

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Disorder-induced transition between s\_{±} and s\_{++} states in two-band superconductors

D. V. Efremov, M. M. Korshunov, O. V. Dolgov, A. A. Golubov, and P. J. Hirschfeld Phys. Rev. B **84**, 180512 — Published 16 November 2011 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.84.180512

## Disorder induced transition between $s_{\pm}$ and $s_{++}$ states in two-band superconductors

D.V. Efremov,<sup>1,\*</sup> M.M. Korshunov,<sup>2,3,4,†</sup> O.V. Dolgov,<sup>1</sup> A.A. Golubov,<sup>5</sup> and P.J. Hirschfeld<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA

<sup>3</sup>L. V. Kirensky Institute of Physics, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, 660036 Krasnoyarsk, Russia

<sup>4</sup>Siberian Federal University, Svobodny Prospect 79, 660041 Krasnoyarsk, Russia

<sup>5</sup>Faculty of Science and Technology and MESA+ Institute of Nanotechnology,

University of Twente, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands

(Dated: October 31, 2011)

We have reexamined the problem of disorder in two-band superconductors, and shown within the framework of the *T*-matrix approximation that the suppression of  $T_c$  can be described by a single parameter depending on the intra- and interband impurity scattering rates.  $T_c$  is shown to be more robust against nonmagnetic impurities than would be predicted in the trivial extension of Abrikosov-Gor'kov theory. We find disorder-induced transition from the  $s_{\pm}$  state to a gapless and then to a fully gapped  $s_{++}$  state, controlled by single parameter – sign of the average coupling constant  $\langle \lambda \rangle$ . We argue that this transition has strong implications for experiments.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Ay, 75.30.Cr, 74.25.Ha, 74.25.Jb

Introduction. The symmetry and structure of the superconducting order parameter in recently discovered iron-based superconductors (FeSC) is one of the main challenges in this exciting new field<sup>1</sup>. The Fermi surface is usually given by two small hole pockets around  $\Gamma =$ (0,0) point and two electron pockets around  $M = (\pi,\pi)$ point in the 2-Fe zone. The proximity of the competing SDW state with  $\mathbf{Q} = (\pi, \pi)$  suggests antiferromagnetic fluctuations as a mechanism for electron pairing. In this case, the natural order parameter for most of the FeSC is the so called  $s_{\pm}$  state, described by an isotropic order parameter on each FS with the opposite signs for electron and hole-like pockets. Many experimental results, such as NMR spin-lattice relaxation rate, spin-resonance peak at the SDW wave vector  $\mathbf{Q}$  in inelastic neutron scattering, and quasiparticle interference in tunneling experiments are in good qualitative agreemnt with this scenario, although some materials are more anisotropic than others<sup>2</sup>.

Since varying amounts of disorder are present in the materials, and because superconductivity is created in some cases by doping, it is important to understand the role of impurities. It has been shown that in an  $s_+$  state, any nonmagnetic impurity which scatters *solely* between the bands with different sign of the order parameter suppresses  $T_c$  in the same way as a magnetic impurity in a single band BCS superconductor<sup>3</sup>. Therefore the critical temperature  $T_c$  should obey the Abrikosov-Gor'kov (AG) formula  $\ln T_{c0}/T_c = \Psi(1/2 + \Gamma/2\pi T_c) - \Psi(1/2),$ where  $\Psi(x)$  is the digamma function and  $T_{c0}$  is the critical temperature in the absence of impurities<sup>4</sup>. The critical value of the scattering rate  $\Gamma$  defined by  $T_c(\Gamma^{\text{crit}}) = 0$ is given by  $\Gamma^{\rm crit}/T_{c0} = \pi/2\gamma \approx 1.12$  within AG theory. However in several experiments on FeSC<sub>2</sub> e.g. Zn substitution or proton irradiation, it is found<sup>5-8</sup> that the  $T_c$ suppression is much less than expected in the framework of AG theory. It has therefore been suggested that the  $s_{\pm}$  state is not realized at all in these systems, and that a more conventional two-band order parameter without

sign change  $(s_{++})$  is the more likely ground state<sup>9,10</sup>.

