



This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Engineering topology in graphene with chiral cavities

Ceren B. Dag and Vasil Rokaj

Phys. Rev. B **110**, L121101 — Published 3 September 2024

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.110.L121101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.110.L121101)

Engineering Topology in Graphene with Chiral Cavities

Ceren B. Dag^{1,2,*} and Vasil Roka^{1,2,†}

¹*ITAMP, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

²*Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA*

Strongly coupling materials to cavity fields can affect their electronic properties altering the phases of matter. We study the monolayer graphene whose electrons are coupled to both left and right circularly polarized vacuum photons, and time-reversal symmetry is broken due to a phase shift between the two polarizations. We develop a many-body perturbative theory, and derive cavity mediated electronic interactions. This theory leads to a gap equation which predicts a topological band gap at Dirac nodes in vacuum and when the cavity is prepared in an excited Fock state. Remarkably, topological band gaps also open in light-matter hybridization points away from the Dirac nodes giving rise to photo-electron bands with high Chern numbers. We reveal that the physical mechanism behind this phenomenon is generic and due to the exchange of photons with electronic matter at the hybridization points. Specifically, the number and polarization of exchanged photons directly determine the band topology of graphene subject to enhanced chiral vacuum fluctuations. Hence, our theory shows that graphene-based materials could host Chern insulator phases in engineered electromagnetic environments, bridging cavity quantum electrodynamics to Floquet engineering of materials while protected from the ensuing heating effects.

Driving quantum materials by classical light is a mature field of physics [1, 2] where one can engineer the band topology of materials [3–11]. Meanwhile, great progress has been achieved in the manipulation of quantum materials with cavity vacuum fields [12–30]. Notably, modifications in the magneto-transport properties [31] and the Hall conductivity [32] due to cavity vacuum fluctuations were reported in experiments, as well as a shift in the critical temperature for the metal to insulator transition in 1T-TaS₂ [33]. Recently, Ref. [16] discussed an experimentally realizable path to chiral cavities through the Faraday effect [34, 35]. Specifically, a magneto-optical material coated mirror would induce a phase shift between the two polarizations of the electromagnetic cavity field [36, 37] where the phase shift is proportional to the external magnetic field, coating thickness and the Verdet constant [38]. Such Faraday rotators [36] and metamaterial coated mirrors [39] were also experimentally demonstrated to selectively absorb one polarization or the other, potentially leading to single-polarization chiral cavities. Alternative to an external magnetic field, spontaneous material magnetism can be utilized for Faraday effect [40, 41].

Here we theoretically study a model where a graphene monolayer is coupled to a chiral cavity field with single or two circular polarizations. For the latter, the time-reversal symmetry (TRS) can be broken as a result of an imperfect phase shift with a Faraday mirror, so that one of the polarizations is not eliminated, but only suppressed. In such a setup, what breaks the TRS is the unequal light-matter couplings induced by the two polarizations of the same cavity mode. **Hence this work generalizes the model previously studied by Refs. [20–22] which omit one polarization to simplify the theoretical treatment.**

We formulate a many-body perturbative theory for the

continuum Dirac Hamiltonian coupled to light, based on the Schrieffer–Wolff (SW) transformation [42, 43], and obtain the cavity mediated electronic interactions. Then, we apply Hartree-Fock mean-field theory (MFT) and show that the cavity mediated interactions break TRS, and hence open a topological gap. Further, we derive the gap equations at finite temperature for a cavity either in vacuum or in a Fock state with low photon number. The perturbative treatment captures the numerically predicted enhancement of the gap with the number of chiral photons when the cavity is prepared in a Fock state [44]. By also deriving a minimally coupled tight-binding (TB) Hamiltonian for this setup and examining the band structure, we show that our results remain valid within the microscopic theory. We find that the single-polarization model [20–22] overestimates the Dirac gap in vacuum when Faraday rotation cannot eliminate one of the polarizations.

