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Iron-arsenide superconductor Na$_{1-x}$FeAs is highly reactive with the environment. Due to the high mobility of Na ions, this reaction affects the entire bulk of the crystals and leads to an effective stoichiometry change. Here we use this effect to study the doping evolution of normal and superconducting properties of the same single crystals. Controlled reaction with air increases the superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$, from the initial value of 12 K to 27 K as probed by transport and magnetic measurements. Similar effects are observed in samples reacted with Apiezon N-grease, which slows down the reaction rate and results in more homogeneous samples. In both cases the temperature dependent resistivity, $\rho_a(T)$, shows a dramatic change with exposure time. In freshly prepared samples, $\rho_a(T)$ reveals clear features at the tetragonal-to-orthorhombic ($T_a \approx 60$ K) and antiferromagnetic ($T_m=45$ K) transitions and superconductivity with onset $T_c,ons=16$ K and offset $T_c,off=12$ K. The exposed samples show $T$-linear variation of $\rho_a(T)$ above $T_c,ons=30$ K ($T_c,off=26$ K), suggesting bulk character of the observed doping evolution and implying the existence of a quantum critical point at the optimal doping. The resistivity for different doping levels is affected below $\sim 200$ K suggesting the existence of a characteristic energy scale that terminates the $T$-linear regime, which could be identified with a pseudogap.

PACS numbers: 74.70.Dd,72.15.-v,74.25.Jb

I. INTRODUCTION

In the generic phase diagram of FeAs-based materials, domain of superconductivity has maximum $T_c$ close to a quantum critical point of the magnetic order, see Fig. 1, suggestive of a magnetically mediated mechanism of superconductivity.1,2 Quantum fluctuations lead to a characteristic $T$-linear temperature dependence of electrical resistivity, $\rho_a(T)$, and its evolution towards a Fermi-liquid $T^2$ behavior with doping (see Ref. [3] for a review). Both these features are observed in iron pnictides, most clearly in the electron doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ (BaCo122 in the following)1 and in isoelectron doped BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$.5 In hole-doped (Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$)Fe$_2$As$_2$ (BaK122, in the following)6 resistivity also shows a limited range of close to $T$-linear variation above $T_c$, however, terminated at high-temperatures by a tendency to saturation.8,9 Similar slope changes are found in both in-plane and inter-plane resistivity of self-doped LiFeAs10,11, and is a dominant feature in the inter-plane resistivity, $\rho_c(T)$, of transition metal doped BaTM12215,16 where, by comparison with NMR data,17 it was associated with the formation of a pseudogap. Similar association was suggested for the explanation of both in- and inter-plane resistivity in BaK122.8,18

Na$_{1-x}$FeAs (Na111 in the following) is isostructural to LiFeAs (Li111 in the following); however, in its stoichiometric form, $\delta = 0$, it is representative of an underdoped part of the superconducting phase diagram, revealing coexisting superconducting ($T_c=12$ K), magnetic ($T_m=45$ K), and orthorhombic ($T_a=55$ K) phases.20-23 Doping with Co in NaFeAs21 reveals a phase diagram (down-triangles in Fig. 1), which can be matched well to the phase diagram of BaCo122 with appropriate $x$-axis shift by 0.048. The phenomenology of split structural and magnetic transitions and coexisting superconductivity is reminiscent of BaCo122 as well.19,26

Similar phase diagram can be induced in NaFeAs by application of pressure24 and by P-doping25, which is again very similar to Ba122. However, NaFeAs has another unique opportunity of doping level control. In contrast with Li111, Na111 can be prepared with Na deficiency, allowing for some control of the Na stoichiometry.27,28 Due to the high mobility of Na atoms at room temperature, Na can be removed from the sample by controlled oxidative deintercalation reaction with the environment.28 Here we use this effect to study the evolution of the radio frequency magnetic susceptibility and the temperature-dependent in-plane resistivity, $\rho_a(T)$, in the same single crystals of NaFeAs as a function of environmental exposure. Our main finding is the observation of $T$-linear resistivity at the optimal, highest $T_c$, doping achieved by the environmental reaction, suggesting the universality of a quantum critical scenario in 111 and 122 iron-pnictide superconductors. We find that the temperature range of this $T$-linear dependence is bound from the high-temperature side by the pseudogap feature, quite similar to BaK122. The pseudogap affects $\rho_a(T)$ even in the parent composition.
Quantum resistivity measurements were performed in fresh cleaved sample measured upon warming after cooling in zero magnetic field and applying a 10 Oe field (ZFC-W). Inset: magnetic hysteresis loop measured at 5 K in the same sample.

left mounted on a PPMS puck in air and measurements were repeated after several controlled exposures to air. Some samples, after initial preparation, were covered with Apiezon N-grease, a technique which was used to preserve Li111 in our previous studies. In the case of Na111 we found that Apiezon N-grease does not prevent the sample environmental reaction, but slows it down giving a better control of sample property variation, especially in combination with the ultrasonic treatment.

