

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Low phonon thermal conductivity of layered (Bi_{2})_{m}-(Bi_{2}Te_{3})_{n} thermoelectric alloys

P. A. Sharma, A. L. Lima Sharma, D. L. Medlin, A. M. Morales, N. Yang, M. Barney, J. He, F. Drymiotis, J. Turner, and T. M. Tritt Phys. Rev. B **83**, 235209 — Published 14 June 2011 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.235209

1	Low Phonon Thermal Conductivity of layered (Bi ₂) _m -(Bi ₂ Te ₃) _n Thermoelectric
2	Alloys
3	
4	P. A. Sharma ¹ , A. L. Lima Sharma ^{1,2} , D. L. Medlin ¹ , A. M. Morales ¹ , N. Yang ¹ , M.
5	Barney ¹ , J. He ³ , F. Drymiotis ³ , J. Turner ³ , T. M. Tritt ³
6	1. Sandia National Laboratories, 7011 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550
7	2. Department of Physics and Astronomy, San Jose State University, San Jose, CA 95192
8	3. Department of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634-0978
9	Abstract
10	We examined the thermal conductivity of the $(Bi_2)_m$ - $(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ alloys, which are
11	composed of alternating sequences of Bi_2 and Bi_2Te_3 structural units. The phonon
12	thermal conductivity of these alloys was sharply reduced relative to that of elemental Bi
13	and Bi ₂ Te ₃ for temperatures below 100 K. Our measurements suggested defects reduce
14	the thermal conductivity in these materials, as opposed to the layered crystal structure.
15	Using the Debye-Callaway model for heat transport, we link this reduced thermal
16	conductivity to point defects.

18 **I. Introduction**

19 The phonon thermal conductivity, $\kappa_{\rm P}$, of thermoelectric alloys should be reduced 20 as much as possible in order to increase the efficiency of thermoelectric devices 1 . 21 Understanding how a low κ_P occurs in different thermoelectric materials is also an 22 interesting problem in phonon transport. In some bulk alloys, such as the skutterudites, 23 "rattling" guest atoms in the open spaces of the crystal structure are thought to strongly scatter phonons ², thus lowering κ_{P} . Strong bond anharmonicity ³ and nanostructuring ² 24 may also increase phonon scattering In general, crystal structure, the nature of atomic 25 bonding, and microstructure will all influence $\kappa_{\rm P}$ at the same time ⁴, ⁵. Understanding 26 why certain thermoelectric materials have low κ_P then amounts to uncovering the 27 28 dominant phonon scattering mechanism.

29 Bi₂Te₃ is one of the best thermoelectric materials due to its low $\kappa_{\rm P}$. One can combine excess Bi with Bi₂Te₃ and form a series of intermetallic phases ⁶, where excess 30 metal ions form in charge-neutral bilayers interleaved between Bi₂Te₃ blocks⁷. 31 32 Depending on the amount of excess Bi, many different layered structures are possible. Materials with layered crystal structures often have a reduced κ_P^{-8} , ⁹, originating from 33 34 interface scattering or changes in phonon band structure in analogy to artificial superlattices ¹⁰. It is therefore interesting to see if κ_P changes systematically with the 35 "superlattice" structure of the (Bi₂)_m-(Bi₂Te₃)_n series or whether other mechanisms 36 37 dominate the thermal transport in this system.

38 While both the Seebeck coefficient and resistivity, ρ , have been measured for 39 several (Bi₂)_m(Bi₂Te₃)_n compounds ⁷, κ_P has not been reported and was the focus of the 40 present work. Here, we found that while these alloys had a reduced κ_P relative to

41 elemental Bi and Bi₂Te₃ below 100 K, the $\kappa_{\rm P}$ reduction did not change systematically 42 with Bi content, even though the stacking sequence of Bi bilayer and Bi₂Te₃ structural 43 units had changed. Our measurements were only sensitive to the in-plane thermal 44 conductivity of these materials. Using calorimetry, we inferred that κ_P must be reduced 45 from a decrease in phonon mean free path, which suggests that microscopic defects 46 increase phonon scattering. We used the Debye-Callaway approximation of the 47 Boltzmann equation to model $\kappa_{\rm P}$ based on the hypothesis that defects increase phonon 48 scattering. In this way, point defects were linked to the reduction of $\kappa_{\rm P}$. The magnitude of 49 the point defect scattering rate suggested that vacancies or anti-site defects, at 50 concentrations of 2-6 %, were the relevant point defects in these materials.

51 II. Synthesis

52 We prepared samples of $(Bi_2)_m$ - $(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ in two different ways in order to control 53 the grain size and porosity of the material used for measurements. The reasons for these 54 control experiments are further explained in section III.

55 In the first method, we prepared Bi₂Te₃, Bi₂Te, BiTe, and Bi₆Te₇ using solid-state reaction routes previously reported by Bos et al.⁷. Stoichiometric amounts of powder 56 57 Bi₂Te₃ (99.99%) and Bi (99.99%) obtained from Alfa Aesar were mixed and ground 58 together in an agate mortar. The mixed powders were pressed into 13 mm pellets under a 59 load of 3,000 pounds and sealed in quartz tubes under 100 Torr of hydrogen. The samples 60 were sintered under the following conditions: Bi₂Te₃ at 525 °C, Bi₆Te₇ at 485 °C, BiTe at 61 430 °C, and Bi₂Te at 285 °C, each for 2 days. Sintering temperatures were chosen based on the equilibrium phase diagram 6 . The resulting pellets were checked for phase purity 62 and the correct lattice parameters, as compared to Bos et al.⁷, using powder X-ray 63

64 diffraction (XRD). These pellets were then reground for consolidation using spark plasma 65 sintering (SPS) using a Dr. Sinter, SPS-515S unit. In this technique, precursor powders 66 are sintered at low temperature, under pressure and high electric currents. SPS samples 67 were prepared from both sieved and unsieved pre-reacted powders for reasons described 68 later. Powders for SPS consolidation were loaded into a 13 mm graphite die coated with 69 BN, which avoided loss of current from the sample to die and contamination. For each 70 sample, current was injected through the die/sample at 100 A/min under pressure. A 71 drastic change in piston displacement indicated sintering had begun, at which point the 72 current (and sintering temperature) was kept constant for 10 minutes before furnace 73 cooling (~15 minutes) to room temperature. The SPS conditions for each sample were: 74 Bi₂Te₃-175 A, 350 C, BiTe-150 A, 350 C, Bi₂Te-100 A, 250 C, and Bi₆Te₇-200 A, 360 C. 75 All samples were loaded with a force of 5 kN. Phase purity and lattice parameters were 76 unchanged after the SPS step. Phase purity was further checked using transmission 77 electron microscopy (TEM) after SPS processing. We used this SPS route because we 78 found that porosity could be greatly reduced and grain size did not change from that of 79 the precursor powder.