A central finding of our work is that the light-matter avoided crossings emerging away from the Dirac nodes also acquire topological character by contributing nonzero Berry phase to the band wave functions and giving rise to higher Chern bands. We unveil the mechanism behind this phenomenon based on the chiral photon exchange processes with matter, and find that the number and polarization of the exchanged photons determine the topology of the photo-electron bands. **Although graphene coupled to a chiral cavity has been recently studied in multiple works [19–22], the focus was entirely on the Dirac nodes in vacuum missing how the quantum nature of photons affects the Berry phase of the strongly correlated photo-electron wave function away from the Dirac nodes.** This fundamental relation also provides a physically intuitive and generic framework to engineer photo-electron bands with arbitrary Chern numbers, as well as a possible microscopic origin of Floquet topolog-

ical insulators [3] with high Chern numbers [45–47]. Let us note in passing that cavity-QED and Floquet engineering of 2D materials are separate fields where both aim to use light to control the electronic properties of condensed matter however in and out of equilibrium, respectively [16, 48].

Cavity Mediated Interactions. We consider a graphene monolayer described by the continuum Dirac model [49] placed in a single-mode cavity with frequency ω_c whose polarizations are in-plane such that they couple to electrons. The effective Hamiltonian around the $\mathbf{K}$ valley reads ($\hbar = 1$) [21]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{K}} = v_F \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(k_x - A_x + i[k_y - A_y] \right) c_{A\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{B\mathbf{k}} + \text{h.c.} \\ + \sum_{\lambda=R,L} \omega_\lambda \left(a_\lambda^\dagger a_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (1)$$

where the Fermi velocity $v_F = 0.21$ a.u. is found by comparing the band structure of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{K}}$ to that of TB model around the Dirac nodes [50]. The operators $c_{r\mathbf{k}}$ are fermionic annihilation operators at $r = A, B$ sublattices with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ obeying $\{c_{r\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, c_{s\mathbf{k}'}\} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}\delta_{rs}$. The frequencies of the right- and left-circular polarizations are $\omega_\lambda = \sqrt{\omega_c^2 + \omega_D^2}$ where $\lambda = R, L$ and the diamagnetic frequency ω_D stemming from $\mathbf{A}^2$ term, shifts the cavity frequency ω_c [50]. The quantized vector potential written in terms of the circular polarizations $\mathbf{e}_{R,L} = (1, \pm i)/\sqrt{2}$ is,

$$\mathbf{A} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \mathcal{V}_\lambda 2\omega_\lambda}} \left[\mathbf{e}_R a_L + \mathbf{e}_R a_R^\dagger + \mathbf{e}_L a_R + \mathbf{e}_L a_L^\dagger \right].$$

$\mathcal{V}_\lambda = \chi_\lambda (2\pi c/\omega_c)^3$ [31] is the effective cavity volume with light concentration parameter χ_λ . Here the operators $[a_\lambda, a_{\lambda'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$ are the circularly polarized photon operators renormalized by the diamagnetic $\mathbf{A}^2$ term originating from the minimally coupled TB Hamiltonian [50]. Thus the light-matter interaction Hamiltonian follows as $\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} = -v_F \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (g_R a_R^\dagger + g_L a_L) c_{A\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{B\mathbf{k}} + \text{h.c.}$ The light-matter coupling amplitudes in terms of the microscopic parameters are obtained to be $g_\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{m} \sqrt{2\pi/(\mathcal{V}_\lambda \omega_\lambda)}$ in the TB model derivation [50], where $\alpha = 2.68$ a.u. is the lattice distance and m is the effective mass of the electrons subject to crystal potential which should be fixed by the experiment [51]. Faraday rotation shifts the circular polarizations with respect to each other [36] giving rise to an out-of-phase spatial profile in the cavity field, as shown in Fig. 1(a). This leads to an asymmetry in the light-matter coupling constants g_λ depending on where the 2D material is placed. We assume $2\chi_R = \chi_L$, and hence $g_R = \sqrt{2}g_L$ for the numerical demonstration, although the physics does not qualitatively change as long as $g_R \neq g_L$ [50].

To derive the cavity-mediated interactions we perform SW transformation $H_{\mathbf{K}} = e^S \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{K}} e^{-S}$ [42, 43]. The light-matter Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is splitted into non-interacting

$\mathcal{H}_0$ and interaction part $\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{K}} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}$. Then, the operator $S = S_1 + S_2 + \dots$ is constructed perturbatively as an expansion in orders of $1/\omega_\lambda$, such that the light-matter interaction is eliminated $[S, \mathcal{H}_0] = -\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}$ [43]. To first order in this expansion we find [50]

$$S_1 = v_F \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{g_L}{\omega_L} a_L - \frac{g_R}{\omega_R} a_R^\dagger \right) c_{A\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{B\mathbf{k}} - \text{h.c.} \quad (2)$$

