

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Room-temperature ferromagnetism via unpaired dopant electrons and p-p coupling in carbon-doped In_{2}O_{3}: Experiment and theory

R. J. Green, D. W. Boukhvalov, E. Z. Kurmaev, L. D. Finkelstein, H. W. Ho, K. B. Ruan, L. Wang, and A. Moewes

Phys. Rev. B **86**, 115212 — Published 27 September 2012 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.86.115212

Room temperature ferromagnetism via unpaired dopant electrons and p - p coupling in carbon-doped In₂O₃: Experiment and theory

R. J. Green, $^{1,\,*}$ D. W. Boukhvalov, 2 E. Z. Kurmaev, 3 L. D.

Finkelstein,³ H. W. Ho,⁴ K. B. Ruan,⁴ L. Wang,⁴ and A. Moewes¹

¹Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan,

116 Science Place, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada, S7N 5E2

²School of Computational Sciences, Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS) Hoegiro 87,

Dongdaemun-Gu, Seoul, 130-722, Korean Republic

³Institute of Metal Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences-Ural Division, 620990 Yekaterinburg, Russia

⁴Division of Physics and Applied Physics, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences,

 $Nanyang \ Technological \ University, \ Singapore \ 637371, \ Singapore$

(Dated: September 12, 2012)

Observations of magnetism in semiconductors doped with nonmagnetic atoms (C, N, etc.) show promise for spintronics applications, but pose an interesting challenge for conventional theories of magnetism. In this work, the magnetic semiconductor carbon-doped In_2O_3 is studied using theoretical and experimental techniques. Density functional theory calculations predict that ferromagnetism can exist near room temperatures when substitutional carbon atoms have a formally unpaired 2pelectron that does not participate in bonding. The unpaired 2p electrons lead to an impurity band near the Fermi level and consequent enhanced density of states which accommodates a strong p - pcoupling between local magnetic moments. The unpaired electrons and ferromagnetic coupling are found to arise from a combination of interstitial and substitutional carbon atoms in close proximity. Finally, experimental measurements on samples with varying magnetic properties verify the importance of both strong C 2p character at the Fermi level and strong C 2sp - In 4d hybridization for yielding room temperature ferromagnetism. These results shed light on the interesting field of nonmagnetic dopants inducing ferromagnetism in semiconductors.

PACS numbers: 71.15.Mb, 75.50.Pp, 78.70.En, 71.20.Nr

I. INTRODUCTION

The research interest in dilute magnetic semiconductors (DMSs) has been very intense for over a decade, and the intriguing properties of these materials continue to elude clear explanations with consensual acceptance in the scientific community. The reasons for the strong interest are twofold: a full understanding of DMSs could lead to materials usable for spintronic computing,¹ and the unique magnetic properties of the materials are interesting from a condensed matter perspective as well. For example, it is now commonly found that low concentrations of magnetic 3d dopant atoms can lead to semiconductors exhibiting persistent ferromagnetism even at room temperatures,² but a single clear explanation for how this exists has yet to be agreed upon. The impurity atoms are spaced beyond the percolation thresholds for mechanisms like superexchange,³ and pure carrier mediation of the exchange does not seem likely in most cases either.⁴ Recent theories involving impurity bands formed by vacancies or other defects which can exhibit spin split behavior seem promising, 3,5 but are not yet mature and fully tested.

Without doubt, the field of 3d doped semiconductors and oxides is very intriguing. However, the idea of *non*magnetic dopants leading to room temperature ferromagnetic behavior is arguably even more interesting. To date, room temperature ferromagnetism (RTFM) has been reported experimentally for carbon doped ZnO,^{6,7} lithium doped ZnO,⁸ nitrogen doped ZnO⁹ and TiO₂,¹⁰ and carbon doped In_2O_3 ,¹¹ to name a few. Such " d^{0} " magnetic materials are often considered more desirable than those with 3d dopants because issues such as metallic clustering and phase segregation can be avoided more easily (though it is still subjective at this point to say one class is strictly better than the other).

Several explanations for the mechanisms behind ferromagnetism in DMSs doped with nonmagnetic atoms have been explored. Some experimental studies highlight a link between anion vacancies and induced ferromagnetism in d^0 systems.¹² Such vacancies can have the effect of introducing spin split impurity bands,¹³ or the vacancies can simply lead to local distortions and large local moments with longer range coupling capabilities. At the same time, however, some theoretical studies of carbon and nitrogen doped ZnO found that the introduction of oxygen vacancies did not induce ferromagnetism.¹⁴ Another explanation, developed using a theoretical and experimental approach, suggested that cation (Zn) vacancies in lithium doped ZnO were intimately linked with room temperature ferromagnetism.⁸ Thus, it is clear that the magnetic properties of these materials are very sensitive to the constituent species and the various synthesis parameters and as such more studies are needed to fully understand the mechanisms.

