



CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

p6 chiral resonating valence bonds in the kagome antiferromagnet

Sylvain Capponi, V. Ravi Chandra, Assa Auerbach, and Marvin Weinstein

Phys. Rev. B **87**, 161118 — Published 26 April 2013

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.87.161118](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.161118)

$p6$ - Chiral Resonating Valence Bonds in the Kagomé Antiferromagnet

Sylvain Capponi¹, V. Ravi Chandra^{2,3}, Assa Auerbach², and Marvin Weinstein⁴

¹ *Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Université de Toulouse and CNRS, UPS (IRSAMC), F-31062, Toulouse, France*

² *Physics Department, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel*

³ *School of Physical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research, Institute of Physics Campus, Bhubaneswar, 751005, India and*

⁴ *SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA 94025, USA.*

(Dated: March 13, 2013)

The Kagomé Heisenberg antiferromagnet is mapped onto an effective Hamiltonian on the star superlattice by Contractor Renormalization. Comparison of ground state energies on large lattices to Density Matrix Renormalization Group justifies truncation of effective interactions at range 3 (36 sites). Within our accuracy, magnetic and translational symmetries are not broken (i.e. a spin liquid ground state). However, we discover doublet spectral degeneracies which signal the onset of $p6$ -chirality symmetry breaking. This is understood by simple mean field analysis. Experimentally, the $p6$ chiral order parameter should split the optical phonon degeneracy near the zone center. Addition of weak next to nearest neighbor coupling is discussed.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.40.Mg

The antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on the Kagomé lattice

$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j, \quad J > 0, \quad S = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (1)$$

is a much studied paradigm for frustrated quantum magnetism. In the classical approximation $S \rightarrow \infty$, this model exhibits macroscopic ground state degeneracy which encumbers semiclassical approximations. There is evidence, both numerical and experimental (in $\text{ZnCu}_3(\text{OH})_6\text{Cl}_2$ [1]), that quantum fluctuations lead to a paramagnetic “spin liquid” ground state [2].

Exact diagonalization studies (ED) [3], and ED in the variational dimer singlets subspace [4], have not approached the thermodynamic limit, due to severe computer memory limitations. Many methods have proposed paramagnetic ground states, including lattice symmetry breaking “valence bonds crystals” [5–8], algebraic spin liquids [9] and a time reversal symmetry breaking, chiral spin liquid [10].

To date, the lowest energy on long cylinders has been found by Density Matrix Renormalization Group DMRG [11, 12]. The DMRG ground state is a translationally invariant singlet, with apparently no broken translational or rotational symmetries. This state is consistent with a resonating valence bonds (RVB) state [13] with a spin gap $\Delta_{S=1} = 0.13$, (henceforth we express energies in units of J), and \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order [12, 14]. It is still unclear however, what are the low-energy singlet excitations of this state [11, 15], and whether or not any other symmetry of \mathcal{H} may be broken in the infinite two dimensional limit.

This paper reports a surprising result: *The thermodynamic ground state appears to break reflection symmetries, and to possess two dimensional $p6$ chirality*, (– not to be confused with “spin chirality” which also breaks




FIG. 1: The CORE-blocking scheme on the Kagomé lattice. R and L denote the two pinwheel ground states of the 12 site stars, and the arrows (pseudospins) denote the symmetrized Ising basis which spans the reduced Hilbert space of H^{CORE} , see Eq. (2).

time reversal symmetry [10]). Our conclusion is obtained by Contractor Renormalization (CORE) [16] with 12-site stars blocking, see Fig. 1. the stars scheme is found to reach sufficient accuracy with range-3 (36 sites) interactions. This is evidenced by comparing ground state energies of the effective Hamiltonian H^{CORE_3} , to high precision DMRG on large lattices. The small modulation of bond energies is consistent, within our accuracy, with a translationally invariant singlet state as deduced by DMRG [11, 12].

H^{CORE_3} is diagonalized on up to 27 stars (effectively 324 Kagomé sites). The spectra exhibit doublet degeneracies between states with opposite parity under reflection [17]. These signal a hitherto unexpected spontaneous symmetry breaking in the thermodynamic limit into a chiral ground state. This chirality is understood as the effect of three-star interactions. Classical mean field theory on the effective hamiltonian explains this symmetry breaking. A two-dimer chirality order parameter is defined on the microscopic Kagomé model. We propose

an experimental signature of this broken symmetry in the phonon spectrum: a splitting of symmetry-protected degeneracy between two zone center optical modes [18].