DC magnetic measurements were performed with a Quantum Design MPMS on a freshly cleaved sample. AC magnetic characterization was performed with a tunnel-diode resonator (TDR) - a self-oscillating tank circuit powered by a properly biased tunnel diode. Samples were mounted on a sapphire rod and inserted in the inductor coil. The measured frequency shift is proportional to differential magnetic susceptibility of the sample. For quick mounting and measurement protocols, we used a simplified version of the TDR susceptometer (a “dipper”), which is inserted directly into the transport 4He dewar. The trade-off is reduced stability and higher temperature-dependent background as compared to our high-stability 3He and dilution refrigerator versions of the TDR susceptometer. Nevertheless, the “dipper” is perfectly suitable to study magnetic signature of the superconducting transition, especially when a quick turn-around is required.

III. RESULTS

Figure 2 shows the temperature-dependent DC magnetization of a freshly cleaved sample measured upon warming after cooling in zero magnetic field and applying a 10 Oe field (ZFC-W). The magnetic hysteresis loop measured at 5 K in the same sample is shown in the inset.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Single crystals of NaFeAs were grown by sealing a mixture of Na, Fe and As together in Ta tubes and cooking at 950 C, followed by 5 C/hour cool-down to 900 C. Samples were stored and transported in sealed containers filled with an inert gas. Preparation of samples for dipper tunnel diode resonator (TDR) and resistivity measurements was done in air as quickly as possible (typically about 5 minutes). The leftovers of unreacted sodium cause intense reaction on the surface of some samples. However, cleaved internal parts of single crystals do not show any visible reaction and turns out to be relatively stable. After sample preparation, samples were measured and stored in a He gas environment, in which they did not show change of the properties with time.

Samples for in-plane resistivity measurements had typical dimensions of (1-2)×0.5×(0.02-0.1) mm³. All sample dimensions were measured with an accuracy of about 10%. Contacts for four-probe resistivity measurements were made by soldering 50 μm silver wires with ultrapure Sn solder, as described in Ref. [30]. This technique produced contact resistance typically in the 10 μΩ range. Resistivity measurements were performed in Quantum Design PPMS.

After initial resistivity measurements, samples were
Both results are quite characteristic of bulk superconductivity showing robust screening and significant pinning. Moreover, as we show below by direct polarized-light imaging and resistivity measurements, this bulk superconductivity coexists with structural and magnetic long-range order.
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**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Temperature dependence of the normalized frequency shift in the TDR experiment (\(f_0\) is the frequency shift at \(T_c\)), for sample of NaFeAs exposed to air for the indicated number of hours. Environmental reaction, caused by the oxidative deintercalation of Na, increases the onset temperature of the superconducting transition from 13 K, for fresh samples, to 24 K for samples exposed for 28 hours in air (curves with symbols), only slightly broadening the transition. On further exposure, the onset \(T_c\) rises to almost 28 K, however, additional features and significant broadening in the temperature dependence of the frequency shift show that the sample becomes inhomogeneous, suggesting formation of 122 phase inclusions. Inset shows the evolution of the transition temperature (defined at half of the total frequency variation over the transition, \(\Delta f/f_0 = 0.5\)) with environmental reaction time. Shaded area represents samples with visible presence of multiple phases.

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of the normalized frequency shift (proportional to magnetic susceptibility) in single crystal sample of Na111 as a function of exposure time to air. Here \(f_0\) is the frequency shift at \(T_c\). After initial preparation, the sample shows a quite sharp superconducting transition with the onset at \(T_c \approx 13\) K. Air exposure for up to 28 hours shifts the transition to \(T_c \approx 24\) K, almost parallel to the initial curve and does not broaden the transition. This observation suggests that the effect is truly bulk in nature, since close to \(T_c\), where the London penetration depth diverges, the penetration of rf field into the sample is determined by the smaller of two characteristic length scales, - skin depth in the normal state and characteristic sample dimension, both of the order of 100 \(\mu\)m in this case. Upon further exposure to air, the onset temperature of the superconducting transition continues to rise until reaching the maximum value of \(T_c \approx 28\) K, however, the transition broadens, and additional features start to appear at lower temperatures, suggesting that the sample becomes inhomogeneous and starts to decompose into NaFe2As2. The experiment with air exposure was repeated on a total of five samples and consistently produced the same result.

