

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Insensitivity of the pressure dependences of characteristic energy scales in Ce_{1-x}R_{x}Coln_{5} (R=Yb,Y,Gd) to the electronic configuration of the rare-earth ion

B. D. White, J. J. Hamlin, K. Huang, L. Shu, I. K. Lum, R. E. Baumbach, M. Janoschek, and

M. B. Maple

Phys. Rev. B **86**, 100502 — Published 11 September 2012 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.86.100502

Insensitivity of the pressure dependence of characteristic energy scales in $Ce_{1-x}R_xCoIn_5$ (R = Yb, Y, Gd) to rare earth ion electronic configuration

B. D. White, J. J. Hamlin, K. Huang, L. Shu,* I. K. Lum, R. E. Baumbach,[†] M. Janoschek,[†] and M. B. Maple Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

Cooperative Ce and Yb valence fluctuations have recently been proposed as the mechanism responsible for stabilizing correlated electron phenomena in $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x}\operatorname{Yb}_x\operatorname{CoIn}_5$ over an unexpectedly large range of concentrations. In order to better understand the origins and character of this stability, we have measured the effect of applied pressure on relevant energy scales such as the superconducting critical (T_c) and Kondo-lattice coherence (T^*) temperatures of $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x}R_x\operatorname{CoIn}_5$ with $R = \operatorname{Yb}$, Y, and Gd. Electrical resistivity measurements were performed under applied pressure on samples doped with intermediate valent Yb and stable valent Gd and Y, and the responses of T_c and T^* to increased pressure in these systems are compared. The character of $T_c(P)$ and $T^*(P)$ in $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x}R_x\operatorname{CoIn}_5$ depends only on their respective ambient pressure values $T_c(0)$ and $T^*(0)$, independent of the electronic configuration of R or concentration x. The consequences of this result are discussed within the context of possible cooperative valence fluctuations in $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x}\operatorname{Yb}_x\operatorname{CoIn}_5$.

PACS numbers: 74.62.Fj, 75.30.Mb, 71.28.+d, 71.27.+a

I. INTRODUCTION

A rich variety of strongly correlated electron phenomena have been observed in the superconducting and normal states of CeCoIn₅, which continue to attract significant experimental and theoretical interest.^{1,2} Critical fluctuations associated with this system's close proximity to an antiferromagnetic quantum critical point (QCP) are thought to provide a mechanism for both non-Fermi liquid (NFL) metallic states and unconventional d-wave superconductivity (SC) near $T_c = 2.3 \text{ K.}^3$ These properties naturally emerge from the delicate interplay of structural optimization and hybridization between localized f-electrons and itinerant conduction electrons. The relationship between quantum criticality, NFL behavior, and unconventional SC continues to play a central role in the study of the "115" systems and potentially has broader relevance to strongly correlated electron physics in general. 4,5

Recent studies of the system $Ce_{1-x}Yb_xCoIn_5$ have demonstrated that Yb substitution provides a useful tuning parameter for exploring quantum critical (QC) phenomena in CeCoIn₅.^{6,7} These studies were primarily motivated by the observation in $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x} R_x \operatorname{CoIn}_5 (R = \operatorname{rare}$ earth) that Cooper pair breaking and Kondo-lattice coherence are uniformly influenced as a function of x, independent of the electronic configuration of substituent R, while NFL behavior depends strongly on the f-electron configuration of R ions.⁸ In marked contrast to these observations, many of the correlated electron phenomena which are characteristic of CeCoIn₅ are only weakly affected by Yb substitution. For example, the suppression of T_c with x extrapolates to zero temperature only near $x = 1.^{6}$ This result is remarkable considering that other rare earth ion substitutions in $Ce_{1-x}R_xCoIn_5$ suppress SC by $x \sim 0.25$ ⁸ It is interesting to note that recent measurements on thin films of $Ce_{1-x}Yb_xCoIn_5$ reveal a more rapid suppression of T_c than seen in bulk samples;⁹

