

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Nanomechanical detection of nuclear magnetic resonance using a silicon nanowire oscillator

John M. Nichol, Eric R. Hemesath, Lincoln J. Lauhon, and Raffi Budakian Phys. Rev. B **85**, 054414 — Published 13 February 2012 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.85.054414

Nanomechanical detection of nuclear magnetic resonance using a silicon nanowire oscillator

John M. Nichol¹, Eric R. Hemesath², Lincoln J. Lauhon², and Raffi Budakian^{1*}

¹Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801

²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208

*email: budakian@illinois.edu

PACS numbers: 76.60.-k, 07.55.-w, 81.07.Oj, 81.07.Gf

ABSTRACT

The authors report the use of a radio frequency silicon nanowire mechanical oscillator as a lowtemperature nuclear magnetic resonance force sensor to detect the statistical polarization of ¹H spins in polystyrene. In order to couple the ¹H spins to the nanowire oscillator, a magnetic resonance force detection protocol was developed which utilizes a nanoscale current-carrying wire to produce large timedependent magnetic field gradients as well as the rf magnetic field. Under operating conditions, the nanowire experienced negligible surface-induced dissipation and exhibited an ultralow force noise near the thermal limit of the oscillator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic resonance force microscopy (MRFM) was proposed as a means of magnetic resonance imaging with the eventual goal of achieving the sensitivity to image individual molecules with atomic spatial resolution¹. MRFM detects the displacement of an ultrasensitive cantilever in response to the force generated by nuclear or electron spins in the presence of a magnetic field gradient. Most recently, MRFM imaging with spatial resolution below 10 nm and sensitivity to fewer than 100 net nuclear spins was dem-

onstrated², far exceeding the capabilities of inductively detected magnetic resonance. Extending the resolution and sensitivity to the single proton level will likely require better force sensors, higher magnetic field gradients, or both. "Bottom-up" devices such as nanowires^{3, 4}, nanotubes^{5, 6}, and graphene⁷ oscillators have been proposed as next-generation force and mass sensors because of their relative ease of fabrication and potential for ultralow mechanical dissipation. In particular, silicon nanowires (SiNWs) have been shown to possess room-temperature force sensitivity³ far below those of microscale cantilevers and thus offer a promising route to push MRFM closer to achieving the goal of molecular imaging.

The purpose of the present work is to establish nanowire oscillators as ultrasensitive cantilevers for MRFM detection. In particular, the SiNWs we study have extremely low intrinsic mechanical dissipation, and importantly for MRFM, the SiNWs experience negligible increase in dissipation as close as 10 nm to a surface. Another purpose of this study is to present an MRFM spin-detection protocol which uses a nanoscale current-carrying wire to generate time-dependent magnetic field gradients. This protocol enables MRFM with rf mechanical oscillators and may open new avenues for nanoscale magnetic resonance imaging.

2. APPARATUS

A schematic of the force-detection apparatus is shown in Fig. 1. The SiNW used in this work was grown epitaxially on a Si[111] substrate using a controlled-diameter vapor-liquid-solid approach with silane as a precursor at 600 °C⁸. The SiNW was approximately 15- μ m long with a tip diameter of 35 nm and a base diameter of 200 nm. Fig. 2(a) shows several SiNWs representative of the type used here. Before use, the SiNW was annealed at 400 °C for two hours in forming gas (5% hydrogen and 95% argon) to clean its surface and increase its quality factor. A thin coating of polystyrene on the SiNW tip (Fig. 2(b)) served as the sample containing ¹H spins. To create the coating, a droplet of polystyrene (Pressure Chemical Co. PS80317) dissolved in diethyl-phthalate (Alfa Aesar A17529) was placed on the tip of a glass micropipette and carefully brought into contact with the SiNW under an optical microscope approximately 20 times to build up a coating. To prevent reduction of the oscillator's quality factor, care was

taken to ensure that the SiNW penetrated no more than 2 μ m into the droplet. The fundamental flexural mode of the SiNW had a spring constant k = 650 μ N/m, a resonance frequency $\omega_0/2\pi$ = 786 kHz, and an intrinsic quality factor $Q_0 = 2.5 \times 10^4$ at 8K. Prior to the polystyrene coating, the SiNW had a resonance frequency of 1.06 MHz, and the spring constant and quality factor were the same to within the experimental uncertainty.

