

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# Isotropic superconducting gaps with enhanced pairing on electron Fermi surfaces in FeTe\_{0.55}Se\_{0.45}

H. Miao, P. Richard, Y. Tanaka, K. Nakayama, T. Qian, K. Umezawa, T. Sato, Y.-M. Xu, Y. B. Shi, N. Xu, X.-P. Wang, P. Zhang, H.-B. Yang, Z.-J. Xu, J. S. Wen, G.-D. Gu, X. Dai, J.-P. Hu, T. Takahashi, and H. Ding Phys. Rev. B **85**, 094506 — Published 6 March 2012

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.85.094506

# Isotropic superconducting gaps with enhanced pairing on electron Fermi surfaces in $FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45}$

H. Miao<sup>1</sup>, P. Richard<sup>1</sup>, Y. Tanaka<sup>2</sup>, K. Nakayama<sup>2</sup>, T. Qian<sup>1</sup>, K. Umezawa<sup>2</sup>, T.

Sato<sup>2,3</sup>, Y.-M. Xu<sup>4</sup>, Y. B. Shi<sup>1</sup>, N. Xu<sup>1</sup>, X.-P. Wang<sup>1</sup>, P. Zhang<sup>1</sup>, H.-B. Yang<sup>5</sup>, Z.-J.

Xu<sup>5</sup>, J. S. Wen<sup>5</sup>, G.-D. Gu<sup>5</sup>, X. Dai<sup>1</sup>, J.-P. Hu<sup>1,6</sup>, T. Takahashi<sup>2,7</sup>, and H. Ding<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics,

and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan
<sup>3</sup> TRiP, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Kawaguchi 332-0012, Japan

<sup>4</sup> Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>5</sup> Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Department,

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA

<sup>6</sup> Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA and

<sup>7</sup> WPI Research Center, Advanced Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

We used angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy to reveal directly the momentum distribution of the superconducting gap in  $FeTe_{1-x}Se_x$ , which has the simplest structure of all Fe-based superconductors. We found isotropic superconducting gaps on all Fermi surfaces whose sizes can be fitted by a single gap function derived from a strong coupling approach, promoting local antiferromagnetic exchange interactions as a serious candidate for the pairing origin.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Jb, 74.70.Xa, 74.20.Mn

Electronic spin fluctuations are widely believed to be critical to superconductivity in the new Fe-based hightemperature superconductors due to the proximity between the superconducting (SC) and the magnetic ordered phases. The driving mechanisms of both magnetic ordering and superconductivity is currently under intensive debate, mainly between two approaches: a weak coupling approach where both magnetic and SC orders are driven by the enhanced spin susceptibility near the Fermi surface (FS), and a strong coupling approach where the two orders are caused by local magnetic exchange interactions between neighboring electrons. The weak coupling approach has found support from the observation of quasi-nesting between hole FS pockets at the Brillouin zone (BZ) center and electron FS pockets located at the antiferromagnetic wave vector in most ferropnictides  $^{1-5}$ . However, this approach has encountered difficulty in explaining the bi-collinear magnetic ordering pattern in the ferrochalcogenide FeTe<sup>6</sup> and it was seriously challenged by the recent observation of isotropic SC gaps in a new ferrochalcogenide superconductor,  $A_x Fe_2 Se_2$  (A = K, Rb, Cs, Tl), without FS nesting on the particle-hole channel<sup>7-9</sup>. On the other hand, the strong coupling approach (e.g.,  $J_1$ - $J_2$ - $J_3$  model) fares better in explaining the two examples  $above^{10,11}$ .

Precise information on the pairing strength along different FS pockets of ferrochalcogenide superconductor  $FeTe_{1-x}Se_x$  can be used to distinguish these two approaches due to its close relationship to its magnetic parent FeTe and SC cousin  $K_x Fe_2 Se_2$ . Here we report highresolution ARPES results on high-quality FeTe<sub>0.55</sub>Se<sub>0.45</sub> SC samples. We observe isotropic SC gaps on both electronlike and holelike FS pockets. More importantly, the pairing strength is found to be stronger on the electronlike FSs, which can be naturally explained by the enhanced antiferromagnetic exchange between the  $3^{rd}$  nearest neighbors  $(J_3)$  in this material. All the different SC gaps can be fitted by a single gap function that is fully consistent with the strong coupling  $J_1$ - $J_2$ - $J_3$  approach.

