Transport through a quantum dot with two parallel Luttinger liquid leads
Florian Elste, David R. Reichman, and Andrew J. Millis
Phys. Rev. B 83, 245405 — Published 17 June 2011
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.245405
Transport through a quantum dot with two parallel Luttinger liquid leads

Florian Elste,1 David R. Reichman,2 and Andrew J. Millis1
1Department of Physics, Columbia University, 538 West 120th Street, New York, NY 10027, USA
2Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, 3000 Broadway, New York, NY 10027, USA
(Dated: April 6, 2011)

We study transport through a quantum dot side-coupled to two parallel Luttinger liquid leads in the presence of a Coulombic dot-lead interaction. This geometry enables an exact treatment of the inter-lead Coulomb interactions. We find that for dots symmetrically disposed between the two leads the correlation of charge fluctuations between the two leads can lead to an enhancement of the current at the Coulomb-blockade edge and even to a negative differential conductance. Moving the dot off center or separating the wires further converts the enhancement to a suppression.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum dots and single-molecule devices reveal many quantum phenomena of fundamental and perhaps practical interest.1–3 However, the role of a Coulombic interaction between the charges on the dot and on the leads has been little studied, even though this Coulomb interaction is clearly relevant. Dot-lead interactions were studied by Boulat and Saleur4, and Boulat, Saleur and Schmitteckert5 in the context of an interacting resonant-level model. Goldstein and collaborators presented general duality-based results that they noted were applicable also to models with dot-lead interactions.6 In previous papers we considered different aspects of quantum dots Coulomb-coupled to leads, including the relaxational dynamics of a quantum dot side-coupled to a single Luttinger liquid lead in equilibrium (in Ref. 7) and the case of a quantum dot coupled to two one-dimensional leads subject to a finite bias voltage (in Ref. 8). However, in the theoretical work to date inter-lead couplings have not been considered. While inter-lead interactions may be negligible if the screening of the Coulomb potential is strong, in general one expects molecular junctions to involve quantum dots which are very close to the leads, so that the inter-lead interaction is not negligible. In this paper we show that this interaction can be of crucial importance, because it suppresses fluctuations which act to decohere the different states. Thus, electron-electron interactions can enhance transport, leading (in appropriate circumstances which we define below) to conductances which peak at the Coulomb-blockade edge and thus exhibit a negative differential conductance.

A lead may be modelled as a quantum wire described by Luttinger liquid physics.9–11 However, for general geometries, inter-lead interactions do not fit easily into the Luttinger liquid formalism, because the boundary breaks translational invariance and the interactions give rise to a complicated boundary condition on the charge modes. In a low energy ‘universal’ limit this boundary condition can be taken into account, at least for short ranged interactions12, but results at the level of detail needed in this paper are not, to our knowledge, available in the literature. In this paper we therefore specialize to the geometry shown in Fig. 1, for which the inter-lead interaction may be treated by standard Luttinger liquid methods. This geometry reveals the essential physics of the inter-lead coupling.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II defines the model we study and the methods we use. Section III gives the basic theoretical results, Sec. IV presents the experimental consequences, and Sec. V is a conclusion.

II. MODEL AND METHODS

A. Model

The quantum dot problem sketched in Fig. 1 is described by a Hamiltonian of the general form

\[ H = H_{\text{lead}} + H_{\text{dot}} + H_{\text{Coul}} + H_{\text{mix}}. \]  

We label the two leads by \( \alpha = L, R \), the lead orbitals by \( a \) and write the lead Hamiltonian as

\[ H_{\text{lead}} = \sum_{\alpha = L, R} \sum_{a, a'} \epsilon_a^\alpha c_a^\alpha \hat{c}_{aa'}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} \int dx \ dx' \sum_{\alpha \alpha' = L, R} \sum_{a, a'} V_{\alpha \alpha'}(x - x') \rho_{\alpha a}(x) \rho_{\alpha' a'}(x'). \]  

![FIG. 1: Schematic of a one-dimensional lead-dot-lead system showing two parallel leads.](image)
The operator $c_{aak\sigma}$ creates an electron with momentum $k$, energy $\epsilon_k$, and spin $\sigma$ in state $a$ of lead $\alpha$. The interaction $V$ depends on the difference in position $x-x'$ and is the sum of two terms, an intra-lead interaction

$$V_{\alpha\alpha}(x-x') = \frac{e^2}{\epsilon|x-x'|}$$

(already considered in Ref. 8), and an inter-lead interaction denoted by

$$V_{\alpha\beta}(x-x') = \frac{e^2}{\epsilon\sqrt{(x-x')^2 + d^2}}$$

with $\tilde{\alpha} = L$ if $\alpha = R$ and vice versa. $\rho_{\alpha\alpha}(x)$ is the corresponding operator giving the charge density at position $x$, $\epsilon$ is a background dielectric constant, and $d$ denotes the spacing between the leads. The convenient feature of the geometry we consider is that both intra- and inter-lead interactions are functions only of the relative distance along the lead, permitting use of usual bosonisation techniques.