In the second method of preparation, we synthesized Bi_4Te_3 from the melt in order to achieve large grain sizes. The Bi_4Te_3 sample was prepared by melting stoichiometric amounts of Bi (99.99%) and Te (99.99%) from Alfa Aesar in an evacuated quartz tube at 700 C. The melt was quenched from 700 C in liquid nitrogen, then annealed at 400 C for 2 weeks. After annealing, this sample was found to be phase pure through X-ray diffraction and TEM microscopy. We were not able to grow large single

86 crystals at the time of this writing, so all measurements reported are on polycrystalline87 specimens.

88 III. Measurements

We conducted transmission electron microscopic (TEM) observations of these
materials using a JEOL 2010F instrument (JEOL Ltd., Japan) operated at 200 keV.
Samples were prepared for TEM observation by mechanical dimpling followed by Ar+
ion milling in a liquid-nitrogen cooled ion mill (Fischione, Model 1010).

The phase purity and lattice parameters of all samples were obtained by x-ray
powder diffraction using a Scintag diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation fitted with an
HPGe planar photon detector. Grain size and texture measurements were conducted using
electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) contrast in an SEM. Qualitative metallography
was performed on polished samples in an optical microscope.

98 We used the thermal transport option of the Physical Property Measurement 99 System (PPMS - Quantum Design) for κ and ρ measurements. These measurements were 100 conducted under high vacuum. Low resistance ohmic contacts were achieved by first 101 polishing the sample surface down to 800 grit, then electroplating nickel contact pads. 102 Electrical leads (gold plated copper) were fixed to the nickel contacts using EPO-TEK 103 H20B silver epoxy. The dimensions of each sample were kept constant ($\sim 2 \times 2 \times 7 \text{ mm}^3$). 104 Radiation errors in the thermal conductivity were negligible in the low T region (<100 K) 105 of interest. The heat capacity option of the PPMS was used to obtain specific heat (C)106 measurements using a relaxation method down to 2 K.

107 IV. Structure of (Bi₂)_m-(Bi₂Te₃)_n alloys

Figure 1 shows the crystal structures of BiTe, Bi_2Te , and Bi_6Te_7 compared to Bi₂Te₃. Each compound is made up of alternating layers of Bi₂Te₃ and Bi₂ sub-units. The evidence for this structural model is summarized in ⁷.

111 To confirm the phase identification for our compounds, we analyzed the materials 112 using selected area electron diffraction on individual single crystal grains in the TEM, 113 while lattice parameters were calculated from bulk powder XRD patterns. The lattice 114 constants for the prepared Bi-Te phases were obtained by first modeling the reported 115 structures for these compounds using Crystal Maker® (CrystalMaker Software Ltd, 116 Oxford, England, www.crystalmaker.com); generating a simulated XRD powder pattern 117 for each compound using Crystal Diffract (also from CrystalMaker Softare); and then 118 using the simulated peak positions and hkl index assignments to assign hkl indexes to the 119 x-ray data. Lattice parameters were then determined using Cohen's method for non-cubic systems ¹¹, and agreed with those found by Bos et al. ⁷. 120

Selected area electron diffraction patterns (Fig. 1) collected in the TEM were 121 further used to identify each phase using the indexing scheme discussed in 7 . This 122 123 indexing scheme allows diffraction data from different structures within the 124 compositional series to be unambiguously compared as perturbations of a single, simple 125 unit cell. Specifically, the structures in the $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ series are referenced to a 126 hypothetical rhombohedral subcell with *abc* stacking (space group $R\overline{3}m$). This subcell (a~4.4 Å and c~6.0 Å in the hexagonal setting) is chosen to give the primary diffraction 127 128 reflections associated with the short-range periodicities of the crystal structure, but not 129 the additional superlattice-like reflections that are associated with the long-range 130 compositional and structural modulations, which vary as a function of Bi fraction.

Do Not Distribute

131 Distortions relative to this idealized structure are described by introducing an additional 132 "modulation vector," $\mathbf{q} = \gamma [0001]^*$.

133 The modulation vector is denoted by an index, m, which is added to the 134 conventional $\{hk(i)l\}$ reciprocal-space indexing (i.e., $\{hk(i)l;m\}$). The positions of the 135 superlattice reflections are then given by linear combinations (in reciprocal space) of the 136 reflections of the reference lattice, characterized by the index l, and the modulation vector 137 multiplied by *m*. Along the $\{000l\}$ row in the diffraction pattern, these positions are: $\mathbf{g}_{l;m} = \mathbf{g}_{3;0}(m\gamma + l)/3$. We have used this indexing approach to characterize the 138 139 diffraction patterns obtained from our materials. We measured the peak positions along the {000*l*}-rows of selected area electron diffraction patterns obtained from $< 2\overline{1}\overline{1}0 > \text{ or}$ 140 141 $<10\overline{1}0>$ oriented grains. The peak positions were normalized by the distance to the 142 $\{0003;0\}$ reflection $(g_{3:0})$ to eliminate errors due to uncertainty in the instrumental 143 camera-length calibration. For each pattern, we computed γ for each observed reflection between {000-3;0} and {0003;0} from: $\gamma = (3g_{l,m}/g_{3,0} - 1)/m$. The γ values reported in 144 145 Fig. 1 were averaged from at least three separate grains and agreed within one standard deviation to the γ values found in ⁷. In summary, our XRD and TEM diffraction 146 147 experiments confirm that each sample was phase pure and had the expected crystal 148 structures as shown in Fig. 1.

