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The problem of wave-length $k_f$ and velocity $V$ selection for a solid front invading an unstable homogeneous phase is considered. A marginal stability analysis is used to predict $k_f$ and $V$ for the parabolic and hyperbolic (or modified) phase-field crystal models in one-dimension. It is shown that the marginally selected wave-number of the periodic crystal monotonically increases with increasing undercooling and relaxation times. At high undercooling and relaxation times it is found that the system can select a $k_f$ that is unstable to an Eckhaus instability in the bulk phase. This may imply a transition to highly defected or glassy states in higher dimensions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Determining the selection of patterns that emerge under non-equilibrium conditions is a difficult problem of both technological and fundamental interest. Generally speaking the selection occurs through the growth of fluctuations from an unstable state or through nucleation from a metastable state and can often occur as a phase front moves through an excitable or unstable media. Important and widely examined examples include the Mullins-Sekerka and Asaro-Tiller-Grinfeld instabilities, side-branching in dendrite growth and viscous fingering, convective instabilities, various types of unstable non-gradient systems, traveling waves, chemical reactions, and front propagation into unstable states (see overviews in Refs.3,4 and references therein). Of particular interest in this work is the determination of the front velocity and wavelength that emerge as a phase-front sweeps through an unstable media5.

More specifically the patterns that emerge behind a front described by the phase field crystal (PFC) model6 will be examined. The PFC model has been proposed to incorporate the physics naturally embedded on atomic length scales (elasticity, dislocation, etc.) and on diffusive time scales. The PFC-model is based on the free energy functional of the Swift-Hohenberg (SH) form used to describe pattern formation7 in Rayleigh-Bénard convection. The PFC model describes a field that is related to the local atomic number density, such that it is spatially periodic in the solid and constant in the liquid. It can be related to other continuum fields theories such as classical density-functional theory8,9 and the atomic density function theory10. The PFC-model may also be considered as a conserved version of the Swift-Hohenberg equation and provides an efficient method for simulating liquid-solid transitions11,12, colloidal solidification13, dislocation motion and plasticity14,15, glass formation16, epitaxial growth16,17, grain boundary premelting18, surface reconstructions19, and grain boundary energies20.

The purpose of this paper is to formulate a method for qualitative and quantitative evaluation of the periodic pattern that emerges as a propagating phase-front of a periodic pattern invades an unstable homogeneous supercooled liquid phase. For such purposes the marginal stability analysis of Dec and Langer20 will be exploited. This analysis is essentially a linear stability analysis around the unstable state in the coordinate frame of the moving front. In this analysis the periodicity and velocity at the propagative front is selected by the mode that is marginally stable and can be used to formulate analytical conditions for dynamic selection rules. Such analysis has been applied to the dynamics described by the Kolmogorov-Fisher and Swift-Hohenberg equations21–23. In the present paper this is applied to the PFC model in one dimension for the case in which a stable periodic (“solid”) state invades an unstable uniform state.

As originally formulated in a parabolic form, the PFC model allows simulations on diffusive time scales which can be many orders of magnitude larger than molecular dynamics simulations6,14. More recently a hyperbolic24 or modified25 PFC model was introduced that includes faster degrees of freedom in a form of inertia and as such leads to the description of both fast and slow dynamics. Fast front dynamics proceeds when the driving force for the phase transition is large. This occurs when the free energy difference between the (meta)stable periodic solid and initially unstable phase is very large which in general occurs when a system is quenched far below a transition point, or in this case far below the equilibrium temperature of phase transition26. These conditions lead to a fast phase transition when the velocity of the front is comparable to the speed of atomic diffusion or the speed of local structural relaxation. The movement of a phase transition front at such fast velocities can lead to bulk phases that are not in a local structural or chemical equilibrium. As shown27, and recently verified in atomistic simulations28, the trapping of atoms during rapid movement of the phase interface can not be described by
purely parabolic models. For this reason both parabolic and hyperbolic models of phase-field crystals are examined.