In this work we study the ferromagnetic semiconductor carbon-doped In_2O_3 ($In_2O_3:C$). While numerous studies of transition metal dopants in In_2O_3 have been carried out (with dopants including Fe,^{5,15-17} Co,¹⁸ Ni,¹⁹ and Cu^{20}), fewer studies have been performed involving nonmagnetic dopants.^{11,21} Here, we first employ density functional theory modeling to study the electronic structures and magnetic properties of various possible defect configurations. Next, we utilize soft X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) to study the element specific valence band electronic structures of a set of In_2O_3 :C materials. Previous experimental studies for the samples studied here have revealed ferromagnetism at room temperature for some of the samples, with varied magnetic behavior for different dopant concentrations and synthesis conditions. We find through theory and experiment that a key ingredient to ferromagnetic behavior is p - pcoupling brought about by unpaired electrons on substitutional dopant atoms. A strong C 2p contribution to the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level, as well as C 2sp and In 4d hybridization are characteristics of this behavior which were confirmed experimentally. Further, we show that these key electronic structure features can be realized through a combination of interstitial C atoms and substitutional C atoms at oxygen sites.

II. COMPUTATIONAL AND EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The electronic structure calculations reported within this work are density functional theory (DFT) calculations performed using the SIESTA pseudopotential code,²² as utilized with success previously for related studies of impurities in semiconductors.²³ All calculations were performed using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof variant of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA- $PBE)^{24}$ for the exchange-correlation potential. A full optimization of the atomic positions was performed during which the electronic ground state was consistently found using norm-conserving pseudopotentials for cores and a double- ζ plus polarization basis of localized orbitals for In, C, and O. Optimizations of the force and total energy were performed with an accuracy of $0.04 \text{ eV}/\text{\AA}$ and 1 meV, respectively. For the atomic structure calculations we employed an In pseudopotential with In 4d electrons in the core. For the plots of In 4d densities of states we used the obtained crystal structure and performed the electronic structure calculations while taking into account the occupied orbitals. All calculations were performed with an energy mesh cut-off of 360 Ry and a *k*-point mesh of $6 \times 6 \times 6$ in the Mokhorst-Park scheme.²⁵

The calculations of the formation energies (E_{form}) were performed using the standard method described in detail in Ref. 23. The Curie temperatures (T_C) were estimated using the formula

$$T_C = \frac{JS\left(S+1\right)}{3k_B} \tag{1}$$

where J is the exchange interaction, S is the total spin of the defect-clusters, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant.

The values of the exchange interactions between the clusters are calculated by the formula

$$J = \frac{E_{AFM} - E_{FM}}{2S^2} \tag{2}$$

where E_{FM} and E_{AFM} are the total energies for parallel and antiparallel orientation of spins on the remote clusters, respectively.

To understand the nature of the ferromagnetism in the materials, different combinations of structural defects were calculated in the In_2O_3 matrix. As a host for the studied defects we used an In_2O_3 supercell consisting of 80 atoms ($In_{32}O_{48}$). Taking into account our previous modeling of transition metal and aluminum impurities in semiconductors,²³ we have performed calculations for various combinations of substitutional (*S*) and interstitial (*I*) carbon impurities, and have also considered the presence of oxygen vacancies (V_O).

The physical In₂O₃:C samples studied in this work are thin films that were deposited on Si (100) substrates by magnetron co-sputtering of In₂O₃ and carbon targets. For different samples, the deposition process was carried out at room temperature and 550°C, respectively, to test the effects of synthesis temperature on the final materials. The RF power on the In₂O₃ target was maintained at 50 W, while the RF power on the carbon target was varied for different films to obtain varying carbon concentration in the final products. Prior to deposition, the base pressure of the chamber was kept below 4 x 10⁻⁶ Pa at room temperature. The deposition was performed under 1.5 Pa of Ar gas pressure.

Structural characterization of the In_2O_3 :C films was carried out using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Bruker AXS: D8 ADVANCE) with a working current and voltage of 40 mA and 40 kV, respectively. Carbon concentrations were determined using both energy-dispersive Xray spectroscopy (EDX) and Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy (RBS). The magnetic properties of the films were investigated with a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) attached to a Quantum Design physical property measurement system (PPMS). The measurements were performed at 300 K with a field parallel to the thin film planes ranging in strength from -1 to 1 T. Further details and a complete discussion of the results of these initial characterization procedures can be found in Refs 11 and 21.

