

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Unusual magnetic and structural transformations of DyFe_{4}Ge_{2}

J. Liu, D. Paudyal, Y. Mudryk, J. D. Zou, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and V. K. Pecharsky Phys. Rev. B **88**, 014423 — Published 19 July 2013 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.88.014423 **Unusual magnetic and structural transformations of DyFe₄Ge₂** J. Liu,^{1,2} D. Paudyal,¹ Y. Mudryk,¹ J.D. Zou,¹ K.A. Gschneidner, Jr.,^{1,2} and V. K. Pecharsky^{1,2}

¹The Ames Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3020, U.S.A. ²Department of Material Science and Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-2300, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

Magnetization of DyFe₄Ge₂ measured as function of temperature in 1kOe magnetic field indicates antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordering at T_N =62 K followed by two spin reorientation transitions at T_{f1} =52 K and T_{f2} =32 K and one unusual anomaly at 15 K (T_{f3}). Three transitions (T_{f1} , T_{f2} , and T_N) are further confirmed by heat-capacity measurement in a zero magnetic field. The two low temperature magnetic transitions are broadened and gradually vanish when the applied magnetic field exceeds 30 kOe, and the AFM transition shifts toward low temperature with increasing magnetic field. Reentrant magnetic glassy state is observed below freezing point T_{f3} = 15 K. Two field-induced metamagnetic phase transitions are observed between 2 and 50 K in fields below 140 kOe. The temperature-magnetic field phase diagram has been constructed. The first principles electronic structure calculations show that the paramagnetic tetragonal structure of DyFe₄Ge₂ is stable at high temperature. The calculations with collinear Dy spins confirm ferrimagnetic orthorhombic DyFe₄Ge₂ as the ground state structure, which is experimentally a stable structure with applied magnetic field.

PACS Nos: 61.50.Ks, 75.30.-m, 71.20.Eh, 71.15.Mb

I. INTRODUCTION

Rare earth-based intermetallic compounds continue to draw considerable attention due to their importance in understanding of fundamental structure-property relationships, and potential for practical applications based on a variety of phenomena, including strong magnetocaloric, magnetoelastic, magnetoresistance and other effects.^{1,2,3} Among numerous extended families of intermetallics, the so-called R₅T₄ compounds formed by the rare earth and nonmagnetic group 14 elements (that may be partially substituted by group 13 or 15 elements) have attracted considerable attention after the discovery of the giant magnetocaloric effect (GMCE) in the Gd₅Si_xGe_{4-x} system.^{4,5,6,7,8} At present it is well known that the giant magnetocaloric effect is always associated with either a coupled magnetostructural transformation, ^{9,10,11,12,13} or itinerant electron metamagnetism (IEM)¹⁴. Since there are only a few IEM compounds which exhibit a GMCE we believe it is more fruitful to investigate other compounds that may exhibit magnetostructural transformations.

Ternary intermetallics RFe₄Ge₂ (R=Y, Dy, Er, Ho, Tm, Lu) are attractive due to their peculiar magnetic properties, and, particularly, because of reportedly strong magnetoelastic transitions.^{15,16,17,18,19} Their peculiarity originates from two factors: one is the presence of both R and Fe magnetic atoms with different anisotropies leading to three competing (R-R, Fe-Fe and R-Fe) ordering mechanisms, and the other is the geometrical frustration associated with the Fe atomic arrangement.¹⁸ It was found that the compounds RFe₄Ge₂,¹⁷ where R= Y, Dy, Ho, Er and Lu, crystallize with the tetragonal ZrFe₄Ge₂-type structure (space group $P4_2/mnm$) at room temperature. The crystal structure of the DyFe₄Ge₂ compound is illustrated in Fig. 1 with its unit cell shown using solid lines. It consists of infinite double columns of trigonal prisms and it may be also viewed as a body-centered array of Dy atoms, each atom being surrounded by six Ge atoms arranged into a distorted octahedron. The Fe atoms are located around the 4_2 axes of the crystal lattice of the unit cell. Each Ge atom is surrounded by a trigonal prism of two R and four Fe atoms augmented by three additional ones (two Fe atoms and one R atom) opposite the rectangular faces of the prism. It was also reported that at low temperature, below 55 K, the DyFe₄Ge₂ compound adopts the orthorhombic (space group *Cmmm*) structure.^{18,20} The unit cell of the orthorhombic structure is shown in Fig.1 with the dashed line. The unit cell volume of the low temperature orthorhombic phase is twice that of the high temperature tetragonal phase.

The RFe₄Ge₂ compounds have been originally reported to order ferromagnetically with $T_{\rm C}$ varying from 643 K for R = Lu to 963 K for R = Y.^{21,22} However, based on the low temperature neutron diffraction investigations and x-ray diffraction studies of the compounds DyFe₄Ge₂, ErFe₄Ge₂ and HoFe₄Ge₂,^{15,17,18,20,23,24} the ferromagnetic nature at such high temperatures was not confirmed. Moreover, the ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy and magnetization measurements in high magnetic fields^{22,25,26} did not reveal any ferromagnetic ordering at room temperature in these RFe₄Ge₂ intermetallics. The neutron diffraction measurements show that the simultaneous structural and magnetic transition of DyFe₄Ge₂ occurs at 55 K and the subsequent magnetic transitions in the magnetically ordered state are at T_{ff} =45 K and T_{f2} =28 K.^{18,20}

Although the magnetic structure of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ has been reported,^{18,20} the detailed magnetic behaviors as function of temperature and applied field are lacking. Therefore, we have performed a systematic investigation of the magnetic and thermal properties of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ in order to reveal the underlying mechanism of these magnetic phase transitions. We explore the influence of the temperature and applied filed on their phase transitions by using DC and AC magnetic measurements, the thermal properties by heat capacity measurement and the structural transition by temperature dependent x-ray diffraction. Finally, the *H-T* magnetic phase diagram of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ is constructed taking into account both the temperature and magnetic field induced magnetic transitions. Electronic structure calculations have also been performed to confirm the stable magnetic states in both the low and high temperature crystal structures of $DyFe_4Ge_2$.