The paper is organized as follows. A description of the marginal stability analysis is given in Section II. This analysis is then applied to the periodic patterns described by the parabolic and hyperbolic PFC-equations in Sections III and IV, respectively. In Section V, a summary of the necessary equations for the qualitative and quantitative predictions of the marginally selected lattice parameter are given. Interpretations of the selected lattice parameter at the phase-front are then presented in Section VI for parabolic and hyperbolic PFC-equations. Finally, Section VII presents a summary of the conclusions.

II. MARGINAL STABILITY ANALYSIS

Consider a front, described by some field \( \phi \), invading an unstable homogeneous state in the asymptotic time limit. Just ahead of the front \( \phi \) can be expanded around its value in the unstable homogenous state since large deviations from this value only occur behind the front. The marginal stability analysis examines solutions of the linearized equations just ahead of the front in a coordinate frame that is moving with the front, which are of the form \( \delta \phi \sim e^{\omega(k)t} \), where \( \omega(k) \) is the dispersion relation and \( k \) is the wave-number. A saddle point approximation is used to define the complex wave-number \( k^* \) at the propagating front, i.e.,

\[
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} \bigg|_{k^*} = 0. 
\]

(1)

Together with the dispersion relation \( \omega(k) \), Eq. (1) defines the most unstable mode at the front. Assuming the front is stationary in the moving coordinate frame, it must neither grow or decay exponentially at \( k = k^* \), i.e.,

\[
Re[\omega(k)|_{k^*}] = 0. 
\]

(2)

The front is oscillating at angular frequency and moving with the linear velocity \( V \). Consequently, the final wave-number \( k_f \) at the front must be selected as

\[
k_f = \frac{1}{V} \text{Im}[\omega(k^*)]. 
\]

(3)

Eqs. (1)-(3) were proposed by Dee and Langer and have been applied to the analysis of state selection when fronts propagate into unstable states. A wide class of pattern propagation equations was investigated using the marginal stability conditions identical to Eqs. (1)-(3) in nonlinear dissipative systems as well as in the asymptotic time regime of phase-front dynamics.

Marginal stability analysis essentially reduces to assuming that the equation of motion can be linearized in a moving coordinate frame just ahead of the front, where perturbations in the appropriate field (i.e., the atomic number density) are small. As the front moves by these perturbations increase and non-linear corrections to the marginal stability predictions may be important. Nevertheless such analysis provides insight into the selection of states and will be examined for the parabolic and hyperbolic PFC-type linearized systems.

III. PARABOLIC SYSTEM

A. Governing equations and dispersion relation

The parabolic PFC model can be written as

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 \left\{ \left[ -\epsilon + (1 + \nabla^2)^2 \right] \phi + \phi^3 \right\} 
\]

(4)

in dimensionless units, where the spatial coordinates are measured in units proportional to the lattice constant and the parameter \( \epsilon \) is proportional to the undercooling, i.e., \( \epsilon \sim T_e - T \), where \( T_e \) is the equilibrium temperature for phase transition. This equation can also be written in the form of a continuity equation for a conserved field, i.e.,

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{J} = 0, 
\]

(5)

where \( \vec{J} \) is the flux given by the steady state equation \( \vec{J} = -\nabla \delta \mathcal{F}/\delta \phi \), and \( \mathcal{F} \) is a dimensionless free energy given by

\[
\mathcal{F}(\phi, \nabla \phi) = \int d\vec{r} \left[ \phi \left( -\epsilon + (1 + \nabla^2)^2 \right) \frac{\phi}{2} + \frac{\phi^4}{4} \right]. 
\]

(6)

In one-dimension, Eq. (6) is minimized by a periodic pattern with wave-vector \( k_0 \approx 1 - \epsilon^2/1024 \) as predicted in Ref. in the small \( \epsilon \) limit. Eq. (4) is identical to Swift-Hohenberg equation except for the outer Laplacian that ensures the field \( \phi \) is a conserved variable.