To detect the displacement of the SiNW, a free-space interferometer coupled to a polarizationmaintaining optical fiber³ was used (Fig 1). The wavelength $\lambda = 2 \mu m$ was chosen to minimize optical absorption by the SiNW. The SiNW substrate was mounted on a 3-axis piezoelectric positioner for coarse alignment with respect to the fiber and lens, which were also mounted on a 2-axis piezoelectric bimorph scanner for fine optical alignment. The lens (Lightpath 370631) was used to focus the light exiting the optical fiber to a 2.5- μ m diameter spot on the SiNW. The current-carrying wire was mounted on a 3-axis piezoelectric positioner and scanner for coarse and fine positioning with respect to the SiNW. The entire assembly was cooled to 4.2 K in a high vacuum chamber (<10⁻⁶ mbar). The temperature of the SiNW was approximately 8 K with an incident optical power of roughly 1 μ W.

3. SURFACE DISSIPATION

To produce the large magnetic field gradients required for sensitive spin-detection, the distance between the sample and the gradient source must be very small, typically less than 100 nm. At such small tip-surface separations, the cantilever dissipation usually increases considerably due to noncontact friction which arises from surface-induced forces fluctuating near $\omega_0^{9, 10}$. In general, the total mechanical dissipation is $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_s$, where $\Gamma_0 = k/\omega_0 Q_0$ is the intrinsic cantilever dissipation, and Γ_s is the dissipation caused by surface fluctuations. Near the surface, Γ_s can dominate the mechanical dissipation and can significantly increase the thermal force fluctuations $S_F = 4k_BT\Gamma$ experienced by the cantilever. Here, k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the cantilever temperature. Because S_F determines the minimum force $F_{\min} = \sqrt{S_F \Delta f}$ detectable by the oscillator in a bandwidth Δf , noncontact friction presents a serious obstacle to improved sensitivity in MRFM.

To determine the effect of surface dissipation in SiNW oscillators, we measured the total dissipation of several SiNWs over polycrystalline gold surfaces at low temperature as a function of tip-surface separation (Fig. 3(a)). The quality factor was determined by measuring the root-mean-square amplitude x(t) of the thermal displacement, computing the autocorrelation of the oscillator energy $\langle x^2(t)x^2(t+\tau)\rangle$, and fitting to an exponential decay⁹, where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ indicates a time average. Remarkably, SiNWs of the type we study maintain their ultralow native dissipation to within 10 nm from a surface. In fact, at a tip-surface spacing d = 7 nm, a typical SiNW experiences nearly a factor of 80 less surface dissipation and factor of 250 less total dissipation than audio frequency cantilevers under similar conditions⁹. The physical mechanisms underlying the reduced surface dissipation experienced by SiNWs are not completely clear. It may be that the small cross-sectional area of a SiNW decreases its coupling to the surface, or that the spectral density of surface fluctuations is lower at rf frequencies than at audio frequencies.

Although SiNWs experience comparatively little surface dissipation, the frequency jitter of typical SiNWs increases to many times the thermal limit near a surface (Fig. 3(b)) as a result of 1/f-like frequency fluctuations¹¹. Even in the presence of enhanced frequency jitter, however, the mean square thermal amplitude remains in agreement with the equipartition theorem $\langle x^2(t) \rangle = k_B T/k$ to at least d = 15 nm (Fig. 3(b)). Hence, the force fluctuations experienced by the SiNW obey the fluctuation-dissipation theorem⁹, and the thermal fluctuations S_F remain the dominant source of force noise even at small tip-surface separations.

