useful as absorbers in conventional photovoltaic or by using the depolarization field at interfaces to separate charge (See Fig. S2 in the supplementary material for 207 the optical transition property), here we also study the bulk shift current performance of 208 these materials. 209

We calculated the shift current susceptibility with respect to light intensity and the Glass coefficients of PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB. The shift current involves nonlinear optical processes that arise from the second-order interaction with incident electric field, in which electrons are excited to coherent superpositions, resulting in a net current flow in the presence of an asymmetric potential. The susceptibility  $\sigma_q^{rs}$  determines the current density  $J_q$  due to <sup>215</sup> interaction with electric field  $E_r$  and  $E_s$ :  $J_q = \sigma_q^{rs} E_r E_s$ . For simplicity, we assume that both <sup>216</sup> excitations are caused by the same monochromatic light, and thus only the diagonal terms <sup>217</sup>  $\sigma_q^{rr}$  are reported. The Glass coefficient  $G_q^{rr}$  [4] is another measure of photovoltaic efficiency, <sup>218</sup> the shift current density for material of width w with incident light intensity I and frequency <sup>219</sup>  $\omega$ :  $J_q(\omega) = G_q^{rr} I w$ , assuming that the material is thick enough to absorb all incident light.



FIG. 6. Total susceptibility (solid line) and Glass coefficient (dashed line) of (a) PIN-BIB and (b) <sub>220</sub> PSN-BSB.

221

Fig. 6 shows the calculated shift current susceptibilities and the Glass coefficient spectra of 222 PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB. It is immediately obvious that the photocurrent response thresholds 223 of both materials are located at relatively low photon energies — essentially at the theoretical 224 band gap edge. This verifies our expectation that the introduction of low-lying IB states 225 would shift the light absorption and photocurrent response well into the visible light range. 226 Nevertheless, the two materials show quite different shift current susceptibility behaviors. 227 For PIN-BIB, one large peak appears in the near-band-gap region (at 1.9 eV) while no such 228 peak is found in PSN-BSB. As the photon energy increases, the photocurrent response of 229 PIN-BIB decreases and reaches minimum at 2.3 eV. As illustrated by the band structure 230 of PIN-BIB (Fig. 3), the large peak at 1.9 eV is mainly due to the transitions from the 231 O 2p VB to the Bi 6s IB. Since this IB state is relatively localized and separated from 232 <sup>233</sup> the fundamental CB states, the photon absorption at higher energy is suppressed until the <sup>234</sup> photon energy is large enough for a transition to the CB. For PSN-BSB, the shift current <sup>235</sup> susceptibility increases as a function of photon energy up to 4 eV. This broad spectrum <sup>236</sup> light absorption and photocurrent evolution is consistent with the dispersive IB in PSN-

TABLE IV. The calculated largest shift current susceptibility  $\sigma$  and Glass coefficient G of various materials between the band gap  $E_{\rm g}$  and 1 eV above it. For BFO, the numbers are calculated with the GGA+U method [12], and those in parentheses are the experimental values with a photon energy of 2.85 eV [44, 59].

|                                     | PIN-BIB | PSN-BSB | РТО | BTO | BFO            | KNO  |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----|-----|----------------|------|
| $\sigma \ (10^{-4} \ {\rm V}^{-1})$ | 7.2     | 1.7     | 3.9 | 1.4 | 0.9(1.1)       | 11.1 |
| $G~(10^{-10}~{\rm A\cdot m/W})$     | 1.1     | 1.4     | 1.2 | 0.2 | $0.05\ (0.05)$ | 0.3  |
| $E_{\rm g}~({\rm eV})$              | 1.4     | 1.3     | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.7            | 3.1  |

<sup>237</sup> BSB. In addition, the magnitude of the shift current susceptibility at the band edge is not
<sup>238</sup> as large as that in PIN-BIB. For both materials, the shift current susceptibility exhibits
<sup>239</sup> similar behavior in terms of magnitude and spectrum shape under different polarizations of
<sup>240</sup> incident illumination.

