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Unexpectedly, we observe a strong Gaussian decay in the nuclear quadrupole resonance signal obtained from a powder sample of spin-1 nuclei under perturbation by off-resonant radio frequency pulses. Using a model composed of just pairs of nuclei, we theoretically determine that the decay is due to the homonuclear dipolar coupling being selectively unrefocused by the pulses. We find that the decay rate measures the dipolar coupling’s strength, and permits us to determine how much of the sample’s linewidth is due to homonuclear dipolar coupling versus electric field gradient inhomogeneity. Furthermore, knowing the strength, shape, frequency, and timing of the pulses that lead to this rapid decay is critical for the purposes of illicit substance detection, since it reduces signal and can lead to a false negative. We find that the experimental parameters that lead to this Gaussian decay are well explained by this simple model, which leads to a method for suppressing or revealing the decay. We confirm our theoretical understanding using two samples of sodium nitrate that vary in their broadening due to electric field gradient inhomogeneity by as much as a factor of three.

PACS numbers: 76.60.Gv, 82.56.Jn, 76.60.Lz, 33.15.-e

I. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) spectroscopy is a promising approach for the detection of quadrupole nuclei within crystalline and semi-crystalline samples since it can be performed without the complexity of static external electric or magnetic fields. Useful for relatively low cost and simple substance detection, e.g. the detection of explosives and narcotics, NQR is constrained by its characteristically low frequencies and correspondingly weak signals. One way of compensating for this poor sensitivity is to apply not just a single radio-frequency (rf) excitation pulse, but a rapid series of such pulses in order to “lock” the signal in time. The idea behind this pulsed spin locking is to continually refocus the decoherence caused by the various line broadening mechanisms. Pulsed spin locking was first applied to NQR by Marino and Klainer in the form known as a “spin-locked spin-echo” (SLSE) sequence, following the initial successful application of this sequence to nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). A SLSE begins with an excitation pulse \( \theta_0 \) to create the initial signal, followed by a series of refocusing pulses \( \theta \), shifted in phase by 90° from the first pulse, which serve to continually rephase the signal. The sequence is written, for \( N \) refocusing pulses, as \( \theta_0 + (\tau - \theta - \tau)N \), where \( 2\tau \) is the time between the refocusing pulses. The NQR signal peaks at a time \( \tau \) after each refocusing pulse to create an echo train whose behavior varies due to the timing, rf-frequency, strength and shape of the refocusing pulses, as well as the broadening mechanisms responsible for the sample’s natural linewidth. The dominant broadening mechanisms are assumed to be homonuclear dipolar coupling and electric field gradient (EFG) inhomogeneity; the second order broadening effect of heteronuclear dipolar coupling, which can be significant for organic compounds, is neglected.

Previous analyses of the signal’s decay focus on its exponential behavior. However, we unexpectedly found that under certain off-resonant conditions the signal detected with a SLSE sequence for a powder of spin-1 nuclei begins with a strong, fast, Gaussian decay. The conditions under which this decay appears, as well as its initial intensity, are well explained with the theoretical argument presented in Section II. The decay is due to the homonuclear dipolar coupling not being rephased by the refocusing pulses, while EFG inhomogeneity is rephased. This causes the envelope of the echo train to behave as though the signal decay was due entirely to the unrefocused dipolar coupling, an observation similar to that observed in NMR. Therefore the width of this Gaussian component in time is a measurement of the dipolar coupling of the sample. To observe the homonuclear dipolar coupling in NMR requires pulses that give a nutation angle exactly equal to \( \pi \), or complicated excitation sequences to simulate the same. In NQR, however, the effective nutation angle of a pulse depends on the orientation of an individual crystallite with respect to the direction of the applied rf pulse, and is therefore not at all homogeneous across the sample. It is not surprising, therefore, that while the NMR experiments revealing homonuclear dipolar coupling are done with resonant pulses, the same resonant experiment in NQR does not reveal a similar result. However, we find for certain off-resonant pulses that the combined nutation angle of the pulse and off-resonance evolution does give an effective \( \pi \) pulse over the sample. These conditions allow a robust and direct measurement of homonuclear dipolar coupling in a powder sample at zero field.

The ability to measure the homonuclear dipolar coupling is very valuable for substance detection since its relative contribution to the linewidth determines the off-resonant behavior of the signal, as discussed in Section IV. Due to temperature variations of the target substance, the NQR resonance frequencies may only be known to within a certain range. Therefore, knowing the
The allowed transitions due to b and spin-\(a\) and \(b\) and spin-\(a\) and likewise for \(b\).

For the two spin model there are nine permutations of the system’s eigenstate \(|ab\rangle\), shown in Fig. 1, where \(a\) is the quadrupole eigenstate of spin-\(a\) and likewise for \(b\).

The quadrupole Hamiltonian can be expressed as

\[
H_Q = 2\epsilon_0|1\rangle\langle 1| + (\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_-)(|2\rangle\langle 2| + |3\rangle\langle 3|) + 2\epsilon_-|4\rangle\langle 4| + (\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_+)(|5\rangle\langle 5| + |6\rangle\langle 6|) + (\epsilon_- + \epsilon_+)(|7\rangle\langle 7| + |8\rangle\langle 8|) + 2\epsilon_+|9\rangle\langle 9|, \tag{1}
\]

with \(\epsilon_{\pm} \equiv [V_{zz} \pm (V_{xx} - V_{yy})]|Q|^2\), \(\epsilon_0 \equiv -\epsilon_- - \epsilon_+\), and \(Q\) is the nuclear quadrupole moment. For simplicity, we assume the PAFs of the two spins are aligned with each other.