II.EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The alloy with the DyFe₄Ge₂ composition was prepared by arc melting the pure elements (purity: Dy: 99.98 wt. % with respect to all other elements in the periodic system, Fe: 99.9838 wt. % and Ge 99.999+ wt. %) on a water-cooled copper hearth under an argon atmosphere. The alloys were flipped and re-melted four times to ensure compositional homogeneity. The room temperature crystal structure of the sample was investigated by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) using Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation, and the diffraction pattern confirms that the specimen crystallizes in the ZrFe₄Si₂–type structure.

The ac magnetic susceptibility and dc magnetization as functions of temperature were measured by using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID)

magnetometer MPMS XL-7 and a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) of the Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) from Quantum Design Inc. The temperature dependence of the magnetization was measured in the range from 2 K to 300 K in magnetic fields from 1 to 70 kOe by using the SQUID. The temperature dependent magnetization data were collected in various applied magnetic fields under zero-fieldcooled-warming (ZFC), field-cooled-cooling (FCC), and field-cooled-warming (FCW) protocols. The magnetization isotherms, between 2 and 80 K, were measured in magnetic fields up to 140 kOe in the PPMS. Each isothermal plot was obtained by measuring the DyFe₄Ge₂ sample in the virgin state after zero-field cooling from the paramagnetic state. The ac magnetic susceptibility was measured using the SQUID magnetometer with an ac drive magnetic field of 5 Oe and frequencies of 1, 10, 100 and 1000 Hz. The heat capacity was measured using a homemade adiabatic heat-pulse calorimeter.²⁷ Measurements were performed in the temperature range from about 2 to 350 K in applied magnetic fields from 0 up to 50 kOe. Temperature dependent x-ray powder-diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku TTRAX powder diffractometer using Mo Ka radiation in the 2θ range of 7° to 55° from 5 to 300 K.

III.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Room temperature X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)

The crystal structure of the investigated alloy was determined by the XRD and both the lattice parameters and atomic positions were refined by using the Reitveld refinement

program LHPM-RIETICA.²⁸ The refined room-temperature XRD pattern of DyFe₄Ge₂ is shown in Fig. 2. The alloy contains small amounts of minor impurity phases DyFe₂Ge₂ (~4 wt. %) and Fe (~2 wt. %). Our data confirm that DyFe₄Ge₂ crystallizes in the ZrFe₄Ge₂-type structure with the following lattice parameters: a=7.3027(9) Å and c=3.8660(5) Å. The Dy atoms occupy 2b sites (0, 0, 0.5), Fe atoms occupy 8i sites (x,y,0) with x=0.1483(10) and y=0.4099(9), and Ge atoms occupy 4g sites (x,-x,0) with x=0.2837(8). Qualitative composition analyses of the polished samples were performed by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy using a JEOL 5910LV scanning electron microscope (SEM). The back-scattered electron (BSE) image of DyFe₄Ge₂ is shown in the inset of Fig.2. The gray matrix has composition Dy:Fe:Ge=1:4:2; the dark needleshaped eutectic phase is Fe_{1-x}Ge_x, and the white phase is DyFe₂Ge₂.

B. Magnetic properties

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependencies of magnetization of DyFe₄Ge₂ measured in an applied field of 1 kOe under ZFC, FCC, and FCW conditions. Multiple magnetic transitions are clearly observed. An antiferromagnetic-like transition occurs at T_N =62 K. Additional magnetic transitions are observed at 52 K (T_{f1}) and 32 K (T_{f2}). There is also another anomaly that occurs at about 15 K, which can only be observed in the low-field ZFC data; this anomaly, marked as T_{f3} , was not reported in the past. We note that DyFe₂Ge₂ orders antiferromagneticlly with Neel temperature of 3.35 K,²⁹ and, therefore, is not expected to play any role in the magnetic anomalies observed at 15 K and above. Further, our magnetization data of Fig. 3 do not show any anomalies in the vicinity of this transition point, thereby indicating that the impurity has no measureable effect on the magnetic behavior of the main phase even near the magnetic ordering temperature of the impurity.

Multiple magnetic transitions are not unique, and are often observed in rare earth intermetallics because they can arise from the competition and interplay of the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) indirect exchange interactions, quadrupolar, magnetostrictive, and magnetoelastic interactions. In DyFe₄Ge₂ multiple spinreorientations are indeed expected because the two magnetic elements with three competing (R-R, Fe-Fe and R-Fe) interactions are present.

Besides multiple magnetic transitions discussed above, obvious thermomagnetic irreversibility between the ZFC, FCC and FCW M(T) curves is present, as is also seen in Fig. 3. From the derivative of the M(T) data shown in the inset of Fig. 3, the T_{f2} on heating and cooling (defined as temperature at which dM/dT changes sign) are 32 and 30 K, respectively. The irreversibility between the ZFC and FCC is commonly observed in magnetic compounds with narrow domain wall pinning effects, e.g. ferromagnets with magnetocrystalline anisotropy, spin glasses, systems with competing ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions.^{30,31,32,33,34} Intrinsic geometrical frustrations of a complex spin system may also contribute to the irreversibility between ZFC and FCC curves.

In addition to the irreversibility between the ZFC and FCC data, FCC and FCW data also exhibit a small but measurable irreversibility, as shown in Fig. 3. The irreversibility between the FCC and FCW curves strongly suggests a first-order nature of phase

7

transition at T_{f2} . The first-order nature of this transition was also identified from thermal hysteresis observed in the behavior of lattice parameters measured by XRD and neutron diffraction measurements.^{18, 20} It is also interesting to note that M_{FCW} is lower than M_{FCC} between 12 and 32 K. The occurrence of inverse hysteresis ($M_{FCW} \leq M_{FCC}$) requires additional low-field magnetization measurements to find out whether the magnetization below 32 K belongs to an equilibrium state or not. Therefore, several thermal cycling magnetization experiments were undertaken, as shown in Fig. 4. The sample was initially cooled to 2 K without field, and then heated from 2 to 15 K in 100 Oe magnetic field. Subsequently, the sample was cooled from 15 K back to 2 K, and then from 2 to 25 K, from 25 to 2 K, from 2 to 40 K, from 40 to 2 K, finally from 2 to 55 K. The results clearly show that magnetization at 2 K increases after the first (2-15-2 K) temperature cycle; it keeps increasing in the subsequent cycles. As is known, geometrical frustration affects the stability of antiferromagnetic structures.^{35,36} In addition, the low temperature XRD analysis showed that compact Fe tetrahedral configuration with antiferromagnetic Fe-Fe interactions is prone to the geometrical frustration.¹⁸ Therefore, the data of Fig. 4 show that the magnetization depends upon the thermal history of the ordered state, establish the metastable nature of the low-T antiferromagnetic state, and suggest probable intrinsic geometrical frustration below 32 K.