177

149 V. Phonon thermal conductivity of $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ alloys

We measured κ_P (Fig. 2) for Bi₂Te, BiTe, and Bi₆Te₇ and compared the results to Bi₂Te₃, prepared under the same conditions. The samples in Fig. 2 were synthesized using unsieved precursor powder for SPS in order to reduce porosity. We estimated κ_P by subtracting the estimated electronic thermal conductivity, κ_E , from the measured total

thermal conductivity, κ_{TOTAL} . κ_E is usually approximated by the Weidemann Franz (WF) 154 law, $\kappa_{\rm E} = L_0 \sigma T$, where L_0 is the Lorenz number and σ (=1/ ρ) is the electrical 155 conductivity. We found a typical carrier concentration of $\sim 10^{20}$ cm⁻³ in these alloys so 156 that L₀ takes the Sommerfeld value of 2.44×10^{-8} W/K² appropriate for degenerate 157 158 electron systems. Using the WF law and the measured ρ for each compound (Fig. 2) 159 inset), $\kappa_P = \kappa_{TOTAL} - L_0 \sigma T$ of the Bi₂-Bi₂Te₃ alloys appears significantly smaller for T<100 K compared to Bi₂Te₃ and elemental Bi¹². For T>200 K and up to room temperature, $\kappa_{\rm P}$ 160 161 increases with increasing T, likely due to bipolar thermal conduction that has not been 162 estimated here. Radiation errors could also cause the apparent $\kappa_{\rm P}$ to increase with T above 200 K, but this error amounts to 10 % or less at 300 K. In this work, we will focus on the 163 164 T<100 K behavior of $\kappa_{\rm P}$ where bipolar conduction and radiative losses are negligible.

In Fig. 2 we assumed that the WF law is a good approximation for κ_E . In conventional metallic materials, elastic scattering from defects affects the thermal and electric currents the same way so that the WF law is valid ¹³. For electron-phonon scattering, the WF law no longer predicts κ_E when inelastic collisions involve phonons of wavevector (q) much smaller than the Fermi wavevector (k_F) ¹⁴. Therefore, in order to judge if we have appropriately used the WF law, we must estimate the importance of small q phonons (relative to the magnitude of k_F).

The dominant phonon q is directly proportional to *T*. Phonons with $q \ll 2k_F$ will result in a violation of the WF law. In the Bi₂-Bi₂Te₃ alloys we have studied, carrier concentrations (n) are ~10²⁰ cm^{-3 15}, leading to an upper limit for $k_F \sim (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3} \sim 10^7$ cm⁻¹. The effective temperature below which electron-phonon scattering sets in for low carrier concentration systems (i.e. with $k_F \ll q_D$, the Debye wavevector) is given by

177 $\theta^{*}=2k_{F}v_{S}h/2\pi k_{B}^{16}$, where v_{S} is the sound velocity, *h* is Planck's constant, and k_{B} is the 178 Boltzmann constant. WF violations are therefore expected for $T << \theta^{*}$. Using the 179 estimated k_{F} and an upper limit of $v_{S}\sim 2.85\times 10^{5}$ cm/s reported for Bi₂Te₃¹⁷, $\theta^{*}\sim 56$ K. In 180 As, which has $n\sim 10^{20}$ cm⁻³¹⁶ and a maximum $v_{S}\sim 4.61\times 10^{5}$ cm/s¹⁸, $\theta^{*}\sim 91$ K and $\kappa_{E}/\sigma T$ 181 only deviates from L₀ (by at most -25 %) below 10 K¹⁹. Thus in the Bi₂-Bi₂Te₃ alloys, 182 we expect that the κ_{P} for 10 K < T < 100 K reported in Fig. 2 almost entirely represents 183 lattice thermal conduction.

184 The reduction of $\kappa_{\rm P}$ below 100 K does not systematically vary across the Bi₂-Bi₂Te₃ series (Fig. 3a), suggesting the layered crystal structure shown in Fig. 1 does not 185 186 play a direct role in this phenomenon. With increasing at. % Bi, both unit cell size and the 187 number of Bi-bilayers change within the Bi₂-Bi₂Te₃ structure yet the peak in κ_P (e.g. κ_P is 188 maximum, ~1.4 W/Km, at 25 K in BiTe in Fig. 2) is constant within the error bars. The 189 anisotropic crystal structure shown in Fig. 1 suggests that $(Bi_2)_m$ - $(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ single crystals 190 might have a larger in-plane to cross-plane $\kappa_{\rm P}$ ratio than that of Bi₂Te₃ ($\kappa_{11}/\kappa_{32} \sim 1.2$ at 100 K ²⁰) due to the presence of Bi₂ bilayers. Furthermore, this $\kappa_{\rm P}$ anisotropy should change 191 with Bi content. However the materials measured in Fig. 2 were untextured polycrystals, 192 193 confirmed through EBSD measurements in an SEM. In materials with an anisotropic 194 thermal conductivity of $\kappa_{\text{in-plane}} > \kappa_{\text{cross-plane}}$, the averaging rule for a bulk polycrystal with 195 randomly oriented grains is very close to that of a polycrystalline thin film giving the effective thermal conductivity as: $(2\kappa_{in-plane} + \kappa_{cross-plane})/3^{21}$. Given these materials are 196 polycrystals and that $\kappa_{\rm P}$ is independent of m for m>0, we are likely measuring κ_{11} and 197

that $\kappa_{11} > \kappa_{33}$. Thus, Fig. 3a implies that the in-plane κ_P of these materials is significantly reduced compared to that of Bi₂Te₃ and of elemental Bi (e.g. $\kappa_P \sim 30$ W/Km at 60 K)²².