Perturbations from the dipolar coupling \(H_d\) and rf \(H_{rf}\) Hamiltonians during the SLSE sequence will govern the transitions between levels. \(H_d\) is expressed as

\[
H_d = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\gamma^2h^2}{r^3} [I_a \cdot I_b - 3(I_a \cdot \hat{r})(I_b \cdot \hat{r})] \tag{2}
\]

where \(\mu_0\) is the permeability of free space, \(\gamma\) is the gyromagnetic ratio of the nucleus, \(\mathbf{r} = r\hat{r}\) is the displacement vector of the two nuclei, \(I_a\) is the angular momentum operator for spin-\(a\), with magnetic moment \(\mu = \gamma I_a\), and similarly for spin-\(b\). \(H_{rf}\) for a pulse of magnitude \(B\), direction \(\hat{B}\), and phase \(\phi\) is given by

\[
H_{rf} = -\gamma h \cos(\omega_{rf}t - \phi) [\mathbf{B} \cdot (I_a + I_b)], \tag{3}
\]

where we assume \(\omega_{rf}\) is close to \(\omega_y \equiv \frac{\omega_{Qy}}{h}\). While we have singled out one of the three characteristic NQR frequencies for specificity, the conclusions are easily extended to the other frequencies.

The total Hamiltonian \(H_{total}\) governs the time evolution of the density matrix \(\rho(t)\) by the Liouville equation

\[
\dot{\rho} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\rho, H_{total}], \tag{4}
\]

where \(H_{total}\) can be rewritten as

\[
H_{total} = H_0 + U + H_{rf} + H_d. \tag{5}
\]

Note that the quadrupole Hamiltonian has been split into two components \(H_Q = H_0 + U\), with \(H_0 \equiv \omega_y H_Q\), \(U \equiv -\frac{3}{2\omega_y} H_Q\), and \(\Delta \omega \equiv \omega_{rf} - \omega_y = 2\pi \Delta \omega\). This will simplify the derivation by removing the time dependency of \(H_{rf}\) in the interaction representation of the dominant Hamiltonian \(H_0\). We add that the dominant effect of the EFG inhomogeneity is to create a distribution in the operator \(U\) among pairs of nuclei.

Entering the interaction representation of \(H_0\), Eq. (4) becomes
\[ I^W_i = (\rho_1 + \sigma_i)/2 \]  
\[ I^V_i = \rho_1/2 \] 
\[ I^N_i = \rho_2/2 \] 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( I^W_i )</th>
<th>( I^V_i )</th>
<th>( I^N_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_1 + \sigma_1 )/2</td>
<td>( \rho_2 + \sigma_2 )/2</td>
<td>( \rho_3 + \sigma_3 )/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: The relationship between \( H_{rf} \) and \( H_Q \) suggests the definition of two fictitious spin-1/2 angular momentum operators, \( I^W \) which are expressed in terms of the Dirac matrices. The superscripts indicate the set of levels the operator acts on, \( \rho \equiv 4 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \rho(p, q) \langle p \rangle \langle q \rangle \). The definition of two fictitious spin-1 angular momentum operators, \( I^W = (I^W_1, I^W_2, I^W_3, I^W_4) \) and \( I^V = (I^V_1, I^V_2, I^V_3, I^V_4) \) which are expressed in terms of the Dirac matrices. The initial density matrix is found using the equipartition theorem in the high temperature limit: \( \rho = 4 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \rho(p, q) \langle p \rangle \langle q \rangle \). The behavior of the magnetic moments in the lab frame produces the signal, given by \( \langle I_y \rangle = Tr[\rho I_y] = Tr[\hat{\rho} I_y] \), with \( \hat{I}_y = 2(I^V_1 + I^W_4) \cos \omega_{rf} t + 2(I^V_1 + I^W_4) \sin \omega_{rf} t \). Since the identity matrices and the \( |9\rangle \langle 9 | \) term commute with \( P \) and \( D \), and since their trace with \( I^W \) and \( I^V \) is zero, those terms can be ignored in \( \rho_0 \). By a similar argument, they can also be dropped from \( U \). This allows \( \rho_0 \) to be reduced to \( \rho(t = 0^+) = \frac{\hbar \omega_y}{9kT} \sin \theta_0 (I^W + I^V) \cdot \hat{i} \), (16)
where the mutation angle \( \theta_0 = \gamma B t_{p0} \) is reduced by the directional cosine to give the effective mutation angle for a given crystallite, \( \theta'_0 = \theta_0 \cos \Psi \). Experimentally, we choose \( \theta_0 = \gamma B t_{p0} = 2.077 \), where \( t_{p0} \) is the duration of the excitation pulse, since it provides the greatest signal for a powder.\(^{19}\) The components of \( \textbf{I}^W \) and \( \textbf{I}^V \) in the \( \hat{k} \) direction are dropped from \( g(t = 0^+) \) since we subtract subsequent experiments with \( \phi_0 = 0 \) and \( \phi_0 = \pi \) to eliminate any signals that might arise from either the \( \hat{k} \) component or probe ringing due to the refocusing pulses.

While Eqs. (10) and (15) give the complete solution to the evolution of the signal, it is instructive to look for symmetries in the solution, particularly with regard to the frequency and strength of the refocusing pulse. We therefore turn to examining the operator \( P \) of Eq. (12) more closely and note that the relevant operators within it consist exclusively of the fictitious spin-1/2 operators given in Table I. This allows \( P \) to be treated as the sum of three rotations, where the first and the third rotation are determined by off-resonance alone, and the middle or second rotation by the effects of the refocusing pulse and the off-resonance condition. The refocusing pulse is characterized by the mutation angle \( \theta = \gamma B t_p \), which for a given crystallite is reduced by the directional cosine to give the effective mutation angle \( \theta' = \theta \cos \Psi \). Therefore we can write the three rotations as: \( \theta_1 \hat{n}_1 = \theta_2 \hat{n}_3 = 2\pi \Delta f \tau \hat{k} \) for the delays of duration \( \tau \); and \( \theta_2 \hat{n}_2 = \theta' \hat{\imath} + \theta_1 \hat{\rho}_k \) \( (\phi = \pi/2) \) during the pulse, with \( \theta' = \theta \cos \psi \). For a given crystallite orientation the net rotation \( \theta_{tot} \hat{n}_{tot} \) is the same for both the \( W \) and \( V \)-levels and can be found using quaternions. \( P \) becomes

\[
P = e^{i\theta_{tot}\textbf{I}^W} \hat{n}_{tot} e^{i\theta_{tot}\textbf{I}^V} \hat{n}_{tot},
\]

where

\[
\cos \frac{\theta_{tot}}{2} = \cos \theta_1 \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} - \sin \theta_1 \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} \hat{n}_1 \cdot \hat{n}_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \sin \frac{\theta_{tot}}{2} \hat{n}_{tot} = \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} \hat{n}_2 + \sin \theta_1 \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} \hat{n}_1 + (\cos \theta_1 - 1) \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} \hat{n}_1 \cdot \hat{n}_2.
\]

The evolution of the signal under \( D \) and \( P \) for a given net rotation is performed numerically, but under certain conditions, namely \( \hat{n}_{tot} = \hat{i} \), an analytical solution is readily available.\(^{14}\) We briefly review this solution here and characterize the average echo response for a large number of echoes.