High-quality single crystals were grown by a unidirectional solidification method with a nominal composition of FeTe\_{0.55}Se\_{0.45} ( $T_c = 14.5$  K and  $\Delta T_c = 1$  K, as determined by magnetic susceptibility). ARPES measurements were performed at Tohoku University using a VG-Scienta SES 2002 multi-channel analyzer and the He I $\alpha$ resonance line of a helium discharge lamp ( $h\nu = 21.218$ eV). Additional data were recorded at the Synchrotron Radiation Center (Stoughton, WI) with a VG-Scienta SES 200 using 52 eV light with tunable polarization, as well as at the National Light Source of Brookhaven National Laboratory using a VG-Scienta SES 2002 multichannel analyzer with 16 eV photons. The energy resolution and momentum resolution are set at 2 meV and  $0.2^{\circ}$  for the measurements of the SC gap. All preparation procedures for the gap measurements were done in a glove box filled with He gas to avoid contact with air. Clean surfaces were obtained by cleaving samples in situ in a working vacuum better than  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  Torr.

We start with the ARPES experiment of two cuts which include the  $\Gamma$  point and the M point  $(\pi, 0)$ , here defined in the 1 Fe per unit cell notation, respectively. Figs. 1A (1C) and 1B (1D) show the intensity plots near  $\Gamma$  (M) along the  $\Gamma$ -M direction in the normal state (25) K) and SC state (6 K), respectively. The corresponding energy distribution curves (EDCs) are given below each panel. Around the  $\Gamma$  point, the normal state data show clearly two intense holelike bands. While the inner one ( $\alpha$ ) sinks ~ 14 meV below  $E_F$ , the outer one ( $\alpha'$ ) crosses  $E_F$  very close to  $\Gamma$ . A third weaker holelike band with a larger Fermi wavevector  $(k_F)$  and a larger effective mass,

named  $\beta$  band, is also visible. Around the M point, one electron pocket ( $\gamma$ ) with a smaller effective mass is also resolved. Upon cooling temperature below  $T_c = 14.5$  K, SC coherent peaks develop on the  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  bands, as well evidenced by the low temperature EDCs shown in Fig. 1F and 1H. The temperature evolution of the coherent peaks is drastic and confirms their SC origin. The temperature dependence of the EDC recorded at a particular  $k_F$  of the  $\beta$  band is displayed in Fig. 2A, which shows dramatic lineshape change across  $T_c$ . The impressive sharpness of these SC coherent peaks (full width at half maximum < 5 meV) compared to a previous ARPES study<sup>12</sup> on FeTe<sub>0.7</sub>Se<sub>0.3</sub> indicates the improved quality of the single crystals used in the current study.

Fig. 2B compares EDCs recorded in the SC state at the  $k_F$  of the  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  bands. We observe gap sizes of 1.7, 2.5 and 4.2 meV for the  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  bands, respectively. We checked that the gap results obtained from the symmetrization coincide with the values estimated from the raw EDCs. Although multigap superconductivity has already been reported in other Fe-based systems<sup>1-4,15,16</sup>, it is the first time that a SC gap larger at the M point than at the  $\Gamma$  point is observed in a Fe-based superconductor, with the exception of the 122-chalcogenides, which do not have Γ-centered holelike FSs at all<sup>7-9,17</sup>.

We ther gap nodes exist at the M point or not in the SC state is a highly debated issue in the study of Febased superconductors. A previous angle-resolved specific heat (ARSH) experiment claims nodes or minimal gaps in FeTe<sub>0.55</sub>Se<sub>0.45</sub> samples<sup>13</sup>. To check this point we performed high-energy resolution k-dependence measurements on both  $\Gamma$ - and M-centered FS pockets. Figs. 2C and 2D show the symmetrized EDCs of the  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ bands, respectively, at different  $k_F$  positions indicated on the FS displayed in Fig. 2E. As illustrated by the polar plot in Fig. 2F, the gap sizes on both pockets are nodeless and quite isotropic, with error bars limiting possible anisotropy to 15%.