however, T_c vanishes near x = 0.4, which is still roughly a factor of two higher than in bulk samples containing other rare-earth ions. This difference in behavior between thin film and bulk Yb-doped samples might arise from the non-equilibrium nature of molecular beam epitaxy. Three energy scales collectively characterize the Ce-based Kondo lattice in CeCoIn₅: the single ion Kondo temperature T_K , the Kondo-lattice coherence temperature T^* , and the crystalline electric field splitting of the Ce J = 5/2 multiplet.^{10,11} T^* is typically identified with the maximum in electrical resistivity ρ near 40 K and depends only weakly on x in $Ce_{1-x}Yb_xCoIn_5$ throughout the concentration range where single phase samples can be synthesized.⁶ As a consequence, the scaling between T_c and T^* , which is typically observed in heavy fermion (HF) SCing compounds, appears to be violated.¹² In fact, if the conventional interpretation is incorrect and the maximum in ρ is a consequence of CEF effects, then there would be no reason to expect this scaling to work.

The mechanism responsible for these unanticipated observations is not yet confirmed; however, several ideas have recently been proposed.^{6,13,14} One proposal envisions a Kondo lattice in which Ce and Yb ions adopt cooperative intermediate valence states whereby Yb ions mimic the electronic configuration of Ce ions.⁶ In the context of such a scenario, it might be expected that Yb substitution would perturb the Kondo-lattice in CeCoIn₅ more weakly than introducing other rare earth ions with stable valences would. Recent ARPES, extended X-ray absorption fine structure, and X-ray absorption nearedge structure measurements on $Ce_{1-x}Yb_xCoIn_5$ samples observe a strongly intermediate-valence state of Yb^{2.3+} for most Yb concentrations.^{13,15} For $x \leq 0.2$, the Yb valence suddenly and continuously increases to a nearly trivalent state at the lowest Yb concentrations.¹⁵ The Ce valence in this system remains nearly trivalent for all x.^{13,15} A second proposal suggests that the stability of correlated electron phenomena in $Ce_{1-x}Yb_xCoIn_5$ originates with details concerning the microstructure of

synthesized samples. Booth *et al.* suggest in Ref. 13 that, below a concentration x_{ps} where macroscopic phase separation in Ce_{1-x}Yb_xCoIn₅ occurs, interlaced networks of CeCoIn₅ and YbCoIn₅ coexist, and that YbCoIn₅ networks may have a weak collective effect on the physical properties of CeCoIn₅ networks. In this scenario, intermediate-valent Yb ions in YbCoIn₅ influence the local electronic density as well as T_K for the neighboring CeCoIn₅ networks, the result of which is to systematically lower T_c in those networks.¹³ To date, no direct probe has provided any compelling evidence for the existence of these networks. Finally, a Kondo disorder model, wherein correlations between Yb ions were studied theoretically, has recently been invoked to explain the weak suppression of T^* with x.¹⁴

In order to clarify the physical nature and origin of the anomalous stabilization of the correlated electron state in $Ce_{1-x}Yb_xCoIn_5$, we measured the pressure dependence of electrical resistivity ρ in single crystal samples of $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x} R_x \operatorname{CoIn}_5$ with $R = \operatorname{Yb}$, Y, Gd. Applied pressure generally increases the valence of Ce and Yb ions in metals by enhancing the hybridization strength between their f electrons and the conduction band, which "squeezes" an electron out of the 4f shell and tends to drive Ce and Yb ions less and more magnetic, respectively. The effect of applied pressure on cooperative valence fluctuations in $Ce_{1-x}Yb_xCoIn_5$ could manifest itself in contrasting behavior of the relevant energy scales as a function of pressure in samples containing intermediate valent Yb ions when compared with samples with stable valent Gd and Y ions. Our measurements indicate that the pressure dependence of T_c and T^* is similar for all samples, independent of the degree of valence stability of the rare earth ion being introduced. This result may suggest that higher pressures are necessary to disrupt or perturb the cooperative intermediate valence state of Ce and Yb ions in $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x}\operatorname{Yb}_x\operatorname{CoIn}_5$.