The disorder problem in these systems is substantially more complicated than this simple argument suggests, however. Even within the assumption of isotropic gaps on two different Fermi pockets and nonmagnetic scattering, a much slower pairbreaking rate can be achieved by assuming that the scattering is primarily intraband rather than interband. In the pure intraband scattering limit, Anderson's theorem applies, the system is insensitive to the sign of the order parameter, and no  $T_c$  suppression occurs. The rate of  $T_c$  suppression therefore apparently depends on the interplay of both intra- and inter-band scattering rates, and drawing conclusions regarding the superconducting state based on systematic disorder studies is fundamentally more difficult than in one-band systems. One approach to this problem has been to try to determine the intra- and interband scattering potentials microscopically for each type of impurity and host<sup>11–13</sup>. but the quantitative applicability of band theory to such questions is unclear.

Here we consider the critical temperature of an isotropic  $s_+$  two-band superconductor within the usual self-consistent T-matrix approximation for impurity scattering<sup>14</sup>. We perform the study both analytically in the weak coupling regime and numerically in the strong coupling Eliashberg framework. We find that the dependence of  $T_c$  on impurity concentration is given by a universal form independent of impurity potentials, with respect to a generalized pairbreaking parameter. The form depends, however, on the ratio of inter- to intraband pairing matrix elements. Depending on the average values of these matrix elements, we find there are two possible types of  $s_+$  superconductivity. The first is the one which has been largely discussed so far in the literature, for which  $T_c$  is suppressed as disorder is increased, until it vanishes at a critical value of the scattering rate. There is however also a second type of  $s_{\pm}$  state, one for which  $T_c$  tends to a finite value as disorder increases; at the

same time the gap function acquires a uniform sign, i.e. undergoes a transition from  $s_{\pm}$  to  $s_{++}$ .

*Model.* We consider the Eliashberg equations<sup>14</sup> for a two-band superconductor with  $4 \times 4$  matrix quasiclassical Green's function in Nambu and band space,

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\omega_n) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{0a} & 0\\ 0 & g_{0b} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \hat{\tau}_0 + \begin{pmatrix} g_{1a} & 0\\ 0 & g_{1b} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \hat{\tau}_2, \quad (1)$$

where the  $\tau_i$  denote Pauli matrices in Nambu space, and  $g_{0\alpha}$  and  $g_{1\alpha}$  are the normal and anomalous quasiclassical Nambu Green's functions:

$$g_{0\alpha} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\pi N_{\alpha}\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha n}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha n}^2 + \tilde{\phi}_{\alpha n}^2}}, \quad g_{1\alpha} = -\frac{\pi N_{\alpha}\phi_{\alpha n}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha n}^2 + \tilde{\phi}_{\alpha n}^2}}.$$
 (2)

Here, index  $\alpha$  runs over band indices a and b,  $N_{a,b}$  are the density of states of each band (a, b) at the Fermi level,  $\omega_n = \pi T(2n+1)$  is the Matsubara frequency. The quantities  $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha n}$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha n}$  are Matsubara frequencies and order parameters renormalized by the self-energy  $\hat{\Sigma}(i\omega_n)$ , respectively,

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha n} = \omega_n + \mathrm{i}\Sigma_{0\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\omega_n) + \mathrm{i}\Sigma_{0\alpha}^{imp}(\mathrm{i}\omega_n), \qquad (3)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha n} = \Sigma_{1\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\omega_n) + \Sigma_{1\alpha}^{imp}(\mathrm{i}\omega_n). \tag{4}$$

The self-energy due to the spin fluctuation interactions is then given by:

$$\Sigma_{0\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\omega_n) = T \sum_{\omega'_n,\beta} |\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(n-n')| g_{0\beta}/N_\beta, \qquad (5)$$

$$\Sigma_{1\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\omega_n) = -T \sum_{\omega'_n,\beta} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}(n-n') g_{1\beta}/N_\beta.$$
 (6)

The coupling functions  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(n - n') = 2\lambda_{\alpha\beta}\int_0^\infty d\Omega\Omega B(\Omega) / [(\omega_n - \omega_{n'})^2 + \Omega^2]$  are expressed via the spectral functions  $B(\Omega)^{15}$  and constants  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ . The matrix elements  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$  can be positive (attractive) as well as negative (repulsive) due to the interplay between spin-fluctuations and electron-phonon coupling<sup>15,16</sup> and strongly renormalized due to the nested Coulomb interaction<sup>17</sup>.