The quantum dot Hamiltonian $H_{\text{dot}}$ may be written as

$$H_{\text{dot}} = \varepsilon_d n_d + \frac{U}{2} n_d(n_d - 1),$$

where $U$ is the dot charging energy, $n_d = \sum_{\sigma} d_{\sigma}^\dagger d_{\sigma}$ is the total dot density, and $d_{\sigma}^\dagger$ creates an electron with energy $\varepsilon_d$ and spin $\sigma$ on the dot.

The Coulomb interaction between electrons on the quantum dot and electrons in the leads may be written as

$$H_{\text{Coul}} = n_d \sum_{\alpha=L,R} \sum_{\sigma} \int dx W_{\alpha}(x) \rho_{\alpha\alpha}(x)$$

with

$$W_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{e^2}{\epsilon\sqrt{x^2 + d_{\alpha}^2}}$$

and $d_{\alpha}$ the distance between the quantum dot and lead $\alpha$. The dot-lead hybridization is given by

$$H_{\text{mix}} = \sum_{\alpha=L,R} \sum_{ak\sigma} \left[ T_\alpha d_{\sigma}^\dagger c_{aak\sigma} + T_\alpha^* c_{aak\sigma}^\dagger d_{\sigma} \right].$$

with $T$ the dot-lead hybridization.

### B. Bosonisation

We bosonise the system as in our previous papers,\textsuperscript{7,8} the new feature is the inter-lead coupling, which mixes the boson modes of the two leads. We consider a system with linear dimension $L$ and periodic boundary conditions, and combine spin and orbital quantum number into a superindex $\beta = 1, \ldots, M$. The physics is represented in terms of right ($\lambda = +$) and left ($\lambda = -$) moving particle-hole pairs,\textsuperscript{13} which can be recombined into boson operators $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(q)$, $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}(q)$ that obey the volume commutation relation $[\phi_{\alpha\beta}(q), \Pi_{\alpha'\beta'}(-q')] = i(\lambda \pi)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{\beta\beta'} \delta_{qq'}$. The total particle density in lead $\alpha$ and state $\beta$ is given by $\rho_{\alpha\beta}(q) = iq\phi_{\alpha\beta}(q)$.

The lead electron creation operator $\psi_{\alpha\lambda\beta}$ may be rewritten in terms of the bosons as\textsuperscript{14,15}

$$\psi_{\alpha\lambda\beta}(x) = \frac{U_{\alpha\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi\eta}} e^{i\lambda k_F x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{L} \sum q e^{iqx}} [\phi_{\alpha\beta}(q) - \phi_{\alpha\beta}(q)]$$

Here the small positive infinitesimal factor $\eta$ arises from the correct normal ordering of the operators. The operator $U_{\alpha\lambda}$ denotes the Klein factor which carries the Fermi statistics. Left and right lead electrons are assumed to be at different chemical potentials $\mu_{\alpha}$, which gives rise to a different time evolution of the Klein factors, $U_{\alpha\lambda}(t) = e^{i\mu_{\alpha} t} U_{\alpha\lambda}(0)$.

The symmetry between the two leads means that their low-energy physics can be described in terms of a set of new boson operators $\phi_{ab}$, $\Pi_{ab}$ with a parity quantum number $p = e,o$ as well as a mode label $b$. The new operators are related by a linear transformation to the $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$, $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}$ defined in terms of the fermions. In terms of the new operators the lead Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{\text{lead}} = \sum_{p=e,o} \sum_{b=1,\ldots,M} \sum_{q} \frac{\pi}{2L} V_{pb}(q)$$

$$\times \left[ K_{pb}(q) \Pi_{pb}(-q) \Pi_{pb}(q) + \frac{q^2}{K_{pb}(q)} \phi_{pb}(-q) \phi_{pb}(q) \right]$$