Finally, we add weak ferromagnetic next nearest neighbor interactions J_2 , and find that it eliminates the chirality at $J_2 \approx -0.1$.

CORE procedure. Previous CORE calculations for the Kagomé model [19, 20] started with up-triangles blocking, and did not reach sufficient convergence at range 3. Here we use much larger and more symmetric blocks of 12 site (Magen David) stars which form a triangular superlattice. In each star, we retain just the two degenerate singlet ground states $|L_i\rangle$ and $|R_i\rangle$, depicted in Fig. 1 which form a pseudospin-1/2 basis:

$$\begin{aligned} |\uparrow_i\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+1/16}}(|R_i\rangle + |L_i\rangle), \\ |\downarrow_i\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2-1/16}}(|R_i\rangle - |L_i\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Note that the two states are C_6 -invariant, and have opposite parity under all D_6 reflections.

The CORE effective Hamiltonian on a superlattice of size N_s stars is defined by the cluster expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{CORE} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} h_i^{(1)} + \sum_{i_1 i_2} h_{i_1, i_2}^{(2)} + \dots + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{N_s}} h_{i_1, \dots, i_{N_s}}^{(N_s)}, \\ h_\alpha^{(n)} &\equiv H_\alpha^{(n)} - \sum_{m < n} \sum_{\beta(m) \in \alpha(n)} h_\beta^{(m)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here $\beta(m)$ is a connected subcluster of size m in a cluster $\alpha(n)$ of size n stars, and $h^{(n)}$ is defined to be an interaction of range- n . The operators $H_\alpha^{(n)}$ are constructed by ED of Eq. (1) on a Kagomé cluster α :

$$H_\alpha^{(n)} = \sum_{\nu}^{2^n} \epsilon_\nu^\alpha |\tilde{\Psi}_\nu^\alpha\rangle \langle \tilde{\Psi}_\nu^\alpha| \quad (4)$$

Here $(\epsilon_\nu^\alpha, \Psi_\nu^\alpha)$ are the exact 2^n lowest singlet energies and wavefunctions. The states $|\tilde{\Psi}_\nu^\alpha\rangle$ are an orthogonal basis constructed by sequential projections of $|\Psi_\nu^\alpha\rangle, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ onto the pseudospin states. After projection, the states are orthogonalized sequentially by using the Gram-Schmidt procedure.

If interactions of all ranges $n \leq N_s$ are included, then H^{CORE} has the identical low energy singlet spectrum as Eq. (1) on the equivalent Kagomé lattice. However, ED cost to compute $h^{(n)}$ grows exponentially with n . Thus, the success of CORE depends on the ability to truncate the cluster expansion at feasible n while maintaining sufficient accuracy in the truncated Hamiltonian.

The error in the ground state energy $\delta E_0^{CORE_n}$ can be computed by comparison to high-precision DMRG on large lattices with $m > n$ stars. This error should be much smaller than the important interactions in H^{CORE_n} .

	c_0	h	J^x	J^y	J^z
ED	-6.26391	0.13818	0.00713	-0.00105	-0.00045
PT	-5.268	0.046	0	-0.00025	-0.00175

TABLE I: Parameters of CORE range-2 Hamiltonian, by Exact Diagonalization, and second order Perturbation Theory [22].

Lattice translations. Our choice of stars for the reduced Hilbert space *nominally* breaks lattice translational symmetry as seen in Fig. 1. The microscopic spin correlations are computed by functional differentiation of the CORE ground state energy with respect to source terms [21]. In principle one must compute the effective interactions to all ranges to restore full translational symmetry. Nevertheless, symmetry breaking artifacts decrease with the truncation range n . We can therefore identify any spontaneous translational symmetry breaking which significantly exceeds the truncation error.

CORE range 2. We start with the lowest-order truncation at range 2. The general form of the two-star interactions allowed by lattice reflection symmetries is

$$H^{CORE_2} = Nc_0 + h \sum_i \sigma_i^z + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J^\alpha \sigma_i^\alpha \sigma_j^\alpha, \quad (5)$$

where i labels sites, $\langle ij \rangle$ nearest neighbor bonds on the triangular lattice. $\sigma^\alpha, \alpha = x, y, z$ are Pauli matrices.