It has been proposed that the orbital character may be important to determine the pairing strength and the symmetry for this multi-orbital system<sup>18,19</sup>. Figs. 3A and 3B show intensity plots along  $\Gamma$ -M under S-polarization and *P*-polarization, respectively. We observed that the  $\alpha'$  band is enhanced under S-polarization, but is significantly suppressed by *P*-polarization. The  $\alpha$  band, however, has reversed response under S and P polarizations. The same behavior has been observed in a previous ARPES study for the  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  bands along  $\Gamma$ -X<sup>20</sup>. This suggests that the  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha'$ ) band has an even (odd) symmetry along both  $\Gamma$ -M and  $\Gamma$ -X. Similarly, we determine that the  $\beta$  band is even along  $\Gamma$ -X but odd along  $\Gamma$ -M, in agreement with previous reports<sup>20,21</sup>. Taking LDA calculations as reference<sup>20-22</sup>, we reach the following conclusion on the orbital characters for the three bands observed around  $\Gamma$ , which is summarized in Fig. 3C: the  $\beta$  band has mainly a  $d_{xy}$  orbital character whereas the  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha'$ ) band is mainly the even (odd) combination of the  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  orbitals (labeled as  $d_{even}$  ( $d_{odd}$ )). Therefore,

we conclude that the 2.5 and 1.7 meV gaps at  $\Gamma$  originate from the  $d_{xy}$  and  $d_{xz}/d_{yz}$  orbitals, respectively. As mentioned above, the  $\alpha$  band top is 14 meV away from  $E_F$  and is thus slightly separated from the  $\alpha'$  band top. This contradicts the LDA expectation of degeneracy of the  $d_{even}$  and  $d_{odd}$  bands at  $\Gamma$  preserved by the 4-fold symmetry. Careful examination from LDA calculations reveals a possible Se/Te  $p_z$  band situation around the BZ center, complicating the band dispersion around  $\Gamma$ . We note that the small gap of 1.7 meV at the  $\Gamma$  point may be related at least partly to the Se/Te  $p_z$  orbital, rather than purely to the Fe3d orbitals that generate stronger pairing.

Fig. 3D shows comparison of our ARPES EDC spectra and a STM conductance curve measured on similar  $\text{FeTe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_x$   $(T_c \sim 14.5 \text{ K})^{14}$ . The three gaps observed by ARPES can find corresponding features in the STM data. The smallest gap of 1.7 meV observed at  $\Gamma$  matches well the 1.8 meV peak in the STM curve. The 4.2 meV gap observed on the electron FS and the 2.5 meV gap on the  $\beta$  hole FS correspond well to the 4 meV peak and the weak 2.5 meV shoulder in the STM curve, respectively. We further compare our results with optical measurements<sup>23</sup> on the same sample batch, as shown in Fig. 3E. We find a good consistency of gap size that excludes surface contribution in our observations. It is important to point out that samples cleave between two equivalent weakly-bonded Se(Te) planes, exposing a nonpolar surface which is usually bulk-representative. The bulk nature is also reflecting from the ARPES observation that the SC gap and coherence diminish right at the bulk  $T_c$ , as demonstrated by Fig. 2A. In addition, inelastic neutron scattering (INS) measurements have revealed a resonant mode around 6 - 7 meV in the SC state of samples with similar  $T_c$  and composition<sup>24</sup>. We note that the sum of the gaps on the  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  FSs (6.7 meV) is compatible to the energy of the resonant mode, suggesting that it may be related to scattering between the  $\beta$  hole FS and the  $\gamma$  electron FS.

Assuming that high magnetic field does not change the topology of the FS and the SC gap function, the contrast between highly anisotropic gaps suggested by ARSH experiments<sup>13</sup> and isotropic gaps observed by ARPES is puzzling. Even though nodes at a particular  $k_z$  is still possible, the quasi-two dimensionality of this  $FeTe_{1-x}Se_x$  material makes this scenario unlikely. Another possible cause is that the cleaved surface may have enhanced impurity scattering tending to average out possible gap anisotropy, which is also unlikely since isotropic gaps have been observed by ARPES for different structures of Fe-based superconductors with different surfaces exposed. We note that the very sharp SC coherent peaks in this material indicate weak scattering effect, and that small linewidth broadening induced by impurities does not usually shift the quasiparticle peak position, or the gap value observed by ARPES. However, it may affect some thermodynamics measurements that are sensitive to the residual density of states. In addition, we point

out that transport measurements may be more sensitive to the overall gap distribution, which is anisotropic with respect to the  $\Gamma$  point because of the FS topology itself, rather than to the detail of the gap distribution around each FS taken separately.