Figure 5 shows the ZFC, FCC and FCW M(T) plots for DyFe₄Ge₂ measured in applied fields of 10, 15, 20 and 25 kOe and ZFC and FCC curves in 30 and 50 kOe. We note that a broad peak observed at T_{f3} =15 K in Fig. 3 disappears in fields 10 kOe and higher, but a minimum in the magnetization related to the T_{f3} transition is still observed at this

temperature at fields lower than 20 kOe. The M(T) curves measured in magnetic fields from 10 to 50 kOe (Figure 5) clearly show that below 30 kOe, the low temperature transitions are complex. The temperature of the transition at T_{J2} decreases from 32 K in 1 kOe applied field to 30 K in 15 kOe, to 28 K in 20 kOe, and to18 K in 25 kOe. The same trend is observed for the T_{f1} transition: it is decreased from 52 K to 50 K at 10 kOe and 20 kOe. At 25 kOe, it moves to 46 K, and then disappears when the field exceeds 30 kOe. It is also interesting to note that between 1 kOe and 20 kOe, as the applied field increases, the anomaly at T_{J2} (32 K) becomes weaker while the anomaly related to T_{f1} becomes stronger. At 1 kOe, the transition at T_{f1} is only manifested as a shoulder (Fig. 3), however, at 20 kOe, it becomes a relatively sharp peak.

As shown in the inset of panel (f) in Fig. 5, T_N shifts to lower temperature as the applied field increases: from 62 K at 1 kOe to 56 K at 50 kOe, which again indicates that the AFM interactions are dominant in the low temperature range.³⁷ . In addition, the ZFC magnetization is always smaller than the FC one at low temperatures. We also note that the bifurcation between ZFC and FCC curves exists even at 50 kOe, shifting to lower temperature as the applied field increases. This also suggests that the magnetically ordered state is a complex magnetic structure with predominant antiferromagnetic interactions.

These results agree with neutron diffraction data on DyFe₄Ge₂. Three different magnetic structures of DyFe₄Ge₂ have been proposed below T_N .²⁰ At temperature below T_{f2} , the Fe and Dy sublattices are three dimensional (3D) canted antiferromagnets. Between T_{f1} and T_N , the Dy moments are collinearly aligned and Fe moments are planar arranged in the

(001) plane. In the temperature range between T_{f1} and T_{f2} , the magnetic structure is incommensurate.

The ac magnetic susceptibility has been measured as a function of temperature in a zero dc magnetic field, and its real component is shown in Fig. 6. The real component of the ac susceptibility, χ' , shows a sharp peak at about 32 K (T_{J2}), a step-like anomaly at 51 K close to T_{f1} (inset a), and a slope change around 62 K (T_N), which are consistent with temperatures determined from the dc magnetization data. The magnetic anomaly at 15 K under the 1 kOe applied field M(T) curve is not observed in the $\chi'(T)$ data. In addition, weak but measurable frequency dependence is observed in the χ' data below T_{J2} . The susceptibility above T_N does not decrease with temperature as expected for a paramagnet due to a small amount of ferromagnetic impurity phase Fe_{1-x}Ge_x; here, the 5 Oe driving field is not strong enough to saturate the impurity. When biased by 1 kOe dc magnetic field the ac magnetic susceptibility shows paramagnetic behavior above T_N , as displayed in the inset (b) of Fig. 6.

Figure 7 shows the magnetization isotherms of DyFe₄Ge₂ measured at 2, 5, 10 and 20 K. A weak ferromagnetic signature seen in all M(H) data reflects the presence of a minor ferromagnetic impurity Fe_{1-x}Ge_x. The magnetization at 2 K increases slowly below 20 kOe suggesting antiferromagnetic ground state. With a further increase of the field, when the first critical field (H_{cl}) is reached, the magnetization exhibits a metamagnetic transition. Following the first, relatively sharp step-like transition, there is a second and broader field-induced metamagnetic transition (H_{c2}) above 60 kOe. It is worth to note that both transitions show hysteresis confirming their first-order nature. In addition, during the second increase of the magnetic field, the magnetization curve does not follow the virgin magnetization path at 2 K. It reaches the first step and first saturation faster than the virgin magnetization curve, but the demagnetization path is the same in these two processes. At 20 K, the second magnetization curve (including the demagnetization curve), as shown in Fig. 7, becomes identical to the virgin field-increasing measurement.

Thus, the field induced metamagnetic transition in $DyFe_4Ge_2$ is fully reversible above 20 K. Similar change of the envelope *M*-*H* curves at different temperatures was observed in Gd₅Ge₄ and a freezing/unfreezing transition into a magnetic glass-like state was suggested to explain this behavior.^{38,39,40,41} Considering the metastabilities observed in the low temperature state of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ (Fig. 4) and the isothermal magnetization results, the T_{f3} transition at 15 K may be a "freezing" point. Below this temperature, the system is in the frozen state whose boundaries overlap with the H_{cl} transition, therefore, the metamagnetic transition is only partially reversible. Above this point, the glass state is thermally removed and the metamagnetic transition becomes fully reversible. In addition, similar field induced magnetic transitions with strong hysteresis were also observed in Gd₅Ge₄ and Dy₅Si₃Ge due to the first order magnetostructural phase transitions.^{38,42} Therefore, the possibility of a magnetic field-induced, structural phase transition in DyFe₄Ge₂ cannot be ruled out. In addition, we notice that the magnetization is not saturated (it remains just about 7.2 μ_B /f.u.) even at 140 kOe indicating possible ferrimagnetic state at this field, where Dy and Fe moments remain antiparallel.