The X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) and resonant XES (RXES) measurements of the carbon and oxygen K-edges were performed using Beamline 8.0.1²⁶ of the Advanced Light Source (ALS) at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The beamline uses a Rowland circle type grating spectrometer with spherical gratings. The emitted photons from the sample are detected at an angle of 90° to the incident photons, and for these experiments the incident photons impinged at 30° to the sample surface normal with linear polarization in the horizontal scattering plane. The experiments were performed in a vacuum chamber at ~10⁻⁹ Torr. The X-ray absorption



FIG. 1. (Color online) Optimized local defect structures for (a) single substitutional carbon, (b) two substitutional carbons, (c) one substitutional and one interstitial carbon, (d) two substitutional and one interstitial carbon, and (d) three substitutional and one interstitial carbon.

spectroscopy (XAS) measurements were performed using the SGM beamline²⁷ of the Canadian Light Source (CLS). Linear photon polarization was used, and the XAS was measured via total electron yield (TEY) mode at a vacuum pressure of $\sim 10^{-8}$ Torr.

III. RESULTS

A. DFT Modeling

Structural visualizations for a subset of the defect configurations which were modeled are shown in Figure 1. Note that these are local configurations which were situated in the supercell described above to replicate the dilute doping scenario. The configurations shown in the Figure are optimized structures for the various defect cases. Throughout this work, defect structures consist of different combinations of substitutional (S) carbon atoms at O sites, interstitial (I) carbon atoms, and oxygen vacancies (V_O) . For each defect configuration, the density of states (DOS), formation energy, magnetic moment, and Curie temperature $(T_c, where applicable)$ were calculated.

1. Substitutional (S) Carbon

We consider first the simplest case of a single substitutional carbon atom, as depicted in panel (a) of Figure 1. The calculated formation energy for this case is quite large (~8 eV, see Table I), and in close agreement with previous theoretical results.²⁸ In this case the C atom maintains two unpaired electrons, as the C atom with a formal valence of -4 replaces the O atom with a valence of -2. These unpaired electrons lead to a small net mag-



FIG. 2. (Color online) The calculated densities of states per atom for different types of carbon structural defects in In_2O_3 .

netic moment, as shown in Table I. The density of states (DOS) for this configuration is shown in Figure 2 (a). We see that due to the unpaired electrons there is strong C 2p character at the Fermi level, and localized C 2s states deeper in the valence band (~ -10 eV).

If we consider increasing the carbon concentration while retaining only substitutional configurations, we reach the regime where the C atoms are near each other and may interact directly. This is represented by the 2S configuration, as depicted in Figure 1 (b). In this case, the unpaired electrons which were present in the 1S case form a double bond between the two substitutional carbon atoms. This elimination of the unpaired 2pelectrons leads to a significant reduction in the formation energy, now down to 4.98 eV, as shown in Table I. Additionally, the formation of the double bond eliminates the strong C 2p character near the Fermi level in the DOS, as shown in Figure 2 (b), and eliminates the magnetic moment. Finally, the double bond between the C atoms

J, meV	T_C, K
+1 (-)	55~(-)
_	_

Impurity	C, %	E_{form}, eV	mag. mom., μ_B/C	J, mev	I_C, K
1S	2	+7.78(+6.36)	$2.26\;(-)$	+1 (-)	55~(-)
2S	4	+4.98(+4.85)	- (-)	-	-
4S	8	+4.84(+4.80)	- (-)	-	-
Ι	2	+4.08(+4.08)	- (-)	-	-
S + I	4	+3.11 (+3.09)	- (-)	-	-
2S+I	6	$+3.91 \ (+3.82)$	0.33~(-)	+8 (-)	279 (-)
3S + I	8	+4.37(+4.08)	- (-)	-	-
4S + I	10	+4.42(+4.26)	- (-)	-	-
6S + I	14	+4.28(+4.15)	- (-)	-	_

TABLE I. Calculated formation energies and magnetic properties for different configurations of structural defects in In_2O_3 :C. Results for the structural defects with the inclusion of oxygen vacancies are shown in parentheses. Hyphens indicate values of zero.

shifts them from the original lattice positions and induces a hybridization between the C 2sp states and the In 4dstates, as shown in the region around -15 eV. This hybridization becomes evident in all cases where structural distortions of the In octahedra near C atoms are present, as discussed in more detail below. We note that the consideration of a further increase in carbon concentration to the 4S case has little effect on the formation energy and still exhibits no magnetic behavior (see Table I).

2. Interstitial (I) + Substitutional (S) Carbon

With only a weak predicted magnetic moment for substitutional carbon, and a relatively high formation energy, we next consider the effects due to the introduction of interstitial carbon atoms in the In_2O_3 lattice. The results for the case of only interstitial carbon are summarized in Table I. A slight reduction in the formation energy is found compared to the substitutional cases described above. However, no net magnetic moment is found, suggesting that purely interstitial C does not lead to RTFM in In_2O_3 :C.