Interestingly, the gap amplitude is stronger on the electron FS, as shown in Fig. 4A. In contrast, ferrophictide superconductors have similar gap size on the quasinested holelike and electronlike  $FSs^{1-3,16}$ , which can be captured by the simple  $s_{\pm}$  gap function  $\cos k_x \cos k_y$  naturally derived from the effective  $J_1$ - $J_2$  model<sup>25</sup>, where  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are the nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor magnetic exchange interaction strengths, respectively. Fig. 4B shows that a simple  $\cos k_x \cos k_y$  function cannot fit the gaps on both hole and electron FSs. However, the exchange parameters in ferrochalcogenides differ from the ones in ferroprictides in such a way that (i)  $J_1 < 0$  (ferromagnetic) and (ii)  $J_3$  (next-next-nearestneighbor exchange) is no longer negligible. A sizable AF  $J_3 \ (\sim 7 \text{ meV})$  that may play a critical role in forming the bi-collinear magnetic pattern in FeTe was reported from INS measurements<sup>10</sup>. The *s*-wave pairing form induced by AF  $J_3$  is  $\Delta_3(\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y)/2$ . Combined with  $\cos k_x \cos k_y$  induced by AF  $J_2$ , the pairing form in this material should be  $|\Delta_2 \cos k_x \cos k_y - \Delta_3 (\cos 2k_x + k_y)|$  $\cos 2k_y/2$  |. As demonstrated in Fig. 4C, this gap function fits all gaps reasonably well, with  $\Delta_2 = 3.55$  meV and  $\Delta_3 = 0.95$  meV. The ratio between  $\Delta_2$  and  $\Delta_3$  is similar with  $J_2/J_3$  (22/7) given by a INS study<sup>10</sup>. We note that the small gap of 1.7 meV at  $\Gamma$  would fall slightly off the fitting curve, as expected if the  $\alpha'$  band hybridizes with Se  $4p_z$  states.

Stronger and isotropic pairing on the electron FS sheets of  $\text{FeTe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_x$  has important implications to superconductivity in another ferrochalcogenide, AFe<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> (A = K, Rb, Cs, Tl), with  $T_c$  as high as 31 K<sup>26,27</sup>. Several ARPES studies<sup>7–9</sup> revealed an isotropic SC gap on its electron FS. Interestingly, the  $2\Delta/T_c$  ratios are similar in these two ferrochalcogenides (7 in AFe<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub><sup>7</sup> vs 6.7 in FeTe<sub>1-x</sub>Se<sub>x</sub>. A recent INS study indicates a large  $J_3 \sim 9$  meV) for K<sub>0.8</sub>Fe<sub>1.6</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> as well<sup>28</sup>. We propose that AFe<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> and FeTe<sub>1-x</sub>Se<sub>x</sub> belong to a same class as far as superconductivity is concerned, with the same pairing function:  $|\Delta_2 \cos k_x \cos k_y - \Delta_3 (\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y)/2|$ . As shown in Fig. 4D, the enhanced pairing on the electron FS around M accompanies the reduced pairing on the hole FS at  $\Gamma$ , this effect may have no impact on  $T_c$ . Clearly, the observation of isotropic SC gaps with strong pairing on the electron fermion of the strong coupling local pairing picture for Fe-based superconductors.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge B. A. Bernevig, A. Chubukov, Z. Fang, D.-H. Lee, H.-H. Wen and G. Xu for valuable discussions, T. Kawahara for his help in the experiments, and T. Hanaguri and C. Homes for providing STM and optical conductivity data, respectively. This work was supported by grants from Chinese Academy of Sciences (2010Y1JB6), Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2010CB923000 and 2011CBA00101), Chinese National Science Foundation (11004232 and 11050110422),JSPS, TRiP-JST, MEXT of Japan, CREST-JST and National Science Foundation (Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231). The Synchrotron Radiation Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison, and at the National Light Source of Brookhaven National Laboratory, are supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. DMR-0537588, and by the Department of Energy of USA under contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886, respectively.

- <sup>1</sup> H. Ding, P. Richard, K. Nakayama, K. Sugawara, T. Arakane, Y. Sekiba, A. Takayama, A. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, Z. Wang, X. Dai, Z. Fang, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, Europhys. Lett. 83, 47001 (2008).
- <sup>2</sup> K. Nakayama, T. Sato, P. Richard, Y.-M. Xu, Y. Sekiba, S. Souma, G. F. Chen, J. L. Lou, N. L. Wang, H. Ding, and T. Takahashi, Europhys. Lett. 85, 67002 (2009).
- <sup>3</sup> L. Zhao, H.-Y. Liu, W.-T. Zhang, J.-Q. Meng, X.-W. Jia, G.-D. Liu, X.-L. Dong, G. F. Chen, J.-L. Luo, N. L. Wang, W. Lu, G.-L. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhu, X.-Y. Wang, Z.-Y. Xu, C.-T. Chen, and X.-J. Zhou, Chin. Phys. Lett. **12**, 4402 (2008).
- <sup>4</sup> K. Terashima, Y. Sekiba, J. H. Bowen, K. Nakayama, T. Kawahara, T. Sato, P. Richard, Y.-M. Xu, L. J. Li, G. H. Cao, Z.-A. Xu, H. Ding, and T. Takahashi, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **106**, 7330 (2009).
- <sup>5</sup> T. Qian, N. Xu, Y.-B. Shi, K. Nakayama, P. Richard, T.