Figure 8 shows the field dependence of magnetization of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ measured from 30 to 80 K. The magnetic field-induced transitions are observed up to 50 K in the *M(H)* data.

The observed metamagnetic transitions are relatively smooth. The hysteresis at the first metamagnetic transition (H_{c1}) is gradually reduced as the temperature increases, and finally disappears at ~ 40 K. For the second transition (H_{c2}), the hysteresis disappears between 10 K and 20 K (see Fig. 7).

As a further characterization of the magnetic glass-like state in DyFe₄Ge₂, the thermoremanent magnetization (TRM) as a function of time measured at 5 and 15 K is shown in Fig. 9. For this measurement, the sample was 1) cooled from 300 K to the desired temperature in zero field; 2) magnetic field of 1000 Oe was applied for 1000 s; and 3)the field was switched off and remanent magnetization was then recorded as a function of time. It is observed that the M(t) decay is remarkably slow and nonzero remanence exists after 6 hours. The remanence and the long-time magnetic relaxation effects are the characteristic features of magnetic glasses. In addition, the time dependence of M(t) fits to the logarithmic time dependence, $M(t)=M_0(T)-S(T) \ln(t+t_0)$, typically observed in metallic spin glasses was determined. The values for the two temperature dependent fitting parameters are $M_0(T) = 0.583$ and 0.301 emu/g, $S(T) = 1.965 \times 10^{-3}$ and 1.255×10^{-3} emu/g, and $t_0 = 62$ and 84 s, for 5 and 15 K, respectively.

C. Heat capacity

The heat capacity of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ was measured on heating under different magnetic fields (0, 1, 10, 30, 50 kOe), as shown in Fig. 10. Multiple magnetic phase transitions in the compound are clearly seen. In most cases, the anomalies in the heat capacity data coincide with the corresponding transitions seen in the magnetization data. However, no

signature of a magnetic transition at T_{f3} =15 K is found in the $C_P(T)$ curves. This too, is in agreement with the previous "freezing" point observations because this transition is generally not manifested as a distinct anomaly on C_P plot.⁴³

Further, we note that the applied magnetic field strongly suppresses the anomalies both at the T_{f1} and T_{f2} , as shown in the insets of Fig. 10. A small kink at T_{f2} shifts to lower temperature in 10 kOe, and then disappears for H=30 kOe. For the transition at T_{f1} , the peak almost does not change below 10 kOe field, but it also disappears when the field is greater than 30 kOe. The heat capacity peak at T_N becomes progressively less sharp, broadened and shifts towards lower temperature, as shown in Fig. 10 by the arrow, confirming the antiferromagnetic ordering at this transition.

Our results show that the antiferromagnetic ordering temperature is 62 K, which is higher than the value observed by neutron diffraction (55 K²⁰). In addition, other two transitions T_{f1} and T_{f2} observed at 45 K and 28 K in both the low temperature XRD and neutron diffraction were claimed to be first-order nature. Based on our findings, the phase transition at T_{f2} =32 K is indeed of first-order nature as the magnetization curves between FCC and FCW clearly exhibit thermomagnetic irreversibility. However, the transition at T_{f1} (52 K, corresponding to the transition at 45 K in neutron diffraction result) cannot be classified with certainty as first-order because no thermal hysteresis is observed. On the other hand, both $C_P(T)$ anomalies observed at T_{f1} and T_{f2} , and their behavior with magnetic field are very similar. The magnetocaloric effect estimated using both the M(H)data and the heat capacity data is small ($\Delta S_M \leq -1.8 \text{ J/kg K}$) for all of the transitions, i.e. at T_{f1} , T_{f2} , and T_N .

D. XRD measurements

Temperature-dependent XRD measurements show that the antiferromagnetic transition at $T_{\rm N}$ is coupled with a structural transition from $P4_2/mnm$ to Cmmm, which agrees with the result of Schobinger-Papamantellos et al.^{18,20} Fig. 11 presents the temperature dependencies of lattice parameters and unit-cell volume of DyFe₄Ge₂, measured during cooling of the sample in zero field. The thermal strain along a and c axis varies nearly linearly above 65 K and the coefficients of thermal expansion are $\alpha_a = 9.79 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$, and $\alpha_c = 1.51 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1}$. Below ~60 K the tetragonal lattice begins to distort into the orthorhombic one as seen by the difference between the a and b unit cell dimensions (the identical a and b unit cell dimensions in the tetragonal are shown as $a\sqrt{2}$ in Fig. 10 to allow direct comparison): b decreases and a increases rapidly upon lowering the temperature. At the same time no volume discontinuity has been observed (or at least the discontinuity is smaller than the sensitivity limit, ~40-80 ppm, of our experiment), and therefore the transition at $T_{\rm N}$ is either second order or very weak first order, which is consistent with the absence of hysteresis in magnetization data. We also note that the accuracy of our powder diffraction data is insufficient to detect volume discontinuity at T_{fl} , which is the first order phase transition.

IV. THEORETICAL INVESTIGATIONS

In order to better understand the magnetism and structure of DyFe₄Ge₂, we have performed first principles electronic structure calculations using the local spin density approximation including Hubbard onsite parameter (LSDA+U)⁴⁴ approach within the tight binding linear muffin tin orbital (TB-LMTO) band structure method.^{45,46} Since the Coulomb repulsion between 4*f* electrons (*U*) and exchange interaction between localized 4*f* electrons (*J*) are not known for this system, we have employed U=6.7 eV and J=0.7 eV – well known parameters for Gd atoms⁴⁴ in elemental gadolinium and Gd-based materials – also for Dy atoms as model parameters in DyFe₄Ge₂. This approach has been successfully applied for rare earth-based magnetic systems, we refer readers to some of our recent publications.^{47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56}

Our low temperature XRD experimental results indicate that DyFe₄Ge₂ undergoes a transformation from the high temperature paramagnetic (PM) tetragonal ($P4_2/mnm$) to the low temperature antiferromagnetic (AFM) orthorhombic (*Cmmm*) structure at ~60 K. Here we have performed two sets of the electronic structure calculations. The first set of calculations is using the tetragonal structure with atomic positions and lattice constants determined at 65 K and the second set is using the orthorhombic structure with atomic positions and lattice constants determined at 10 K. These structural parameters are quite similar to those reported earlier in Ref.18. It should be mentioned here that each independent atom [i.e., Dy (2b), Fe (8i), and Ge (4g)] splits into two non equivalent atoms [i.e., Dy1 (2d) and Dy2 (2b), Fe1 (8p) and Fe2 (8q), Ge1 (4g) and Ge2 (4j)] when the tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂ transforms to the orthorhombic DyFe₄Ge₂.