To obtain the selected lattice parameter of the periodic pattern at the front, we first expand the order parameter \( \phi \) around the unstable homogeneous state \( \phi_0 = 0 \), i.e.,

\[
\delta \phi = \phi - \phi_0 \quad \text{with} \quad |\delta \phi|/A \ll 1 
\]

(7)

at the front of periodic pattern invading the unstable phase with the velocity \( V \) and the undercooling \( \epsilon > 0 \), where \( A \) is the amplitude of the fluctuations in the bulk periodic phase. This situation is schematically shown in Fig. 1 in which \( \lambda \) represents the characteristic wavelength (or lattice parameter of the crystalline solid) of the periodic pattern. In general the marginally selected wave-number \( k_f \) at the front will be different from the wave-number \( k_0 \) formed in the bulk crystalline solid, as the selection criteria are different. It is also possible that the selected wave-number \( k_f \) at the front becomes unstable (or highly metastable) in the bulk phase and will relax to the equilibrium value through an Eckhaus instability.
Eq. (14) defines the condition at which the perturbation $\delta \phi$ neither grows or decays at wave-number $k^*$ near the front. Since Eq. (13) is complex it represents two equations and combined with Eq. (14) allows for solution of the velocity $V$, and and the wave-number $k^*$ which is complex:

$$k^* = k^*_{re} + ik^*_{im}$$

with $k^*_{re}$ and $k^*_{im}$ are the real and imaginary parts, respectively.

Selection of the wave-number $k_f$ at the front oscillating at angular frequency $Im[\omega(k^*)]$ is defined by Eq. (3). Therefore, using the dispersion relation (11), one obtains

$$k_f = V^{-1} Im[ik^*V + \alpha(k^*)].$$

Solution of Eqs. (13)-(16) are not possible analytically so a numerical solution is required.

**IV. HYPERBOLIC SYSTEM**

**A. The governing equation**

To take large deviations from thermodynamic equilibrium into account a model for fast phase transitions has been proposed by modifying the phase-field crystal model. By incorporating fast degrees of freedom it is possible to make predictions at large undercoolings and for the earliest stages of evolution. Choosing the flux $\vec{J}$ as the fast variable, the non-equilibrium part of the free energy becomes

$$F_{ne}(\vec{J}) = \frac{\tau}{2} \int \vec{J} \cdot \vec{J} d\vec{r},$$

where $\tau$ is the dimensionless time for relaxation of the flux $\vec{J}$ to the steady state. The relaxation time $\tau$ is assumed to be positive, because pure non-equilibrium contribution should lead to an increase of the free energy in comparison with (6). In general, non-equilibrium contribution (17) have a meaning of the kinetic energy as it has been shown in example of phase separation by mechanism of spinodal decomposition.

The condition that the total free energy must decrease in time, $dF/dt + dF_{ne}/dt < 0$, for Eqs. (6) and (17), leads to the following evolution equation

$$\tau \frac{\partial \vec{J}}{\partial t} + \vec{J} = -\vec{\nabla} \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} \right).$$

Substituting Eq. (18) into the balance law (5) gives the hyperbolic (modified) PFC-equation:

$$\tau \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 \left\{ -\epsilon + (1 + \nabla^2)^2 \phi + \phi^3 \right\}.$$  

Eq. (19) shows that, in addition to dissipation described by the parabolic PFC-equation (4), inertia $\partial^2 \phi/\partial t^2$ is
also taken into account due to kinetic contribution (17). Alternatively Eq. (19) was proposed by Stefanovic et al.25 to incorporate both fast elastic relaxation and slower mass diffusion.

Linearizing Eq. (19) in $\delta \phi$ gives

$$\frac{\tau \omega^2}{2} + \omega - \alpha(k) = 0,$$

(21)

where $\alpha(k)$ is the same as given by Eq. (12). As expected when $\tau \to 0$ this equation reduces to the parabolic solution $\omega \equiv \omega(\alpha, k)$, at $V = 0$, consistent with Eq. (11).