with even (e) and odd (o) boson modes defined by

$$\Pi_{eb}(q), \Pi_{ob}(q) = \frac{\Pi_{LB}(q) \pm \Pi_{RB}(q)}{\sqrt{2}},$$

and

$$\phi_{eb}(q), \phi_{ob}(q) = \frac{\phi_{LB}(q) \pm \phi_{RB}(q)}{\sqrt{2}},$$

and Luttinger parameters $v_{eb}$, $v_{ob}$ and $K_{eb}$, $K_{ob}$ determined by the bare velocities and interactions of the lead eigenstates. In terms of these boson modes, the electron operator becomes
\[ \psi_{L\alpha}(x) = U_{L\alpha} e^{i \phi_{\alpha}(x)/\sqrt{2}} \sum_q e^{iqx} \left[ \delta(\phi_{\alpha}(x) + \phi_{\alpha}(x)') - \frac{i}{2} \left( \Pi_{\alpha}(x) + \Pi_{\alpha}(x)'ight) \right] \psi^{\text{rest}}_{L\alpha}(x), \]

\[ \psi_{R\alpha}(x) = U_{R\alpha} e^{i \phi_{\alpha}(x)/\sqrt{2}} \sum_q e^{iqx} \left[ \delta(\phi_{\alpha}(x) - \phi_{\alpha}(x)') - \frac{i}{2} \left( \Pi_{\alpha}(x) - \Pi_{\alpha}(x)'ight) \right] \psi^{\text{rest}}_{R\alpha}(x). \]

The canonical transformation acts on an operator \( \mathcal{O} \) by \( \mathcal{O} \rightarrow e^{iS} \mathcal{O} e^{-iS} \) with

\[ S = -n_d \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2ML}} \sum_{p=c,a} \sum_q Z_\alpha(q) \Pi_{\alpha 1}(-q) / iq. \]

Under the canonical transformation the dot operator becomes

\[ d_{\sigma} \rightarrow d_{\sigma} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2M} \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \Pi_{\alpha 1}(q) Z_{\sigma}(q) + \Pi_{\alpha 1}(q) Z_1(q) \right]}. \]

### III. ELECTRONIC TUNNELING

The crucial quantities in our considerations are expectation values of the form

\[ F_\alpha(t) = \left\langle \xi^\dagger_{\alpha 1 \beta}(t) \xi_{\alpha 1 \beta}(0) \right\rangle \]

with \( \sum_{\alpha \lambda \beta} T_{\alpha \lambda \beta} + \text{h.c.} \) the renormalized hybridization Hamiltonian \( e^{iS} H_{\text{mix}} e^{iS} \) without the \( d_{\sigma} \) operator. We obtain, say, for \( \alpha = L \)

\[ F_L(t) = F_0(t) e^{\Phi_L(t)} e^{-i\mu_L t} \]

with \( F_0(t) \) the free-fermion correlation and

\[ \Phi_L(t) = \frac{2\pi}{ML} \sum_{q \neq 0} \frac{1}{2|q|} \left[ 1 - e^{-iv_F|q|t} \right] - B_c(q) \left( 1 - e^{-iv_{c1}(q)|q|t} \right) - B_0(q) \left( 1 - e^{-iv_{a1}(q)|q|t} \right) \],

where we have defined

\[ B_c(q), B_0(q) = \frac{1}{4} \left( K_{c1}(q) + \frac{1 - Z_c(q)}{K_{c1}(q)} \right)^2 + K_{a1}(q) \left( 1 + \frac{1 + Z_a(q)}{K_{a1}(q)} \right)^2 \].

To obtain an idea of the effects of screening, we approximate the logarithmic functions \( K_{c1}(q), K_{a1}(q) \) and \( v_{c1}(q), v_{a1}(q) \) by constants \( K_{c1}, K_{a1} \) and \( v_{c1}, v_{a1} \). In this case the decay of electronic correlations is described by

\[ F_\alpha(t) \propto e^{-i\mu_{c1} t} \frac{e^{\mu_{a1} t}}{\left[ \pi T \sinh(\pi T t) \right]^{Y_\alpha}} \]

with the Luttinger exponents

\[ Y_L, Y_R = \frac{1}{M} \left( M - 1 + \frac{K_{c1} + [1 + Z_c]^2}{K_{c1}} + K_{a1} + \frac{[1 + Z_a]^2}{K_{a1}} \right). \]
obtained for the models studied in our previous work. The inter-lead distance and on the relative position of the lead for the tunneling. The dependence of the exponents on decreases; we shall see that this has important consequences.

center, one of the exponents increases and the other decreases. For a very asymmetric situation we revert to the single-lead case studied previously.