The signal for the \( n^{th} \) echo, detected by the same coil that provided the excitation pulses, is

\[
\langle I \rangle \cdot \hat{B} = \hat{y} \cdot \hat{B}(I_p) = \frac{2\hbar \omega_y}{3kT} \cos \psi \sin \omega_r \tau \sin \theta_0 \left[ \frac{2}{3} g^W + \frac{1}{3} g^V \right] \text{, where}
\]

\[
g^W = 1 - 2F^i \sin^2 n x^i,
\]

FIG. 2: (Color online) The signal from a 90-90 SLSE sequence is shown for both on-resonance \( \Delta f = 0 \) and off-resonance \( \Delta f = \frac{\omega_r}{\gamma_1} \) conditions. The heavy oscillations in the off-resonance signal are due to the large \( \hat{k} \) component of \( \hat{n}_{tot} \) causing the magnetization to oscillate between the \( i,j \)-plane and the \( k \)-axis. Data was taken at \( \omega_y \) with \( \tau = 335 \mu s \) and \( t_p = 100 \mu s \) with the narrow sample described in Section III. Data here, and elsewhere, has been normalized to the amplitude of the \( \Delta f = 0 \) signal at \( t = 0 \).
\[
x^i = \cos^{-1}[\cos \theta \cos d^i], \quad F^i = \frac{\cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta^i}{1 - \cos \theta \cos \theta^i}, \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^i, \quad \text{for}
\]
the W and V-levels, is \( \theta^W = \theta_{tot} \) and \( \theta^V = \frac{\theta_{tot}}{2} \). Likewise \( d^i \), the angle of the rotation due to dipolar coupling evolution, varies between the W and V-levels: \( d^W = \alpha_y \tau \) and \( d^V = (\alpha_z - \alpha_x) \tau \). Focusing on the W-levels that provide \( \frac{2}{\pi} \)rds of the signal, we can drop the superscripts. Using the standard sum for \( \sin^2 \alpha \), the average signal over a number of echoes \( N \) is proportional to the average value of \( g \),

\[
\bar{g} = 1 - (1 - \cos[(N + 1)\varphi] \sin N\varphi)F, \tag{22}
\]

which reduces to

\[
\bar{g} \approx 1 - F, \tag{23}
\]

for large \( N \) and \( d \ll 1 \). The restriction on the size of \( d \) follows from the need to keep \( \tau \) small enough for the signal to be well refocused. For \( \theta_{tot} = m\pi, F = 1 \) and the average signal will disappear. However, for \( \theta_{tot} = (2m + 1)\pi \) the average signal will go to a maximum as \( \bar{g} = 1 \). This corresponds to the full refocusing of the dipolar coupling evolution. We note that for the V-levels the signal will disappear for \( \theta_{tot} = 2m\pi \), and go to a maximum for \( \theta_{tot} = (2m + 1)\pi \). Therefore, for \( \theta_{tot} = \pi \) the signal from the V-levels will be refocused, while the signal from the W-levels will decay.

The sensitivity of the loss of signal to \( \theta_{tot} = \pi \) is found by expanding \( \theta_{tot} \) as \( \pi + \Delta \theta \), where \( \Delta \theta \) is considered a perturbation. This allows \( F \) to be approximated as

\[
F \approx \frac{d^2}{\Delta \theta^2 + d^2}, \tag{24}
\]

which provides a simple relationship relating the expected size of the signal due to the dipolar coupling decay to \( \Delta \theta \) and the size of \( d \):

\[
\Delta \theta^2 = (\bar{g} - \frac{1}{\bar{g}})d^2. \tag{25}
\]

This says that for the signal to be half the maximum, \( \Delta \theta \) and \( d \) should be equal.

We conclude that the dipolar coupling decay in the W-levels should be clearly observable in \( \frac{2}{\pi} \)rds of the signal when

\[
\theta_{tot} = \pi \pm d \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\theta}_{tot} = \hat{i}. \tag{26}
\]

These requirements define the 180° condition. While this is impossible to achieve for all crystallites in the powder, under certain conditions, namely \( \Delta f = \frac{\pi}{4} \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \), the constraint on \( \theta_{tot} \) can be met. We previously found for this off-resonant condition with delta-function pulses that \( \theta_{tot} \bar{n}_{tot} = \pi(\sin \frac{\theta_{tot}}{2} + \cos \frac{\theta_{tot}}{2} \hat{k}) \). Therefore the net rotation will be \( \pi \) for the entire powder sample. The direction of \( \bar{n}_{tot} \) can be brought close to \( \hat{i} \) by choosing the pulse strength such that a large portion of the signal producing spins experiences a \( \theta_2 \) close to \( \pi \). This corresponds to \( \theta = \gamma B_0 = 2 \cdot 2077 \). Under these conditions we can expect to see a large decay. For those crystallites experiencing \( \theta_2 \neq \pi \), so that \( \bar{n}_{tot} \neq \hat{i} \), the effect is to reduce the decay rate by \( \sin^2(\frac{\theta_2}{2}) \) as determined by numerical simulations. This effect is understood by looking at \( \theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{2} \) where the signal is only apparent every other echo because of the anti-resonant kicking, as demonstrated in Fig. 2. Since there is no evolution of the signal absent an echo, the decay rate is decreased by a factor of \( \frac{1}{2} \). Looking at a powder, the apparent decay rate is predicted to be 86% of the value for the single crystal result.