Given the operator S , we derive the effective SW Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbf{K}} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \frac{1}{2}[S, \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}]$. For a cavity in vacuum this takes the form of

$$H_{\mathbf{K}} = \frac{\omega_R + \omega_L}{2} + v_F \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[(k_x + ik_y) c_{A\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{B\mathbf{k}} \right. \\ - \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \left(\frac{g_R^2}{2\omega_R} c_{B\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{A\mathbf{k}} c_{A\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger c_{B\mathbf{k}'} \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{g_L^2}{2\omega_L} c_{A\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{B\mathbf{k}} c_{B\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger c_{A\mathbf{k}'} \right) + \text{h.c.} \right] \quad (3)$$

The diagrammatic representation of the interactions is given in Fig. 1(b). One can obtain the effective Hamiltonian at $\mathbf{K}'$ valley $H_{\mathbf{K}'}$ by exchanging the sublattice indices $A \leftrightarrow B$ and momentum $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow -\mathbf{k}$ in $H_{\mathbf{K}}$. The cavity-mediated interactions break TRS for $g_R \neq g_L$ which we prove below, and estimate the induced gap by MFT whose details are in the SM [50]. The MFT Hamiltonians read $H_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{mft}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [v_F'(k_x \sigma_1 + k_y \sigma_2) - d_3(\mathbf{k}) \sigma_3] + E_0$ and $H_{\mathbf{K}'}^{\text{mft}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [v_F'(-k_x \sigma_1 + k_y \sigma_2) + d_3(\mathbf{k}) \sigma_3] + E_0$ at $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{K}'$ points, respectively, where $\sigma_{1,2,3}$ are the Pauli matrices. Here v_F' is the renormalized Fermi velocity, and E_0 is the many-body ground state energy predicted by the MFT, which matches with the band structure results [50]. Presence of a nonzero $d_3(\mathbf{k})$ in these MFT equations with a different sign means that the TRS is broken. This cavity induced gap shows that both Dirac nodes contribute π Berry phase to the wave function, and hence the band gap is topological. We obtain the gap equations for both polarizations to be

$$\Delta_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{g_\lambda^2 v_F^2}{2\omega_\lambda} \left(1 + \Delta_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) \frac{\tanh(\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}^\lambda/2)}{2E_{\mathbf{k}}^\lambda} \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$ is the inverse temperature, $E_{\mathbf{k}}^\lambda = \sqrt{v_F'^2(k_x^2 + k_y^2) + (\Delta_\lambda(\mathbf{k}))^2/4}$, and total band gap opening due to interactions is $\Delta(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \Delta_R(\mathbf{k}) - \Delta_L(\mathbf{k}) = 2d_3(\mathbf{k})$. Right at $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}'$ points and zero temperature, the gap reads $\Delta(\mathbf{0}) = g_R^2 v_F^2/\omega_R - g_L^2 v_F^2/\omega_L$. Hence in fact, the condition $g_R \neq g_L$ opens a gap. In the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$, the gap reduces to $\Delta(\mathbf{0}) = g_R^2 v_F^2/2\omega_R - g_L^2 v_F^2/2\omega_L$. The general solution at $T = 0$ that is plotted in Fig. 1(d) with red pluses, matches with the band structure of the Dirac model in vacuum. The finite-temperature gap is numerically solved in the SM [50].

The single-polarization limit can be obtained by taking $g_L = 0$ in Eqs. (2) and (3). Due to the rela-




Figure 1. (a) Chiral cavity setup with right and left polarizations are shifted with respect to each other. (b-c) The cavity mediated electronic interactions in graphene with strengths proportional to (b) $1/\omega_\lambda$ and (c) $1/\omega_\lambda^2$. (d) The focus on the lowest two bands of two- and single-polarization Dirac models showing the match between analytical SW theory and exact diagonalization (ED) with a truncated photonic Hilbert space of maximum photon number $\langle a_j^\dagger a_j \rangle_{\max} = 4$. Single-polarization bands (dotted-black) are shifted upwards for comparison by $\omega_L/2$. The x-axis is defined in terms of radial distance to the Dirac nodes $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$ normalized by Fermi momentum $k_F = mv_F$. The parameters are $\omega_c = 6.28$ THz, $\chi = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ [31] and $m = 0.02m_e$. Fermi velocity is found to be $v_F = 0.21$ a.u. (atomic units) by fitting the band structure of the Dirac model to the TB model. The red-pluses and yellow-stars are the prediction of the analytical SW theory in vacuum. (e) The gap at $\mathbf{K}$ point when the cavity is prepared in a Fock state, increases with the photon number populated in the cavity. SW theory can predict the gap until $\langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle \sim 5$. The ED results with $\langle a_j^\dagger a_j \rangle_{\max} = 4$ on Dirac and TB models match.