The parameters derived from the lowest 4 eigenstates of 24 spins, are computed by Lanczos algorithm, and listed in Table I. It is instructive to compare the ED parameters to the second order perturbation theory (PT) in the inter-star bonds, as was calculated by Syromyatnikov and Maleyev [22]. Second order PT in the connecting bonds is not very accurate when connecting bonds have exchanges equal to 1. For example, PT misses the important J^x interactions. The dominant interaction of H^{CORE_2} is the field $h = 0.138$, which would yield in the thermodynamic system a ferromagnetic ground state polarized in the $|\downarrow\rangle$ direction. In terms of Kagomé spins, the ground state would be a product of *antisymmetric* superposition of pinwheel states, with local \uparrow fluctuations generated by the xx, yy terms.

Within $CORE_2$, the connecting bonds energy is $E_{inter} = -0.21283$, versus the intra-star bonds at $E_{intra} = -0.2225$. Interestingly, the modulation is already diminished from 100% \rightarrow 4.3% with range-2 interactions.

How accurate is H^{CORE_2} ? Unfortunately, not enough. The exact ground state energy per site of \mathcal{H} for 36 sites is $E_0^{ED} = -0.41276$ while the $CORE_2$ energy/site for three stars is $E_0^{CORE_2} = -0.4277$. The error in energy per site on the triangular lattice is $72|E_0^{ED} - E_0^{CORE_2}| = 1.0757$. This amounts to a large correction, just from Range 3, of 780% of the $CORE_2$ field term $h = 0.138$

J_2	$J_2 = 0$ (Kagomé)	$J_2 = +0.1$	$J_2 = -0.1$
c_0	-5.24629	-5.17068	-5.48631
h	-0.069224	0.059323	-0.362797
J_x	-0.009028	-0.015421	0.001123
J_y	-0.011879	0.001832	-0.017699
J_z	0.021056	0.003686	0.020141
J_{zxx}	-0.027920	-0.019649	-0.009524
J_{zyy}	0.004550	-0.004749	0.002394
J_{zzz}	0.000660	-0.001410	0.010495

TABLE II: Interaction parameters of CORE range 3, with three values of J_2 .

(see Table I). Hence, we must add the Range 3 interactions.

CORE range 3. To obtain H^{CORE_3} we compute the interactions $h^{(3)}$ on the three star triangular cluster [23]. This required ED of Eq. (1) of 36 spins with open boundary conditions (OBC). For verification, we ran both a standard Lanczos routine on a supercomputer, and the memory-economical Lanczos-SVD routine [24] on a desktop computer. Adding contributions from ranges 1–3 we obtain the following effective hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{CORE_3} = & Nc_0 + \sum_i h\sigma_i^z + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \alpha} J_{\alpha} \sigma_i^{\alpha} \sigma_j^{\alpha} \\
& + \sum_{\langle ijk \rangle_{\Delta}, \alpha} J_{z\alpha\alpha} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^{\alpha} \sigma_k^{\alpha}, \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle ijk \rangle_{\Delta}$ label nearest neighbor triangles on the triangular lattice. The interaction parameters are listed in Table II. We do not list terms that cancel in the superlattice summation with Periodic Boundary Conditions (PBC).

The magnitude of the truncated interactions is estimated by subtracting the ground state energy of H^{CORE_3} from that of high precision DMRG [25, 26] on clusters of ranges up to 15 stars. In Table III we see that these interactions contribute less than < 0.004 per site. If we extrapolated $CORE_3$ ground state energy to the thermodynamic limit, we get -0.447 which underestimates the extrapolated DMRG result -0.439 [12] by at most -0.008 per site. When we compare this estimate of the total magnitude of neglected interactions to the size of the dominant fields in H^{CORE_3} , which are h and J_{xzz} , we estimate that total neglected terms of *all ranges* > 3 are at most of order 14% of the most important interaction couplings.