For nuclei \( a \) the contribution to the second moment of its NQR signal due to each neighbor \( b \) comes from Vega,

\[
\langle \Delta \omega^2 \rangle = \sum_b \frac{1}{3} \frac{(d_{ab}^W)^2}{\tau^2} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{(d_{ab}^V)^2}{\tau^2}. \tag{27}
\]

Since our sequence will refocus the signal from the V-levels, the observed decay in time is determined by the contribution of the W-levels to the second moment:

\[
\langle \Delta \omega^2 \rangle_W = \sum_b \frac{2}{3} \frac{(d_{ab}^W)^2}{\tau^2}, \tag{28}
\]

\[
\sum_b \frac{(d_{ab}^W)^2}{\tau^2} = \sum_b \alpha_{yab}^2 \equiv \alpha_{y,eff}^2. \tag{29}
\]

The last line defines an effective dipolar coupling frequency \( \alpha_{y,eff} \) that takes into account the multi-spin nature of the system.

Using calculations performed by Sauer and Klagl for sodium nitrite,\textsuperscript{15} the predicted width of the Gaussian component of the echo train \( T_d \) follows, with the results shown in Table II for both a single crystal and a powder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \sqrt{\langle \Delta \omega^2 \rangle_W} ) (Hz)</th>
<th>Predicted values of ( T_d ) (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\pi}{2} )</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{3\pi}{2} )</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{5\pi}{2} )</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: The predicted value of \( \Delta \omega \) from Eq. (28), and also \( T_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \Delta \omega^2 \rangle_W}} \) for both a single crystal and a powder under a 90-180 sequence. In calculating the second moment, all nitrogen within a sphere of radius 4d, where d is the largest length of the unit cell, were considered.\textsuperscript{15}

III. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Experiments were performed on two powder samples of sodium nitrite NaNO\textsubscript{2} encased in wax to reduce piezo-
electric effects: a 32 g sample (97.1% purity) manufactured by Fisher Scientific in 1979, and a 27 g sample (99.5% purity, super free-flowing), manufactured by Sigma-Aldrich in 2005. Despite having a lower purity, the quarter century older sample had a narrower linewidth, measured with a free induction decay (FID) signal obtained after a single excitation pulse, due to a smaller EFG inhomogeneity. This was because of the considerably larger crystallitles of the sample, as was demonstrated by grinding the narrow sample with a mortar and pestle and finding the linewidth was now comparable to the broader linewidth of the Sigma-Aldrich sample. We therefore call the Fisher Scientific sample the “narrow sample” and the Sigma Aldrich sample the “broad sample”, with their linewidths given in Table III. This variation in linewidth due to crystallite size explains, at least in part, the variation in linewidths reported in the literature for sodium nitrite at room temperature.22–25 Another group has demonstrated a similar dependency of NQR linewidth on crystal size for other substances.26

All experiments were carried out at room temperature using a Tecmag-based spectrometer (Tecmag, Houston, TX). A homebuilt probe with a coil that encased the sample was used and tuned to the various NQR frequencies. Unless stated otherwise, the quality factor Q was typically 20 or less and the rf input power was 1000 W or less. As discussed in more detail in Section III B, the low quality factor was chosen to create pulses that approached an idealized square pulse.

The experiments consisted of performing pulse sequences on each sample at various off-resonances. A typical trial consisted of ≥ 64 scans at a given off-resonance in order to provide a useful signal to noise ratio (SNR). Before a trial it was necessary to measure the exact NQR frequency with an FID to ensure that the correct off-resonance was being used. After the NQR frequency was found, another FID was taken at Δω = 0 and the signal back projected to find its magnitude at t = 0. This value provided the normalization coefficients of the SLSE signals that are used in the figures.

Two types of pulse sequences were used. The 90-90 SLSEs consisted of an optimal excitation pulse, θ₀ = 2.077 corresponding to a π pulse for a powder, and refocusing pulses of the same strength. The second sequence, the 90-180, had the same excitation pulse as the 90-90, but a refocusing pulse that produced twice the rotation: θ = 4.154 corresponding to a π pulse for a powder. Both the excitation and refocusing pulses for all 90-90 sequences used pulse lengths of 100 μs, with the same rf-amplitude. For the 90-180 sequences a fixed rf-amplitude was used for each pulse, but the refocusing pulse was twice as long as the excitation pulse. For ωz and ωr, the excitation pulse was 50 μs long, while the ωz experiments used 100 μs pulses due to limitations in the amplifier that required weaker pulses at the lower frequency. For delta function pulses, the signal’s off-resonance behavior is predicted to have a period of 1/4π, as discussed above. For finite pulses, we observe experimentally that this periodicity is close to 1/(4π + τ), particularly for the 90-90 sequences. For this reason our experimental graphs, where the rf frequency is varied, are expressed in terms of Δfτ. For the ωz and ωr transitions, data was taken between ± 5π. Due to the low SNR and electronics limitations, data for ωz was only taken on-resonance and at Δf = ± 1/4π.

A. Experimentally achieving the 180° condition for finite pulses

All observed echo trains were fit to the function

\[ S(t) = A(p_y e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{\text{GR}}} \right)^2} + [1 - p_y] e^{-\frac{t}{\tau \tau_{\text{GR}}}}), \]

(30)

where A is amplitude at t = 0, TGR is the long term decay constant, p_y is the percent of the signal due to the Gaussian decay, and Td is the width of the Gaussian decay associated with the strength of the dipolar coupling. The addition of the τGR term to the Gaussian component is because there is no evolution due to the dipolar coupling during the finite pulse lengths.

The experimental conditions, with finite pulses, that lead to a strong Gaussian component were found using Eq. (18) and the limit θGR = π ± d of the 180° condition. This led to the constraint that

\[ |\cos \theta_1 \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} - \sin \theta_1 \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} \hat{n}_2 \cdot \hat{k}| \leq \frac{d}{2}. \]

(31)

This equation must be satisfied for a large portion of the sample in order to see the sharp decay in the signal due to the dipolar coupling. But θ1, θ2, and \( \hat{n}_2 \cdot \hat{k} \) = \( \theta_1 \frac{1}{2} \) But all vary among nuclei due to the EFG inhomogeneity, while \( \theta_2 \) and \( \hat{n}_2 \cdot \hat{k} \) also vary with the random alignment of the rf with respect to the crystallite’s orientation. In order to satisfy the inequality, it is necessary to keep both the cosine (\( \cos \theta_1 \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} \)) and sine (\( \sin \theta_1 \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} \hat{n}_2 \cdot \hat{k} \)) terms small. The conditions which make this possible for a large portion of the sample determine the pulse and sample characteristics necessary to observe the decay due to dipolar coupling.