4. SPIN DETECTION PROTOCOL

To take full advantage of the ultralow thermal force noise exhibited by the SiNWs, we have developed an MRFM spin-detection protocol called MAGGIC (Modulated Alternating Gradients Generated wIth Currents). Using this scheme we measure the x-component of the force on the SiNW from the longitudinal component of the spins: $F_x = \mu_z dB_z/dx$, where dB_z/dx is the lateral magnetic field gradient and μ_z is the z-component of the spin magnetic moment. The MAGGIC protocol relies on AC currents passing through a constriction in a small wire¹², which we refer to as the rf wire, to generate both the rf field B₁ and a time-dependent gradient of the form $dB_z(t)/dx = G(t)\cos(\omega_0 t)$ (Fig. 4), where G(t)describes the amplitude-modulation of the oscillating gradient. To minimize spurious excitation of the SiNW, G(t) periodically reverses sign with a period $T_{AM} \gg 2\pi/\omega_0$ and ensures there is no Fourier component of the voltage across the rf wire at ω_0 .¹³ During the time T_{OFF} when G(t) = 0, the spins on resonance are inverted adiabatically. Because the spins are reversed synchronously with G(t), however, the force at ω_0 does not change sign and resonantly drives the SiNW.

The time-dependent force exerted on the SiNW by a single spin is

$$F(t) = \mu_z(t)G(t)\cos(\omega_0 t), \qquad (1)$$

where $\mu_z(t)$ is the time-dependent spin z-component. $\mu_z(t)$ alternates in phase with G(t) and also flips randomly with correlation due statistical fluctuations. а time τ_m to Hence, $\mu_z(t)G(t) = \mu h(t)|G(t)|$, where μ is the spin magnetic moment, and h(t) is a random telegraph function that takes on the values ± 1 and has the following properties: $\langle h(t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle h^2(t) \rangle = 1$. Since G(t) varies slowly in time compared with the SiNW oscillation, and because in practice we choose $T_{AM} \ll \tau_m$,

$$F(t) = \mu DG_{pk}h(t)\cos(\omega_0 t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\pm n}(t)e^{i(\omega_0 \pm 2n\omega_{AM})t}$$

= $F_0(t)\cos(\omega_0 t)$ + sidebands (2)

Here G_{pk} is the peak gradient, and

$$F_0(t) \equiv \mu DG_{pk}h(t) \tag{3}$$

is the amplitude of the force at ω_0 averaged over the period $T_{_{AM}}/2$. The quantity

$$D = \frac{2}{G_{pk}T_{AM}} \int_{0}^{T_{AM}/2} |G(t)| dt$$
(4)

is the fractional amount of time the gradient is on and is approximately the duty cycle of the gradient modulation. Only the term $F_0(t)\cos(\omega_0 t)$ in eq. (2) resonantly excites the SiNW. Although the mean amplitude of this force vanishes, the mean square value P is non zero:

$$P = \frac{\left\langle F_0^2(t) \right\rangle}{2} = \frac{\mu^2 D^2 G_{pk}^2}{2}$$
(5)

The mean square displacement of the SiNW in response to this force is given by $\langle x^2(t) \rangle = P \frac{Q^2}{k^2}$.

A distinguishing feature of the MAGGIC protocol is the use of electric currents to generate strong, pulsed magnetic field gradients. This capability enables nuclear spin MRFM detection using rf oscillators, such as nanowires, without the need to modulate the nuclear magnetization at the cantilever frequency or oscillate the cantilever. In addition, the absence of a static gradient during the adiabatic inversions means that the resonance condition is satisfied throughout the sample, and that signal can be collected from all parts of the sample where the magnitude of the gradient is appreciable and B_1 is sufficient to invert the spins. The characteristic size of the detection region (several hundred nanometers in the present experiment) is related to the size of the constriction, which determines the spatial variation of the gradient and B_1 .

While we have not attempted imaging here, we note that a static gradient could be applied during the inversions to create a localized resonant slice, which could be scanned through the sample. Furthermore, the MAGGIC protocol is also compatible with well-established efficient magnetic resonance imaging schemes, such as Fourier^{14, 15} and Hadamard¹⁶ encoding, which collect signal from many voxels simultaneously after a series of encoding pulses. In fact, MRFM using such schemes has previously been proposed¹⁷ and demonstrated¹⁸⁻²⁰ with micrometer spatial resolution. The application of these techniques to nanometer scale imaging will be the subject of future work.