Figure 12 shows conduction electron (*spd*) density of states of DyFe₄Ge₂ around the Fermi level in the tetragonal ($P4_2/mnm$) structure. The paramagnetic density of states just above the Fermi level splits into spin up at ~0.75 eV and spin down at ~-0.5 eV peaks in the spin polarized calculations indicating a large band splitting energy of ~1.25 eV. Here, the density of states peaks and the large band splitting is mainly contributed by Fe when the 3*d* states of Fe hybridize with 5*d* states of Dy. Of course, the 5*d* electrons of Dy spin are polarized due to the indirect 4*f*-4*f* exchange in DyFe₄Ge₂. This Fe band splitting introduces an imbalance in the spin up and spin down density of states giving rise to Fe 3*d* magnetic moment of -1.35 μ_B . The Fe 3*d* moment is negative because the heavy lanthanide and transition metal spins align antiparallel to each other giving rise to spin up Dy 5*d* and spin down Fe 3*d* hybridization. Since the *s* and *p* bands are quite broad compared to the 3*d* bands, the *s* and *p* band splitting is negligible, contributing nearly zero *s* and *p* moments.

Figure 13 shows 4*f* density of states of Dy in tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂. The spin up density of states are split into five distinct bands and located around -8 eV and the unoccupied spin down density of states are also split and are located around 2 eV. This splitting is due to the crystalline electric field effect arising from the anisotropic 4*f* charge densities. The occupied spin down density of states are centered at ~-4.35 eV. The difference between the integrated spin up and spin down 4*f* states up to the Fermi level gives rise to the 4*f* spin moment of 4.95 μ_B . It should be mentioned here that the orbital moment contributed from the half-filled 4*f* orbitals is 5 μ_B . Therefore, the total 4*f* moment of Dy is 9.95 μ_B in the tetragonal (*P*4₂/*mnm*) DyFe₄Ge₂. The indirect 4*f*-4*f* exchange, commonly known as Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interactions, spin polarize the conduction (mainly 5*d*) electrons, resulting in a 5*d* moment of Dy totaling 0.27 μ_B in tetragonal

DyFe₄Ge₂. Since the *s* and *p* states are quite broad, the spin polarized *s* and *p* moments due the indirect 4f-4f exchange are less than 0.05 $\mu_{\rm B}$.

Our temperature dependent XRD results and the previous neutron diffraction experiments show that the low temperature crystal structure of DyFe₄Ge₂ is orthorhombic. It orders antiferromagnetically below 62 K. The two sublattices Dy1 and Dy2 align antiparallel. Here we have performed antiferromagnetic calculations with this alignment. In Fig. 14, we show 3d density of Fe in this structure which is different from that of the Fe in the tetragonal structure (compare Figs. 12 and 14). Although the paramagnetic peak just above the Fermi level looks similar in both structures, the spin up peak appears close to the DOS peak in the paramagnetic state. The spin down peak at ~-0.5 eV in the tetragonal structure is no longer present in the orthorhombic structure. These results indicate that the crystallographic change brings significant change in the local density of states of the transition metal component of this rare earth containing compound. The change in the crystal and magnetic structure, which brings change in the integrated spin up and spin down densities of states gives rise to -1.14 μ_B 3d moment for Fe, which is 16% smaller compared to the Fe moment in the tetragonal $DyFe_4Ge_2$. The s and p moments remain negligible as in the tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂.

The 4*f* density of states of Dy in the antiferromagnetic orthorhombic DyFe₄Ge₂ (Fig. 15) and in ferrimagnetic tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂ (Fig. 13) is quite similar. The only difference is that the unoccupied 4*f* states split into a greater number of states in the antiferromagnetic orthorhombic DyFe₄Ge₂. Furthermore, the 4*f* spin moment of Dy in orthorhombic DyFe₄Ge₂ is 4.96 μ_B , which is identical to the Dy 4*f* spin moment in the tetragonal

DyFe₄Ge₂. This indicates that the 4*f* local moments in the two different crystal and magnetic structures are identical which is not unusual because in both tetragonal and orthorhombic crystal structures the 4*f* moments are localized well below the Fermi level. But the spin polarized 5*d* moment in the Dy in the antiferromagnetic orthorhombic DyFe₄Ge₂ is 0.17 μ_B , which is 37 % smaller than the 5*d* moments of Dy in the ferrimagnetic tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂. This shows that when DyFe₄Ge₂ transforms from ferrimagnetic tetragonal to the antiferromagnetic orthorhombic structure, the 5*d* spin polarization due to the indirect 4*f*-4*f* exchange is significantly reduced because of the rearrangement of the *spd* density of states around the Fermi level, which may be the reason for magnetic state and crystal structure change in this compound.

The paramagnetic total energy is lower by 101.8 meV/cell compared to the ferrimagnetic total energy in the tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂, which indicates the stability of the paramagnetic tetragonal state in this structure. The tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂ is indeed paramagnetic experimentally. On the other hand, the ferrimagnetic total energy is lower than the antiferromagnetic total energy in the orthorhombic structure of DyFe₄Ge₂. Experimentally, the ferrimagnetic state is the stable state with the application of magnetic field but the zero magnetic field state is antiferromagnetic. Since these total energy calculations are performed assuming collinear alignment of Dy spins without imposing initially the moments on Fe atoms, it is not surprising that the calculated magnetic ground state matches the state which is stable with the application of magnetic field. Although initially we do not impose any moments on Fe, after self consistent electronic structure calculations the Fe moments become negative (coupling antiparallel to the Dy moments)

in both ferrimagnetic tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂ and orthorhombic ferrimagnetic or antiferromagnetic DyFe₄Ge₂. As pointed out earlier these Fe moments are due to the hybridization of Fe 3*d* with Dy 5*d*, which are spin polarized by the indirect 4f-4fexchange.