The sine term is small for all crystallites when

\[ |\hat{n}_2 \cdot \hat{k}| \leq \frac{d}{2}, \]

(32)

which is easily met when the value of \( \frac{\theta_2}{2} \ll 1 \). The cosine term is small for all crystallites when |\( \cos \theta_1 \)| ≤ \( \frac{d}{2} \). If Δf represents the average off-resonance then \( \theta_1 = 2\pi(\Delta f + \delta EFG)\tau \), where \( \delta EFG \) is a nuclei’s additional off-resonance due to EFG inhomogeneity. The cosine inequality is fully satisfied when \( \Delta f = \frac{1}{4\pi} + \frac{\theta_1}{2\pi} \) and 2πδEFG ≤ \( \frac{a_{\text{eff}}}{\Delta f} \). This shows that the 180° condition is met for a majority of the sample when the linewidth

...
broadening due to EFG inhomogeneity is less than or equal to that due to W-level dipolar coupling. This condition is unnecessarily strict for pulse strengths where \( \theta_2 \approx \pi \), corresponding to a 90-180 sequence, as will be shown experimentally with a sample where the linewidth broadening is clearly dominated by EFG inhomogeneity.

In addition to the requirement that \( \theta_{tot} \) be close to \( \pi \), the direction of the net rotation must be close to \( i \) in order to both avoid heavy oscillations and to accurately measure the dipolar coupling. Examining Eq. (19) under the assumption \( \theta_{tot} = \pi \) reveals that \( \hat{n}_{tot} \approx \hat{i} \) when \( \theta_2 \approx \pi \) and \( \hat{n}_2 \approx \hat{i} \). The latter condition can only be met when the rotation due to off-resonance during the pulse is kept small. This requires \( \Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{4\tau'} \), when \( \tau' \) is small, but allows \( \Delta f = \frac{1}{4\tau'} + \frac{m}{2\tau'} \) as \( \tau' \) increases. Together these constraints define the experimental conditions under which the strongest decay due to the dipolar coupling should appear: a 90-180 sequence and \( \Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{4\tau'} \). In addition to the frequency and strength of the pulse, the timing of the pulses must be chosen with respect to the duration in time of the pulses. Since the finite pulse lengths can inhibit the decay, the minimum \( \tau \) to operate at is derived from the requirement that \( |\hat{n}_2 \cdot \hat{k}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \). This leads to the relation \( \tau_{min}^2 \geq \frac{|\hat{n}_2|}{\alpha_{eff}} \frac{2\tau'}{\alpha_{eff}} \approx \frac{\tau}{\alpha_{eff}} \), for the 90-180, which is in good agreement with the experimental results shown in Section IV.

### B. Effect of Non-Ideal Pulses

While the previous derivation would suggest to cover all of the experimental parameters under which the 180° condition is met for a large portion of a sample, some preliminary experimental work suggested a more complex picture. When performing 90-180 sequences with a high \( Q \) (180) probe we obtained asymmetric average signals, like those shown in Fig. 3, most noticeably at the \( \Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{4\tau'} \) conditions, and for short values of \( \tau \). This asymmetry was never observed for any 90-90 sequence. Since achieving the 180° condition leads to a rapid decay in signal strength for the echo train, the asymmetric data implied that the percentage of signal from spins experiencing the 180° condition was varying with the sign of the off-resonance.

To understand the asymmetry’s source, the shapes of the actual refocusing pulses were used to model the behavior of a powder during a SLSE sequence. The pulse shapes were obtained by measuring the strength of the magnetic field in the main coil with the voltage induced in a sniffer coil located several centimeters away. Using the model of Section II, the percent of the initial signal due to the spins experiencing an effective 180° rotation \( p_{th} \) was calculated, with examples shown in Figs. 4 and 5. We found \( p_{th} \) perfectly rank ordered the normalized average signal size at the \( \Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{4\tau'} \) condition across multiple configurations of the probe, as shown in Fig. 6(a). Additionally, fitting the echo train revealed \( p_{th} \) roughly predicted the Gaussian contribution associated with the percentage of spins experiencing a 180° rotation, as shown in Fig. 6(b). These results confirmed that the asymmetry of the average signal was due to variations in the distribution of spins experiencing the 180° rotation as a function of the pulse shapes.

By setting the imaginary component of the actual pulses to 0, the model produced symmetrical distributions of \( \theta_{tot} \) for the high \( Q \) pulses at \( \Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{4\tau'} \), these also conformed to the distributions from the low \( Q \) pulses at \( \Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{4\tau'} \). To see how the presence of a non-zero imaginary component could produce the asymmetric dis-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \omega_x )</th>
<th>Narrow sample</th>
<th>Broad sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total width (Hz)</td>
<td>EFG component (Hz)</td>
<td>Total width (Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III: The observed full width at half maximum (FWHM) linewidths for both samples at the three NQR transition frequencies show that the broad sample has a larger linewidth for all three frequencies. The EFG component is found by decomposing the linewidth’s Voigt profile into the predicted Gaussian (dipolar coupling) and Lorentzian (EFG) components. Measurements for all linewidths are accurate to within 20 Hz.
With a non-zero imaginary component, Eq. (35) can only be satisfied for one sign of the off-resonance. This explains many observed phenomena. It explains the origin of the asymmetry, because the 180° condition now depends on the sign of the off-resonance. It explains why dropping the Q reduced the asymmetry, because it forced the imaginary component to zero. And finally, it explains why the asymmetry was reduced with $\tau$: as $\tau$ increases, $F$ approaches 0 and Eq. (35) is no longer satisfied for either sign of off-resonance.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Examples of theoretical and actual signal behavior for various experimental parameters are shown in Fig. 8 for the narrow sample at frequencies close to $\omega_y$. Since the model does not include the long term $T_{2e}$ effects, its accuracy is limited in the long term behavior for both sequences. For this reason we compare the initial signal to theoretical calculations which have been scaled to match the data. However, the rapidly alternating initial signal near $\Delta f = \frac{1}{4\tau}$ for the 90-90s due to the anti-resonant kicking is captured by the model. Additionally the conditions where dipolar coupling is not refocused for a large portion of the sample are seen in the undulating signal of the 90-180s near the $\Delta f = \frac{1}{3\tau} + \frac{m}{2\tau}$ locations. The period of the undulations depends on the second moment in Table II, and is given as $\frac{2\tau}{\omega_{g,1f}^2}$. For small $\tau$ these undulations are weak as the finite pulses prevent a large portion of the sample from experiencing the 180° condition. For larger $\tau$, as the ratio of $t_p$ to $\tau$ makes the pulses appear more like delta functions, the oscillations become more apparent, as expected. These undulations are not observed in the corresponding actual signals since they manifest themselves as a Gaussian decay in the signal due the variations in the phasing of the signals from the interaction of a large number of nuclei, as opposed to just a pair, as modeled.