To generate the strong time-dependent local fields and gradients for the MAGGIC protocol, we fabricated the rf wire by first sputtering a 5-nm thick Ti/500-nm thick Au film on a silicon substrate with a 500-nm thick layer of thermal oxide. The contact pads and large wires were defined using argon ion milling with a photoresist etch mask. A focused ion beam was used to cut a 375-nm wide and 500-nm long constriction in the rf wire (Fig. 5(a)). Prior to cutting with the focused ion beam, the device was annealed at 250 °C for 3 hours in dry nitrogen to decrease the resistivity of the film. The resistance of the device was approximately 2 Ω at 4.2 K.

The tip of the SiNW was positioned 80 nm above the center of the constriction to maximize the magnitudes of both B₁ and dB_z/dx (Fig. 5(b)). The SiNW was electrostatically damped using a gate electrode (Figs. 1 and 5(a)) to Q = 1.3×10^4 to increase the detection bandwidth²¹. Two arbitrary waveform generators (National Instruments PXI 5412) with independently adjustable amplitudes and phases were used to differentially drive the rf wire. Both generators had nominally the same amplitudes and opposite phases to ensure a voltage null at the constriction and minimize sideband excitation of the SiNW. (In the MAGGIC protocol, the voltage across the rf wire contains no Fourier component at ω_0 , but it does contain sidebands centered about ω_0 .) Fine adjustments were made to the amplitude and phase of each generator to further reduce the excitation of the SiNW. Approximately 67 mA of current, corresponding to a peak current density of 3.6×10^7 A/cm² through the constriction, generated both B₁ and the gradient oscillation. The current used here was limited by the compliance of the generators and not by the rf wire, which operated nearly continuously at this current density through the constriction for several weeks.

A small (1 cm) superconducting solenoid inside the vacuum chamber provided the static field $B_0 = 0.183 \text{ T} (\gamma B_0/2\pi = 7.8 \text{ MHz})$ along the z-direction. In the MAGGIC protocol, the signal is maximized when $B_0 \gg B_x$ and $\gamma B_0 \gg \omega_0$, where B_x is the amplitude of the field in the x-direction produced by the constriction during the gradient oscillation. As the gradient oscillates, the instantaneous total field cants by an angle $\alpha(t) = \tan^{-1}(B_x \cos(\omega_0 t)/B_0)$ away from the z-axis. Based on simulations of the Bloch equations, we find that the time-dependence of μ_z in the absence of statistical fluctuations and adiabatic inversions can be approximated as $\mu_z(t) \approx \mu \left(1 - (\alpha_{max}/2)^2 (1 + \cos(2\omega_0 t))\right)$, provided $\alpha_{max} = \tan^{-1}(B_x/B_0) < 1$ and $\gamma B_0 \gg \omega_0$. As a result of this time-dependence, the amplitude of the force at ω_0 in eq. (3) is replaced by $F_0(t) \rightarrow F_0(t)R$, and peak spin-signal power in eq. (5) is replaced by $P \rightarrow PR^2$, where $R = 1 - 3\alpha_{max}^2/8$. In the present experiment, $B_x < 0.035$ T, $\alpha_{max} < 11$ °, and R > 0.99. Thus, the peak signal power was not significantly reduced.

5. RESULTS

The spin signal was measured by demodulating the displacement signal using a software-based lock-in amplifier referenced to the gradient oscillation. Shown in Fig. 6 is the force power spectrum from the in-phase lock-in channel. The MRFM signal from the statistically polarized ¹H spins in the polysty-rene appears as a peak at 0 Hz. The total spectrum $S = S_{spin} + S_N$ is the sum of the spin signal, which is well-described by a Lorentzian function²² $S_{spin} = 4\tau_m P / [1 + (2\pi \tau_m f)^2]$, and a constant noise back-ground S_N . By fitting the data, we find $P = 5.9 \pm 1.2 \text{ aN}^2$, and the spin relaxation time $\tau_m = 1.04 \pm 0.03 \text{ s}$ —a factor of two larger than what has previously been reported in polystyrene²³. The increased spin relaxation time may occur because there is no static field gradient during the spin manipulation, or because in the MAGGIC protocol, the spins spend relatively little time in the rotating frame.