The Glass coefficient gives the photocurrent density per unit sample width assuming full 241 absorption, and includes the light attenuation effect due to absorption coefficient. As shown 242 in Fig. 6, the Glass coefficient plots of both PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB exhibit a similar trend: 243 a near-band-gap peak followed by decreasing intensity with higher incident photon energy, in 244 contrast to the susceptibility plots in which PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB differ significantly. This 245 is attributed to the relatively small absorption coefficients of PSN-BSB near the band gap 246 with respect to PIN-BIB. The Glass coefficients in both materials show good photovoltaic 247 activity within the visible range. 248

To evaluate the prospect of using these two materials for solar energy conversion, we com-249 pare the calculated results to those of the prototype FE materials  $PbTiO_3$  (PTO),  $BaTiO_3$ 250 (BTO), BiFeO<sub>3</sub> (BFO) and KNO. As shown in Table IV, the susceptibility magnitude of 251 PIN-BIB near its band gap is larger than that of PTO and BTO. Although the shift current 252 response of PSN-BSB near the band gap is not as large as that of PTO, the response at 253 higher photon energy is stronger. The Glass coefficient magnitudes of both PIN-BIB and 254 PSN-BSB in the near-band-gap region are also comparable to that of PTO and larger than 255 that of BTO. Clearly, the shift current responses of PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB near their band 256  $_{257}$  gaps are much larger than that of BFO (near its higher  $E_{\rm g}$ ). Furthermore, the Glass co-258 efficients of both PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB are at least one order of magnitude larger than <sup>259</sup> that of BFO, implying their potential use as photovoltaic materials. Though the shift cur-<sup>260</sup> rent responses of PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB are not as large as that of KNO, the onset of <sub>261</sub> photon absorption energy is again lower (1.4 eV vs. 3.1 eV) and their Glass coefficients are more than twice that of KNO. Based on these comparisons and the fact that the shift 262 current response of PIN-BIB and PSN-BSB occurs at low photon energy, we propose that 263 these two materials are promising candidates for bulk photovoltaic solar energy conversion. 264 Furthermore, PIN-BIB is more appropriate for monochromatic illumination with photon 265 energy at the band gap while PSN-BSB should offer good photovoltaic performance under 266 broad-spectrum solar light illumination. Since the excitations from the IB to the CB are 267 not included in the shift current, these materials could be more appealing for photovoltaic 268 <sup>269</sup> applications than the shift current results shown here after appropriate doping.

#### 270 IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have shown that semiconducting ferroelectrics with band gaps in the 271 visible range can be designed by  $Bi^{5+}$  substitution on the perovskite *B*-site. The introduction 272 of empty Bi 6s empty states in the band gap of the parent material leads to an  $E_{\rm g}$  lowering 273 of  $\approx 1.5$  eV, enabling the absorption of much more of the solar spectrum in FE-based devices. 274 The resulting materials exhibit several interesting electronic structure features, most notably 275 a dispersive intermediate band due to the Bi 6s states. We propose that PIN-BIB and 276 277 PSN-BSB are promising candidates for bulk photovoltaic solar energy conversion based on <sup>278</sup> their band gaps, polarization, solubility and photocurrent response, with PIN-BIB more <sup>279</sup> appropriate for monochromatic illumination and PSN-BSB more suitable for solar light 280 illumination.

#### 281 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

L. J. was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant No. FA9550-10-1-0248. I. G. was supported by the Office of Naval Research under Grant No. N00014-12-1-1033. F. W. was supported by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-07ER46431. S. M. Y. was supported by the National Science Foundation, under Grant No. DMR11-24696. P. K. D and A. M. R. were supported by the Energy Commercial<sup>287</sup> ization Institute of BFTP. Computational support was provided by the High Performance
<sup>288</sup> Computing Modernization Office of the Department of Defense, and the National Energy
<sup>289</sup> Research Scientific Computing Center of the Department of Energy. We would like to thank
<sup>290</sup> Fan Zheng for fruitful discussions.