While not shown in Fig. 8, all of the experimental data was symmetrical as a function of off-resonance, as pre-
FIG. 5: (Color online) (Left) The echo trains (a) show that for a high $Q$ ($Q_H$) probe a strong Gaussian component appeared at $\Delta f = \frac{1}{4\tau}$ (blue squares) whose width is somewhat below the prediction from Table II. This requires further investigation. This component was absent for $\Delta f = -\frac{1}{4\tau}$ (hollow red squares) and for both low $Q$ ($Q_L$) trains at $\Delta f = +\frac{1}{4\tau}$ (solid black circles) and $\Delta f = -\frac{1}{4\tau}$ (hollow black circles). (Middle) Comparing pulse shapes, the $Q_L$ configuration (c) has a different real (dashed) component compared to the $Q_H$ configurations for both $\Delta f = -\frac{1}{4\tau}$ (b) and $\Delta f = +\frac{1}{4\tau}$ (d). Additionally, its small imaginary component (green solid) is less pronounced than both the $Q_H$ $\Delta f = -\frac{1}{4\tau}$ pulse and the $Q_H$ $\Delta f = +\frac{1}{4\tau}$ pulse, which has a large imaginary component. (Right) Corresponding to each pulse the distribution of the initial signal as a function of $\theta_{tot}$ was calculated. For the $Q_L$ pulses, the distribution does not depend on the sign of $\Delta f$, which is why the echo trains for $\Delta f = -\frac{1}{4\tau}$ and $\Delta f = +\frac{1}{4\tau}$ are very similar. In comparison, for the $Q_H$ pulses the combination of off-resonance and non-zero imaginary component works to reduce the distribution near $\theta_{tot} = 180^\circ$ for $\Delta f = -\frac{1}{4\tau}$, while substantially increasing it for the $\Delta f = +\frac{1}{4\tau}$ pulse distribution. For the former, the result is to reduce the strength of the observed Gaussian component compared to the $Q_L$ trains. In the latter, the combination leads to the very strong decay.

FIG. 6: (Color online) Average signal (a) and strength of the Gaussian contribution of the echo train (b) versus $p_{th}$, the calculated percent of the initial signal due to spins experiencing a 180° net rotation. For both values $p_{th}$ does an excellent job rank ordering, validating the significance of the 180° condition to the behavior of the echo train. The data comes from several probe configurations. With a high $Q$ (180) the probe was tuned to $f_{NQR}$ (red), $f_{NQR} + \frac{1}{4\tau}$ (green), and $f_{NQR} - \frac{1}{4\tau}$ (blue). The hollow black data is at low $Q$ (8) with the probe tuned to $f_{NQR}$. Data taken at $\Delta f = \frac{1}{4\tau}$ is indicated by the upward triangles; $\Delta f = -\frac{1}{4\tau}$, downward triangles. Data was taken over 125 ms.
Predicted for a low $Q$ probe. The 90-90 signals were periodic as a function of off-resonance, in excellent agreement with the model. The peaks correspond to $\Delta f = \frac{4}{	au'}$, where the magnetization is locked along the $i$ direction, and the troughs to $\Delta f = \frac{1}{4	au'} + \frac{m}{2	au'}$, where signal is lost due to the anti-resonant kicking. By increasing $\tau$ the sharpness of the oscillations is reduced since the linewidth of the sample begins to approach $\frac{1}{\tau}$. As this condition is met, the signal is no longer dominated by either the on or off-resonance effects, but by a mixture of the two. Similarly, the larger EFG component of the broad sample reduces the variation in signal behavior with frequency compared to the narrow sample, for the same experiments.

The 90-180 data, again agreeing well with the model, shows a similar periodicity as the 90-90 data in off-resonant behavior, but with notable differences. For instance, while having roughly the same period, the local maxima and minima are not all at the $\Delta f = \frac{4}{	au'}$ conditions. This is because strong dips in the average signal are not primarily due to signal lost to anti-resonant kicking, but to achieving the 180° condition. For low $\tau$ and small $\Delta f$ the dips are fairly small because the 180° condition is hard to achieve at low $\tau$ with finite pulses. But as $\tau$ is increased a strong decay is observed first at the $\Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{4	au'}$ condition and then later at $\Delta f = \frac{1}{4	au'} + \frac{m}{2\tau'}$, as expected.

Fitting the data to Eq. (30), it was found that $A$ roughly tracked the average signal for all 90-90s. This was because there was no rapid decay in the echo train due to nuclei achieving the 180° condition. For the 90-180s, however, $A$ tracked the average signal well for short $\tau$, but diverged for larger $\tau$ as the Gaussian component of the decay rapidly drove the average signal down. This is apparent at $\Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{4	au'}$ for $\tau = 827 \mu$s. As $\tau$ increased the separation between $A$ and the average echo became noticeable across all off-resonances, as more of the signal experienced the 180° condition. This same explanation is used for the behavior of $p_g$ for 90-180s. For low $\tau$, $p_g$ is close to zero since the 180° condition is met for only a small subset of spins. Then, near the predicted value of $\tau_{\min}$ at $\Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{4	au'}$, $p_g$ becomes substantial as the 180° condition is met for a larger percentage of the sample, approaching the $rac{2}{3}$ths value predicted by Eq. (20). As $p_g$ plateaus for large $\tau$, it becomes significant across the entire range of off-resonances as the EFG inhomogeneity ensures a significant fraction of the spins experience the 180° condition, regardless of the off-resonance of the pulses.