200 Using calorimetry, we found no significant change in either the specific heat at 201 constant pressure (C) and thus the average sound velocity (v), which influences $\kappa_{\rm P}$ through the kinetic formula, $\kappa_P \propto Cvl$, where *l* is the phonon mean free path ¹⁴. For all the 202 203 compounds studied, C (Fig. 3b) is weakly T-dependent near 300 K and close in 204 magnitude to the Dulong-Petit value (~24.9 J/mole-at. K). C then begins to decrease near 205 150 K for all these materials, suggesting that they all have similar Debye temperatures $(\Theta_{\rm D})$. At low T, where $C \propto (T/\Theta_{\rm D})^3$ for phonons, we found little difference in the slope 206 (inversely proportional to Θ_D) of C/T versus T^2 (Fig. 3b, inset). We compared the 207 differences in slope of the C/T versus T^2 curves with that expected from considering these 208 209 compounds as simple mixtures of Bi and Bi₂Te₃. Using the bounds on the elastic constants of mixtures ²³, the shear and bulk elastic moduli for Bi ²⁴ and Bi₂Te₃ ²⁵, and 210 assuming $v = \sqrt{G/\rho}$, where G is the bulk modulus, we estimated the change in $\Theta_{\rm D} \propto v^{26}$ 211 given that $\Theta_{\rm D} \approx 164$ K for Bi₂Te₃²⁵. The slope of C/T versus T^2 simulated using this 212 213 effective medium approach for each material (solid lines, Fig. 3b inset) shows a variation comparable to that of the experimental data. Variations in v for $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ 214 215 compounds are thus less than 10 %, validated by considering this system as a mixture of 216 Bi and Bi₂Te₃, and cannot explain the large observed reduction in $\kappa_{\rm P}$.

We can eliminate several potential causes for the decrease of the in-plane κ_P in these alloys, a decreased sound velocity will decrease κ_P , but our *C* measurements reveal a small variation in average sound velocity across this series and implies phonon scattering decreases κ_P . Note that sound velocity is averaged in a very similar way as

Do Not Distribute

thermal conductivity in specific heat experiments on polycrystals²⁷. Each sample had a 221 very similar grain size distribution (quantitative grain sizes are discussed in section VI) as 222 223 judged from qualitative optical microscopy. The porosity varied from \sim 2-5 % across this series, which cannot explain (e.g. using an effective medium theory 28) the observed large 224 decrease in $\kappa_{\rm P}$ in Fig. 2. Furthermore, we prepared a set of samples with SPS using 225 226 powder that passed through a 50 micron sieve but not a 25 micron sieve in order to artificially increase porosity ²⁹. While the porosity of these sieved samples approximately 227 228 doubled, $\kappa_{\rm P}$ remained unchanged. From these control experiments, we can rule out grain 229 boundary and porosity based mechanisms for phonon scattering. We therefore suggest 230 that microscopic defects are the main source of phonon scattering in the $(Bi_2)_m$ - $(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ 231 compounds. In order to further identify a specific microscopic defect responsible for the 232 low κ_{P} , a model for how defects affect phonon thermal transport must be compared to the 233 experimental data.

234 VI. Boltzmann transport analysis of the phonon thermal conductivity

The influence of different defects on κ_P was studied using the Boltzmann equation for phonon transport. Using a combination of simulations and fitting, we were able to match the experimental data with the Boltzmann model and extract scattering rates for several kinds of defects. Finally, we validated the Boltzmann model fit by comparing the microstructural information implied by the scattering rates (e.g. grain size, dislocation density) with our own microscopy observations and those found in the literature. All computations were carried out using a custom code written in *Mathematica*®.

242 We modeled the $(Bi_2)_m$ - $(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ series using the Debye-Callaway approximation 243 (DCA) of the Boltzmann transport equation ³⁰. In this approach, an integral equation for

Do Not Distribute

 $\kappa_{\rm P}$ results after the relaxation time approximation is used to solve the linearized Boltzmann equation and a Debye spectrum is assumed for the phonons. This equation for $\kappa_{\rm P}$ then requires an expression for the scattering rate (τ^{-1}) in order to model experimental data. Analytical expressions for the scattering rate exist for many kinds of defects.

248

We parameterized the resistive τ^1 as follows:

249
$$\tau^{-1} = v/L + a(\hbar\gamma^2/Mv^2\theta)\omega^2 T e^{-\theta/3T} + b(V/4\pi v^3)\omega^4 + c(2\gamma^2 V^{1/3}/27v)\omega^2 + d\omega$$
250 (Equation 1).

L, a, b, c, and d were adjustable parameters for scattering from grain or sample 251 252 boundaries, Umklapp phonon-phonon interactions, point defects, stacking faults, and 253 dislocations, respectively. The symbols ω , ν , γ , θ , M, and V represent acoustic phonon frequency, sound velocity, Grüneisen constant, Debye temperature, average mass per 254 255 atom, and average volume per atom, respectively, and were held fixed for the calculation. 256 The forms for boundary, Umklapp, and point defect scattering were taken from Ref. 31 257 and that for stacking fault scattering from Ref. 32. Ref. 33 discusses the expression for 258 dislocation scattering. The electron-phonon scattering rate is ω -linear in the degenerate limit 30 , and thus indistinguishable from dislocation scattering in this approach. M and V 259 260 were calculated directly from the atomic masses and ratios of Bi and Te for each 261 compound. θ and v varied by less than 10 % for each compound according to C 262 measurements (Fig. 3b); this variation had a less than 1 % effect on the calculation.

Separate scattering rates of the same form of equation 1 were included for longitudinal (L) and transverse (T) phonons by assuming different γ , θ , and v. The values $\chi_{\rm L} = 1$, $\gamma_{\rm T} = 0.7$, $\theta_{\rm L} = 96$ K, $\theta_{\rm T} = 62$ K, $v_{\rm L} = 2840$ m/s, and $v_{\rm T} = 1590$ m/s were taken from ¹⁷. While θ used for the calculation was different from the polycrystalline averaged $\Theta_{\rm D}$

determined from low T calorimetry 25 , we used the values from Ref. 17 because they were 267 268 based on direct measurements of phonon frequencies at the zone boundary, resolved into L and T components ³⁴. The γ values of Ref. 17 did not agree with ab initio calculations³⁵, 269 where $\gamma_T \sim 1.17$ and $\gamma_L \sim 1.86$. Though Θ_D and γ varied in the literature, we used the Ref. 17 270 values since they resulted in an accurate model for the κ_P of Bi₂Te₃¹⁷, which could be 271 272 used to systematically study the $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ series. As outlined in Ref. 31, the ratio of 273 L to T phonon scattering strengths was held constant. Similarly, Normal phonon 274 scattering was taken into account using the procedure in Ref. 31 and fixing the ratio of 275 Umklapp to Normal scattering, keeping the adjustable parameters limited to L, a, b, c, 276 and d.