When one considers varying amounts of substitutional C atoms in addition to the interstitial C (xS + I), the results are quite different. Here, the x substitutional atoms are grouped around a C atom in the fairly spacious interstitial region of the cluster. As seen from the optimized structures of the xS+I clusters in Figure 1 (c-e), a strong interaction is present between the interstitial C atom and one of the In atoms in each case. This interaction leads to a shift of the interstitial C atom from the center of the interstitial region to a location closer to an In atom. The prominence of this shift is due to the freedom of the interstitial atom in the large interstitial region as opposed to the more constricted substitutional C atoms.

As with the 2S case described above, the interaction of the interstitial atom and one of the In atoms accompanies a slight structural distortion and consequently leads to the presence of low energy peaks in the calculated DOS (Figure 2) due to the hybridization of the carbon 2sp



FIG. 3. (Color online) The calculated densities of states per atom for different types of carbon structural defects in In_2O_3 which include an oxygen vacancy. For comparison, the C 2pstates are shown in light shaded gray for the case with no vacancy as well.

states and the In 4d semi-core states around -15 eV. This effect is accompanied by a further reduction in formation energies from the purely substitutional cases (Table I). Additionally, as shown in the table, the 2S + I cluster leads to a strong magnetic moment with a calculated T_C near room temperature. Referring back to Figure 2, the 2S + I case also shows the strongest combination of C 2sp - In 4d mixing and C 2p states near the Fermi level, similar to the 1S case.

To examine in more detail the electronic structure of these xS + I configurations, spin-polarized and siteprojected partial DOS are shown in Figure 4. The results for the 1S+I, 2S+I, and 3S+I configurations are shown in the left, middle, and right columns of the Figure, respectively, and the DOS for the substitutional carbon, interstitial carbon, and host lattice atoms are shown in

5



FIG. 4. (Color online) Partial densities of states (PDOS) for the different substitutional + interstitial configurations. The three columns are for the 1S+I, 2S+I, and 3S+I cases from left to right, respectively. The three rows show, for each configuration, the spin-projected PDOS for the substitutional (C(S)) and interstitial carbon atoms (C(I)), and the PDOS for the In and O atoms (In/O).

the upper, middle, and lower rows, respectively. Here we see that it is indeed the interstitial C atoms which hybridize most strongly with the In 4d states. Additionally, presence of 2p states at the Fermi level is evident for the substitutional atoms in the 2S + I case. These features are discussed in further detail in Section IV below.

Oxygen Vacancies (V_{Ω}) 3.

Finally, for each of the configurations studied above, we have considered via calculations the presence of oxygen vacancies and their effect on the electronic structure and magnetic properties. The role of oxygen vacancies is a prominent research theme in many recent studies of dilute magnetic semiconductors. Earlier studies of the samples described later in this work indicated oxygen vacancies may play an important role in mediating the ferromagnetism, so it is crucial to study their impact theoretically as well.

The results from the inclusion of oxygen vacancies in the DFT calculations are summarized in the parenthesized values of Table I. Interestingly, in all cases – even the ones which showed ferromagnetic ordering without vacancies – no ferromagnetic behavior was calculated for

the defect configurations when vacancies were included. For each case, the lowest formation energy was found when oxygen vacancies were placed nearest to the C atoms, and consequently it is these cases for which the results are reported.

Figure 3 shows the calculated DOS for two example cases where V_O were included, specifically the $1S + V_O$ and $2S + V_O$ cases. For direct comparison, the C 2p states for the 1S and 2S cases of Figure 2 are shown as well in shaded gray. Noting that the introduction of V_{O} leads to the presence of an additional two unpaired electrons, we find that for $1S + V_O$ these electrons are passivated by the two unpaired electrons on the carbon impurity. The consequent absence of unpaired electrons for this situation then leads to a vanishing of the magnetic moment and a modification of both the C 2p states near the Fermi level and the C 2s semi-valence states at about -9 eV. This is evident in the upper panel of Figure 3. For the C 2p DOS, there is no longer a strong peak immediately above the Fermi level (as was the case for 1S due to the unpaired electrons), and instead only the tail of the lower peak crosses E_F . For the C 2s DOS, the two peaks that were present in the -8 to -10 eV region for the 1S case have changed and now only one peak is present. These 2sstates retain their noninteracting semi-valence character,



FIG. 5. (Color online) Magnetization curves of the In_2O_3 :C films studied. Inset shows an expanded full hysteresis loop for the 4.5% sample prepared at room temperature.

as there is still no hybridization with the In 4d states, but they have merged into one peak due to the absence of the unpaired electrons.

The lower panel of Figure 3 shows the results for the $2S + V_O$ case. Here, the electrons introduced by the V_O lead to the conversion of the C=C double bond to a single bond, and a light shift of the C states from the Fermi level is observed. The $2S + V_O$ case remains nonmagnetic.