tive simplicity of this limit, we derive the SW Hamiltonian up to the second order in the perturbation theory with the additional transformation term $S_2 = g_R v_F^2 / \omega_R^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [a_R(k_x + ik_y) - \text{h.c.}] (n_{A\mathbf{k}} - n_{B\mathbf{k}})$, and we include higher photon excitations with a cavity prepared in a Fock state, such that $\langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$, and we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{sp}} = & v_F \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(1 - \frac{v_F^2 g_R^2 \langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle}{\omega_R^2} \right) (k_x + ik_y) c_{A\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{B\mathbf{k}} \\
 & + \text{h.c.} + \omega_R \left(\langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{g_R^2 v_F}{\omega_R} \langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \\
 & (n_{B\mathbf{k}} - n_{A\mathbf{k}}) - \frac{g_R^2 v_F}{2\omega_R} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \left(c_{B\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{A\mathbf{k}} c_{A\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger c_{B\mathbf{k}'} \right. \\
 & \left. + v_F \frac{k_x + ik_y}{\omega_R} (n_{A\mathbf{k}} - n_{B\mathbf{k}}) c_{A\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{B\mathbf{k}'} + \text{h.c.} \right). \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

The interaction induced in the second order with $\propto 1/\omega_R^2$ in Eq. (5) has a complex amplitude and does not preserve sublattice flavor, as depicted in Fig. 1(c). The gap opening introduced in the first order with Eq. (4) is modified by the photon number

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_R(\mathbf{k}) = & \frac{g_R^2 v_F^2}{2\omega_R} \left(1 + \Delta_R(\mathbf{k}) \frac{\tanh(\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}^R/2)}{2E_{\mathbf{k}}^R} \right) \\
 & + 2 \frac{g_R^2 v_F}{\omega_R} \langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

and accompanied with the renormalization of the Fermi velocity in the second order,

$$v_F' = v_F \left(1 - \frac{v_F^2 g_R^2 \langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle}{\omega_R^2} \right). \quad (6)$$

In the single-polarization model, $\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta_R(\mathbf{k})$ by definition. At zero temperature, the gap at $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}'$ points

scales as $\Delta(\mathbf{0}) = (2\langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle + 1)g_R^2 v_F^2 / \omega_R$ which is compatible with Refs. [21, 22] in vacuum. Therefore, populating the cavity does not only increase the topological band gap (Fig. 1(e)), it also flattens the bands around $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}'$ points as is visible in Fig. 2(a). The SW theory predicts the gap until the photon excitation number is $\langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle \sim 5$ (Fig. 1(e)). Let us note that applying MFT to the second order interaction gives rise to coupled gap equations for v_F' and $\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ whose numerical solutions in generic conditions can be found in the SM [50]. We plot these solutions in Fig. 1(d) in vacuum with yellow stars on the single-polarization Dirac model bands, and see perfect match. Overall, for a split-ring resonator [52] with $\omega_c = 6.28$ THz cavity frequency —corresponding to a Hartree energy of $\sim 9.5 \times 10^{-4}$ a.u.—, $\chi \sim 10^{-4}$ [31] and $m = 0.007m_e$ [51] where m_e is the bare electron mass, two-polarization model leads to 4.3meV gap in vacuum, which is overestimated by the single-polarization model, 11.5meV [50]. This overestimation is visualized in Fig. 1(c) for a set of different parameter values, and what vacuum gap depends on is given in the SM [50]. These gaps may be measured via transport [53], or angle-resolved photo-emission spectroscopy [54].