277 We used simulation and curve fitting to model the samples in Fig. 2 with the DCA 278 equation in order to determine which scattering mechanism(s) (inferred from adjustable 279 parameters L, a, b, c, and d) best explains the low $\kappa_{\rm P}$ of the $({\rm Bi}_2)_{\rm m}$ - $({\rm Bi}_2{\rm Te}_3)_{\rm n}$ series. We 280 found curve fitting alone yielded ambiguous results. Non-linear fitting schemes often converge to different final parameter sets with different initial conditions ³⁶. This occurs 281 282 in many-parameter models because of the multiple shallow minima of the error function χ^2 (often normalized by the degrees of freedom, DOF) in parameter space. We overcame 283 284 this problem using the following steps. First, we implemented the non-linear fitting algorithm due to Transtrum³⁷, which improves the convergence of the Levenberg-285 286 Marquadt method. Second, we performed a curve fit to the Bi₂Te₃ sample, validating the 287 fit by comparing (when possible) the scattering rates to the microstructure. Finally, for 288 each m>0 sample, we ran simulations for $\kappa_{\rm P}$ by varying each scattering rate 289 independently and comparing the results to the data. Each scattering rate has a distinct ω -

dependence, and therefore leads to a distinct *T*-dependence for κ_P . This strategy allowed us to understand the relative importance of each scattering rate and to generate a variety of initial conditions for a formal curve fit. The different initial conditions led to different final parameter sets, so the best fit was that which yielded the lowest χ^2 /DOF. We then checked these best fit parameters against direct microstructural observations and microstructural information obtained from the literature.

296 In Figure 4, we fit the $\kappa_{\rm P}$ of Bi₂Te₃ to the DCA equation as just described and 297 compared the results to microstructural observations. The main panel of Fig. 4a shows a 298 representative optical micrograph for the Bi₂Te₃ sample. Using EBSD in an SEM to 299 resolve individual grains based on their orientation, 70 % of grains were less than 4 300 microns wide (ASTM grain size 21.1). We also conducted TEM observations to 301 investigate the microstructure at higher spatial resolution. We found many grains with 302 sizes in range of several hundred nanometers. We also observed extended dislocation 303 networks in some of the grains. For instance, the inset of Fig. 4a shows a bright field 304 image of a grain of roughly 500 nm diameter. Several dislocations extend across the 305 grain.

In Fig. 4b, the best fit (solid line) is compared to the experimental κ_P for Bi₂Te₃. Five different starting parameter sets were generated, emphasizing each of the five scattering rates, and led to three distinct minima in χ^2 . The fit with the lowest χ^2 /DOF (\approx 2.27) is shown in Fig. 4b. The parameters found for the lowest χ^2 minimum are reported in Table 1. The uncertainties in parameters were estimated using a Monte Carlo method³⁸. The value for L (~0.93 µm) had the correct order of magnitude in comparison with our grain size measurements (70 % of grains < 4 µm wide). The magnitude of the

Do Not Distribute

313 Umklapp scattering rate prefactor inferred from the parameter *a* was comparable to that 314 found from previous DCA fits to Bi_2Te_3 materials¹⁷.

The point defect parameter $b (\sim 9 \times 10^{-3})$ found from the present fit was between 315 that expected for isotope scattering $(b \sim 8 \times 10^{-5})$, a negligible contribution) and an upper 316 317 limit to alloy disorder in $(Bi,Sb)_2Te_3$ compounds $(b\sim0.3)^{17}$. For disordered point defects involving two different species, $b = x(1-x)(\Gamma_{\text{mass}} + \Gamma_{\text{bond}})$, where x is the concentration of 318 defects ³⁹. Point defect scattering of phonons occurs from both mass and bond disorder. 319 $\Gamma_{\text{mass}} = (\Delta M/M)^2$, where $\Delta M = M_A - M_B$ between the two species A and B, and $M = xM_A + M_B$ 320 $(1-x)M_{\rm B}$. $\Gamma_{\rm bond} = \varepsilon(\Delta\delta/\delta)^2$, where $\Delta\delta$ is the radius difference between host and impurity, δ 321 322 is the radius of the host atom, and ε is an adjustable parameter on the order of 1-100. In $(Bi,Sb)_2Te_3$, x~0.5 and the upper limit¹⁷ for b~0.3. Letting A = Bi and B = Sb, using the 323 ionic radii⁴⁰ $\delta_{Sb} = 0.76$ Å and $\delta_{Bi} = 1.03$ Å, we found $\epsilon \sim 10$. In PbTe, $\epsilon \sim 65^{41}$. Our Bi₂Te₃ 324 sample was n-type, with a carrier concentration of $\sim 3 \times 10^{19}$ cm⁻³, implying an excess Te 325 concentration of ~0.2 $\%^{42}$. The excess Te enters the Bi₂Te₃ lattice by replacing Bi⁴³, 326 suggesting an antisite defect model for point defect scattering. A value of $b \sim 9 \times 10^{-3}$, using 327 ϵ ~10 with the atomic masses for Bi/Te and ionic radii⁴⁰ $\delta_{Bi} = 1.03$ Å and $\delta_{Te} = 2.21$ Å, 328 329 yields a point defect concentration of ~0.27 %, close to the excess Te concentration 330 expected from the observed carrier concentration.

The parameter *c* for stacking fault scattering represents the number of stacking faults per layer ³². In Bi₂Te₃, a value of $c \sim 1 \times 10^{-4}$ corresponds to about one stacking fault every 6 µm. This low stacking fault density is difficult to observe in a TEM experiment. We found no evidence for stacking faults originating from structural shifts of the lattice. High resolution imaging is needed to detect stacking faults due to compositional shifts in

the lattice, but was not performed here. Other 2D surfaces may also contribute to phonon scattering in the same way as stacking faults. For example, we have found twin boundaries representing a reversal of the basal plane stacking sequence of the Bi₂Te₃ structure in the material⁴⁴. The strain field around a grain boundary may also partly contribute to $\tau^{-1} \sim \omega^2$ scattering. While twin and grain boundaries may have similar ω dependent phonon scattering, the scattering magnitude could be very different.