B. Experimental Results

To study the electronic structure of In_2O_3 :C experimentally and thus test the DFT modeling predictions, soft X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) and absorption spectroscopy (XAS) were employed. When applied to the C and O K-edges, these techniques probe the C and O 2poccupied (XES) and unoccupied (XAS) DOS. Here, three films of In_2O_3 :C were studied: one with 4.5 at.% carbon prepared at room temperature (300 K) which exhibited ferromagnetic behavior (saturation magnetization $M_s \approx 4.9 \text{ emu/cm}^3$ or 0.15 μ_B/C), one with 9.3% carbon prepared at room temperature which showed reduced ferromagnetic behavior ($M_s \approx 1.8 \text{ emu/cm}^3$ or 0.03 μ_B/C), and one with 4.0% carbon prepared at 550 °C which again exhibited weaker ferromagnetism ($M_s \approx 1.0$ emu/cm^3 or 0.04 μ_B/C). Magnetization curves for the three samples are shown in Figure 5. The inset shows an enlarged view of the full hysteresis loop for the sample exhibiting the strongest FM, for visualization purposes. As noted in our earlier work,^{11,21} films prepared with no C

doping exhibited no ferromagnetism, verifying that the C doping was indeed responsible for the induced magnetic properties.

The C K-edge XES spectra, which probe the occupied C 2p DOS, are shown in the lower panel of Figure 6. We note first that due to the dilute C content and high oxygen concentration of the samples, as well as the nature of grating spectroscopy, an artificial peak from the O KXES detected in second diffraction order was necessarily subtracted from all the C K XES and RXES. For the 4.5% concentration sample synthesized at room temperature, the raw data with this artificial peak is shown in the left inset. For materials with high C concentrations or without oxygen, this is not typically an issue, but here care needed to be taken to correct for the artifact. Separate measurements of the oxygen K-edge taken during the same experiment enabled the precise subtraction of this spectral component. This is shown in the aforementioned inset, where the O K XES is shown on a halved energy scale (to account for 2^{nd} order diffraction) and subtracted from the raw data to give the final spectrum. It is these corrected spectra which are shown in the main portion of the figure.

With the spectra properly corrected, a key feature becomes evident in the region around ~265.4 eV. Here, the presence of hybridization between the C 2sp and the In 4d semi-core electrons can be detected, in the expected energy range of 15 - 18 eV below the Fermi level. In particular, the 4.5% doped sample prepared at room temperature (which possesses the highest magnetic moment) exhibits the most prominent C 2sp - In 4d hybridization. This hybridization feature is enhanced in the RXES spectra, which are excited at E_{RXES} as labeled on the XAS spectra. This enhancement in the RXES shows more clearly that the 4.5% doped RT sample has the strongest hybridization, while the 9.3% doped RT sample has the next strongest, and the 4.0% doped sample prepared at 550 °C shows very little hybridization.

The O K-edge XES and XAS for the 4.5% doped sample prepared at room temperature are shown in the upper panel of Figure 6. The O spectra are very similar for the various samples and for an undoped In_2O_3 reference sample, so only one set is shown in the Figure for clarity. This similarity for all samples verifies that the In_2O_3 host matrices are identical for all doping cases. Note that the doped C atoms are expected to have a very minor effect on the O spectra due to the dilute nature, which explains why no variations between samples were found. The O spectra in the Figure are displayed on a translated (but non-scaled) energy scale to line up vertically with the C spectra below on a binding energy scale. This can be achieved via the semi-core In 4d hybridization peak in both spectra (black, dotted lines near the left side in each plot), which serves as a reference for alignment of the valence band.

With the C and O XES spectra aligned on a common binding energy scale in Figure 6, the relative positioning of the C and O 2p states within the valence band can



FIG. 6. (Color online) X-ray Spectroscopy results for the In_2O_3 :C samples described in the text. Carbon K-edge XES, RXES, and XAS are shown in the main lower portion of the figure. The left inset details the correction necessary to remove the 2^{nd} order oxygen signal, while the right inset shows a magnified view of the XAS onset. The upper plot shows the oxygen K-edge XES and XAS of one sample to display the similar In 4d to anion 2sp hybridization seen for both the O and C anions, as well as the host DOS in the band gap region.

be discerned. With the grey, vertical dashed line used to denote the top of the O 2p contribution (and thus the approximate Fermi level for the host In₂O₃), we indeed see a strong C 2p contribution to the very top of the valence band. For the sample exhibiting the strongest ferromagnetism (4.5% doping, RT synthesis), we see a further shift of the C 2p spectral weight toward the Fermi level. This is in agreement with the DFT calculations, which showed that the unpaired C(S) electrons in the magnetic 2S + I case led to a strong C 2p presence at the Fermi level.