The effects of the truncated interactions on the ground state depend on the energy spacing and frustration of H^{CORE_3} . We shall soon see that the latter yields a non frustrated canted ferromagnet, and excitation energies of magnitude 0.1. Therefore the neglected interactions of order 0.008 are not expected to modify the ground state correlations and symmetry breaking of H^{CORE_3} . Thus,

number of stars	$E_0^{CORE_3}$	E_0^{DMRG}	Error
2×2	-0.418452	-0.417213	-0.001239
2×3	-0.423953	-0.422336	-0.001617
3×4	-0.431150	-0.428046	-0.003104
3×5	-0.432688	-0.429191	-0.003497

TABLE III: Ground state energies per site of H^{CORE_3} , and comparison to DMRG [27] on equivalent Kagomé clusters (with OBC).




FIG. 2: a) The mean field ground state of H^{CORE_3} exhibiting $\langle \sigma^x \rangle > 0$ order, which corresponds to two dimensional chirality. b) A typical singlets configuration in the corresponding ground state of the Kagomé lattice. Notice that there is no translational order, but that there are more pinwheel configurations of $|R\rangle$ than $|L\rangle$. c) The two dimer chiral order parameter defined in Eq.8.

we believe that CORE truncation at range 3 is sufficiently accurate to predict the correct thermodynamic phase.

p6-Chirality. The ED spectrum of H^{CORE_3} is evaluated on lattices of up to $N_s = 27$ stars (324 Kagomé sites), with PBC. The most striking feature on lattices larger than $N_s = 9$, is the emergence of ground state degeneracy of two singlets with opposite parity under reflections. In the pseudospin representation, even (odd) parity states include only an even (odd) number of stars with antisymmetric $|\downarrow\rangle$ states. These degeneracies signal a spontaneous reflection symmetry breaking $p6m \rightarrow p6$ in the thermodynamic limit.

A Mean Field (MF) energy of H^{CORE_3} in spin-1/2 coherent states $|\Omega_i\rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{MF} = & Nc_0 + h \sum_i \cos \theta_i + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \alpha} J_{\alpha} \Omega_i^{\alpha} \Omega_j^{\alpha}, \\
& + \sum_{\langle ijk \rangle, \alpha} J_{z\alpha\alpha} \cos \theta_i \Omega_j^{\alpha} \Omega_k^{\alpha}, \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

J_2	M_z^{MF}	M_z^{ED}
0.0	0.2647	0.2257
+0.1	0.1390	0.1476
-0.1	0.5	0.4999

TABLE IV: Ground state z -polarization of H^{CORE_3} on a 27 star lattice.

where $\mathbf{\Omega}_i = (\sin \theta_i \cos \phi_i, \sin \theta_i \sin \phi_i, \cos \theta_i)$. In Table II we see that for $J_2 = 0$, the dominant couplings are the field h , and the J_z and J_{zxx} exchanges. The last coupling is responsible for the chiral symmetry breaking, as it pulls the spins in the $\pm \hat{x}$ direction.

Minimizing E^{MF} , we find a ferromagnetic state depicted in Fig. 2(a). The z -polarization $M_z^{MF} = \frac{1}{2} \cos \bar{\theta}$ is compared to ED in Table IV. For $J_2 = 0$, we find that the chirality order is substantial with $\frac{1}{2} \sin \bar{\theta} = 0.424$ by MF, and $\frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma^x \rangle = 0.397$ by ED.

In Fig. 2(b) we depict a typical dimer configuration which contributes to the $p6$ - chiral RVB state. One can see the predominance of R pinwheel chirality over L . The most local order parameter for this chirality is the two dimer correlation depicted in Fig. 2(c),

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \sum_{\mathbf{d}} (\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}} \mathcal{S}_{\eta^r(\mathbf{d})} - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}} \mathcal{S}_{\eta^l(\mathbf{d})}), \quad (8)$$

where the dimer singlet projectors are

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}} = 1/4 - \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}_1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}_2}, \quad (9)$$

and $\eta^r(\mathbf{d})$ ($\eta^l(\mathbf{d})$) is the bond emanating from i at angle $\pi/3$ ($2\pi/3$) relative to the dimer bond opposing i . The two terms in \mathcal{C} measure parts of pinwheels of opposite chirality.