The solution of interest is $\omega_+$ since it describes the fastest growing modes and is maximized when $d\omega_+/dk = 0$, or in this instance when $d\alpha/dk = 0$. Solving $d\alpha/dk = 0$ gives

$$k_m = 0, \text{ and } k_m = \frac{3}{\tau} \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{4 + 3(e - 1)}},$$

(23)

with the condition $\alpha \neq -1/(4\tau)$. Note that the values of $\omega_+(k_m)$ and $k_m$ do not depend on the relaxation time $\tau$ that characterizes local non-equilibrium phenomena. This is quite logical because the final state of the $\phi$-field evolution should be in local thermodynamic equilibrium.

The linear solution for the $\omega_+$ can be written,

$$\delta \phi(x, t) = A e^{\sqrt{1 + 4\tau\alpha(k)} t/2\tau} e^{-t/2\tau} e^{ikx} + \text{C.C.}$$

(24)

When $1 + 4\tau\alpha(k) < 0$ this solution describes underdamped time oscillations that decay exponentially on time scales of the order $2\tau$. This damped oscillatory mode is a feature of fast phase transitions in the hyperbolic Swift-Hohenberg system24 and a spinodally decomposed system24, and does not occur in parabolic equations. Using Eq. (12), the range of $k$ at which solution (24) becomes oscillatory (or $\omega_+$ becomes complex) is given by the following inequality

$$k^6 - 2k^4 + (1 - \epsilon)k^2 - (4\tau)^{-1} \geq 0.$$

(25)

Obviously, the range of imaginary values of $\omega_+$ and $\delta \phi(x, t)$ exists at $k > k_{uc}$, where $k_{uc}$ is the ultraviolet cutoff. The latter gives a boundary for modes having oscillations, i.e., a boundary between real and complex values for the amplification rate.

Fig. 2 shows the behavior for $\omega_+(k)$ for sample values of the undercooling $\epsilon$ and relaxation time $\tau$. The real part $Re[\omega_+]$ shown in Fig. 2(a) is positive in the range $k_{c1} < k < k_{c2}$ that leads to instability and growth of fluctuations in the $\phi$-field. The negative real part $Re[\omega_+] < 0$ in the ranges $0 < k < k_{c1}$ and $k > k_{c2}$ presents stable mode for $\phi$. $Re[\omega_+]$ becomes a negative constant behind the ultraviolet cutoff, i.e., at $k > k_{uc}$. The latter range characterizes the increase in the imaginary part $Im[\omega_+]$ as the wave-number increases, Fig. 2(b).

Further analysis of $\omega_+$ can be made with respect to parameters $\epsilon$ and $\tau$. First, at a fixed and finite value of $\tau$, this calculation shows that an increase in undercooling $\epsilon$ leads to a wider range $k_{c1} < k < k_{c2}$ for instability of $\phi$. 

![Figure 2: Amplification rate $\omega_+(k)$ for the hyperbolic PFC-equation computed by Eq. (22) with $\epsilon = 0.25$ and $\tau = 0.85$. (a) Real part of amplification rate, $Re[\omega_+]$. Here: values for $k_1$ are given by solution for $\alpha_+(k) = 0$, one of maxima at $k_{uc} \approx 1.05$ is given by Eq. (23), and region $k > k_{uc}$ is given by inequality (25). (b) Imaginary part of amplification rate, $Im[\omega_+]$, existing at $k > k_{uc}$.](image-url)
With $\epsilon > 1$, one has $k_{c1} = 0$ and positive function $\omega_+(k)$ within the range $0 < k < k_{c2}$. Therefore, the $\phi$-field becomes unstable in a whole range of $0 < k < k_{c2}$ at $\epsilon > 1$. On the other hand, with near zero undercooling, i.e., at $k < k_{c}$ within the range $0 < \epsilon < 1$, one has $\omega_-(k) < 0$, excluding roots $\omega_+ = 0$ at $k = 0$ and $k = 1$. In this case, the solution for $\phi$ becomes stable for $\epsilon = 0$ at any $k$ with $k \neq 0$ and $k \neq 1$. Second, at a fixed and finite value of $\epsilon$, the decrease of relaxation time $\tau$ shifts the imaginary part $\text{Im}[\omega_+]$ to the region of larger wave-numbers. In the local equilibrium limit, $\tau \rightarrow 0$, the imaginary part $\text{Im}[\omega_+]$ completely disappears. In this case: (i) the oscillatory behavior for $\delta \phi$ does not exist according to solution (24); (ii) the order parameter $\phi$ monotonically evolves in time by the parabolic PFC-equation (4) characterizing the local equilibrium dynamics.