IV. CURRENT-VOLTAGE CHARACTERISTICS

This formula plays a crucial role in our subsequent analysis. We see that the odd-parity channel shift $Z_o$ (whose sign depends on which lead is nearer to the dot) enters with opposite sign in the two exponents.

Equation (30) implies a strong effect of the geometry on the electronic tunneling. In the symmetric case with equal dot-lead distances $d_L = d_R = d/2$, we see $Z_e = 0$, because the odd boson mode does not contribute to the dot-lead coupling in that case. As the dot is moved off center, one of the exponents increases and the other decreases; we shall see that this has important consequences for the tunneling. The dependence of the exponents on inter-lead distance and on the relative position of the lead is shown in Fig. 2.

It is interesting to compare Eq. (30) to the expressions obtained for the models studied in our previous work. Reference 7 considered a dot coupled to a single Luttinger liquid. In this case we have only one channel, and we found

\[ Y = \frac{1}{M} \left( M - 1 + \frac{K + (1-Z_e)^2}{2} \right) \]  

which can be obtained from our Eq. (30) by dropping, say, all of the right channel interactions and couplings, which in practice means replacing $Z_e$ and $Z_o$ by $Z$, and $K_{e1}$ and $K_{o1}$ by $K$. The physics is therefore relatively similar between the two cases, except that because the odd-channel interactions are weaker than the even-channel ones, the exponent can be a little closer to the non-interacting value.

However, if the quantum dot is coupled to two leads but we ignore the inter-lead electron-electron interactions, we may replace $Z_e$ by $Z_L + Z_R$, $Z_o$ by $Z_L - Z_R$ and $K_{o1}$, $K_{o1}$ by $K$ to obtain

\[ Y_L = \frac{1}{M} \left( M - 1 + \frac{K + (1-Z_e)^2}{2} + \frac{Z_o^2}{2} \right) \]  

which is consistent with our result for the Luttinger exponent obtained in Ref. 8, except that in this paper boundary rather than bulk exponents appeared. The crucial differences are the factor of 2 in the denominator and the factor of $Z_o^2/K$ which represented the ‘orthogonality’ effect caused on one lead by adding a charge from the other. This orthogonality effect acts to suppress electron transport. By correlating the fluctuations in the two leads, the inter-lead Coulomb interaction acts to suppress this orthogonality effect; we shall see that the result is an enhanced tunneling.

These arguments are supported by Fig. 2. The upper panel shows that the exponent increases with increasing inter-lead distance $d$, reflecting the weakening of the inter-lead interaction, the resulting enhancement of relative fluctuations, and thus an increasing orthogonality effect. The lower panel shows that there is a relative dot-lead distance at which the exponent for tunneling from a given lead is minimized, reflecting the interplay between the increase in $Z_o$ and the decrease in $Z_e$ due to the weakening of one of the couplings. For a very asymmetric situation we revert to the single-lead case studied previously.

\[ \text{Fig. 2:} (a) \text{ Luttinger exponent } Y_L \text{ of a symmetric junction} \quad (Y_R = Y_L) \text{ with nanotube leads as a function of the inter-lead distance } d \text{ for different } q \text{ values.} \quad (b) \text{ Luttinger exponents } Y_L, Y_R \text{ as a function of the displacement } \delta = d_L - d_R/2 \text{ of the quantum dot relative to the leads.} \quad \text{(Here } \delta = 0 \text{ represents a symmetric junction.)} \]
FIG. 3: Comparison of current-voltage characteristics of a quantum dot symmetrically side-coupled to two parallel Luttinger liquid leads (a) for a model including inter-lead Coulomb interactions and (b) for the same model but with inter-lead Coulomb interactions set to zero. Voltages and thermal energies are given in units of the corresponding onsite energy. For the results shown in (a), the interaction parameters are obtained from Eq. (30) using Eqs. (17)—(18) and (22) with \( V_c \simeq 0.9 \), \( M = 4 \) (channels), \( d_\alpha = d/2 = \Lambda \), and with the logarithms evaluated at \( q = 0.1 \Lambda^{-1} \) (these parameters were shown in Ref. [8] to be appropriate for a carbon nanotube with \( \Lambda \) of the order of the tube diameter). The results shown in (b) are obtained for the same parameters except \( V_{\alpha\alpha} \) [cf. Eq. (16)] set to zero. The local Coulomb interaction is assumed to be twice as large as the onsite energy in both panels.

in the zero-temperature limit. Here \( 1/\tau_\alpha \propto 2\pi |T_\alpha|^2/(\nu_e/\Lambda) \) is the bare tunneling rate, and the Luttinger parameters have been approximated by constants.