While Fig. 8 focuses on the narrow sample at $\omega_y$, in Fig. 9 it is shown that similar behaviors for 90-180 sequences at $\Delta f = \frac{4}{\tau'}$ appear across all three NQR frequencies, even for a sample with a much larger EFG contribution to its linewidth. In particular, the values of $p_g$ for $\Delta f = \frac{1}{4	au'}$ are consistently higher than for $\Delta f = 0$ since more of the sample experiences the 180° condition. This also explains why the narrow sample consistently has a larger $p_g$ for $\Delta f = \frac{1}{4	au'}$ than the broad sample, but a smaller value for $\Delta f = 0$. Additionally, regardless of the contribution of linewidth due to EFG inhomogeneity, $p_g$ approaches a constant value for $\Delta f = \frac{1}{4	au'}$ as $\tau$ increases. The value of $\tau_{\min}$ where this plateau is expected to arise comes from the argument in Sec. IIIA, and is marked by a vertical black line in Fig. 9. Beyond $\tau_{\min}$ the measurements of $T_2$ converged, as shown in Figs. 8 and 9, regardless of the sample or the off-resonance of the pulses. For $\omega_y$ and $\omega_z$ the converged values agree well with the theoretical values, after accounting for the effects of a powder sample. However, for both $\omega_y$ and $\omega_z$ the converged value was slightly higher than expected. It is known that the NO$_2$ ion in sodium nitrite exhibits rapid torsional oscillation about the $x$-axis of the PAF.29 This would result in a reduction in the dipolar coupling strength for the $\omega_y$ and $\omega_z$ transitions.

As shown in Fig. 8, for the 90-90s there were very few off-resonance conditions at low $\tau$ that led to a significant Gaussian contribution to the echo train, since only a small portion of the nuclei experience the 180° condition. As $\tau$ increased, and the behavior of the signal became more uniform with frequency, $T_2$ converged to a fixed value that was three times longer than the single crystal’s value. A naïve theoretical adjustment that ignores both EFG inhomogeneity and the powder average also predicts a lengthening of $T_2$, but only by a factor of two.

For a given $\tau$, the measurements of $T_{2\nu}$ varied by a factor of three within the range of off-resonances tested, a result comparable to the variations observed by Gregorovic et al. using PNT.9 However, we observed longer $T_{2\nu}$s for $\Delta f = \frac{4}{\tau'}$ than for $\Delta f = \frac{1}{4\tau'} + \frac{m}{2\tau'}$, in contrast to Gregorovic, perhaps due to their focus on the long time echo data. Our general trend in $T_{2\nu}$ as a function of $\tau$ was the same for all transition frequencies, and is

![Figure 7](image_url)
FIG. 8: (Color online) The theoretical (a)-(c) and experimental data (d)-(f) are compared with off-resonance for both 90-90 and 90-180 sequences, the left and right halves of each image respectively, for three values of $\tau$. The model for a powder with finite pulses is from Section II. The fit parameters $A$ (green squares) and $p_g$ (blue triangles) of the observed signal, the average echo (red circles) over the $\frac{2\pi}{\omega_{yy}^{\prime}}$ period of the dipolar coupling for $\omega_{yy}$, and the predicted average echo (red line) are given in (g)-(i). The fit parameters $T_{2e}$ (grey diamonds) and $T_{d}$ (blue circles) are given in (j)-(l).
FIG. 9: (Color online) The percent of the decay in the echo train due to the Gaussian component $p_g$ (a)-(c) and width of the Gaussian contribution $T_d$ (d)-(f) as a function of $\tau$ for 90-180 sequences applied at the three transition frequencies. Values were obtained by fitting the echo trains of 90-180 sequences with Eq. (30). Measurements were made for both the narrow (black triangles) and broad (red squares) samples for $|\Delta f| = \frac{1}{4\tau'}$ (solid lines, symbols) and $\Delta f = 0$ (dashed lines, hollow symbols). The vertical line marks $\tau_{\text{min}}$ the theoretical minimum $\tau$ to observe a strong decay due to the dipolar coupling at $|\Delta f| = \frac{1}{4\tau'}$. This prediction does not depend on EFG inhomogeneity, as is experimentally validated since the plateau for the narrow sample matches that of the broad sample. Additionally, the predicted value accurately accounts for the impact of doubling the refocusing pulse length needed to perform the $\omega_z$ experiments. The measurements of $T_d$ converge, regardless of the EFG inhomogeneity of the sample, close to the predicted values (grey lines). The average $T_d$, for $\tau > \tau_{\text{min}}$ and $\Delta f = 0$, $\pm \frac{1}{4\tau'}$, is given at the top of figures (d)-(f).

FIG. 10: (Color online) The value of $T_{2e}$ for 90-180 (black squares) and 90-90 (red triangles) sequences is consistently greater when $\Delta f = 0$ (solid line and symbols) than for $|\Delta f| = \frac{1}{4\tau'}$ (dashed line and hollow symbols). 90-90s consistently produce longer $T_{2e,s}$ than 90-180s at the same off-resonance condition. For long $\tau$ both sequences on and off-resonance measurements converge as the distinction between on and off-resonance signals disappears due to the EFG inhomogeneity. This also explains why the data for the broad sample shows less variation between $\Delta f = 0$ and $|\Delta f| = \frac{1}{4\tau'}$. Data is from the $\omega_y$ transition.
shown for \( \omega_y \) in Fig. 10. While our simple model does not predict the long time behavior, \( T_{2e} \) does seem correlated with the short time behavior caused by the 180° condition and anti-resonant kicking. For example, the 90-90 sequence at \( \Delta f = 0 \) predominantly produced a longer \( T_{2e} \) than the other sequences, for the same \( \tau \), in correspondence with the refocusing the W-levels. This is in contrast to the 90-180 sequence, where the W-levels are not refocused and there is less signal due to achieving the 180° condition, particularly for \( |\Delta f| = \frac{\pi}{2} \) and the narrow sample. Additionally, for the 90-90 at \( |\Delta f| = \frac{\pi}{2} \) the anti-resonant kicking causes a reduction in the initial signal.