### C. Propagative speeds

The parabolic PFC-equation (4) describes relaxation of the “slow” thermodynamic variable $\phi$ and predicts low-frequency regimes with long-wave interaction in the periodic pattern. The hyperbolic PFC-equation (19) describes relaxation of the slow thermodynamic variable $\phi$ as well as of the fast variable $\tilde{J}$ (in a sense of the model of fast transformations consistent with a general thermodynamics of transport processes). Eq. (19) extends the analysis to describe both high-frequency mode and low-frequency mode, i.e., it predicts short-wave and long-wave interaction, respectively. Propagation of the interaction in the evolving periodic pattern can be characterized by the phase speed and group speed. Therefore, we consider these speeds to characterize the high- and low-frequency modes assumed by the hyperbolic PFC-equation.

The phase speed characterizes propagation of a single monochromatic harmonic and is obtained as $V_p(k) = \omega_+(k)/k$. Using Eqs. (12) and (22), it is given by

$$V_p(k) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 4\tau \alpha(k)} - 1}{2\tau k}. \tag{26}$$

Additionally, propagating disturbances of the order parameter $\phi$ can be characterized by an undistorted wave packet with the group speed $d\omega_+(k)/dk = \pm W(k)$, where the upper and lower signs correspond to the propagation of wave packets in the positive and negative $x$-directions, respectively. Using Eq. (22), the group speed which is moving only in positive direction of origin, is given by

$$W(k) = \frac{d\alpha/dk}{\sqrt{1 + 4\tau \alpha(k)}} = \frac{2k\epsilon + (1 - k^2)(3k^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{1 + 4\tau \alpha(k)}}. \tag{27}$$

Both speeds (26) and (27) become complex with the inequality $\alpha(k) < -1/(4\tau)$, which holds in the region $k > k_{uc}$, i.e., behind the ultraviolet cutoff given by the wave-number $k = k_{uc}$ (25). Also, the group speed (27) diverges at $\alpha(k) = -1/(4\tau)$ and $k = k_{uc}$. These features are shown in Fig. 3, where the real and imaginary parts of the phase and group speeds are presented as a function of the wave-number $k$ for fixed values of undercooling $\epsilon = 0.5$ and relaxation time $\tau = 2.0$. The appearance of imaginary components of $V_p(k)$ and $W(k)$ means that the propagation of interaction by the dispersion law (22) proceeds with changing amplitude for both single harmonics and wave packet at high-$k$-values consistent with the high-frequency mode. This mode is also consistent with the oscillatory solution (24) at the high-frequency, $\alpha(k) < -1/(4\tau)$ and $k > k_{uc}$. Such a regime is absent for the parabolic PFC-equation in which these speeds are always real:

$$V_p(k)\big|_{\tau \rightarrow 0} = \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}, \quad W(k)\big|_{\tau \rightarrow 0} = \frac{d\alpha}{dk}, \tag{28}$$

as predicted by Eqs. (26) and (27) for the local equilibrium limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Thus, one can characterize the behavior of $\phi$ in the hyperbolic PFC-equation (19) as an oscillatory relaxation in the high-frequency (short-wave) regime and monotonic relaxation to equilibrium in the low-frequency (long-wave) regime.
V. SELECTION OF THE LATTICE PARAMETER