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x} R_x \operatorname{CoIn}_5$ with $R = \operatorname{Yb} (x =$ 0.1, 0.2, 0.4), Y (x = 0.2), and Gd (x = 0.1) were synthesized in a molten In flux using the same procedure reported elsewhere.^{6,8,15,16} The samples were etched in a dilute HCl solution to remove residual In flux. Phase purity and chemical composition were verified by means of powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis, respectively. Measurements of electrical resistivity ρ under applied pressure were performed up to 25 kbar in a clamped piston cylinder pressure cell and down to ~ 1.1 K in a pumped ⁴He dewar. A 50:50 mixture of *n*-pentane and isoamyl alcohol was used to provide a hydrostatic pressure transmitting medium. Annealed Pt leads were affixed to gold-sputtered contact surfaces on each sample with silver epoxy in a standard 4-wire configuration. The pressure dependence of the SCing T_c of high purity Sn, measured inductively, was used



FIG. 1: (a) Representative ρ vs. T data for Ce_{0.9}Yb_{0.1}CoIn₅ measured under applied quasi-hydrostatic pressures of 2.2, 5.4, 8.7, 14.2, and 20.7 kbar. The inset focuses on the region around maxima in $\rho(T)$ at T^* . T^* increases with applied pressure. Lines are guides to the eye. (b) ρ vs. T for Ce_{0.9}Yb_{0.1}CoIn₅ at low temperature emphasizing the sharp transitions into the SC state at T_c . Lines are guides to the eye.

as a manometer by calibrating against data from Ref. 17.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Representative ρ vs. T data between ~ 1.1 and 300 K are displayed in Fig. 1(a) for Ce_{0.9}Yb_{0.1}CoIn₅. The salient details exhibited by $\rho(T)$ primarily include a maximum at T^* and superconductivity below T_c . The maxima in ρ at T^* , which are emphasized in the inset of Fig. 1(a) at several applied pressures, are generally interpreted as indicating the onset of coherent scattering in a Kondo-lattice.^{6,8} T^* increases with applied pressure, which agrees with previously reported results from measurements of CeCoIn₅.¹⁸ ρ data in the vicinity of T_c are displayed in Fig. 1(b) where sharp resistive drops, which are consistent with high quality samples with good chemical homogeneity, are observed. Nicklas *et al.* previously reported that the resistive transition width ΔT_c in CeCoIn₅ decreased (sharpened) from 80



FIG. 2: (Color online) SCing T_c vs. P for all samples measured in this study in addition to data for CeCoIn₅ from Ref. 18. The general character of the SC domes appear to be qualitatively similar for each sample, independent of the identity of the rare earth ion being introduced or its concentration. The red lines are non-linear least squares fits of Eq. (1) to the data.

mK at ambient pressure to 20 mK for P > 0.9 GPa.¹⁸ ΔT_c for Ce_{0.9}Yb_{0.1}CoIn₅ decreases monotonically from 113 mK at ambient pressure to 52 mK at 20 kbar as seen in Fig. 1(b), which is in qualitative agreement with the results for CeCoIn₅.¹⁸ If we extrapolate the normal state ρ to zero temperature using the local slope $d\rho/dT$ just above T_c , we are able to estimate the residual resistivity ρ_0 , which decreases with increasing pressure. This is also consistent with the behavior previously reported for CeCoIn₅.¹⁸ $\rho(T)$ data for samples other than Ce_{0.9}Yb_{0.1}CoIn₅ which were measured are not shown herein, but their quality and character are commensurate with those displayed in Figs. 1(a) and (b).

Data for T_c vs. P for all samples measured as part of this study are shown in Fig. 2 in addition to data for CeCoIn₅ taken from Ref. 18. The values for T_c were determined from the midpoint of each sharp resistive transition. SC domes, such as those seen in Fig. 2, are commonly observed in materials with a nearby QCP which exhibit unconventional SC states wherein Cooper pairing is mediated by QC spin fluctuations.⁵ A specific theory for a *d*-wave SCing state in close proximity to an antiferromagnetic instability^{19,20} has previously been invoked to study and describe T_c (P) for CeCoIn₅.¹⁸ Without appealing to any particular theory, we observe that a simple expression

$$T_c = T_{\text{Max}} + \lambda \left(P - P_{\text{Max}} \right)^2, \qquad (1)$$

is naturally suggested by the character of the data shown in Fig. 2, where the maximum T_c in each dome is denoted $T_{\rm Max}$, the pressure at which $T_{\rm Max}$ occurs is $P_{\rm Max}$, and λ characterizes the amplitude of parabolic curva-