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Temperature dependence of the normalized in-plane resistivity, \(\rho_\parallel/\rho(300K)\) for three samples of NaFeAs in a fresh state after initial sample handling and contact making. Arrows show features in the temperature dependence due to magnetic and structural transitions, and additional broad slope change features at temperatures \(T_3 \approx 100\) K, \(T_2 \approx 180\) K and \(T_1 \approx 290\) K. The inset zooms onto the superconducting transition and shows the definitions of onset and offset criteria for the superconducting transition temperature.

Temperature-dependent resistivity of three “fresh” crystals of Na111 is shown in Fig. 4 using a normalized resistivity scale, \(\rho/\rho(300K)\). The resistivity value at room temperature, \(\rho_0(300K)\), shows notable scatter between 200 to 400 \(\mu\)Ωcm, presumably due to the effect of hidden cracks on the effective sample geometric factor. These values of \(\rho_0(300K)\) are comparable within the error bars to the value of \(\sim 300\) \(\mu\)Ωcm, typical for parent and hole-doped BaK122 compounds, as well as for Li111, and is notably lower than the previously reported value of above 4500 \(\mu\)Ωcm. The temperature dependence of the resistivity of the three fresh samples A, B and C is very close, with some difference potentially coming from the difference in time of air exposure during sample preparation and contact making. The air exposure can also be responsible for smoother features in the temperature-dependent resistivity in this study compared to the previous study. On cooling, \(\rho_0(T)\) of fresh samples reveals three broad resistivity slope changes at \(T_1 \sim 290\) K, \(T_2 \sim 180\) K and \(T_3 \sim 90\) K, a small \(\rho_0(T)\) upturn on cooling below \(T_c \sim 56\) K, a sharp downturn below \(T_m \sim 45\) K and a superconducting transition with onset at \(T_{c,ons} \sim 14\) K (sample A) and offset at \(T_{c,off} \sim 12\) K. Both onset and offset temperatures were determined as crossing points of linear \(\rho_0(T)\) extrapolations above, at, and below the transition as shown for \(T_{c,ons}\) in inset in Fig. 4. The onset temperature of resistive transition in
sample C shifts to 26 K, showing that it is indeed more exposed to initial degradation during sample preparation.
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**FIG. 5.** (Color online) (Top panel) The evolution of the temperature-dependent resistivity of the sample of Na_{1-x}FeAs during exposure to air. Resistivity of the sample at room temperature, \( \rho_a(300K) \), monotonically increases due to macroscopic sample degradation and formation of cracks. Bottom panel shows the same data, plotted using a normalized resistivity scale, \( \rho/\rho(300K) \). The normalization procedure removes variation of the effective geometric factor and essentially reveals doping-independent resistivity close to room temperature and a strong variation below 200 K. The inset in the bottom panel zooms onto the superconducting transition temperature and a strong variation below 200 K. The inset in the TDR experiments, see Fig. 3. The top panel shows the evolution of sample resistivity, while the bottom panel shows the same data plotted using a normalized resistivity scale, \( \rho/\rho(300K) \), and a zoom of the superconducting transition area. It is clear that the environmental reaction changes the bulk properties of the sample, grossly affecting its normal state \( \rho_a(T) \). On the other hand, air exposure monotonically increases \( \rho_a(300K) \), suggesting development of macroscopic defects (most likely cracks) during reaction. Plotting the data on the normalized scale removes the variation of the geometric factor and reveals very peculiar transformation of the temperature-dependent resistivity. First, all four curves coincide above approximately 200 K, consistent with the idea that the variation of \( \rho_a(300K) \) value comes predominantly from the variation of the geometric factor and that the variation of doping level plays only a minor role. Second, below 200 K the \( \rho_a(T) \) curve systematically decreases with air exposure. Indeed, even the resistivity data without geometric factor normalization show curves crossing in Fig. 5b. With the exposure time of 12 hours, the small resistive increase at \( T_m \) becomes indistinguishable, while the rapid downturn below \( T_m \) shifts to lower temperatures as expected for the increased doping level in Fig. 1, and \( T_{c,ons} \) rises to 30 K while \( T_{c,off} \) rises to 20 K. For a 24 hour exposure time, the \( \rho_a(T) \) curve shows the sharpest superconducting transition with the onset at 30 K, crosses zero at 26 K, and a tail at the end of the transition with actual zero reached at 20 K. With further exposure increase to 36 hours, the tail below the transition develops further, in broad accordance of the characteristic time scale with sample degradation in TDR measurements, Fig. 3.