The lattice parameter $\lambda$ of the periodic pattern described by the PFC-model can be obtained by the specific wave-number $k$ as $\lambda = 2\pi/k$. Now the marginal stability analysis from Section II will be used to predict lattice parameter selection in the hyperbolic system. Consider Eq. (20) in the moving reference frame with the origin at the front invading unstable phase. Then, in Eq. (20), both transformations for time derivatives being considered $\partial(\delta \phi)/\partial t = \partial(\delta \phi)/\partial t - V \partial(\delta \phi)/\partial x$ and $\partial^2(\delta \phi)/\partial t^2 = \partial^2(\delta \phi)/\partial t^2 - 2V \partial^2(\delta \phi)/\partial t \partial x + V^2 \partial^2(\delta \phi)/\partial x^2$. In this case, the one-dimensional hyperbolic PFC-equation is given by

$$\tau \frac{\partial^2 \delta \phi}{\partial t^2} - 2\tau V \frac{\partial^2 \delta \phi}{\partial t \partial x} + \frac{\partial \delta \phi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \delta \phi}{\partial x^2} \left[ - (\tau V^2 + \epsilon) + \left( 1 + \frac{\partial^2 \delta \phi}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 \right] \delta \phi + V \frac{\partial \delta \phi}{\partial x}.$$  

(29)

Assuming a solution of the form given in Eq. (10), the dispersion relation obtained from Eq. (29) is then

$$\tau \omega^2 + (1 - 2i\tau V k) \omega = \tau V^2 k^2 + iV k + \alpha(k)$$  

(30)

with $\alpha(k)$ given by Eq. (12). Eq. (30) shows that, in the high frequency limit (high $\omega$ and high $V$ at large $\epsilon$), the term $2i\tau V k$ may have significance in comparison with unity. Solution of Eq. (30) gives the following amplification rate

$$\omega_+ = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \sqrt{1 + 4\tau \alpha(k)} - 1 \right] + iV k.$$  

(31)

Two limits for equation (31) can be outlined: (i) the equation transforms into amplification rate $\omega_+$ from Eq. (22) in the fixed laboratory system of coordinates, $V = 0$, and, (ii) the equation transforms into dispersion relation (11) in the local equilibrium limit $\tau \to 0$.

The marginal stability criterion (2) together with the amplification rate (31) gives the front velocity as

$$V \approx \frac{1}{k_{pm}^2} \frac{1}{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \sqrt{1 + 4\tau \alpha(k)} - 1 \right] \right\},$$  

(32)

where $k^*$ is the selected wave-number assumed to be complex valued, Eq. (15). The critical wave-number given by the saddle-point (1) is obtained by Eq. (31) as

$$\left. \frac{d\omega_+}{dk} \right|_{k^*} = 0 = iV + \frac{2k^* \left[ \epsilon - 1 + 4(k^*)^2 - 3(k^*)^4 \right]}{\sqrt{1 + 4\tau \alpha(k)}}.$$  

(33)

Finally, the angular frequency selects the wave-number at the front by Eq. (3). As in the case of parabolic PFC-dynamics, the system of equations (32), (33), and (3) should be solved numerically.

FIG. 4: Quantitative predictions of the PFC-model: (a) the front velocity $V$ and (b) the wave-number $k_f$ at the font of periodic pattern (qualitative scheme for these parameters is shown in Fig. 1). Marginal stability predictions are made for parabolic system with $\tau = 0$, and the hyperbolic model with $\tau = 2$ and $\tau = 5$. Dashed region in (b) presents a region of Eckhaus instability.

VI. DISCUSSION

In this section numerical results for the wave-number and front velocity for the parabolic and hyperbolic equations are presented and discussed. Fig. 4 show solutions for the front velocity $V$ and the selected wave-number $k_f$ as functions of the undercooling $\epsilon$. Two limits for equation (31) can be outlined: (i) the equation transforms into amplification rate $\omega_+$ from Eq. (22) in the fixed laboratory system of coordinates, $V = 0$, and, (ii) the equation transforms into dispersion relation (11) in the local equilibrium limit $\tau \to 0$.