Translational symmetry. At range 3, the energy of internal triangles $E_{\Delta_{inter}} = -0.686$, and connecting triangles is $E_{\Delta_{intra}} = -0.665$ (depicted by solid and dashed lines respectively in Fig. 1). This relative modulation of about 3.0% lies within the truncation error. Thus we can affirm that $CORE_3$ ground state is consistent with *translational invariance* in agreement with DMRG [11, 12].

Singlet Excitations. In the 27 star lattice, the lowest singlet excitation above the two degenerate ground states is $\Delta E_{S=0} = 0.28$, which has a non zero wavevector. This excitation gap does not vary much with lattice size. Within the pseudospin Hamiltonian, it can be understood as a local spin flip from the ferromagnetic ground state. We note that the singlet gap is slightly higher than two $S = 1$ magnons at energies $E_{S=1} = 0.13$. This conclusion differs from that obtained by ED on 36 site PBC, which found a large number of singlets below the spin gap [28]. Since our effective Hamiltonian describes excitations on much larger lattices, we are inclined to associate these low singlets with the smaller PBC lattice geometry.




FIG. 3: Kagomé phonon spectra in $p6m$ phase, and $p6$ - chiral phase, calculated within a nearest neighbor spring constant model given in Ref.[29]. In the top right, the dimer chiral correlations induce a linear coupling between excess dimer density $\delta\rho_d$ and chiral ionic displacements, as depicted by the arrows. This adds a chiral term to the dynamical matrix which splits the degeneracy of the optical phonons at the zone center.

Experimentally, fluctuating two-dimer correlations are tricky to observe directly. Fortunately, real compounds have sizable magneto-elastic coupling between the ions and the dimer singlets. While, on average, dimer density and bond lengths are uniform in the RVB state, *dimer density fluctuations*, $\delta\rho_d$ governed by the characteristic singlet energy scale, are linearly coupled to the ionic displacements. In Fig. 3, the effect of a temporary excess of dimers on a triangle is shown. In the chiral phase, imbalance between the left and right bonds emanating out of the triangle produces a chiral force on the ions as depicted by the arrows. Integrating out the dimer density fluctuations results in a chiral perturbation to the phonon dynamical matrix [17]. By symmetry [18], the degeneracy between two optical modes is removed at the zone center, as shown in Fig. 3. These phonons are polar, and therefore accessible to infrared spectroscopy but not to Raman scattering[30].

Finite J_2 . We have added next nearest neighbor interactions with coupling J_2 to Eq. (1), and calculated the parameters of H^{CORE_3} , as shown in Table II. For $J_2 = 0.1$, we find the same doublet degeneracies, and chirality, as for the pure model $J_2 = 0$ [14]. In contrast, for a weak negative $J_2 = -0.1$ the spectrum changes dramatically: The doublets are removed, and the ground state is fully polarized in the \uparrow direction. The precise nature of this phase has not been yet explored. Interestingly, we notice that in proximity to the parameters of Table II, one finds the Ising antiferromagnet in field. Its ground state contains ferromagnetic hexagons with reversed spins in their center. It represents the Hexagonal Valence Bond Solid state, previously shown to have low

variational energies [5], and proposed for $J_2 \simeq -0.1$ [31].

Summary. Using CORE we arrived at an effective Hamiltonian, whose accuracy was determined to be sufficiently high so as to trust its predictions for the thermodynamic limit. Its ground state is consistent with a translationally invariant RVB phase, but with broken p6 chiral symmetry. A 2-dimer chiral order parameter is defined, which may be numerically explored on large lattices. Experimentally, it may be detected by splitting of optical phonon degeneracy.

Acknowledgements. We thank Andreas Läuchli, Daniel Podolsky and Didier Poilblanc, for useful discussions. AA and SC acknowledge the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics and KITP at Santa Barbara, supported by the NSF Grants PHY-1066293 and PHY-1125915. Funding from U. S. DOE, Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515, Israel Science Foundation and U.S. – Israel Binational Science Foundation are acknowledged. Numerical simulations were performed at CALMIP.