- <sup>291</sup> [1] W. Shockley and H. Queisser, J. Appl. Phys. **32**, 510 (1961).
- <sup>292</sup> [2] A. G. Chynoweth, Phys. Rev. **102**, 705 (1956).
- <sup>293</sup> [3] F. S. Chen, J. Appl. Phys. 40, 3389 (1969).
- <sup>294</sup> [4] A. M. Glass, D. von der Linde, and T. J. Negran, Appl. Phys. Lett. 25, 233 (1974).
- <sup>295</sup> [5] V. M. Fridkin, Crystallog. Rep. **46**, 654 (2001).
- <sup>296</sup> [6] M. Qin, K. Yao, and Y. C. Liang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 022912 (2009).
- <sup>297</sup> [7] M. Qin, K. Yao, and Y. C. Liang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **93**, 122904 (2008).
- [8] G. Dalba, Y. Soldo, F. Rocca, V. M. Fridkin, and P. Sainctavit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 988 (1995).
- <sup>300</sup> [9] R. von Baltz and W. Kraut, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5590 (1981).
- <sup>301</sup> [10] K. Tonooka, P. Poosanaas, and K. Uchino (International Society for Optics and Photonics,
   <sup>302</sup> 1994) pp. 224–232.
- 303 [11] B. I. Sturman and V. M. Fridkin, The Photovoltaic and Photorefractive Effects in Noncen-
- trosymmetric Materials, edited by G. W. Taylor, Ferroelectricity and Related Phenomena,
   Vol. 8 (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1992).
- <sup>306</sup> [12] S. M. Young, F. Zheng, and A. M. Rappe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 236601 (2012).
- 307 [13] K. Nonaka, M. Akiyama, T. Hagio, and A. Takase, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 19, 1143 (1999).
- <sup>308</sup> [14] T. Choi, S. Lee, Y. Choi, V. Kiryukhin, and S.-W. Cheong, Science **324**, 63 (2009).
- 309 [15] S. Y. Yang, J. Seidel, S. J. Byrnes, P. Shafer, C. H. Yang, M. D. Rossell, P. Yu, Y. H. Chu,
- J. F. Scott, J. W. Ager, L. W. Martin, and R. Ramesh, Nature Nanotechnology 5, 143 (2010).
- 311 [16] J. Seidel, D. Y. Fu, S. Y. Yang, E. Alarcon-Llado, J. Q. Wu, R. Ramesh, and J. W. Ager,
- <sup>312</sup> Physical Review Letters **107**, 126805 (2011).
- 313 [17] P. C. Joshi and S. B. Desu, Thin Solid Films **300**, 289 (1997).
- <sup>314</sup> [18] D. Bao, X. Yao, K. Shinozaki, and N. Mizutani, J. Physics D: App. Phys. 36, 2141 (2003).

- <sup>315</sup> [19] S. Pandeya, A. Jamesa, R. Ramana, S. Chatterjeea, A. Goyala, C. Prakasha, and T. Goelb,
  <sup>316</sup> Physica B **369**, 135 (2005).
- <sup>317</sup> [20] K. Y. Chan, W. S. Tsang, C. L. Mak, K. H. Wong, and P. M. Hui, Phys. Rev B 69, 144111
  <sup>318</sup> (2004).
- 319 [21] X. Wan, H. L. W. Chan, C. L. Choy, X. Zhao, and H. Luo, J. Appl. Phys. 96, 1387 (2004).
- 320 [22] J. W. Bennett, I. Grinberg, and A. M. Rappe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 17409 (2008).
- <sup>321</sup> [23] G. Y. Gou, J. W. Bennett, H. Takenaka, and A. M. Rappe, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205115 (2011).
- <sup>322</sup> [24] W. S. Choi, M. F. Chisholm, D. J. Singh, T. Choi, G. E. J. Jr., and H. N. Lee, Nat. Commnu.
  <sup>323</sup> 3, 689 (2012).
- 324 [25] I. Grinberg, D. V. West, M. Torres, G. Gou, D. M. Stein, L. Wu, G. Chen, E. M. Gallo, A. R.
- 325 Akbashev, P. K. Davies, J. E. Spanier, and A. M. Rappe, Nature **503**, 509 (2013).
- <sup>326</sup> [26] A. Luque and A. Martí, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 5014 (1997).
- <sup>327</sup> [27] A. Martí, E. Antolín, C. R. Stanley, C. D. Farmer, N. López, P. Díaz, E. Cánovas, P. G.
   <sup>328</sup> Linares, and A. Luque, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 247701 (2006).
- 329 [28] A. Luque, A. Martí, and C. Stanley, Nature Photonics 6, 146 (2012).
- <sup>330</sup> [29] N. López, L. A. Reichertz, K. M. Yu, K. Campman, and W. Walukiewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett.
   <sup>331</sup> 106, 028701 (2011).
- <sup>332</sup> [30] T. Hatakeyama, S. Takeda, F. Ishikawa, A. Ohmura, A. Kakayama, Y. Yamada, A. Mat<sup>333</sup> sushita, and J. Yea, J. Cer. Soc. J **118**, 91 (2010).
- <sup>334</sup> [31] S. G. Hur, T. W. Kim, S. J. Hwang, H. Park, W. Choi, S. J. Kim, and J. H. Choy, J. Phys.
  <sup>335</sup> Chem. B **109**, 15001 (2005).
- <sup>336</sup> [32] W. Shan, W. Walukiewicz, J. W. Ager, E. E. Haller, J. F. Geisz, D. J. Friedman, J. M. Olson,
   <sup>337</sup> and S. R. Kurtz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1221 (1999).
- <sup>338</sup> [33] K. M. Yu, W. Walukiewicz, J. Wu, W. Shan, J. W. Beeman, M. A. Scarpulla, O. D. Dubon,
  <sup>339</sup> and P. Becla, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 246403 (2003).
- <sup>340</sup> [34] T. W. Kim, S. G. Hur, S. J. Hwang, H. Park, Y. Park, W. Choi, and J. H. Choy, Mater. Res.
  <sup>341</sup> Bull. 42, 1914 (2007).
- <sup>342</sup> [35] M. C. Payne, M. P. Teter, D. C. Allan, T. A. Arias, and J. D. Joannopoulos, Rev. Mod.
  <sup>343</sup> Phys. 64, 1045 (1992).
- <sup>344</sup> [36] A. M. Rappe, K. M. Rabe, E. Kaxiras, and J. D. Joannopoulos, Phys. Rev. B Rapid Comm.
   <sup>345</sup> 41, 1227 (1990).