Together with suppression of the structural transition and an increase of the superconducting transition, the crossover features in resistivity at \( T_3 \) become completely indistinguishable in a 12 hour curve, while the resistivity variation through \( T_2 \) changes from a slight slope decrease on cooling in fresh samples to the onset of a rapid resistivity decrease in exposed samples. Interestingly, \( \rho_a(T) \) becomes \( T \)-linear above \( T_c \) in samples with a 24 hour exposure, while the high-temperature end of the \( T \)-linear range is close to \( T_2 \).

In Fig. 6 we show the evolution of the TDR magnetic susceptibility in samples stored in Apiezon N-grease and subjected to ultrasonic treatment to facilitate Na diffusion between dipper runs. While grease protects samples from air, it does not stop Na deintercalation and actually acts as a sink for Na ions leaving the crystal. This leads to a higher rate of sample \( T_c \) variation and a much higher quality of the superconducting transition without additional features and only minor broadening.

Figure 7 shows electrical resistivity of samples covered with Apiezon N-grease after initial preparation. Between two measurements sample was left on a PPMS puck in air for 24 hours. Temperature-dependent sample resistivity is shown in the top panel of Fig. 7, while the same data on a normalized resistivity scale, \( \rho/\rho(300K) \), and a zoom of the superconducting transition are shown in the bottom panel. Exposure of the sample to Apiezon N-grease moderately increases \( \rho_a(300K) \) and produces a sample of much better quality judging by a superconducting transition, with \( T_{c,ons} = 30 \) K and \( T_{c,off} = 26 \) with actual zero.
resistance achieved at 24 K.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Structural/magnetic ordering and resistivity

Figure 8 shows polarized light images of the freshly cleaved surface of the sample used in DC magnetization measurements shown in Fig. 2. The top panel was obtained at 60 K (above the magnetic/structural transition), whereas the bottom panel shows an image taken at 5 K (well below the transitions). It reveals a clear pattern of structural domains, providing direct evidence for the occurrence of the tetragonal to orthorhombic structural transition in our samples. Considering the upturn anomaly in \( \rho(T) \) at 56 K (structural transition) and downturn anomaly at 40 K (magnetic transition) measured on another piece of the same crystal, Fig. 7, we conclude that freshly cleaved samples exhibit bulk coexistence of superconductivity, magnetism and orthorhombic structural distortion.

On the other hand, resistivity in the fresh samples rapidly decreases below a temperature of the magnetic transition, showing that magnetic fluctuations contribute significantly to the inelastic scattering above \( T_m^{34} \).

FIG. 6. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the normalized frequency shift in the TDR experiment for a sample of Na\(_{1-x}\)FeAs stored in Apiezon N-grease and treated in an ultrasonic cleaner between successive runs. Oxidative deintercalation of Na increases the onset temperature of the superconducting transition from 13 K for fresh samples to 26 K with a slight transition broadening, however, without the appearance of additional features observed in air-exposed samples, Fig. 3.

FIG. 7. (Color online) (Top panel) The evolution of \( \rho_a(T) \) of a Na\(_{1-x}\)FeAs crystal during exposure to Apiezon N-grease. Resistivity of the sample at room temperature, \( \rho_a(300\, \text{K}) \), increases slightly, presumably due variation of effective geometric factor due to the formation of cracks. The bottom panel shows the same data, plotted with normalized resistivity scale, \( \rho/\rho(300\, \text{K}) \). The inset in the bottom panel zooms in on the superconducting transition range, showing increase of the superconducting transition temperature from \( T_{c,\ons} = 15 \, \text{K} \) and \( T_{c,\off} = 12 \, \text{K} \) in the fresh state to \( T_{c,\ons} = 30 \, \text{K} \) and \( T_{c,\off} = 26 \, \text{K} \) in the greased exposed state.

B. Doping phase diagram and environmental reaction

In Na\(_{1-x}\)FeAs, similar to BaFe\(_2\)As\(_2\), doping can be accomplished by substitution of Fe by Co\(^{21}\), P-substitution of As\(^{25}\), as well as pressure,\(^{24}\) leading in all cases to a dome of superconductivity on suppression of magnetic order. Environmental reaction leads to a similar effect, though it is not clear what type of doping causes loss of Na\(^{27,28}\). Na vacancies in the lattice should act as hole acceptors (hole doping), and it might be though that this should move sample position to the left on the doping phase diagram of Fig. 1, stabilizing magnetic order, opposite to experimental observations. This discrepancy may suggest that either defects of different type are formed...
FIG. 8. Polarized light images of the fresh cleaved surface of a Na$_{1-x}$FeAs sample, taken above structural transition at 60 K (top panel) and at 5 K, the base temperature of our experiment (bottom panel). The image shows a pattern of structural domains, with domain walls running along the [100] tetragonal direction.

during the reaction, or that the effect is mainly caused by chemical pressure increasing on lattice shrinking. At this stage we can only speculate that Na or excess As atoms can move into interstitial positions and act as electron donors, or that water or oxygen molecules can enter the lattice similar to intercalation processes occurring in FeSeTe$^{35}$. Further studies are needed to clarify the chemical nature of doping as well as the electronic structure changes involved.