We use the *T*-matrix approximation to calculate the average impurity self-energy  $\hat{\Sigma}^{imp}$ :

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{imp} = n_{imp}\hat{\mathbf{U}} + \hat{\mathbf{U}}\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\omega_n)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{imp}(\mathrm{i}\omega_n), \qquad (7)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{U} \otimes \hat{\tau}_3$  and  $n_{imp}$  is impurity concentration. For simplicity intra- and inter-band parts of the potential are set equal to v and u, respectively, such that  $(\mathbf{U})_{\alpha\beta} = (v - u)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + u$ . This completes the specification of the equations which determine the quasiclassical Green's functions.

Note that we have neglected possible anisotropy in each order parameter  $\tilde{\phi}_{a(b)n}$ ; these effects can lead to changes in the response of the two-band  $s_{\pm}$  system to disorder and have been examined, e.g. in Ref. 18.

Critical temperature.  $T_c$  is found by solving the linearized Eliashberg equations for the renormalization factors  $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha n} = \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha n}/\omega_n$  and gap functions  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha n} = \tilde{\phi}_{an}/\tilde{Z}_{\alpha n}^{-14}$ :

$$\tilde{Z}_{\alpha n} = 1 + \sum_{\beta} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta} / |\omega_n| + \pi T_c \sum_{\omega_{n'},\beta} |\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(n-n')| \operatorname{sgn}(\omega_{n'}) / \omega_n, \quad (8)$$
$$\tilde{\Delta} = \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha\beta} / |\omega| = 0$$

$$\widetilde{Z}_{\alpha n} \widetilde{\Delta}_{\alpha n} = \sum_{\beta} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha \beta} \widetilde{\Delta}_{\beta n} / |\omega_{n}| + \pi T_{c} \sum_{\omega_{n'}, \beta} \lambda_{\alpha \beta} (n - n') \widetilde{\Delta}_{\beta n'} / |\omega_{n'}|, \qquad (9)$$

where  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$  are impurity scattering rates.

If one inserts Eq. (8) into Eq. (9) and gets a set of equations for  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha n}$ , it is easy to show that the impurity intraband scattering terms  $\propto \tilde{\Gamma}_{aa}$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{bb}$  drop out<sup>19</sup>, in agreement with Anderson's theorem. From Eq. (7) one finds  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab(ba)}$  as

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab(ba)} = \Gamma_{a(b)} \frac{(1-\tilde{\sigma})}{\tilde{\sigma}(1-\tilde{\sigma})\eta \frac{(N_a+N_b)^2}{N_a N_b} + (\tilde{\sigma}\eta - 1)^2}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma} = (\pi^2 N_a N_b u^2)/(1 + \pi^2 N_a N_b u^2)$  and  $\Gamma_{a(b)} = n_{imp} \pi N_{b(a)} u^2 (1 - \tilde{\sigma})$  are generalized cross-section and normal state scattering rate parameters, respectively. The parameter  $\eta$  controls the ratio of intra-band and inter-band scattering as  $v^2 = u^2 \eta$ . In the Born (weak scattering) limit,  $\tilde{\sigma} \to 0$ , while for  $\tilde{\sigma} \to 1$  the unitary limit (strong scattering) is achieved. From (10), we therefore recover explicitly the well-known but counterintuitive result that in the unitary limit nonmagnetic impurities do not affect  $T_c$  in an  $s_{\pm}$  state<sup>19,20</sup>.

The linearized Eliashberg equations (8) and (9) are now solved numerically, varying T and finding  $T_c$  as the highest temperature where a nontrivial solution appears. Results for  $T_c$  as a function of  $\Gamma_{ab}$ , are shown in Fig 1, in which situation all cases with various values of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\eta$  fall on the same universal  $T_c$  curve for each average  $\langle \lambda \rangle \equiv [(\lambda_{aa} + \lambda_{ab})N_a/N + (\lambda_{ba} + \lambda_{bb})N_b/N]$  with  $N = N_a + N_b$ . It is clearly seen that depending on the sign of  $\langle \lambda \rangle$ , one gets two types of  $T_c$  behavior vs.  $\Gamma_{ab}$ in the  $s_{\pm}$  scenario. For type (i), the critical temperature vanishes at a finite impurity scattering rate  $\Gamma_a^{\text{crit}}$  for  $\langle \lambda \rangle < 0$ . For type (ii), the critical temperature remains finite at  $\Gamma_a \to \infty$ . In the marginal case of  $\langle \lambda \rangle = 0$  we find that  $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\text{crit}} \to \infty$  but with exponentially small  $T_c$ . Therefore, we have found universal behavior of  $T_c$  controlled by a single parameter  $\langle \lambda \rangle$ .