-
- [1] Oren Ofer *et al.*, (unpublished) arXiv:cond-mat/0610540; J. S. Helton *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 107204 (2007). A. Olariu, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 087202 (2008); K. Matan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 214406 (2011).
- [2] L. Balents, Nature (London) **464**, 199 (2010).
- [3] C. Zeng and V. Elser, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 8436 (1990); P. Lecheminant, B. Bernu, C. Lhuillier, L. Pierre, and P. Sindzingre, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 2521 (1997); C. Waldtmann, H.-U. Everts, B. Bernu, C. Lhuillier, P. Sindzingre, P. Lecheminant, and L. Pierre, Eur. Phys. J. B **2**, 501 (1998); H. Nakano and T. Sakai, Jour. Phys. Soc. Japan, **80**, 53704 (2011); A. M. Läuchli, J. Sudan, and E. S. Sørensen, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 212401 (2011). Recently, 48 spins have been diagonalized (A. M. Läuchli, private communication).
- [4] C. Zeng and V. Elser, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 8318 (1995); M. Mambrini and F. Mila, Eur. Phys. J. B **17**, 651 (2000).
- [5] J. B. Marston and C. Zeng, J. Appl. Phys. **69**, 5962 (1991); P. Nikolic and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 214415 (2003); R. R. P. Singh and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 144415 (2008).
- [6] G. Evenbly and G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 187203 (2010).
- [7] D. Poilblanc, M. Mambrini, D. Schwandt, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 180402(R) (2010); D. Poilblanc and G. Misguich, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 214401 (2011).
- [8] B. Clark, J. Kinder, E. Neuscamman, G. K.-L. Chan, M. J. Lawler, arXiv:1210.1585, unpublished.
- [9] M. Hermele, Y. Ran, P. A. Lee, and X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 224413 (2008); Y. Iqbal, F. Becca, and D. Poilblanc, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 020407 (2011).
- [10] L. Messio, B. Bernu, and C. Lhuillier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 207204 (2012).
- [11] S. Yan, D. A. Huse, and S. R. White, Science **332**, 1173 (2011).
- [12] S. Depenbrock, I. P. McCulloch, and U. Schollwöck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 067201 (2012).
- [13] P. Fazekas and P. W. Anderson, Philos. Mag. **30**, 423 (1974).
- [14] H.-C. Jiang, Z. Wang, and L. Balents, arXiv:1205.4289.
- [15] H. C. Jiang, Z.Y. Weng, and D. N. Sheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 117203 (2008).
- [16] C. J. Morningstar, M. Weinstein Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4131 (1996); M. Weinstein, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 174421 (2001); M. S. Siu and M. Weinstein Phys. Rev. B **77**, 155116 (2008).
- [17] Supplementary material.
- [18] We are indebted to Daniel Podolsky for suggesting to us this idea.
- [19] R. Budnik and A. Auerbach Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 187205 (2004).
- [20] S. Capponi, A. Läuchli, and M. Mambrini Phys. Rev. B **70**, 104424 (2004).
- [21] E. Altman and A. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 104508 (2002); E. Berg, E. Altman, and A. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 147204 (2003).
- [22] A. V. Syromyatnikov and S. V. Maleyev, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 132408 (2002); A. V. Syromyatnikov and S. V. Maleyev, JETP **98**, 538 (2004).
- [23] We order the clusters in terms of their radii. Thus three star lines are considered longer range than the triangle. Three star 120° are not included in the cluster expansion, as they get cancelled in embedding range 4 rhombi [21], and E. Altman, Ph.D. thesis, Technion, unpublished.
- [24] M. Weinstein, A. Auerbach, and V. R. Chandra, Phys. Rev. E **84**, 056701 (2011).
- [25] S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2863 (1992).
- [26] We use open boundary conditions on these clusters, and keep up to to get a discarded weight smaller than 10^{-5} . Note that these variational DMRG energies give exact upper bounds on the ground-state energy [11].
- [27] Sylvain Capponi, unpublished.
- [28] P. Sindzingre, C. Lhuillier, Europhys. Lett. **88**, 27009 (2009).
- [29] L. Zhang, J. Ren, J.-S. Wang and B. Li, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **23**, 305402 (2011).
- [30] D. Wulferding, P. Lemmens, P. Scheib, J. Rder, P. Mendels, S. Chu, T. Ha5, and Young S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 144412 (2010).
- [31] O. Ma and J. B. Marston, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 027204 (2008); Y. Iqbal, F. Becca, and D. Poilblanc, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 100404(R) (2011).