Finally, in the lower right portion of Figure 6, we plot the C K-edge XAS spectra for the samples. Comparing to the O K-edge XAS above, it is evident that some unoccupied C 2p states are present within the In₂O₃ band gap for all samples. Closely examining the XAS onset via the inset in the lower right, we see the two samples with lower doping levels have similar onsets (with the sample synthesized at 550 °C having a slightly stronger onset)–essentially beginning right above the Fermi level approximated by the dashed vertical line–while the 9.3% doped sample onsets at a higher energy, indicating the unoccupied C 2p states begin further above the Fermi level for this sample.

IV. DISCUSSION

Additional insight can be gained into the magnetic properties and electronic structure of In_2O_3 :C by considering the bonding characteristics of the various defect structures, determined from valence considerations and the calculated DOS, and shown in Figure 7. First, we note again the unpaired electrons present in the 1*S* case, which is shown in Figure 1 (a) and Figure 7 (a). Note that unpaired electrons are shown as green circles in Figure 7. As mentioned earlier, these unpaired electrons lead to the presence of a strong peak at the Fermi level, which enables the exchange between defects, even at a distance of about 1 nm. However, it is noted that the T_C in this case is quite low. Additionally, the formation energy is quite high, suggesting that such configurations would not likely be attained via synthesis at room temperature.

To understand the nature of the magnetism in the 2S + I cluster and its absence from the other clusters, we can again consider in more detail the chemical bonding. First, in the case of the 1S+I cluster, a double bond between the two carbon atoms is formed, and each of the carbon atoms has two single bonds with the neighboring In and O atoms (see Figure 7 (c)). There are no unpaired electrons for this cluster, and recall that no magnetism was present for the calculations of this cluster. In the case of the more complex 3S + I cluster, each carbon atom forms four single bonds, with other carbon atoms and indium atoms. As a consequence of this, there is again an absence of magnetism for this configuration. It is of note for this case, though, that the C 2sp – In 4dhybridization is weaker (c.f. Figure 4) compared to the other cases where hybridization is present. Accordingly, there is some weight in the DOS near the Fermi level, but not enough to support magnetism.

Finally, for the case of the magnetic 2S + I cluster, we consider first that each carbon atom forms three single bonds with neighboring C and In atoms. This leaves the ability for the formation of a double bond between the interstitial C and one of the substitutional C atoms (see Figure 7). When this double bond forms with one C(S), the result is a single unpaired electron on the other substitutional C atom. The effects of this behavior can be seen in the DOS of Figure 4. For the 2S + I cluster, the electronic structure of the C(I) atom is close to that of the S + I cluster: the C 2p states are similar, and there is strong C 2sp - In 4d hybridization. The presence of the unpaired electron in one of the C(S) sites for



FIG. 7. (Color online) Chemical bonding diagrams for the defect clusters considered in the calculations. Figures (a)-(e) correspond, respectively, to the 1S, 2S, 1S + I, 2S + I, and 3S + I cases.

2S + I is also confirmed with the strong C 2*p* weight at the Fermi level, similar to the 1*S* situation of Figure 2, where 2 unpaired electrons were present. Finally, note that the magnetic moment of 0.33 μ_B/C calculated for the 2S + I and shown in Table I corresponds to the single unpaired electron per cluster of three C atoms. The exchange value of 8 meV is comparable to other DFT works looking at C doped ZnO²⁹ and N doped In₂O₃³⁰, which found values of ~5–10 meV for spins separated by a comparable ~6–10 Å.

With the considerations above, the magnetism present in the 2S + I calculation can be attributed to the p - pcoupling mechanism, which has been suggested for the similar case of ZnO:C.^{6,7,31} The unpaired C(S) 2p electrons which do not participate in the ordinary C-C, C-In, or double C=C bonds act to form an impurity band near the Fermi level. The resultant strong DOS at the Fermi level allows for the long ranged p-p exchange mechanism to act between the distant clusters.

Returning to the physical samples and experimental results, the above discussion helps to explain why the samples exhibited varying magnetic behavior. First, if we consider the 4.5% doped sample prepared at room temperature, a strong C 2sp - In 4d hybridization was found in the C K-edge XES spectrum (Figure 6). Additionally, there was a strong presence of C 2p states near the Fermi level in both the XES and XAS (though not quite as strong as for the sample prepared at high temperature). These observations suggest that there is a presence of both C(I) (leading to the hybridization) and unpaired electrons (leading to spectral weight at the Fermi level) similar to the 2S + I cluster DFT results, and thus indicates why the magnetism was strongest for this case.