Weak-coupling limit. To understand the origin of the two types of limiting behavior of  $T_c$  in  $s_{\pm}$  scenario, we now consider the weak coupling limit assuming  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(n - n') = \lambda_{\alpha\beta}\Theta(\omega_0 - |\omega_n|)\Theta(\omega_0 - |\omega'_n|)$ . In this approximation the calculation can be performed analytically.



FIG. 1: (color online). Critical temperature for various  $\tilde{\sigma}$ and  $\eta$  as a function of the effective interband scattering rate  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab}$  for the same parameters. Note that curves for different sets of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\eta$  overlap and fall onto one of the three universal curves depending on the  $\langle \lambda \rangle$ .  $N_b/N_a = 2$ , coupling constants for illustrative purpose are chosen for  $\langle \lambda \rangle > 0$ as  $(\lambda_{aa}, \lambda_{ab}, \lambda_{ba}, \lambda_{bb}) = (3, -0.2, -0.1, 0.5)$ , for  $\langle \lambda \rangle = 0$  as (2, -2, -1, 1) and for  $\langle \lambda \rangle < 0$  as (1, -2, -1, 1).



FIG. 2: (color online). Critical temperature  $T_c$  and  $\Delta_{\alpha n}$  (in units of  $T_{c0}$ ) for first, second, and third Matsubara frequencies n at  $T = 0.04T_{c0}$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma} = 0.5$ ,  $\eta = 1$ , and  $N_b/N_a = 2$  and  $\langle \lambda \rangle > 0$ . The coupling constant are chosen as in Fig. 1.

We introduce the parameter

$$\Delta_{\alpha} = \Theta(\omega_0 - |\omega_n|) \sum_{\beta} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \pi T \sum_{|\omega_n| < \omega_0} \frac{\Delta_{\beta n}}{|\omega_n|}, \qquad (11)$$

which plays the role of the pair potential in the clean limit. Substituting  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha n}$  from Eqs. (8) and (9) and recalling  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab}/\tilde{\Gamma}_{ba} = N_b/N_a$ , we get for  $|\omega_n| < \omega_0$  an equation for  $\Delta_{\alpha}$  similar to AG:

$$\Delta_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha} \langle \Delta \rangle (I_1 - I_2) + I_2 \sum_{\beta} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{\beta}, \qquad (12)$$

where  $I_1 = \pi T \sum_{|\omega_n| < \omega_0} 1/|\omega_n| \approx \log 2\gamma \omega_0/(\pi T_c), I_2 = \pi T \sum_{|\omega_n| < \omega_0} 1/(|\omega_n| + \tilde{\Gamma}_{ab} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{ba}), \lambda_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ , and  $\langle \Delta \rangle = (\Delta_a N_a/N + \Delta_b N_b/N).$ 

In the clean limit,  $I_2 = I_1$ , Eq. (12) reduces to  $\Delta_{\alpha} = I_1 \sum_{\beta} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{\beta}$ . Diagonalization of this equation results in the equation for the critical temperature  $I_1 = 1/\lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0 = (\lambda_{aa} + \lambda_{bb})/2 + \sqrt{(\lambda_{aa} - \lambda_{bb})^2/4 + \lambda_{ab}\lambda_{ba}} > 0$ is the highest positive eigenvalue of the matrix  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ . The critical temperature is then  $T_{c0} = \frac{2\gamma}{\pi}\omega_0 \exp(-1/\lambda_0)$ . A similar expression was found in<sup>3,21</sup>. The relative sign of the pair potential of the bands is determined by the off-diagonal interaction matrix elements:  $\operatorname{sgn}(\Delta_a/\Delta_b) =$  $\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_{ab})$ .