Sato, T. Takahashi, M. Neupane, Y.-M. Xu, X.-P. Wang, G. Xu, Z. Fang, P. Cheng, H.-H. Wen, and H. Ding, Phys. Rev. B 83, 140513(R) (2011).

- <sup>6</sup> W. Bao, Y. Qiu, Q. Huang, M. A. Green, P. Zajdel, M. R. Fitzsimmons, M. Zhernenkov, S. Chang, M.-H. Fang, B. Qian, E. K. Vehstedt, J. Yang, H. M. Yang, H. M. Pham, L. Spinu, and Z. Q. Mao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 247001 (2009).
- <sup>7</sup> X.-P. Wang, T. Qian, P. Richard, P. Zhang, J. Dong, H.-D. Wang, C.-H. Dong, M.-H. Fang, and H. Ding, Europhys. Lett. **93** 57001 (2011).
- <sup>8</sup> Y. Zhang, L. X. Yang, M. Xu, Z. R. Ye, F. Chen, C. He, H. C. Xu, J. Jiang, B. P. Xie, J. J. Ying, X. F. Wang, X. H. Chen, J. P. Hu, M. Matsunami, S. Kimura and D. L. Feng, Nature Mater. **10**, 273 (2011).
- <sup>9</sup> D. Mou, S. Liu, X. Jia, J. He, Y. Peng, L. Zhao, G. Liu, S. He, X. Dong, J. Zhang, H. Wang, C. Dong, M.-H. Fang, X.-Y, Wang, Q.-J. Peng, Z. Wang, J. Zhang, F. Yang, Z.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$ dingh@iphy.ac.cn

Xu, C.-T. Chen, and X.-J. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 107001 (2011).

- <sup>10</sup> O. J. Lipscombe, G. F. Chen, Chen Fang, T. G. Perring, D. L. Abernathy, A. D. Christianson, Takeshi Egami, N. L. Wang, J. P. Hu, and P. C. Dai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 057004 (2011).
- <sup>11</sup> C. Fang, Y.-L Wu, R. Thomale, B. A. Bernevig, and J. P. Hu, Phys. Rev. X 1, 011009 (2011).
- <sup>12</sup> K. Nakayama, T. Sato, P. Richard, T. Kawahara, Y. Sekiba, T.Qian, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, H.Ding, and T. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 197001 (2010).
- <sup>13</sup> B. Zeng, G. Mu, H. Q. Luo, T. Xiang, I. I. Mazin, H. Yang, L. Shan, C. Ren, P. C. Dai, and H.-H. Wen, Nat. Commun. 1, 112 (2010).
- <sup>14</sup> T. Hanaguri, S. Niitaka, K. Kuroki, H. Takagi, Science **328**, 474 (2010).
- <sup>15</sup> S. V. Borisenko, V. B. Zabolotnyy, D. V. Evtushinsky, T. K. Kim, I. V. Morozov, A. N. Yaresko, A. A. Kordyuk, G. Behr, A. Vasiliev, R. Follath, and, B. Buchner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 067002 (2010).
- <sup>16</sup> Z.-H. Liu, P. Richard, K. Nakayama, G.-F. Chen, S. Dong, J.-B. He, D.-M. Wang, T.-L. Xia, K. Umezawa, T. Kawahara, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, T. Qian, Yaobo Huang, Nan Xu, Yingbo Shi, H. Ding, and S.-C. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 84, 064519 (2011).
- <sup>17</sup> T. Qian, X.-P. Wang, W.-C. Jin, P. Zhang, P. Richard, G. Xu, X. Dai, Z. Fang, J.-G. Guo, X.-L. Chen, and H. Ding, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 187001 (2011).
- <sup>18</sup> S. Graser, T.A. Maier, P. J. Hirschfeld and D. J. Scalapino, New J. Phys. **11**, 025016 (2009).
- <sup>19</sup> I. I. Mazin and J. Schmalian, Physica C **469**, 614 (2009).
- <sup>20</sup> F. Chen, B. Zhou, Y. Zhang, J. Wei, H.-W. Ou, J.-F. Zhao, C. He, Q.-Q. Ge, M. Arita, K. Shimada, H. Namatame, M. Taniguchi, Z.-Y. Lu, J. P. Hu, X.-Y. Cui, and D. L. Feng, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 014526 (2010).
- <sup>21</sup> A. Tamai, A. Y. Ganin, E. Rozbicki, J. Bacsa, W. Meevasana, P. D. C. King, M. Caffio, R. Schaub, S. Margadonna, K. Prassides, M. J. Rosseinsky, and F. Baumberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 097002 (2010).
- <sup>22</sup> Alaska Subedi, Lijun Zhang, D. J. Singh, M. H. Du, Phys. Rev. B 78, 134514 (2008).
- <sup>23</sup> C. C. Homes, Ana Akrap, J. S. Wen, Z. Xu, Z. W. Lin, Q. Li, G. D. Gu, Phys. Rev. B 81, 180508(R) (2010).
- <sup>24</sup> Y. Qiu, Wei Bao, Y. Zhao, Collin Broholm, V. Stanev, Z. Tesanovic, Y. C. Gasparovic, S. Chang, J. Hu, B. Qian, M.-H. Fang, and Z. Q. Mao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 067008 (2009).
- <sup>25</sup> K. Seo, B. A. Bernevig, and J. P. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 206404 (2008).
- <sup>26</sup> J. Guo, S. Jin, G. Wang, S. Wang, K. Zhu, T. Zhou, M. He, and X.-L. Chen, Phys. Rev. B 82, 180520(R) (2010).
- <sup>27</sup> M.-H. Fang, H. D. Wang, C. H. Dong, Z. J. Li, C. M. Feng, J. Chen, and H. Q. Yuan, Europhys. Lett. **94**, 27009 (2011).