If we consider the 4.0% doped sample prepared at 550 °C, we see that the C 2sp - In 4d hybridization is almost completely absent from the XES and RXES, but

there is still a very strong C 2p presence at the Fermi level in the XES and XAS. These results suggest that this sample likely contains single substitutional C atoms (the 1S configuration), as the calculated DOS for this case showed no hybridization but strong C 2p states at the Fermi level due to unpaired electrons. In fact, the 1S configuration has more states at the Fermi level than the 2S + I configuration (see Figure 2), just as the this sample has more such states than the 4.5%, RT sample. Next, recalling from Table I that the 1S case had a very low T_C , it is understandable that this corresponding sample had a very weak magnetism ($M_S = 0.04 \ \mu_B/C$). Additionally, noting the higher formation energy for the 1S case in Table I, it is understandable that synthesis at a higher temperature would lead to more 1S sites.

Finally, with increased doping to 9.3%, there appears to be a moderate amount of C(I) atoms, as there is a weak hybridization feature in the XES. Additionally, the XAS shows that the unoccupied C 2p states have moved away from the Fermi level compared to the other samples. This indicates that although there is hybridization, we have a lack of unpaired electrons in this sample to facilitate magnetism. Such a situation is likely due to the higher concentration, leading to more C atoms near each other, which can reduce the number of unpaired electrons through configurations like the 2S case. The combination of features described above explain why this sample has very weak magnetism $(M_S = 0.03 \mu_B/C)$. Thus, for all three experimental samples, the combination of XES/XAS spectra and DFT results are able to explain the relative magnetic moments.

It is worth noting that earlier studies suggested V_O might be responsible for RTFM in films of In₂O₃:C.^{11,21} This suggestion was proposed because annealing in O₂ was found to reduce the magnetism in the films. Our results lead to an alternative explanation: annealing could convert magnetic defect configurations (such as 2S + I) into 1*S* configurations, thereby suppressing the magnetic properties of the films. Note, however, that these results do not rule out the importance of V_O in other materials, including undoped In₂O₃ surfaces³² and nanoparticles.³³

V. CONCLUSION

To conclude, we have conducted a theoretical and experimental study of the magnetism in carbon doped In₂O₃. Density functional theory calculations showed that ferromagnetism is possible near room temperature if a combination of substitutional and interstitial carbon impurities are present. Such a configuration leads to unpaired C 2p electrons at substitutional sites which produce local magnetic moments and states near the Fermi level. Via these states near the Fermi level, a p - pcoupling interaction mediates the magnetism. Additionally, in such a situation, a strong hybridization between the In 4d states and the C 2sp states was predicted via DFT due to interstitial atoms migrating near In atoms. These electronic structure attributes were confirmed experimentally using X-ray spectroscopy experiments on a set of samples with varied synthesis properties and magnetic behavior. The theoretical and experimental results combine to provide a comprehensive explanation for the magnetic behavior of the material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), the Canada Research Chair Program, and the Russian

- * robert.green@usask.ca
- ¹ S. Wolf, D. Awschalom, R. Buhrman, J. Daughton, S. von Molnar, M. Roukes, A. Chtchelkanova, and D. Treger, Science, **294**, 1488 (2001).
- ² S. Ogale, Adv. Mater., **22**, 3125 (2010).
- ³ J. M. D. Coey, M. Venkatesan, and C. B. Fitzgerald, Nature Mater., 4, 173 (2005).
- ⁴ G. Ciatto, A. Di Trolio, E. Fonda, P. Alippi, A. M. Testa, and A. A. Bonapasta, Phys. Rev. Lett., **107**, 127206 (2011).
- ⁵ S. Qi, F. Jiang, J. Fan, H. Wu, S. B. Zhang, G. A. Gehring, Z. Zhang, and X. Xu, Phys. Rev. B, 84, 205204 (2011).
- ⁶ H. Pan, J. Yi, L. Shen, R. Wu, J. Yang, J. Lin, Y. Feng, J. Ding, L. Van, and J. Yin, Phys. Rev. Lett., **99**, 127201 (2007).
- ⁷ S. Zhou, Q. Xu, K. Potzger, G. Talut, R. Grotzschel, J. Fassbender, M. Vinnichenko, J. Grenzer, M. Helm, H. Hochmuth, M. Lorenz, M. Grundmann, and H. Schmidt, Appl. Phys. Lett., **93**, 232507 (2008).
- ⁸ J. Yi, C. Lim, G. Xing, H. Fan, L. Van, S. Huang, K. Yang, X. Huang, X. Qin, B. Wang, T. Wu, L. Wang, H. Zhang, X. Gao, T. Liu, A. Wee, Y. Feng, and J. Ding, Phys. Rev. Lett., **104**, 137201 (2010).
- ⁹ C.-F. Yu, T.-J. Lin, S.-J. Sun, and H. Chou, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., **40**, 6497 (2007).
- ¹⁰ N. N. Bao, H. M. Fan, J. Ding, and J. B. Yi, J. Appl. Phys., **109**, 07C302 (2011).
- ¹¹ K. B. Ruan, H. W. Ho, R. A. Khan, P. Ren, W. D. Song, A. C. H. Huan, and L. Wang, Solid State Commun., **150**, 2158 (2010).
- ¹² G. Xing, D. Wang, J. Yi, L. Yang, M. Gao, M. He, J. Yang, J. Ding, T. C. Sum, and T. Wu, Appl. Phys. Lett., **96**, 112511 (2010).
- ¹³ J. Coey, Solid State Sci., **7**, 660 (2005).
- ¹⁴ A. Pham, M. H. N. Assadi, Y. B. Zhang, A. B. Yu, and S. Li, J. Appl. Phys., **110**, 123917 (2011).
- ¹⁵ X.-H. Xu, F.-X. Jiang, J. Zhang, X.-C. Fan, H.-S. Wu, and G. A. Gehring, Appl. Phys. Lett., **94**, 212510 (2009).
- ¹⁶ S. C. Li, P. Ren, B. C. Zhao, B. Xia, and L. Wang, Appl. Phys. Lett., **95**, 102101 (2009).
- ¹⁷ R. K. Singhal, A. Samariya, S. Kumar, S. C. Sharma, Y. T. Xing, U. P. Deshpande, T. Shripathi, and E. Saitovitch, Appl. Surf. Sci., **257**, 1053 (2010).