For dislocation scattering, $\tau^{-1} = N_i \Gamma_0 \omega^{33}$, where N_i is the dislocation density, $\Gamma_0 \sim \gamma^2 B^2$, and B is the Burgers vector for the type of dislocation observed. For d~10⁻⁵, γ ~1 and b~10⁻¹⁰ m, we compute $N_i \sim 10^{15}$ m⁻². From the literature, we found $N_i \sim 10^6$ m⁻² for single crystal Bi₂Te₃ ⁴⁵ and ~10¹³ m⁻² for commercial large grain Bi₂Te₃ based materials ⁴⁶. The order of magnitude for N_i for the small grain in Fig. 4a imaged with TEM is ~10¹⁴ m⁻². Microstructural observations thus qualitatively agreed with the best fit and validated our approach for fitting data using the DCA.

349 Having validated the DCA approach for our Bi₂Te₃ sample using microstructural information, we performed simulations and curve fits for the $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ compounds. 350 Starting from the baseline Bi2Te3 fit, each parameter was adjusted independently to 351 match the magnitude of $\kappa_{\rm P}$ at 100 K. Simulations for BiTe are shown in Fig. 5 for 352 353 progressively smaller grain sizes. According to Fig. 5, a grain size of nearly 30 nm is 354 needed to match the magnitude of $\kappa_{\rm P}$ near 100 K, assuming the remaining parameters 355 were the same as for Bi₂Te₃. In Fig. 6, we show the simulations for the rest of the 356 scattering fit parameters for BiTe along with the observed $\kappa_{\rm P}$ shown in Fig. 2. The simulations for the remaining compounds (omitted for clarity) showed the same result. 357 358 Figs. 5 and 6 suggest that changing either stacking fault or point defect scattering

parameters, while keeping the rest of the parameters fixed at their Bi₂Te₃ baseline values, captures the *T*-dependence of κ_P for the (Bi₂)_m(Bi₂Te₃)_n compounds. From the simulations, five different initial conditions were generated and then input into the fitting algorithm. These initial conditions did not all converge to the same final fit parameters. The "best fit" parameters reported for each compound in Table 2 were those that resulted in the lowest χ^2 /DOF. Parameter uncertainties, generated in the same way as in Table 1, were < 1% for $\Delta \chi^2$ /DOF ~ 1.

366 According to the best fit parameters for each compound (Table 2), point defects 367 are the largest contributor to phonon scattering that resulted in the reduced $\kappa_{\rm P}$ relative to 368 Bi₂Te₃. The values for grain size, Umklapp, stacking fault, and dislocation scattering 369 were unchanged within an order of magnitude compared to Bi₂Te₃ across the series. From 370 optical microscopy, the grain size distribution was qualitatively the same for Bi₂Te₃ and 371 each Bi₂-Bi₂Te₃ compound. The point defect scattering rate changed by an order of 372 magnitude compared to Bi₂Te₃. Point defect scattering did not change systematically 373 across BiTe, Bi₂Te, and Bi₆Te₇, but this is just a quantitative way of expressing the 374 conclusions based on Fig. 3a discussed in section V.

Our simulations showed that differences between stacking fault and point defect scattering were more apparent at large grain sizes. This larger difference occurs because more stacking fault/point defect scattering events will occur per grain. A sample with larger grain size will then help validate the conclusion that point defects were more important than stacking faults in the DCA model for these materials. We synthesized a large grain (~1 mm, confirmed by optical microscopy) sample of a separate member of this series, Bi₄Te₃, and compared its measured $\kappa_{\rm P}$ to our DCA model. By measuring large

382 grained Bi₄Te₃ instead of the compounds in Fig. 2, we could also test the conclusion from 383 curve fitting that point defect scattering did not change with Bi content. Fig. 7 shows the 384 experimental $\kappa_{\rm P}$ for Bi₄Te₃ compared to two different simulations. We took the 385 simulations for stacking faults and point defects shown in Fig. 6 and manually changed 386 the grain size to 1 mm, keeping the rest of the parameters fixed. Fig. 7 shows that the 387 point defect simulation comes much closer to the experimental $\kappa_{\rm P}$ than that for stacking 388 Our conclusions based on curve fitting thus apply to a separate fault scattering. 389 $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ sample with much larger grain size prepared by a very different method. 390 This experiment also supports the idea that the point defects are intrinsic in these 391 materials since the sample measured in Fig. 7 was annealed for a week at 400 C, which 392 should eliminate non-equilibrium defects.

393 From Table 2, we found $b \approx 0.2$ (Table 2) for the $(Bi_2)_m$ - $(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ series, nearly 394 20 times larger than that found for Bi_2Te_3 . These compounds have not been doped in any 395 way so we need not consider alloy scattering amongst three elements. If the source of 396 disorder implied by the larger value of b in Table 2 is vacancies, then $\Delta M/M = \Delta \delta/\delta \approx -1$ 397 and $b \sim x(1-x)(1+\varepsilon)$. Using $\varepsilon \sim 10$ as for Bi₂Te₃, we found a (Bi or Te) vacancy 398 concentration of ~1.9 %. Using the same masses and ionic radii of Bi/Te discussed 399 previously for point defect scattering in Bi_2Te_3 , a value of b ≈ 0.2 yields an antisite defect 400 concentration of ~ 1.6 % when Te substitutes Bi, but ~ 6.1 % when Bi substutites for Te. 401 The $(Bi_2)_m$ - $(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ series occur as line compounds and should not contain excess Te as 402 in Bi₂Te₃. The ~ 2 % point defect concentration is 10 times larger than that found for 403 Bi₂Te₃.

404 VII. Conclusions

Do Not Distribute

405 We have shown that the phonon thermal conductivity in $(Bi_2)_m$ - $(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ is 406 significantly lower than that of Bi₂Te₃ and elemental Bi below 100 K. The thermal 407 conductivity measurements were dominated by the in-plane κ_P due to the polycrystalline 408 nature of our samples The lowered $\kappa_{\rm P}$ was likely due to static defects rather than the 409 unusual crystal structure. Using the DCA model, we established that the low $\kappa_{\rm P}$ originates 410 from point defect scattering and since these materials are not doped the point defects 411 must be either vacancies or anti-site defects. While κ_P is low below 100 K for these 412 compounds, they do not have an improved thermoelectric figure of merit relative to 413 Bi_2Te_3 because the added Bi renders them semimetals, reducing the Seebeck coefficient 414 by more than half ⁷. More interesting was the evidence that there may be large (~ 2 %) 415 concentrations of point defects, an order of magnitude beyond that found for Bi₂Te₃. The 416 point defects were present in samples prepared by two different methods, one of which involved annealing the specimen for a week close to the melting temperature. High 417 418 temperature annealing removes non-equilibrium defects, so we believe that the point 419 defects inferred from $\kappa_{\rm P}$ measurements are intrinsic to these materials. Further 420 experiments are needed to confirm the presence and identity (e.g. vacancy versus antisite) 421 of point defects, since they cannot be probed using conventional TEM, as presented here. 422 Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed 423 Martin Company, for the US Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security 424 Administration under Contract No. DE-AC04-94AL85000. Work at Sandia was 425 supported by the LDRD program. The work at Clemson University is supported by