Solving the set of master equations in the steady state \( \langle \hat{P}_i \rangle = 0 \) gives an expression for the steady-state current in terms of the transition rates. In the limit of very large \( U \), the rates for the excitation of two electrons on the dot vanish and the current is described by the simple expression

\[
\langle I_\alpha \rangle = 2e \frac{R_{0\rightarrow 1}^\alpha R_{1\rightarrow 0}^\alpha - R_{1\rightarrow 0}^\alpha R_{0\rightarrow 1}^\alpha}{2R_{0\rightarrow 1} + R_{1\rightarrow 0}}
\]

with \( R_{n\rightarrow m} \equiv \sum_\alpha R_{n\rightarrow m}^\alpha \). Our numerical results are obtained with the full expression, which is presented in Ref. 8. Also in our numerical results we used the parameters identified in Ref. 8 as corresponding to a nanotube with the Coulomb interaction cut off at a momentum scale of one tenth of the inverse tube diameter. In presenting our results we focus on the dynamical effects due to coupling and interactions in the leads, ignoring the over-all scale factor arising from the product of tunnelling matrix elements.

The two panels of Fig. 3 compare the current-voltage characteristics of a quantum dot symmetrically side-coupled to two parallel Luttinger liquid leads with the inter-lead interactions included (upper panel) and neglected (lower panel). We see that including inter-lead Coulomb interactions in our model enhances the electronic tunneling and changes the shape of the Coulomb-blockade steps qualitatively in comparison to the case of no inter-lead Coulomb interactions. The enhanced tunneling, which manifests itself as regions of negative differential conductance, is due to the Coulombic dot-lead interaction, Eq. (6), as we discussed in Refs. 7 and 8. However, in the regime of weak electron-electron interactions, this enhancement can be overcompensated by...
orthogonality effects, which results in an effective suppression of the current. In general, the presence of inter-lead Coulomb interactions increases the total interaction strength and thus additionally enhances transport. The value of $Y_\alpha$ is thus smaller than it would be if inter-lead Coulomb interactions were ignored.

In the upper panel of Fig. 4 we demonstrate the effect of weakening the inter-lead interaction by moving the wires further apart. Increasing $d$ weakens the strength of the interaction and thus suppresses the tunneling of electrons. Increasing the inter-lead distance decouples the leads, causing the power-law ‘divergences’ explained above to vanish for sufficiently large inter-lead distances. Mathematically, the Luttinger exponents $Y_\alpha$ then assume values larger than unity. Of course, moving the leads further apart will also reduce the tunnelling matrix elements $T$, reducing the overall amplitude. We do not consider this effect explicitly.

Similarly, the lower panel of Fig. 4 demonstrates that breaking the spatial symmetry of the lead-dot-lead system can cause a suppression of the current near the Coulomb-blockade threshold, which would not occur in a quantum dot symmetrically side-coupled to the two leads. The dependence of the Luttinger exponent $Y_L$ on the position of the dot relative to the leads shows that a displacement of $\approx 10\%$ already suffices to destroy the effect of enhanced electronic tunneling, since $Y_L$ then assumes values larger than unity.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied transport through a quantum dot side-coupled to two parallel Luttinger liquid leads in the presence of a Coulombic dot-lead interaction. The new physics considered in this paper is the inter-lead interaction. The geometry is chosen to allow a bosonisation solution to the inter-lead interaction as well as to the interaction between charge fluctuations on the dot and the dynamically generated image charge in the leads. A master-equation approach that treats the hybridization perturbatively has been applied to compute the tunneling current.

Our most important finding is that for symmetrical junctions the inter-lead electron-electron interactions enhance the electronic transport and for reasonable interaction strengths may even change the overall sign of the Luttinger exponent $Y_\alpha - 1$ such that a suppression ($Y_\alpha > 1$) of the current near the Coulomb-blockade threshold in the absence of inter-lead interactions turns into an enhancement ($Y_\alpha < 1$) in the $I$-$V$ curves. The enhancement manifests itself as regions of negative differential conductance. As the symmetry of the junction is broken by moving the dot closer to one lead, the effect decreases.

An important topic for future research is extending our results to the case of an end-coupled dot, where the inter-lead interaction breaks the mathematical translation invariance which is employed in the standard theory of edge effects in Luttinger liquids.
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