Science Foundation for Basic Research (Project 11-02-00022). We are indebted to the staffs of the Canadian Light Source at the University of Saskatchewan and the Advanced Light Source at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory for their reliable and helpful assistance. The Advanced Light Source is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The Canadian Light Source is supported by NSERC, the National Research Council (NSC) Canada, the Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR), the Province of Saskatchewan, Western Economic Diversification Canada, and the University of Saskatchewan.

- ¹⁸ A. M. H. R. Hakimi, M. G. Blamire, S. M. Heald, M. S. Alshammari, M. S. Alqahtani, D. S. Score, H. J. Blythe, A. M. Fox, and G. A. Gehring, Phys. Rev. B, 84, 085201 (2011).
- ¹⁹ R.-R. Ma, F.-X. Jiang, X.-F. Qin, and X.-H. Xu, Mater. Chem. Phys., **132**, 796 (2012).
- ²⁰ Z. G. Yu, J. He, S. Xu, Q. Xue, O. M. J. van't Erve, B. T. Jonker, M. A. Marcus, Y. K. Yoo, S. Cheng, and X.-d. Xiang, Phys. Rev. B, **74**, 165321 (2006).
- ²¹ R. A. Khan, A. S. Bhatti, and R. K., J. Magn. Magn. Mater., **323**, 2841 (2011).
- ²² J. Soler, E. Artacho, J. Gale, A. Garcia, J. Junquera, P. Ordejon, and D. Sanchez-Portal, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 14, 2745 (2002).
- ²³ G. Chang, E. Kurmaev, D. Boukhvalov, L. Finkelstein, S. Colis, T. Pedersen, A. Moewes, and A. Dinia, Phys. Rev. B, **75**, 195215 (2007).
- ²⁴ J. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 77, 3865 (1996).
- ²⁵ H. Monkhorst and J. Pack, Phys. Rev. B, **13**, 5188 (1976).
- ²⁶ J. Jia, T. Callcott, J. Yurkas, A. Ellis, F. Himpsel, M. Samant, J. Stohr, D. Ederer, J. Carlisle, E. Hudson, L. Terminello, D. Shuh, and R. Perera, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 66, 1394 (1995).
- ²⁷ T. Regier, J. Krochak, T. Sham, Y. Hu, J. Thompson, and R. Blyth, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A, 582, 93 (2007).
- ²⁸ R. Long and N. English, J. Phys. Chem. C, **114**, 13942 (2010).
- ²⁹ S. K. Nayak, M. E. Gruner, S. Sakong, S. Sil, P. Kratzer, S. N. Behera, and P. Entel, Phys. Rev. B, 86, 054441 (2012).
- ³⁰ L. X. Guan, J. G. Tao, C. H. A. Huan, J. L. Kuo, and L. Wang, Appl. Phys. Lett., **95**, 012509 (2009).
- ³¹ X. Wang, X. Chen, R. Dong, Y. Huang, and W. Lu, Phys. Lett. A, **373**, 309 (2009).
- ³² Z. R. Xiao, X. F. Fan, L. X. Guan, C. H. A. Huan, J. L. Kuo, and L. Wang, J. Phys.:Condens. Matter, **21**, 272202 (2009).
- ³³ A. Sundaresan, R. Bhargavi, N. Rangarajan, U. Siddesh, and C. N. R. Rao, Phys. Rev. B, **74**, 161306 (2006).