DOE/EPSCoR Implementation Grant (#DE-FG02-04ER-46139), and the SC EPSCoR

cost sharing program. We acknowledge M. Transtrum for assistance with non-linear

Do Not Distribute

426

427

428	curve fitting, S. McCall for providing machine time for calorimetry measurements, M.
429	Homer for technical assistance, and J. D. Sugar for sharing TEM observations in Bi ₄ Te ₃ .
430	A. L. L. S. acknowledges the hospitality of Clemson University and Sandia National
431	Laboratories. We thank J. D. Sugar and C. D. Spataru for helpful comments.
432	

Figure 1 Crystal structure of Bi_2Te_3 , BiTe, Bi_2Te , Bi_6Te_7 . These compounds are composed of Bi_2 layers and Bi_2Te_3 blocks, as confirmed by selected area diffraction patterns collected in a transmission electron microscope and powder X-ray diffraction (not shown). The parameter γ , which parameterizes the periodicity of each compound, agreed within one standard deviation of that found in previous diffraction studies of these materials. Other compounds of the form $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ exist but were not studied here.

442

Figure 2 Phonon thermal conductivity of $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ alloys. BiTe, Bi_2Te , and Bi_6Te_7 have a reduced κ_P relative to Bi_2Te_3 below 100 K. We calculated κ_P using the Weidemann Franz law and the measured electrical resistivity for each compounds (inset).

447 Figure 3 (a) The peak κ_P for Bi₆Te₇, BiTe, and Bi₂Te was reduced by factor of ~3 448 compared to Bi₂Te₃, but did not change systematically with at. % Bi. Data were collected 449 on samples made from unsieved (open symbols) and sieved (solid symbols) precursor 450 powders. (b) The specific heat (C) for each compound was very similar indicating similar elastic properties. The low temperature slope of C/T versus T^2 (inset), a measure of sound 451 452 velocity, also had little variation. The small variation of this slope could be explained 453 using effective medium theory simulations (solid lines) for each compound by 454 considering them as simple mixtures of Bi and Bi₂Te₃.

455

456 **Figure 4** (a) Representative polarized optical micrograph of Bi_2Te_3 showing the grain 457 size distribution typical for all samples. Inset: Dark field transmission electron

Do Not Distribute

458 micrograph of the same Bi_2Te_3 sample showing the presence of dislocations. We found 459 no evidence of other kinds of extended defects. (b) The Debye-Callaway model 460 (described in text) was fit (solid line) to the experimental $Bi_2Te_3 \kappa_P$ data (open symbols). 461 The fit parameters of this model were consistent with microstructural observations and 462 previous fits to Bi_2Te_3 materials.

463

Figure 5 Debye Callaway model simulations (black lines) of grain boundary scattering ($1/\tau = v/L$) for progressively smaller grain sizes (L) relative to the Bi₂Te₃ data/best fit (open circles/red line) as compared to experimental $\kappa_{\rm P}$ data for BiTe (closed squares). The remaining compounds were omitted for clarity. In order to reproduce the reduced $\kappa_{\rm P}$ at 100 K in BiTe relative to Bi₂Te₃, a hypothetical grain size of ~30 nm would be needed.

Figure 6 Debye Callaway simulations (solid lines) of the phonon thermal conductivity for dislocation, Umklapp, stacking fault, and point defect scattering, as compared to the experimental data (solid symbols, only BiTe shown for clarity). For each panel, one scattering rate was increased while the remaining rates were kept fixed at their Bi₂Te₃ values obtained from curve fitting (red lines). Independently adjusting point defect scattering (blue line) closely matched the experimental data for all $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ samples for m>0, and resulted in the best fit to the Debye Callaway equation for κ_P .

477

Figure 7 Point defect (red line) and stacking fault (black line) simulations compared to the phonon thermal conductivity of a Bi_4Te_3 sample with large (~1 mm) grains. In these simulations, the stacking fault and point defect scattering rates were kept the same as

481 those for BiTe (lower left and right panel, respectively, of Fig. 6), while the grain size 482 was increased to 1 mm. Point defect scattering more accurately captures the experimental 483 $\kappa_{\rm P}$.

484

Table 1 Best fit parameters and goodness of fit (χ^2) normalized by the degrees of freedom (DOF) for the phonon thermal conductivity of Bi₂Te₃ within the Debye Callaway model described in the text, parameterized according to equation 1. The parameters a, b, c, and d were dimensionless. Uncertainties in the parameters were generated from a Monte Carlo method, corresponding to $\Delta\chi^2$ /DOF \approx 1.

490

491 **Table 2** Best fit parameters for Bi_2Te , BiTe, and Bi_6Te_7 compared to Bi_2Te_3 as reported 492 in Table 1. The uncertainties in parameters were generated in the same way as for Bi_2Te_3 493 and were 1 % or less. The point defect scattering rate increased an order of magnitude for 494 the $(Bi_2)_m(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ series compared to Bi_2Te_3 . The remaining scattering rates remained 495 constant to within 10%