The force noise in the detection quadrature was $S_N = 3.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ aN}^2/\text{Hz}$, slightly above the thermal noise power, which was $S_F = 2.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ aN}^2/\text{Hz}$ at 8K. The observed force noise is significantly lower than what has been measured in micron scale cantilevers² operating at 300 mK. The uncertainty estimates are based on the uncertainties in the measurements of the quality factor, temperature, and spring constant of the SiNW. In subsequent experiments, we confirmed that the excess noise above S_F was caused by phase noise of the arbitrary waveform generators exciting the SiNW. We have since implemented the MAGGIC protocol using a single waveform generator with a 0-180° rf splitter to differentially drive the constriction. With the splitter, the voltage phase noise produced by the generator cancels itself at the constriction, and the excess noise is substantially reduced.

To measure the magnitude of B_1 , we applied nutation pulses¹² (Fig. 7) to observe Rabi oscillations (Fig. 8). From the period of the Rabi oscillations, we find that the average $B_1 = 8.8$ mT (rotating frame). As expected, τ_m increased with increasing B_1 (Fig. 6 upper inset). As a check, we simulated the magnitude of the signal based on the geometry of the constriction and polystyrene coating. We modeled the constriction as an infinitely long wire with a 500 nm × 375 nm rectangular cross section. Finite element analysis using COMSOL Multiphysics (COMSOL, Inc.) of the actual wire geometry including the adjacent metal islands has confirmed that infinite wire model reproduces the relevant fields and gradients to within 10% in the region of space occupied by the polystyrene sample. The infinite wire model was used for ease of computation. The shape of the polystyrene coating was extracted from scanning electron micrographs, and the signal power was computed as

$$P = \frac{\rho \mu^2 D^2 \cos^2\left(\theta\right)}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in V} \Delta V \left(dB_z \left(\mathbf{r}\right) / dx \right)^2.$$
(6)

Here, $\rho = 4.9 \times 10^{28}$ m⁻³ is the ¹H density in polystyrene, $\mu = 1.4 \times 10^{-26}$ J/T is the proton magnetic moment, *D* is given by eq. (4), ΔV is the volume element of the simulation, and θ is the tilt angle of the SiNW away from the z-axis (approximately 15 °, as measured with scanning electron microscopy). To calculate the signal power, we summed over all coordinates in the sample volume V.

The calculated signal power is 11 aN^2 . The agreement with experiment is reasonable given the absence of free parameters in the calculation. The discrepancy may be due to imperfect adiabatic inversions or improper positioning of the SiNW tip over the constriction. Were the SiNW tip actually 200 nm away in the x-direction from the center of the constriction, for example, the calculated signal power is 7 aN^2 . Although we have not directly measured the magnetic field gradient, our calculations indicate that $dB_z/dx = 1.2 \times 10^5$ T/m at a distance of 80 nm. Since the gradient falls off rapidly away from the constriction, the polystyrene closest to the SiNW tip contributes most of the signal power (Fig. 6 lower inset). In the future, stronger field gradients in excess of 10^6 T/m should be possible with smaller constrictions supporting current densities in the 10^9 A/cm² range; such large current densities have been reported in nanoscale metal constrictions whose size is small compared with the electron mean-free-path²⁴.

6. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have demonstrated a new route to ultrasensitive MRFM detection using SiNW rf oscillators and the MAGGIC spin detection protocol. The use of bottom-up nanomechanical oscillators as force detectors opens the door for greatly improved force sensitivity. Furthermore, the ability to generate large time-dependent field gradients may enable efficient methods for nanoscale magnetic resonance imaging. Together, these new tools promise to advance MRFM closer toward the goal of molecular imaging.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Tyler Naibert for fabrication assistance, and John Mamin and Dan Rugar for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Department of Physics at the University of Illinois and the Frederick Seitz Materials Research Laboratory. Work at Northwestern University was supported by the National Science Foundation Grant No. DMI- 0507053 through the NIRT program.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- ¹ J. A. Sidles, J. L. Garbini, K. J. Bruland, D. Rugar, O. Zuger, S. Hoen, and C. S. Yannoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. **67**, 249 (1995).
- ² C. L. Degen, M. Poggio, H. J. Mamin, C. T. Rettner, and D. Rugar, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 1313 (2009).
- ³ J. M. Nichol, E. R. Hemesath, L. J. Lauhon, and R. Budakian, Appl. Phys. Lett. **93**, 193110 (2008).
- ⁴ E. Gil-Santos, D. Ramos, J. Martinez, M. Fernandez-Regulez, R. Garcia, A. San Paulo, M. Calleja, and J. Tamayo, Nat. Nanotechnol. **5**, 641 (2010).
- ⁵ V. Sazonova, Y. Yaish, H. Ustunel, D. Roundy, T. A. Arias, and P. L. McEuen, Nature **431**, 284 (2004).
- ⁶ K. Jensen, K. Kim, and A. Zettl, Nat. Nanotechnol. **3**, 533 (2008).
- ⁷ J. S. Bunch, A. M. van der Zande, S. S. Verbridge, I. W. Frank, D. M. Tanenbaum, J. M. Parpia, H. G. Craighead, and P. L. McEuen, Science **315**, 490 (2007).
- ⁸ D. E. Perea, E. Wijaya, J. L. Lensch-Falk, E. R. Hemesath, and L. J. Lauhon, J. Solid State Chem. **181**, 1642 (2008).
- ⁹ B. C. Stipe, H. J. Mamin, T. D. Stowe, T. W. Kenny, and D. Rugar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 096801 (2001).
- ¹⁰ S. Kuehn, R. F. Loring, and J. A. Marohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 156103 (2006).
- ¹¹ S. M. Yazdanian, N. Hoepker, S. Kuehn, R. F. Loring, and J. A. Marohn, Nano Lett. 9, 2273 (2009).
- ¹² M. Poggio, C. L. Degen, C. T. Rettner, H. J. Mamin, and D. Rugar, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 263111 (2007).
- ¹³ Oscillating electric fields produced by the rf wire can strongly drive the SiNW due to the presence of uncompensated charge on the SiNW.
- ¹⁴ A. Kumar, D. Welti, and R. R. Ernst, J. Magn. Reson. **18**, 69 (1975).
- ¹⁵ D. I. Hoult, J. Magn. Reson. **33**, 183 (1979).
- ¹⁶ L. Bolinger and J. S. Leigh, J. Magn. Reson. **80**, 162 (1988).
- ¹⁷ J. G. Kempf and J. A. Marohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 087601 (2003).
- ¹⁸ K. W. Eberhardt, C. L. Degen, and B. H. Meier, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 180405 (2007).
- ¹⁹ K. W. Eberhardt, A. Hunkeler, U. Meier, J. Tharian, S. Mouaziz, G. Boero, J. Brugger, and B. H. Meier, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 214401 (2008).
- ²⁰ R. Joss, I. T. Tomka, K. W. Eberhardt, J. D. van Beek, and B. H. Meier, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 104435 (2011).
- ²¹ J. L. Garbini, K. J. Bruland, W. M. Dougherty, and J. A. Sidles, J. Appl. Phys. **80**, 1951 (1996).
- ²² D. Rugar, R. Budakian, H. J. Mamin, and B. W. Chui, Nature **430**, 329 (2004).
- ²³ F. Xue, P. Peddibhotla, M. Montinaro, D. P. Weber, and M. Poggio, Appl. Phys. Lett. **98**, 163103 (2011).
- ²⁴ K. S. Ralls and R. A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2434 (1988).



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Schematic of the experimental setup. Prior to the experiment, a single SiNW on the substrate was selected and coated with polystyrene. The SiNW tip was brought near the constriction in the rf wire. Focused, polarized laser light was used to detect the displacement of the specific SiNW with the polystyrene. (b) Experimental apparatus. A free-space interferometer coupled to an optical fiber was used to detect the displacement of the SiNW. In the interferometer, light from a 2- μ m laser diode (LD) passes through an optical isolator and a beam splitter (BS), couples into a polarization-maintaining (PM) optical fiber, and is focused with a lens onto the SiNW. Two arbitrary waveform generators (AWG 1 and AWG 2) with independently adjustable amplitudes and phases (A₁, ϕ_1 , A₂, ϕ_2) were used to differentially drive the rf wire.