The marginal stability criterion (2) together with the amplification rate (31) gives the front velocity as

$$V \approx \frac{1}{k_{pm}^2} \frac{1}{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \sqrt{1 + 4\tau \alpha(k)} - 1 \right] \right\},$$  

(32)

where $k^*$ is the selected wave-number assumed to be complex valued, Eq. (15). The critical wave-number given by the saddle-point (1) is obtained by Eq. (31) as

$$\left. \frac{d\omega_+}{dk} \right|_{k^*} = 0 = iV + \frac{2k^* \left[ \epsilon - 1 + 4(k^*)^2 - 3(k^*)^4 \right]}{\sqrt{1 + 4\tau \alpha(k)}}.$$  

(33)

Finally, the angular frequency selects the wave-number at the front by Eq. (3). As in the case of parabolic PFC-dynamics, the system of equations (32), (33), and (3) should be solved numerically.
is shown in Fig. 4(b) for finite $\epsilon$. In this case, the lattice parameter $\lambda^{(p)}_f$, marginally selected by the parabolic PFC-model, should be greater than the lattice parameter $\lambda^{(h)}_f$ predicted by the hyperbolic PFC-model:

$$\lambda^{(p)}_f = 2\pi/k^{(p)}_f > \lambda^{(h)}_f = 2\pi/k^{(h)}_f. \quad (34)$$

The tendency given by Eq. (34) might be tested in molecular dynamic simulations or in experimental findings for the freezed metastable phases undergoing a fast phase transition. Thus, atomistic modeling or experimental data on the front velocity and lattice parameter may give independent tests to correctness in predictions of parabolic and hyperbolic models, especially in a high-velocity regime of phase transition.

At the smallest values of undercooling $0 \leq \epsilon < 0.05$ both PFC-models predict the same values for $V$ and $k_f$ as is shown in Fig. 4. Therefore, the inertial as well as local non-equilibrium phenomena are negligible in the front dynamics of the phase-field crystals at small values of undercooling.

In Fig. 4(b), regions of stable, metastable, and unstable periodic patterns are also plotted. These are obtained using a linear analysis of stability around a periodic state as developed in Refs. 24, 37. Indeed, it is straightforward to show that the stability analysis of the present hyperbolic PFC-model, described by Eq. (19), gives the same boundaries for stable-metastable-unstable regions in the “$\epsilon$-k” phase diagram as it is treated for periodic patterns in the parabolic PFC-equation 37 and the hyperbolic Swift-Hohenberg equation 24. As a result of such analysis, the equilibrium 38 value of $k \approx 1$, is obviously always linearly stable. As $k$ deviates from this value (getting larger or smaller) eventually an Eckhaus instability occurs (for details, see Ref. 39). The shaded region in Fig. 4(b) corresponds to a region where this instability occurs, for which the lower bound is

$$\epsilon(k) = \frac{1 - 9k^2 + 15k^4 - 7k^6}{1 - 3k^2}. \quad (35)$$

The upper bound of the shaded region shown in Fig. 4(b) is given by the curve 24, 37

$$\epsilon(k) = (1 - k^2)^2. \quad (36)$$

This curve (36) gives the cut (demarcation line) between the region of Eckhaus instability and the region of absence of real periodic solutions in a one-mode approximation.

As is clearly seen in Fig. 4(b), for parabolic dynamics (with $\tau = 0$) the marginally selected wave-number $k_f$ completely lies in a region of metastability. In contrast for large enough undercooling the wave-number selected for hyperbolic dynamics can be in an unstable region, i.e., above the Eckhaus bound. Defining $\epsilon_c$ as the critical undercooling below which $k_f$ is metastable and beyond which $k_f$ falls into the unstable region, we find that $\epsilon_c$ decreases as $\tau$ increases. This implies that for large enough $\tau$ the critical undercooling becomes small.