496

497

499	1	G. Mahan, Solid state physics 51, 81 (1997).					
500	2	G. J. Snyder and E. S. Toberer, Nat Mater 7, 105 (2008).					
501	3	D. T. Morelli, V. Jovovic, and J. P. Heremans, Physical Review Letters 101.					
502		035901 (2008).					
503	4	G. A. Slack, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 34, 321 (1973).					
504	5	D. P. Spitzer, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 31 , 19 (1970).					
505	6	H. O. a. K. Cenzual ed., Bi-Te Phase Diagram (ASM International, Materials					
506		Park, OH, 2006).					
507	7	J. W. G. Bos, H. W. Zandbergen, M. H. Lee, N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, Physical					
508		Review B 75 , 195203 (2007).					
509	8	I. Terasaki, Y. Sasago, and K. Uchinokura, Physical Review B 56, R12685					
510		(1997).					
511	9	K. Koumoto, H. Koduka, and WS. Seo, Journal of Materials Chemistry 11, 251					
512		(2001).					
513	10	H. Böttner, G. Chen, and R. Venkatasubramanian, MRS BULLETIN 31 , 211					
514		(2006).					
515	11	B. D. Cullity and S. R. Stock. <i>Elements of X-Ray Diffraction (3rd Edition)</i>					
516		(Prentice Hall, 2001).					
517	12	C F Gallo B S Chandrasekhar and P H Sutter Journal of Applied Physics 34					
518		144 (1963)					
519	13	N. Ashcroft and N. Mermin. (Saunders College Publishing International Edition.					
520		1976).					
521	14	J. Ziman. Electrons and phonons: the theory of transport phenomena in solids					
522		(Oxford University Press, USA, 2001).					
523	15	Carrier concentrations were esimated using low magnetic field Hall effect					
524		measurements and were weakly T-dependent.					
525	16	J. Issi, Australian Journal of Physics 32 , 585 (1979).					
526	17	C. Chiritescu, C. Mortensen, D. G. Cahill, D. Johnson, and P. Zschack, Journal of					
527		Applied Physics 106 , 073503 (2009).					
528	18	N. G. Pace, G. A. Saunders, and Z. Sümengen, Journal of Physics and Chemistry					
529		of Solids 31 , 1467 (1970).					
530	19	J. Heremans and et al., Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 10, 4511 (1977).					
531	20	H. Kaibe, Y. Tanaka, M. Sakata, and I. Nishida, Journal of Physics and Chemistry					
532		of Solids 50 , 945 (1989).					
533	21	F. Yang, T. Ikeda, G. J. Snyder, and C. Dames, Journal of Applied Physics 108,					
534		034310 (2010).					
535	22	J. Boxus, C. Uher, J. Heremans, and J. P. Issi, Physical Review B 23, 449 (1981).					
536	23	Z. Hashin and S. Shtrikman, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 11,					
537		127.					
538	24	Y. Eckstein, A. W. Lawson, and D. H. Reneker, Journal of Applied Physics 31,					
539		1534 (1960).					
540	25	J. O. Jenkins, J. A. Rayne, and R. W. Ure, Physical Review B 5, 3171 (1972).					
541	26	QD prop vnA1/3, but the atomic density, nA, changes by less than 10 % across					
542		the entire (Bi2)m(Bi2Te3)n series.					
543	27	O. L. Anderson, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 24, 909 (1963).					

544	28	R. M. Costescu, A. J. Bullen, G. Matamis, K. E. O'Hara, and D. G. Cahill,
545		Physical Review B 65 , 094205 (2002).
546	29	J. Reed. <i>Principles of Ceramic Processing</i> (Wiley and Sons, New York, 1995).
547	30	R. Berman, <i>Thermal conduction in solids</i> (Oxford University Press, USA, 1976).
548	31	D. T. Morelli, J. P. Heremans, and G. A. Slack, Physical Review B 66, 195304
549		(2002).
550	32	P. Klemens, Canadian Journal of Physics 35, 441 (1957).
551	33	K. Ohashi, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 24, 437 (1968).
552	34	V. Wagner, G. Dolling, B. M. Powell, and G. Landweher, physica status solidi (b)
553		85, 311 (1978).
554	35	BL. Huang and M. Kaviany, Physical Review B 77, 125209 (2008).
555	36	W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical
556		recipes in C. The art of scientific computing, 1992).
557	37	M. K. Transtrum, B. B. Machta, and J. P. Sethna, Physical Review Letters 104,
558		060201 (2010).
559	38	J. S. Alper and R. I. Gelb, The Journal of Physical Chemistry 94, 4747 (1990).
560	39	B. Abeles, Physical Review 131, 1906 (1963).
561	40	R. D. Shannon and C. T. Prewitt, Acta Crystallographica Section B 26, 1046
562		(1970).
563	41	G. Alekseeva, B. Efimova, L. Ostrovskaya, O. Serebryannikova, and M. Tsypin,
564		SOV PHYS SEMICONDUCTORS 4, 1122 (1971).
565	42	C. B. Satterthwaite and R. W. Ure, Physical Review 108, 1164 (1957).
566	43	G. R. Miller and CY. Li, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 26, 173
567		(1965).
568	44	D. L. Medlin, Q. M. Ramasse, C. D. Spataru, and N. Y. C. Yang, Journal of
569		Applied Physics 108 , 043517 (2010).
570	45	A. G. Kunjomana and E. Mathai, Journal of Materials Science 26, 6171 (1991).
571	46	D. Eyidi, D. Maier, O. Eibl, and M. Westphal, physica status solidi (a) 187, 585
572		(2001).
573		
574		



575

Figure 1, Sharma et al.





578

Figure 2, Sharma et al.





Figure 3, Sharma et al.



585

Figure 4, Sharma et al.





Figure 5, Sharma et al.



Figure 6, Sharma et al.



Figure 7, Sharma et al.

w ² /DOF	L (µm)	a	b	с	d
χ /DOF	Grain Size	Umklapp	Point Defects	Stacking Faults	Dislocations
2.27	0.93	8.5	8.7×10 ⁻³	1×10 ⁻⁴	1.0×10^{-5}
uncertainties $\Delta \chi^2 / \text{DOF} \approx 1$	0.05	0.1	0.4×10 ⁻³	3×10 ⁻⁸	3.5×10 ⁻⁹

598 Table 1

Sample	L (µm) Grain Size	a Umklapp	b Point Defects	c Stacking Faults	d Dislocations
Bi ₂ Te ₃	0.93	8.5	8.7×10 ⁻³	1×10 ⁻⁴	1×10^{-5}
Bi ₂ Te	0.76	7.68	0.16	1×10 ⁻⁴	1×10^{-5}
BiTe	1.211	9.85	0.2	1×10 ⁻⁴	1×10^{-5}
Bi ₆ Te ₇	0.838	7.9	0.137	1×10 ⁻⁴	1×10^{-5}

601 Table 2