- 346 [37] http://opium.sourceforge.net.
- 347 [38] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L.
- Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. D. Corso, S. de Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi,
- R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos,
- N. Marzari, F. Mauri, R. Mazzarello, S. Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia,
- S. Scandolo, G. Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, P. Umari, and R. M. Wentzcovitch,
- J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 395502 (2009).
- 353 [39] J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5048 (1981).
- 354 [40] J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin,
- 355 X. Zhou, and K. Burke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 136406 (2008).
- <sup>356</sup> [41] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B **13**, 5188 (1976).
- 357 [42] R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 47, 1651 (1993).
- <sup>358</sup> [43] R. Resta, Ferroelectrics **136**, 51 (1992).
- 359 [44] S. M. Young and A. M. Rappe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 116601 (2012).
- <sup>360</sup> [45] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).
- 361 [46] K. A. Johnson and N. W. Ashcroft, Phys. Rev. B 58, 15548 (1998).
- 362 [47] L. Bellaiche and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1318 (1998).
- 363 [48] M. Cococcioni and S. de Gironcoli, Phys. Rev. B 71, 035105 (2005).
- 364 [49] C. Duan, W. N. Mei, J. Liu, and J. R. Hardy, J. Phys.: Condes. Matt. 13, 8189 (2001).
- 365 [50] B. T. Matthias, Phys. Rev. 75, 1771 (1949).
- <sup>366</sup> [51] P. Günter, J. Appl. Phys. 48, 3475 (1977).
- 367 [52] T. N. Nguyen, D. M. Giaquinta, W. M. Davis, and H. zur Loye, Chem. Mater. 5, 1273 (1993).
- 368 [53] S. G. Zhukov, A. V. Yatsenko, and S. B. Vakhrushev, J. Struct. Chem. 38, 486 (1997).
- 369 [54] W. T. Fua, M. J. Polderman, and F. M. Mulder, Mater. Res. Bull. 35, 1205 (2000).
- 370 [55] K. S. Knight and K. Z. Baba-Kishi, Ferroelectrics 173, 341 (1995).
- <sup>371</sup> [56] M. W. Lufaso, P. W. Barnes, and P. M. Woodward, Acta Crystallog. Sec. B B62, 397 (2006).
- <sup>372</sup> [57] K. Ohwada and Y. Tomita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **79**, 011012 (2010).
- 373 [58] J. Zhang, Q. Li, and D. Feng, Chin. Phys. Lett. 9, 355 (1992).
- 374 [59] W. Ji, K. Yao, and Y. C. Liang, Physical Review B 84, 094115 (2011).