V. Phase diagram

Using the results of the ac and dc magnetic measurements, heat capacity of the bulk sample, and x-ray powder diffraction studies, the magnetic and structural phase diagram was constructed, see Fig. 16. The structure of the high temperature paramagnetic phase is tetragonal. In the ordered state, four different (antiferromagnetic) regions can be distinguished, as denoted by the frozen (FS), AFM I, AFM II and AFM III states, respectively when the magnetic field is below H_{cl} . All of these states have the orthorhombic crystal structure. The first critical filed, H_{cl} , corresponds to the fieldinduced first-order magnetic transition from the frozen, AFM I or AFM II phases to the AFM III phase. The crystal structure of AFM III is assumed to be orthorhombic based on the low magnetic field behaviors of the AFM III phase, but this needs to be verified. The second critical filed H_{c2} indicates a second metamagnetic phase transition from the AFM III phase to the ferrimagnetic (FIM) state. At present, the crystal structure of the ferrimagnetic state is unknown.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Detailed experimental investigations of the magnetization and heat capacity of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ indicate the existence of four magnetic phase transitions: the antiferromangetic ordering at 62 K followed by three transitions at 52 (T_{fl}) , 32 K (T_{f2}) , and 15 K (T_{f3}) . The transition at T_{f2} is marked by a strong thermal hysteresis in low field M(T) measurements. The two low temperature transitions (T_{f1}, T_{f2}) are due to spin reorientations of the Dy and Fe sublattices, and the high temperature transition is an order-disorder one. The absence of the anomaly around freezing point T_{f3} in the temperature dependent heat capacity and the very slow logarithmic decay of the remanence reveal a reentrant magnetic glassy state that exists at temperatures below T_{f3} . Two field-induced step-like metamagnetic phase transitions have been observed in M(H) measurements between 2 and 50 K. They both exhibit field hysteresis, indicating their first-order nature; the exact nature of these transitions requires further investigation. The first principles electronic structure calculations show that the indirect 4f-4f exchange spin polarizes 5d Dy and the hybridization between spin up Dy 5d and spin down Fe 3d gives rise to antiparallel Dy and Fe moments in both tetragonal and orthorhombic structures of DyFe₄Ge₂. The paramagnetic tetragonal structure of DyFe₄Ge₂ is the stable structure in the paramagnetic state. The calculations with collinear Dy arrangements show ferrimagnetic orthorhombic DyFe₄Ge₂ as the ground state structure, which is experimentally the stable structure in an applied magnetic field.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Science, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering. The research was performed at the Ames Laboratory operated for the U. S. Department of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358.

REFERENCES

- ¹ K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., V. K. Pecharsky, and A. O. Tsokol, Rep. Prog. Phys. **68**, 1479 (2005).
- ² L. Morellon, P. A. Algarabel, M. R. Ibarra, J. Blasco, B. Garcia-Landa, Z. Arnold, and F. Albertini, Phys. Rev. B **58**, R14721 (1998).
- ³ E. M. Levin, V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. B **60**, 7993 (1999).
- ⁴ V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4494 (1997).
- ⁵ V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Appl. Phys. Lett. **70**, 3299 (1997).
- ⁶ V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 200, 44 (1997).
- ⁷ A. Giguere, M. Foldeaki, B. Ravi Gopal, R. Chahine, T. K. Bose, A. Frydman, and J.
 A. Barclay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2262 (1999).
- ⁸ K. A. Gschneidner Jr., V. K. Pecharsky, E. Brück, H. G. M. Duijn, and E. M. Levin, Phys Rev. Lett. **85**, 4190 (2000).
- ⁹ W. Choe, V. K. Pecharsky, A. O. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., V.G. Young, Jr., and G.J. Miller, Phys. Rev. Lett., **84**, 4617 (2000).
- ¹⁰ V. K. Pecharsky, A. P. Holm, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and R. Rink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 197204 (2003).
- ¹¹ H. Wada, and Y. Tanabe, Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 3302 (2001).
- ¹² O. Tegusa, E. Brück, L. Zhang, Dagula, K. H. J. Buschow, and F. R. de Boer, Physica B, **319**, 174 (2002).

¹³ J. B. A. Hamer, R. Daou, S. Özcan, N. D. Mathur, D. J. Fray, and K. G. Sandeman, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **321**, 3535 (2009).

¹⁴ S. Fuijeda, A. Fujita, and K. Fukamichi, Appl.Phys.Lett. **81**, 1276 (2002).

¹⁵ P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, G. André, C. H. de Groot, F.R.

de Boer, and K. H. J. Buschow, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 191, 261 (1999).

¹⁶ P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, G. André , N. P. Duong, K. H. J.

Buschow, and P.Tolédano, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 236, 14 (2001).

¹⁷ P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, G. André, C. Ritter, and K. H. J.
Buschow, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 280, 119 (2004).

¹⁸ P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, K. H. J. Buschow, E. Dooryhee, and A. N. Fitch, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **300**, 315 (2006).

¹⁹ P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, K. H. J. Buschow, and J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **324**, 3709 (2012).

²⁰ P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, G. André, and K.H.J. Buschow,
J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **300**, 333 (2006).

²¹ O. Ya. Oleksyn, Yu. K. Gorelenko, and O. I. Bodak, in *Proceedings of the 10th international Conference on Solid Compounds of Transition Elements*, Münster, (1991).

²² A. M. Mulders, P. C. M. Gubbens, Q. A. Li, F. R. de Boer, and K. H. J. Buschow, J. Alloys Compd. **221**, 133 (1995).

²³ P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, and K. H. J. Buschow, J. Magn.
Magn. Mater. **310**, 63 (2007).