The dependency of \( T_{2e} \) on \( \tau \) was found by fitting the \( T_{2e} \) values for each sequence to the equation

\[
T_{2e}(\tau) = T_{2e}(\tau = 400 \mu s)(\frac{\tau}{400 \mu s})^x. \tag{36}
\]

The fit parameters of Table IV show that \( T_{2e} \propto \tau^{-x} \) with \( 0.18 \leq x \leq 0.61 \). While this is in contrast to the \( \tau^{-5} \) dependency observed by Marino and Klainer,\(^6\) who operated at 77°K, a weaker dependency has been observed by Mikhailstevitch and Rudakov at room temperature.\(^8\) Additional work with sodium nitrite at 77°K suggests a more complex dependency between \( T_{2e} \) and \( \tau \). Interestingly, it was found that the values of \( T_{2e}(\tau = 400 \mu s) \) decreased linearly with \( f_{NQR} \) for the on-resonant sequences.

For the real-world detection of illicit substances using NQR, the exact resonance frequency of the sample may only be known to within a certain range. This is due to the variation of the NQR frequencies with temperature, which can be several hundred Hz per degree for a substance like RDX.\(^30\) To prevent false negatives a detector operator would want to know that a useful signal can be detected at all frequencies within that range. To compare the sequences as functions of off-resonance, an optimal SNR was calculated by integrating Eq. (30) over the time found to maximize the SNR, with the results shown in Fig. 11. This allows us to define a useful signal as one achieving some minimum SNR. For short \( \tau \), the minimum possible SNR for the 90-90 sequence, over the given off-resonance domain, is considerably less than the minimum possible SNR of the 90-180 sequence, for both the narrow and broad samples. This is because the 90-90 sequence triggers a larger loss of signal due to anti-resonant kicking compared to that lost due to the 180° condition, which is inhibited at low \( \tau \) due to finite pulses, for the 90-180. For the intermediate \( \tau \), the two sequences share the same minimum SNR for the narrow sample, as the signal lost to the 180° condition and the anti-resonant kicking become comparable between sequences. However, the 90-90 sequence is now slightly preferable for the broad sample at this intermediate \( \tau \). This shows that the optimal detection sequence for a given \( \tau \) is a function of the relative strengths of the two dominant line broadening mechanisms. Finally, we note that at high \( \tau \) the 90-90 sequence is stronger for both samples, with considerably less variation in amplitude with frequency for the broad sample, due to its larger EFG inhomogeneity.

V. CONCLUSION

Despite the naive simplicity of the two-spin model, we have shown that it still qualitatively predicts the short-time behavior of the spin-locked signal as a function of off-resonance. Furthermore, the model permits us to identify the conditions under which we would expect a significant fraction of the signal to exhibit decay due to dipolar coupling alone. Namely, when the 180° condition is met for a large portion of the sample, the NQR signal experiences a rapid initial decay. To achieve this for a powder sample, one should operate with a 90-180 sequence, with an off-resonance \( \Delta f = \pm \frac{\pi}{2\tau} \), and with pulse spacing governed by \( \tau \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\omega_{x/y}}} \). We have shown that operating with these conditions produces an initially Gaussian decay whose width measures the dipolar coupling between the nitrogen nuclei, as shown in NaNO\(_2\). Our measurements agree within 15% of the theoretical prediction after accounting for the powder nature of the sodium nitrite samples, and we believe that motion may account for part of the deviations of the experimental values from the theoretical values. Successfully performed for the three transition frequencies, this is a robust measurement that does not vary with the EFG inhomogeneity of our samples, nor does it require an exact \( \pi \) pulse across the sample, as required in NMR.

For the purposes of substance detection, achieving the 180° condition for a large portion of the sample is to be avoided since it reduces the observed signal. However, the same conditions that can trigger the Gaussian decay can also trigger a loss of signal due to the anti-resonant kicking with a 90-90 sequence. By knowing the strength of the dipolar coupling relative to the measured linewidth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Narrow sample 90-180</th>
<th>Narrow sample 90-90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_{2e}(\tau = 400 \mu s) ) ms</td>
<td>( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_z )</td>
<td>83.3 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_y )</td>
<td>121.4 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_x )</td>
<td>206.5 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV: The \( \tau \) dependency of \( T_{2e} \) was found by fitting the observed values of \( T_{2e} \) at each \( \tau \) value to Eq. (36) for \( \Delta f = 0 \) for both 90-180 and 90-90 SLSEs with the narrow sample.
it is possible to choose between these sequences to minimize the losses from their off-resonance effects. For substances where the dipolar coupling is unknown, this is not a problem. By simply running 90-180 sequences at $\Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{\tau'}$ with ever increasing $\tau$ the dipolar coupling should eventually reveal itself, allowing an accurate measurement to be made.

For short $\tau$, the 180° condition is suppressed for 90-180 sequences at $\Delta f = \pm \frac{1}{\tau'}$ due to the large value of the ratio of the pulse length to $\tau$. This is beneficial for substance detection. However, we found that refocusing pulses with a definite imaginary component can overcome this constraint. The sensitivity of the signal to the sign of the imaginary component also allows the 180° condition to be suppressed for these sequences. This suggests further work with composite pulses designed to control off-resonant behavior by either suppressing or revealing evolution due to homonuclear dipolar coupling.
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FIG. 11: (Color online) The optimal SNR calculated by integrating Eq. (30) for both 90-90 (red) and 90-180 (black) sequences with the experimentally derived fit parameters at $\omega_p$ for both the narrow (top row) and broad (bottom row) sample. The integration was performed from $t = 0$ to the time that maximized the SNR. While finite pulses would reduce the amount of time that signal that could be acquired, this adjustment is small and not included. SNRs are normalized to the 90-90, $\Delta f = 0$, $\tau = 335 \mu s$, $t_p = 100 \mu s$ signal for each sample. The increased EFG inhomogeneity of the broad sample averages the variation between the maxima and minima.