FIG. 3: (Color online) Best-fit values of parameters from fits of Eq. (1) to $T_c(P)$ data for (a) T_{Max} , (b) P_{Max} , and (c) λ parameters plotted vs. $T_c(0)$. Each parameter appears to be a linear function of $T_c(0)$. Lines are guides to the eye.

ture of the dome. The results of non-linear least squares fits of Eq. (1) to $T_c(P)$ data are shown in Fig. 2 as red lines. The close agreement between fits and data for all samples indicates that Eq. (1) with its three parameters adequately describes the character of these SC domes. A systematic relationship between best-fit values for fit parameters and a sample-dependent characteristic is most clearly illustrated in Fig. 3(a)-(c) by plotting T_{Max} , P_{Max} , and λ values, respectively, as a function of $T_{c}(0)$ for each sample. These plots demonstrate that each parameter is a linear function of $T_{c}(0)$ for all samples studied, irrespective of rare earth ion R identity or concentration x. As a consequence, the character of $T_{c}(P)$ is primarily determined by $T_c(0)$. This result suggests that two samples with appropriately selected concentrations of Yb and Y, for example, such that each has identical $T_{c}(0)$, will exhibit indistinguishable SC domes $T_c(P)$.

The pressure dependence of T^* for each sample is shown in Fig. 4 alongside data for CeCoIn₅ taken from Ref. 18. We defined T^* by determining the temperature of maxima in $\rho(T)$ (where $d\rho(T)/dT = 0$) such as those shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b). Conventional wisdom dictates that the application of pressure tends to increase the Kondo-lattice coherence temperature in Cebased Kondo systems. T^* increases with pressure with roughly the same ~ 2.8 K kbar⁻¹ slope which was previously reported for CeCoIn₅.¹⁸ It appears that the character of T^* can be described by $T^*(P) = T^*(0) + \xi P$, where $\xi \equiv dT^*/dP$ is apparently independent of the details of R and x. Only the ambient pressure parameter



FIG. 4: (Color online) T^* increases linearly with P with a roughly universal slope for each sample measured as part of this study and in CeCoIn₅ from Ref. 18.

 $T^{*}(0)$ depends on those details, so the effect of pressure on the Kondo lattice in $Ce_{1-x}R_xCoIn_5$ is universal up to ~ 25 kbar, regardless of whether rare earth ions with stable or unstable valences are substituted for Ce. Whatever novel mechanism stabilizes T^* for Yb substitution at ambient pressure⁶ seems to be decoupled from the behavior of this system as a function of pressure; i.e., its character is insensitive to the nature and concentration of the Kondo holes in $CeCoIn_5$. In the context of the Kondo disorder model of Dzero et al.,¹⁴ this result suggests that correlations between Yb ions, the strength of which would presumably increase with pressure, might not play a major role. Although it was considered, we are currently unable to develop an interpretation of our results within the picture wherein interlaced networks of CeCoIn₅ and YbCoIn₅ coexist.¹³

IV. CONCLUSION

The behavior of the characteristic energy scales $T_c(P)$ and $T^*(P)$ in $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x} R_x \operatorname{CoIn}_5(R = \operatorname{Yb}, \operatorname{Y}, \operatorname{Gd})$ as a function of applied pressure appears to be determined by $T_c(0)$ and $T^*(0)$ alone. This insensitivity of the salient physics to the details of rare earth ion R electronic configuration and concentration x is unexpected for two reasons: (1) at ambient pressure, the physical properties of $Ce_{1-x}Yb_xCoIn_5$ are significantly different^{6,7} from those observed when other rare earth ions are introduced,⁸ and (2) it is possible, in principle, to perturb the electronic configuration of Yb by applying pressure, but not for rare earth ions with stable valences such as Y and Gd. Our results imply that ~ 25 kbar of applied pressure is insufficient to perturb the valence state of Yb ions. The pressure dependencies of T_c and T^* are apparently governed by the increase in hybridization of local Ce 4f and conduction electron states with pressure. It may, therefore, be instructive to study this system at higher pressures, focusing particularly on the behavior of $T^*(P)$. Such studies may more clearly elucidate the role that the Yb intermediate valence state plays in $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x}\operatorname{Yb}_x\operatorname{CoIn}_5$.