Topological photo-electron bands in graphene. For the following discussion, we numerically calculate the Berry curvature $F_{l,xy}(k_x, k_y)$ over the full Brillouin zone of the TB models and the Chern number of a band l [55]

$$C_l = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\text{B.Z.}} F_{l,xy}(k_x, k_y) dk_x dk_y. \quad (7)$$

The Berry phases at a Dirac node and light-matter avoided crossing are denoted by $\phi_{m,l}$ and $\phi_{p,l}$, respectively for band l . Let us note that all photo-electron Dirac bands plotted in Fig. 2 are cross-sections cutting




Figure 2. The exact diagonalization Dirac bands of graphene around the $\mathbf{K}$ point coupled to a cavity with (a) single polarization and (b-c) two-polarization of cavity frequency $\omega_c = 6.28$ THz with color coding denoting the photon populations and $\langle a_j^\dagger a_j \rangle_{\max} = 4$. We use $m = 0.02m_e$, $\chi = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ [31] and $\gamma = 1.2$ as the free parameters of the theory that have to be fixed by the experiment. The light-red shapes highlight the light-matter avoided crossings with chiral photon exchanges. The Chern numbers for both models are given in boxes under C_l for band l and calculated in the full BZ with the TB model. (d-g) Focus on chiral photon exchange processes with Berry phases for each band denoted. The photon numbers are written only for the lower bands. Subfigures (d-e) show the role of photon number, whereas (f-g) show also the role of polarization in determining the Berry phase of the photo-electron wave function at a light-matter avoided crossing.

through a Dirac node. Hence, the avoided crossings seen symmetrically placed around $\mathbf{K}$ point are two points residing on a continuous loop of hybridizations around $\mathbf{K}$ point [50]. Therefore, $\phi_{p,l}$ counts the Berry phase contribution of all avoided crossings at the same radial distance k/k_F to the $\mathbf{K}$ point. The Chern number of the band l is $C_l = \Phi_l/\pi$ where Φ_l is the total Berry phase.

The lowest band, $l = 1$, has two Dirac nodes each contributing to the winding phase of the wave function $\phi_{m,1} = \Phi_1 = \pi$ leading to a Chern band of $C_1 = 1$ as numerically confirmed. However, a more significant characteristic of graphene coupled to a chiral cavity is the emergence of the topological light-matter hybridizations reminiscent of topological polaritons [56]. All higher energy bands enjoy additional Berry phases proportional to the exchanged photon number: the gaps closest to the valleys, dotted-black in Fig. 2(a), are 1-photon avoided crossings with 1 chiral photon exchange. This exchange process is enlarged in Fig. 2(d). As a result, the second band gains $\phi_{p,2} = -2\pi$ phase at these 1-photon avoided-crossings, leading to $\Phi_2 = -3\pi$ total phase together with the $\phi_{m,2} = -\pi$ at $\mathbf{K}$ valley giving rise to $C_2 = -3$ as numerically confirmed. The 2-photon avoided crossings depicted with dashed-black in Fig. 2(a)-(d), carry -4π phase for $l = 3$. Therefore, each higher energy band has

an additional loop of light-matter avoided crossings with a phase proportional to $\phi_{p,l} = -2\pi(\langle a_{R,R}^\dagger a_{R,R} \rangle_l^{k_f} - \langle a_{R,R}^\dagger a_{R,R} \rangle_l^{k_i})$ contributing to Φ_l , and hence to the Chern number of the band l , where $|k_f| > |k_i|$ is set as the convention. Berry curvature supports this mechanism, see SM [50].

Polarization of the exchanged photons also affects the Berry curvature and the Chern number of the photo-electron band. Here we consider a two-polarization model and adopt an alternative mechanism to break TRS through a frequency splitting between two polarizations $\omega_R \neq \omega_L$. This model might be realized either via Zeeman splitting [20, 57] or with two Faraday mirrors which selectively absorb one of the polarizations of two cavity modes ω_1 and ω_2 . We parametrize the frequency difference in terms of $\omega_2 = \gamma\omega_1$ where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ resulting in $\omega_{R(L)} = \sqrt{\omega_{1(2)}^2 + \omega_D^2}$. One of our central results is that the Berry phase at a light-matter hybridization can be predicted by

$$\frac{\phi_{p,l}}{2\pi} = \langle a_L^\dagger a_L \rangle_l^{k_f} - \langle a_L^\dagger a_L \rangle_l^{k_i} - (\langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle_l^{k_f} - \langle a_R^\dagger a_R \rangle_l^{k_i}). \quad (8)$$