When  $\Delta_a - \Delta_b \neq 0$ , nonmagnetic impurities suppress the critical temperature<sup>3</sup>. The critical value of the impurity scattering rate for type (i) systems is given by  $\log[\omega_0/(\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{ba})_{crit}] = \langle \lambda \rangle / (\lambda_{aa} \lambda_{bb} - \lambda_{ab} \lambda_{ba}).$ 

We now focus on the case of type (ii) systems,  $\langle \lambda \rangle > 0$ , which have not been discussed extensively in the literature. Multiplying the both sides of Eq. (12) with  $N_{\alpha}$  followed by summation and using in the dirty limit  $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ , one obtains  $1 = I_1 \langle \lambda \rangle$  and consequently  $T_c = \frac{2\gamma}{\pi} \omega_0 \exp(-1/\langle \lambda \rangle)$ . Analysis of the Eqs.(8-9) shows, that for small *n* in the clean limit  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha n} \sim \Delta_{\alpha}$ , while in the dirty limit both  $\tilde{\Delta}_{an}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_{bn}$  converge to the same value  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha n} \rightarrow \Delta_{\Gamma \rightarrow \infty}$ , that is  $s_{++}$  state is realized. If the initial state corresponds to  $s_{\pm}$ , a transition  $s_{\pm} \rightarrow s_{++}$  at finite concentration of impurities must exist.

There is a simple physical argument behind the  $s_{\pm} \rightarrow s_{++}$  transition. With increasing inter-band disorder, the gap functions on the different Fermi surfaces tends to the same value. A similar effect has been found in Refs.<sup>3,22</sup> for a two-band systems with  $s_{++}$  symmetry, and in Ref.<sup>18</sup> discussing node-lifting on the electron pockets for the extended *s*-wave state in FeSC.

To demonstrate the transition explicitly, we calculate  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha n}$  for n = 1...3 at  $T = 0.04T_{c0}$  and show the results in Fig. 2 for a particular choice of  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$  with  $\langle\lambda\rangle > 0$ . For this parameter set,  $T_{c0} \approx 40$ K. Both order parameters  $\tilde{\Delta}_{a(b)n}$  converge to  $\Delta_{\Gamma\to\infty}$  for large disorder, while the  $T_c$  suppression quickly saturates. The transition  $s_{\pm} \to s_{++}$  provides a possible explanation for the observed much weaker reduction of the critical temperature than the naive application of the AG formula.

Another important consequence of the transition  $s_+ \rightarrow$  $s_{++}$ , relevant to experiments in pnictides, is gapless superconductivity as one of the gaps vanishes. The density of states  $N_{tot}(\omega) = -\sum_{\alpha} \text{Im} g_{0\alpha}(\omega)/\pi$  is shown in Fig. 3(a) for a type (ii) case. With increasing impurity scattering rate, the lower gap is seen to close, leading to a finite residual  $N_{tot}(\omega = 0)$ , followed by a reopening of the gap. A similar behavior is reflected in the temperature dependence of the penetration depth, Fig. 3(b), which varies in the clean limit with activated behavior controlled by the smaller gap, crossing over to  $T^2$  in the gapless regime, to a new activated behavior in the  $s_{++}$ state in the dirty limit. Fig. 3(b) should be compared to similar works, where the effect of scattering on the T-dependent superfluid density was calculated for a twoband  $s_{++}$  state<sup>13,22,23</sup>.



FIG. 3: (color online). (a) Density of states  $N_{tot}(\omega)/N$  vs.  $\Gamma_a/T_{c0}$  and  $\omega/T_{c0}$  for  $\langle \lambda \rangle > 0$  and impurity parameters  $\tilde{\sigma} = 0.5$ ,  $\eta = 1$ ,  $N_b/N_a = 2$ ,  $N = N_a + N_b$ . (b) Total superfluid density  $1/(\omega_p \lambda_L)^2$  vs.  $\Gamma_a/T_{c0}$  and  $T/T_{c0}$  where  $\omega_p$  is the total plasma frequency and  $\lambda_L$  is the London penetration depth.

- \* Electronic address: efremov@fkf.mpg.de
- <sup>†</sup> Electronic address: korshunov@phys.ufl.edu
- <sup>1</sup> See, e.g. "Superconductivity in Iron-Pnictides" in Physica C 469, Issues 9-12 (2009) and P.J. Hirschfeld, M.M. Korshunov, and I.I. Mazin, Rep. Prog. Phys. 74, 124508 (2011).
- <sup>2</sup> see e.g. J. Paglione and R.L. Greene, Nat. Phys. 6, 645 (2010); D.C. Johnston, Adv. Phys. 59, 803 (2010); I.I. Mazin, Nature 464, 183 (2010); H.H. Wen and S. Li, Ann. Rev. Cond. Mat. Phys. 2, 121 (2011).
- <sup>3</sup> A.A. Golubov and I.I. Mazin, Phys. Rev. B 55, 15146 (1997), Physica C 243, 153(1995).
- <sup>4</sup> A.A. Abrikosov and L.P. Gor'kov, Sov. Phys. JETP **12**, 1243 (1961).
- <sup>5</sup> P. Cheng, B. Shen, J. Hu, H.H. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 81, 174529 (2010).
- <sup>6</sup> Y. Li, J. Tong, Q. Tao, C. Feng, G. Cao, W. Chen, F-C. Zhang, and Z.-a. Xu, New J. Phys. **12**, 083008 (2010).
- <sup>7</sup> Y. Nakajima, T. Taen, Y. Tsuchiya, T. Tamegai, H. Kitamura, and T. Murakami, Phys. Rev. B 82, 220504(R) (2010).
- <sup>8</sup> M. Tropeano, M.R. Cimberle, C. Ferdeghini, G. Lamura,