FIG. 2. (a) Scanning electron micrograph of a SiNW substrate showing several SiNWs representative of the type used in this study. (b) The tip of the SiNW used in this study with the polystyrene coating. The dashed lines indicate the outer diameter of the SiNW.



FIG. 3. (a) Total dissipation $\Gamma = k/\omega_0 Q$ and thermal force noise $S_F = 4k_BT\Gamma$ for two different SiNWs, one with polystyrene (PS) ($\omega_0/2\pi = 786$ kHz, k = 650 µN/m), and one without ($\omega_0/2\pi = 630$ kHz, k = 215 µN/m). In each case, the surface was polycrystalline gold, and the SiNW temperature was T = 8 K. Dashed lines indicate native dissipation as measured far away from a surface. Data are not shown for separations closer than 10 nm for the 786 kHz SiNW since it seemed to bend slightly upon close approach, making an exact calibration of the distance difficult. (b) Mean square thermal displacement and integrated frequency fluctuations from 10-100 Hz for the 630 kHz SiNW at T = 8 K. The contribution from thermal frequency fluctuations is 0.03 Hz² in the integrated bandwidth. Although the frequency jitter increases near the surface, the mean square thermal amplitude remains constant and agrees with the equipartion theorem to at least 15 nm away from the surface (dashed line is the result from equipartition).



FIG. 4. MAGGIC timing diagram. Nuclear spins are adiabatically inverted by applying a frequency sweep through resonance using the rf wire. An AC current at ω_0 generates the oscillating gradient. The gradient oscillation turns off for a duration T_{off}, the spins are inverted, and the gradient oscillation turns on again with the opposite sign. In the present experiment, the resonance frequency was $\gamma B_0/2\pi = 7.8$ MHz. To generate the inversions, the rf frequency was swept through a bandwidth $\Delta \omega/2\pi = 1.5$ -3 MHz at a rate of 20-60 kHz/µs. The gradient amplitude modulation frequency was typically f_{AM} = 1/T_{AM} = 300-800 Hz, and the duty cycle was typically D ≈ 0.8 .



FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Scanning electron micrograph of the rf wire, constriction, and gate electrode. The rf wire was fabricated from a 5-nm thick Ti/500-nm thick Au film on a silicon substrate with a 500-nm thick layer of thermal oxide. A focused ion beam was used to create the constriction in the rf wire. Current passing through the constriction generates both the rf field and a time-varying field gradient to couple the spins to the SiNW. The gate electrode was used to electrostatically damp the SiNW. (b) During the experiment, the SiNW tip was positioned directly above the center of the constriction in the rf wire. At this location, both the magnitudes of B_1 and dB_z/dx are maximized.



FIG. 6. (Color online) Spectrum from the in-phase lock-in channel showing the statistically polarized spin signal and fit to a Lorentzian at T = 8 K, $B_0 = 0.183$ T, and $\gamma B_0/2\pi = 7.8$ MHz. The dashed line is the calculated thermal force noise. The displacement noise from the interferometer contributed approximately 1.0 aN²/Hz to the force noise. This contribution has been subtracted to display only the force noise of the oscillator. Upper inset: dependence of the spin relaxation time on the rf amplitude. Lower inset: The shaded region of the polystyrene contributes 90% of the observed signal power.



FIG. 7. Nutation pulse sequence. Rf pulses at $\omega_{rf} = \gamma B_0$ of variable length τ_p were inserted in the MAGGIC protocol every $T_p = 500$ ms, or every 175 modulation periods at $f_{AM} = 350$ Hz. The period T_p was chosen to be less than the spin lock lifetime τ_m .



FIG. 8. (Color online) Rabi oscillations for an rf current of 42 mA. To determine the period of the Rabi oscillations, we fit the data to an exponentially decaying cosine. From the measured oscillation period of 4.25 μ s, we determine that B₁ = 5.5 mT. Thus, for 67 mA through the construction, B₁ = 8.8 mT.