- ²⁴ P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, G. André, K. H. J. Buschow, E. Dooryhee, and A. N. Fitch, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **250**, 225 (2002).
- ²⁵ P. C. M. Gubbens, B. D. van Dijk, A. M. Mulders, S. J. Harker, and K. H. J. Buschow,
 J. Alloys Compd. **319**, 1 (2001).
- ²⁶ F. Canepa, S. Cirafici, F. Merlo, M. Pani, L. Carpaneto, and M. R. Cimberle, J. Alloys Compd. **266**, 26 (1998).
- ²⁷ V. K. Pecharsky, J. O. Moorman, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 68, 4196 (1997).
- ²⁸ B. Hunter, Rietica—A Visual Rietveld Program, International Union of
- Crystallography Commission on Powder Diffraction Newsletter, No. 20 (1998).
- ²⁹ A. Szytula, S. Baran, J. Leciejewicz, B. Penc, N. Stüsser, Y. Ding, A. Zygmunt, and J. Zukrowski, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **9**, 6781 (1997).
- ³⁰ P. A. Joy, and S. K. Date, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **218**, 229 (2000).
- ³¹ K. Binder, and A. P. Young, Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 801 (1986).
- ³² N. K. Singh, S. Agarwal, K. G. Suresh, R. Nirmala, A. K. Nigam, and S. K. Malik, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 014452 (2005).
- ³³ L. Wang, C. Marquina, M. R. Ibarra, and G. H. Wu, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 094436 (2006).
- ³⁴ S. B. Roy, A. K. Pradhan, P. Chaddah, and E. V. Sampathkumaran, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **9**, 2465 (1997).
- ³⁵ A. Tanaka, T. Tsutaoka, and T. Shigeoka, Physica B, **403**, 3248 (2008).
- ³⁶ R. Nirmala, Ya. Mudryk, V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. B **76**, 014407 (2007).

- ³⁷ Niraj K. Singh, K. G. Suresh, R. Nirmala, A. K. Nigam, and S. K. Malik, J. Appl. Phys. **101**, 093904 (2007).
- ³⁸ Z. W. Ouyang, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, D. L. Schlagel, and T. A. Lograsso, Phys. Rev.B. **74**, 024401 (2006).
- ³⁹ M. K. Chattopadhyay, M. A. Manekar, A. O. Pecharsky, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A.
- Gschneidner, J. Moore, G. K. Perkins, Y. V. Bugoslavsky, S. B. Roy, P. Chaddah, and L.
- F. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 70, 214421 (2004).
- ⁴⁰ S. B. Roy, M. K. Chattopadhyay, P. Chaddah, J. D. Moore, G. K. Perkins, L. F.
- Cohen, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and V. K. Pecharsky, Phys. Rev. B 74, 012403 (2006).
- ⁴¹ V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Pure Appl.Chem. **79**, 1383 (2007).
- ⁴² R. Nirmala, Ya. Mudryk, V. K. Pecharsky and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. B
 76, 104417 (2007).
- ⁴³ H. Tang, V. K. Pecharsky, K.A. Gschneidner, Jr., and A. O. Pecharsky, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 064410 (2004).
- ⁴⁴ V. I. Anisimov, F. Aryasetiawan, and A. I. Lichtenstein, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 9, 767 (1997).
- ⁴⁵ O. K. Andersen, and O. Jepsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2571 (1984).
- ⁴⁶ O. K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 3060 (1975).
- ⁴⁷ D. Paudyal, Y. Mudryk, V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. B **84**, 014421 (2011).
- ⁴⁸ M. Khan, D. Paudyal, Y. Mudryk, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and V. K. Pecharsky, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 134437 (2011).

- ⁴⁹ D. Paudyal, Ya. Mudryk, V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. B 82, 144413 (2010).
- ⁵⁰ Y. Mudryk, D. Paudyal, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., S. Misra, and G. J. Miller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 066401 (2010).
- ⁵¹ N. K. Singh, D. Paudyal, Ya. Mudryk, V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. B **79**, 094115 (2009).
- ⁵² D. Paudyal, Y. Mudryk, Y. B. Lee, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and B. N. Harmon, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 184436 (2008).
- ⁵³ D. Paudyal, V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., J. Phys. Condens. Matter **20**, 235235 (2008).
- ⁵⁴ Ya. Mudryk, D. Paudyal, V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. B 77, 024408 (2008).
- ⁵⁵ D. Paudyal, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and B. N. Harmon, Phys. Rev. B 75, 094427 (2007).
- ⁵⁶ D. Paudyal, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and B. N. Harmon, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 144406 (2006).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIG. 1 (Color online) (a) Crystal structure of the tetragonal $DyFe_4Ge_2$ at room temperature (unit cell is shown using solid lines). The dashed lines delineate the unit cell of the orthorhombic low temperature structure of $DyFe_4Ge_2$. (b) Projection onto the (001) plane of the tetragonal $DyFe_4Ge_2$.

FIG. 2 (Color online) The Rietveld refined room-temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of DyFe₄Ge₂. In The open circles represent experimental data points whereas the lines represent the calculated pattern. The difference I_{obs} - I_{calc} is shown at the bottom of the plot. Vertical bars under the patterns indicate the calculated positions of Bragg peaks of the main phase DyFe₄Ge₂ and minor phases Fe and DyFe₂Ge₂. The inset is a backscattered electron image of DyFe₄Ge₂ specimen after polishing.

FIG. 3 (Color online) Temperature dependencies of the magnetization of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ measured in 1 kOe applied magnetic field upon ZFC heating, FC cooling and FC warming. The inset is the temperature dependence of the first derivative of the magnetization with respect to temperature (dM/dT) under ZFC, FCC and FCW conditions.

FIG. 4 (Color online) Path dependence of the magnetization (M) of zero-field cooled DyFe₄Ge₂.

FIG. 5 (Color online) Temperature dependencies of the magnetization of DyFe₄Ge₂ measured in 10, 15, 20 and 25 kOe applied magnetic fields upon ZFC heating, FC cooling and FC warming conditions and 30 and 50 kOe applied magnetic field upon ZFC heating and FC cooling conditions. Inset of panel (f) shows the temperature dependence of the first derivative of the magnetization with respect to temperature (dM/dT) in ZFC conditions in 10, 30 and 50 kOe.