4

Conclusions. We have shown that in two-band models with  $s_{\pm}$  ground state,  $T_c$  has a universal dependence on the impurity scattering rate which can be calculated explicitly in terms of the inter- to intraband impurity scattering rate ratio. We demonstrated that  $s_{\pm}$  superconductivity may be quite robust against nonmagnetic impurities, depending on the ratio of inter- to intraband pairing coupling constants, and may even display a transition to an  $s_{++}$  gap structure with increasing disorder, which will manifest itself in thermodynamic and transport properties.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to S.-L. Drechsler, P. Fulde, I.I. Mazin, V. Mishra, and D.J. Scalapino for useful discussions. The present work was partially supported by the DFG Priority Programme SPP1458 (DVE), Dutch FOM (AAG), DOE DE-FG02-05ER46236 (PJH and MMK), and RFBR 09-02-00127, Presidium of RAS program N5.7, FCP GK P891 and GK 16.740.12.0731, and President of Russia MK-1683.2010.2 (MMK). PJH and MMK are grateful for the support of the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics and the Stanford Institute for Materials & Energy Science during the writing of this work.

A. Martinelli, A. Palenzona, I. Pallecchi, A. Sala, I. Sheikin, F. Bernardini, M. Monni, S. Massidda, and M. Putti, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 184504 (2010).

- <sup>9</sup> H. Kontani and S. Onari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 157001 (2010); Y. Senga and H. Kontani, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77**, 113710 (2008); S. Onari and H. Kontani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 177001 (2009).
- <sup>10</sup> Y. Bang, H.-Y. Choi, and H. Won, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 054529 (2009).
- <sup>11</sup> A.F. Kemper, C. Cao, P.J. Hirschfeld, and H.-P. Cheng, Phys. Rev. B 80, 104511 (2009).
- <sup>12</sup> K. Nakamura, R. Arita and H. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. B 83, 144512 (2011).
- <sup>13</sup> I.I Mazin, O.K. Andersen, O. Jepsen, O.V. Dolgov, J. Kortus, A.A. Golubov, A.B. Kuz'menko, and D. van der Marel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 107002 (2002).
- <sup>14</sup> P.B. Allen and B. Mitrović, in *Solid State Physics*, V.37, 1 (1982).
- <sup>15</sup> D. Parker, O.V. Dolgov, M.M. Korshunov, A.A. Golubov, I.I. Mazin, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 134524 (2008).
- <sup>16</sup> N.F. Berk and J.R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 433 (1966).

- <sup>17</sup> A.V. Chubukov, D.V. Efremov, and I. Eremin, Phys. Rev.
- B 78, 134512 (2008).
  <sup>18</sup> V. Mishra, G. Boyd, S. Graser, T. Maier, P.J. Hirschfeld, and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 79, 094512 (2009).
- <sup>19</sup> See supplementary online materials for details.
  <sup>20</sup> M.L. Kulić and O.V. Dolgov, Phys. Rev. B 60, 13062(1999); Y. Ohashi, Physica C 412-414, 41 (2004).
- $^{21}\,$  Tai-Kai Ng, Phys. Rev. Lett.  ${\bf 103},\,236402$  (2009).
- <sup>22</sup> N. Schopohl and K. Scharnberg, Solid State Commun. **22**, 371 (1977).
- <sup>23</sup> A.A. Golubov, A. Brinkman, O.V. Dolgov, J. Kortus, O. Jepsen, Phys. Rev. B 66, 054524(2002); V.G. Kogan, C. Martin, and R. Prozorov, Phys. Rev. B 80, 014507 (2009).