While the analysis provided in the manuscript is a linear analysis around a one-dimensional moving front, it is interesting to speculate about the consequences of wave-number selection for the bulk when $k_f$ is in the unstable region. To continue the discussion it is useful to note the Eckhaus instability analysis considers the stability of an infinitely long periodic pattern to a perturbation of wavelength $Q$, i.e., $\delta \phi \sim \sum b_n e^{i(nk+Q)x}$, where $k$ is the wave-number of the infinitely long periodic pattern. In this analysis it is found that $b_n \sim e^{-\nu_{Eck}(Q)\frac{\pi}{Q}}$, where $\nu_{Eck}$ is positive for some range of $Q$’s in the unstable region in Fig. 4b and negative for all values of values of $Q$ in the metastable region. In the unstable region the maximum positive value of $\nu_{Eck}$ occurs at some value of $Q = Q_{\text{max}}$ that is zero at the boundary between metastable and unstable regions and increases as the system goes deeper into the unstable zone (i.e., as $k_f$ increases). In addition $\nu_{Eck}(Q_{\text{max}})$ also increases further into unstable zone. With these considerations several possible scenarios or limiting cases can be outlined.

If the selected wave-number $k_f$ is just above the metastable zone, then $Q_{\text{max}}$ is small and thus it is possible that the bulk will form at $k_f$ since the instability to change wavelengths can only occur when many wavelength appear (i.e., so that fluctuations on wavelengths of order $\sim 2\pi/Q_{\text{max}}$ are possible). In this limit $\nu_{Eck}(Q_{\text{max}})$ is relatively small so it may take some time before a phase slip occurs in the bulk region and the system obtains returns to a $k$ value closer to the equilibrium one.

In contrast when $k_f$ is well into the unstable region, $Q_{\text{max}}$ is larger and the magnitude of $\nu_{Eck}(Q_{\text{max}})$ increases. This implies a much larger likely hood of an Eckhaus instability occurring near the front. If such an instability occurs near to the front then the bulk may form at a wave-number closer to the equilibrium value. It should however be emphasized that the marginal stability analysis just ahead of the front and the Eckhaus analysis in the bulk are both linear and thus not applicable in the transition zone between the bulk and just ahead of the front. It is possible that non-linear instabilities can occur and correct the conclusion drawn in this paragraph.

Finally, we stress that the above analysis has been given for the linearized systems described by the parabolic (8) and hyperbolic (29) equations in limit in which the average value of $\phi$ is zero. In this instance the transition is second order and the periodic state invades a state that is linearly unstable. This situation occurs in many other systems with long-range interactions, such as in block copolymer melts 40 where structural transitions of the Landau-Brazovskii type may proceed 41. For first order phase transitions, e.g., in solidification phenomena, the average value of $\phi$ is not zero, and the crystalline state typically invades a metastable phase. In this instance a solvability condition (see e.g., Ref. 42) is required to determine velocity and wavelength selection. It would be interesting in the future to compare the predictions of this selection criteria for phase field crystal models with
the present calculations.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The present work is devoted to a marginal stability analysis of the parabolic and hyperbolic phase field crystal model in one spatial dimension. Predictions for the front velocity $V$ and selected wave-number $k_f$ were presented as a function of undercooling $\epsilon$ and relaxation rate $\tau$. It was found that in both parabolic and hyperbolic cases, $k_f$ can differ significantly from the equilibrium value and this difference increases with both undercooling and relaxation rate.

The central result of this work is that for large $\tau$ it is possible for the front to select a wave-number that is unstable in the bulk phase. This should lead to phase slips occurring at the front or in the bulk depending on how far $k_f$ is above the Eckhaus boundary. The analysis shows that if $k_f$ is just above the Eckhaus boundary then the instability of the perfect periodic state occurs for very long wavelengths at very slow rates. In contrast, when $k_f$ is well above the Eckhaus boundary, then the instability occurs at shorter wavelengths and at a faster rate. It is interesting to speculate on the consequences of this instability for the periodic pattern described by the conserved order parameter (crystal structure) in higher dimensions. In higher dimensions the phase slips correspond to the nucleation of dislocations, thus it is possible that this instability could lead to solids containing many defects or perhaps glassy states. Of course in higher dimensions other interesting phenomena are possible since the front may prefer to select not only a different lattice constant, but could also select a different crystal symmetry than preferred by the bulk phase. These speculations provide motivation for extending this study to higher dimensions. Finally it would also be interesting to apply the analysis to rapid phase transformations in solids both far above and below the transition point.
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