However, comparison with Co-doping study in NaFeAs$^{21}$, suggests that the composition variation does not need to be big. Na$_{1-x}$FeAs in its “fresh” state corresponds to $x=0.048$ in BaCo122, while at optimal doping achieved during environmental reaction (up-triangles in Fig. 1) Na$_{1-x}$FeAs corresponds to $x=0.07$ in BaCo122. This difference, $\Delta x=0.022$ is very close to the actual Co-doping required to induce the highest $T_c=25$ K in Na$_{1-x}$FeAs.$^{21}$ This comparison suggest that equivalent doping in our samples is of the same order, $\approx 0.02$, which may explain why samples do not show formation of significant reaction products during reaction with the environment.

With the reaction times used in our resistivity measurements we can also safely exclude transformation of the sample into different phase, for example NaFe$_2$As$_2$. Similar conclusion can be made by comparison with x-ray data of Todorov et al. $^{28}$, showing only traces of 122 phase in NaFeAs powders after 24 hours reaction with water.

C. Evolution of the temperature-dependent resistivity in 111 compounds

Figure 9 shows temperature-dependent resistivity in a 111 system. We plot the data for fresh NaFeAs, grease treated optimally doped Na$_{1-x}$FeAs and LiFeAs, representative of the overdoped compositions. For comparison, we show temperature dependence of in-plane resistivity in slightly underdoped (Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$)Fe$_2$As$_2$, $x=0.034$, showing close to $T$-linear resistivity at low temperatures and a pseudogap crossover for both $\rho_a(T)$ and $\rho_c(T)$ at around 250 K.

![Figure 9](image_url)
served in LiFeAs. In the case of Na111 the temperature range of $T$-linear dependence is bound from the high-temperature side by a downward slope change on heating, similar to the case of a hole doped BaK122.

Our findings of doping-independent resistivity at high temperatures, which are particularly clear for air treated samples, are very difficult to explain in a model of additive contributions of two different bands into conductivity. We suggest that a broad crossover in $\rho(T)$ at around 200 K has a similar origin as a broad crossover in $c$-axis transport in BaCo122, where this effect correlates well with the NMR observations of a pseudogap and with the domain of $T$-linear magnetic susceptibility. It is interesting that the pseudogap crossover does not affect in-plane transport in electron-doped BaCo122, but strongly affects Na$_{1-x}$FeAs and hole doped BaK122. In view of big difference in the electronic structure of 111 and 122 compounds, observation of very similar features in the temperature-dependent resistivity may suggest that the topology of the Fermi surface is not a determining factor for normal state scattering.

Finally, we point out a very pronounced branching in the temperature-dependent resistivity, coinciding with the end of the pseudogap resistivity crossover. This feature suggests that the electronic structure of the compounds is affected at temperatures much higher than the temperatures of structural and magnetic transitions, a feature hard to reconcile with simple spin density wave ordering models. It brings some similarity to a pronounced strain-induced anisotropy well above structural and magnetic transitions in the equivalent doping range in BaCo122.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We used the naturally occurring environmental reaction to fine-tune the doping level of the Na$_{1-x}$FeAs system from underdoped to optimally doped. Analyzing DC and AC magnetization, direct optical imaging and temperature-dependent resistivity, we conclude that freshly cleaved samples exhibit bulk coexistence of superconductivity, magnetism and orthorombic structural distortion and can be placed on the underdoped side of the effective $T_x$ phase diagram. The environmental reaction leads to a shift towards the effective optimal doping. Measurements of the in-plane resistivity show that the difference between fresh ($\delta = 0$) and non-stoichiometric states of the crystals starts at about 200 K, much higher than the temperature of the structural/magnetic transitions, $T_s \sim 56$ K and $T_m \sim 40$ K. This temperature is of the same order as the temperature of a broad crossover, found in resistivity measurements in all 111 compounds, which we relate to the formation of a pseudogap. At the optimal doping, the resistivity of Na$_{1-x}$FeAs shows an extended range of $T$-linear behavior, in line with the expectations of quantum-critical scenario; however, this range is bound on the high-temperature side by the onset of a pseudogap crossover.
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