Acknowledgments

Sample synthesis was funded by the Department of Energy (Grant DE-FG02-04-ER46105), measurements under pressure were funded by the National Nuclear Security Administration under the Stewardship Science Academic Alliance program through the Department of Energy (Grant DE-FG52-09NA29459), and lowtemperature measurements were funded by the National Science Foundation (Grant DMR-0802478). M. J. gratefully acknowledges the Alexander von Humboldt foundation for financial support.

- * Permanent address: Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, Peoples Republic of China
- [†] Permanent address: Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA
- ¹ C. Petrovic, P. G. Pagliuso, M. F. Hundley, R. Movshovich, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, Z. Fisk, and P. Monthoux, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, L337 (2001).
- ² T. Hu, H. Xiao, T. A. Sayles, M. Dzero, M. B. Maple, and C. C. Almasan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 056401 (2012).
- ³ C. M. Varma, Z. Nussinov, and W. van Saarloos, Phys. Rep. **361**, 267 (2002).
- ⁴ M. B. Maple, R. E. Baumbach, N. P. Butch, J. J. Hamlin, and M. Janoschek, J. Low Temp. Phys. **161**, 4 (2010).
- ⁵ C. Pfleiderer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1551 (2009).
- ⁶ L. Shu, R. E. Baumbach, M. Janoschek, E. Gonzales, K. Huang, T. A. Sayles, J. Paglione, J. O'Brien, J. J. Hamlin, D. A. Zocco, P.-C. Ho, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 156403 (2011).
- ⁷ C. Capan, G. Seyfarth, D. Hurt, B. Prevost, S. Roorda, A. D. Bianchi, and Z. Fisk, Europhys. Lett. **92**, 47004 (2010).
- ⁸ J. Paglione, T. A. Sayles, P.-C. Ho, J. R. Jeffries, and M. B. Maple, Nature Phys. **3**, 703 (2007).
- ⁹ M. Shimozawa, T. Watashige, S. Yasumoto, Y. Mizukami, M. Nakamura, H. Shishido, S. K. Goh, T. Terashima, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda (2012), arXiv:1208.0672v1.
- ¹⁰ S. Nakatsuji, D. Pines, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**,

016401 (2004).

- ¹¹ T. Willers, Z. Hu, N. Hollmann, P. O. Körner, J. Gegner, T. Burnus, H. Fujiwara, A. Tanaka, D. Schmitz, H. H. Hsieh, et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 195114 (2010).
- ¹² Y.-F. Yang, Z. Fisk, H.-O. Lee, J. D. Thompson, and D. Pines, Nature **454**, 611 (2008).
- ¹³ C. H. Booth, T. Durakiewicz, C. Capan, D. Hurt, A. D. Bianchi, J. J. Joyce, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 83, 235117 (2011).
- ¹⁴ M. Dzero and X. Huang, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 075603 (2012).
- ¹⁵ L. Dudy, J. D. Denlinger, L. Shu, M. Janoschek, J. W. Allen, and M. B. Maple, In preparation (2012).
- ¹⁶ V. S. Zapf, E. J. Freeman, E. D. Bauer, J. Petricka, C. Sirvent, N. A. Frederick, R. P. Dickey, and M. B. Maple, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 014506 (2001).
- ¹⁷ T. F. Smith, C. W. Chu, and M. B. Maple, Cryogenics 9, 53 (1969).
- ¹⁸ M. Nicklas, R. Borth, E. Lengyel, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, V. A. Sidorov, G. Sparn, F. Steglich, and J. D. Thompson, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, L905 (2001).
- ¹⁹ P. Monthoux and G. G. Lonzarich, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 14598 (1999).
- ²⁰ P. Monthoux and G. G. Lonzarich, Phys. Rev. B 63, 054529 (2001).