<sup>28</sup> M. Wang, C. Fang, D.-X. Yao, G. T. Tan, L. W. Harriger, Y. Song, T. Netherton, G. Zhang, M. Wang, M. B. Stone, W. Tian, J. P. Hu, and P. C. Dai, arXiv.org/abs/1105.4675v1.

## FIGURES

FIG. 1. (A)-(D) ARPES intensity plots along  $\Gamma$ -M. (A) Near  $\Gamma$ , in the normal state (T = 25 K). Blue and black dotted lines indicate the  $k_F$  positions of the  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta$  bands, respectively. (B) Same as (A) but in the SC state (T = 6 K). (C) Near M, normal state (T = 25 K). (D) Near M, SC state (T = 6 K). The corresponding EDCs are given below each panel in (E)-(H). Thick bars are guides for the eye.

FIG. 2. (A) Temperature dependence of the SC gap of the  $\beta$  band. (B) Comparison of the SC gaps of the  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  bands. (C) and (D) k-dependence of symmetrized EDCs along the  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  FSs, respectively. (E) Schematic FS indicating positions where the SC gap size has been measured. Dotted FSs are folded from the BZ of a pure Fe plane due to the alternate position of the chalcogen atoms above and below the Fe plane. (H) Polar plot of the SC gaps with dotted circles indicating the average values on each FS pocket.

FIG. 3. (A) and (B) ARPES intensity plots along the  $\Gamma$ -M high-symmetry line measured with S and P polarizations, respectively. (C) Schematic band structure and dominant orbital character of each band at  $\Gamma$ . (D) SC gap correspondence between ARPES and STM measurements. Blue, red and green lines are  $k_F$  symmetrized EDCs of the  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  band, respectively. Dashed arrows indicate the correspondence between ARPES and STM (black curve, from ref.<sup>14</sup>) features. (E) Comparison of ARPES data with optical conductivity. Colored dashed lines position the 2 $\Delta$  values of  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  band respectively.

FIG. 4. (A) 3D representation of the SC gap with the FS topology. (B) Fit of the SC gap data with a  $|\Delta_2 \cos k_x \cos k_y|$  gap function. (C) Fit of the SC gap data with a  $|\Delta_2 \cos k_x \cos k_y - \Delta_3 (\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y)/2|$  gap function. The horizontal and vertical error bars represent the uncertainties on  $k_F$  positions and their corresponding SC gap values. (D) In-plane k distribution of the  $|\Delta_2 \cos k_x \cos k_y - \Delta_3 (\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y)/2|$  SC gap function along with the FSs.