FIG. 6 (Color online) Temperature dependencies of the real component of ac susceptibility (χ ') of DyFe₄Ge₂ collected in a 5 Oe ac field, zero dc field and frequencies from 1 to 1000 Hz. Inset (a) is the expanded view of the details of the region around the T_{f2} transition. Inset (b) is the ac susceptibility curve measured in the presence of 1 kOe bias dc magnetic field.

FIG. 7 (Color online) Magnetization isotherms of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ measured in applied magnetic fields from 0 to 140 kOe at 2, 10, 15 and 20 K. A weak ferromagnetic significance seen below 10 kOe is due to the presence of about 2 wt% of Fe_{1-x}Ge_x.

FIG. 8 (Color online) Magnetization isotherms of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ measured in applied magnetic fields from 0 to 140 kOe from 20 to 80 K. A weak ferromagnetic significance seen below 10 kOe is due to the presence of about 2 wt% of Fe_{1-x}Ge_x.

Fig. 9. (Color online) Isothermal remanent magnetization as a function of time measured at 5 and 15 K, respectively. The solid line represents the logarithmic fit of the decay.

FIG. 10 (Color online) Temperature dependencies of the heat capacity (C_P) of DyFe₄Ge₂ measured in magnetic fields from 0 to 50 kOe. The insets (a) and (b) show the expanded view of the details of the regions around the first two transitions.

FIG. 11 (Color online) The variation of lattice parameters a, b (a), and c (b) and unit-cell volume V (c) of DyFe₄Ge₂ with temperature from 5 K to 300 K.

FIG. 12 (Color online) The paramagnetic and spin polarized conduction electron (spd) density of states of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ in the tetragonal ($P4_2/mnm$) structure around the Fermi level.

FIG. 13 (Color online) The 4f density of states of Dy in the tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂.

FIG. 14 (Color online) The paramagnetic and spin polarized conduction electron (spd) density of states around the Fermi level of Fe in orthorhombic (*Cmmm*) DyFe₄Ge₂.

FIG. 15 (Color online) The 4f density of states of Dy in the antiferromagnetic orthorhombic $DyFe_4Ge_2$.

FIG. 16 (Color online) The temperature-magnetic-field phase diagram of DyFe₄Ge₂.



FIG. 1 (a) Crystal structure of the tetragonal $DyFe_4Ge_2$ at room temperature (unit cell is shown using solid lines). The dashed line is the unit cell of the orthorhombic low temperature structure of $DyFe_4Ge_2$. (b) Projection onto the (001) plane of the tetragonal $DyFe_4Ge_2$.



FIG. 2 The Rietveld refined room-temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of $DyFe_4Ge_2$. In this figure, the open circles represent experimental data points whereas the lines represent the calculated pattern. The difference I_{obs} - I_{calc} is shown at the bottom of the plot. Vertical bars under the patterns indicate the calculated positions of Bragg peaks of the main phase $DyFe_4Ge_2$ and minor phases Fe and $DyFe_2Ge_2$. The inset is BSE images of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ specimen after polishing.



FIG. 3 Temperature dependencies of the magnetization of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ measured in 1 kOe applied magnetic field upon ZFC heating, FC cooling and FC warming. The inset is the temperature dependence of the first derivative of the magnetization with respect to temperature (dM/dT) upon ZFC, FCC and FCW conditions.



FIG. 4 Path dependence of the magnetization (M) of zero-field cooled DyFe₄Ge₂.



FIG. 5 Temperature dependencies of the magnetization of DyFe₄Ge₂ measured in 10, 15, 20 and 25 kOe applied magnetic field upon ZFC heating, FC cooling and FC warming conditions and 30 and 50 kOe applied magnetic field upon ZFC heating and FC cooling conditions. Inset of panel (f) shows the temperature dependence of the first derivative of the magnetization with respect to temperature (dM/dT) upon ZFC conditions in 10, 30 and 50 kOe.



FIG. 6 Temperature dependencies of the real component of ac susceptibility (χ ') of DyFe₄Ge₂ collected in an ac field 5 Oe , zero dc field and frequencies from 1 to 1000 Hz. Inset (a) is the expanded view of the details of the region around the T_{f2} transition. Inset (b) is the ac susceptibility curve measured in the presence of 1 kOe bias dc magnetic field.



FIG. 7 Magnetization isotherms of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ measured in applied magnetic field from 0 to 140 kOe at 2, 10, 15 and 20 K. A weak ferromagnetic significance seen below 10 kOe is due to the presence of about 2 wt% of $Fe_{1-x}Ge_x$.



FIG. 8 Magnetization isotherms of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ measured with applied magnetic field from 0 to 140 kOe from 20 to 80 K. A weak ferromagnetic significance seen below 10 kOe is due to the presence of about 2 wt% of $Fe_{1-x}Ge_x$.



Fig. 9 Isothermal remanent magnetization as a function of time measured at 5 and 15 K, respectively. The solid line represents the logarithmic fit of the decay.



FIG. 10 Temperature dependencies of the heat capacity (C_P) of DyFe₄Ge₂ collected under magnetic field from 0 to 50 kOe. The insets (a) and (b) show the expanded view of the details of the regions around the first two transitions.



FIG. 11 The variation of lattice parameters a, b (a), and c (b) and unit-cell volume V (c) of DyFe₄Ge₂ with temperature in range from 5 K to 300 K.



FIG. 12 The paramagnetic and spin polarized conduction electron (spd) density of states of $DyFe_4Ge_2$ in tetragonal ($P4_2/mnm$) structure around the Fermi level.



FIG. 13 The 4f density of states of Dy in the tetragonal DyFe₄Ge₂.



FIG. 14 The paramagnetic and spin polarized conduction electron (spd) density of states around the Fermi level of Fe in the orthorhombic (*Cmmm*) DyFe₄Ge₂.



FIG. 15 The 4f density of states of Dy in the antiferromagnetic orthorhombic DyFe₄Ge₂.



FIG. 16 The temperature-magnetic-field phase diagram of DyFe₄Ge₂ compound.