This gives rise to four different cases in the prediction of the Berry phases at the avoided crossings, two of which are enlarged in Fig. 2(f)-(g). As depicted with a square in Figs. 2(b)-(c), at an avoided crossing be-

tween $l = 5$ and $l = 6$ two photons with opposite chiralities are exchanged with matter leading to a zero Berry phase $\phi_{p,5} = \phi_{p,6} = 0$, and hence a trivial gap. Depicted with a rhombus in Figs. 2(b)-(c), at an avoided crossing between $l = 4$ and $l = 5$ a photon changes chirality through the interactions with matter leading to $\phi_{p,4} = 2\pi[(1 - 0) - (0 - 1)] = 4\pi$ and $\phi_{p,5} = -4\pi$ Berry phase. In a simpler avoided crossing where a photon of fixed polarization is not exchanged at all, e.g., a left-circularly polarized photon for band $l = 2$ in Figs. 2(b)-(c), Berry phase is contributed only by an exchange between a right-circularly polarized photon and matter, thus reproducing the single-polarization limit.

Therefore, Chern insulator phases with higher Chern numbers can be engineered by utilizing chiral photonic fields. This mechanism seems very general, and not restricted to graphene. For instance, high Chern numbers were reported in transition metal dichalcogenides coupled to single-polarization cavity field [58]. Furthermore, the topological bands of the bulk suggests chiral edge modes with electron-photon localized states [56]. Our observation of high Chern numbers might also suggest larger photo-electron currents at the edges, or the domain walls, of the sample which could lend itself to device applications.

Discussion and Outlook.—Our analytical theory reveals cavity-mediated electronic interactions in graphene. This analytical method is valid when $v_F g_\lambda / \omega_\lambda \ll 1$ and $k \ll \omega_\lambda / v_F$ hold [50], and the derived equations can only capture the physics at the Dirac gaps. The photon field fluctuations and light-matter entanglement cannot be neglected beyond these limits which include the topological light-matter hybridizations found away from $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}'$ points in high energy bands. Hence in these cases, when photonic Hilbert space is integrated out, one needs to resort to an open-system formalism for the electrons on which the numerical results can be found in the SM [50]. An analytical method to treat these hybridization points is an exciting future direction. Furthermore, understanding the competition of these cavity-mediated interactions with Coulomb interactions in strongly correlated electronic systems, e.g., moiré materials [59], is a promising path forward in cavity-QED engineering of quantum materials.

Acknowledgments.—We are grateful to Ashvin Vishwanath for many fruitful and guiding discussions on this work. Authors additionally thank Tilman Esslinger, Mohammad Hafezi, P. Myles Eugenio, Dan Parker, Pavel Volkov and Jie Wang for stimulating discussions, Oriana Diessel and Volker Karle for helpful comments on the paper. The authors acknowledge support from the NSF through a grant for ITAMP at Harvard University.

* ceren.dag@cfa.harvard.edu

† vasil.rokaj@cfa.harvard.edu

- [1] T. Oka and S. Kitamura, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics **10**, 387 (2019).
- [2] A. de la Torre, D. M. Kennes, M. Claassen, S. Gerber, J. W. McIver, and M. A. Sentef, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 041002 (2021).
- [3] T. Oka and H. Aoki, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 081406 (2009).
- [4] N. H. Lindner, G. Refael, and V. Galitski, *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 490 (2011).
- [5] T. Kitagawa, T. Oka, A. Brataas, L. Fu, and E. Demler, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 235108 (2011).
- [6] D. Hsieh, F. Mahmood, J. W. McIver, D. R. Gardner, Y. S. Lee, and N. Gedik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 077401 (2011).
- [7] J. McIver, D. Hsieh, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and N. Gedik, *Nature nanotechnology* **7**, 96 (2012).
- [8] Y. H. Wang, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and N. Gedik, *Science* **342**, 453 (2013).
- [9] F. Mahmood, C.-K. Chan, Z. Alpichshev, D. Gardner, Y. Lee, P. A. Lee, and N. Gedik, *Nature Physics* **12**, 306 (2016).
- [10] J. W. McIver, B. Schulte, F.-U. Stein, T. Matsuyama, G. Jotzu, G. Meier, and A. Cavalleri, *Nature physics* **16**, 38 (2020).
- [11] S. Zhou, C. Bao, B. Fan, H. Zhou, Q. Gao, H. Zhong, T. Lin, H. Liu, P. Yu, P. Tang, *et al.*, *Nature* **614**, 75 (2023).
- [12] F. J. Garcia-Vidal, C. Ciuti, and T. W. Ebbesen, *Science* **373**, eabd0336 (2021).
- [13] F. Schlawin, D. M. Kennes, and M. A. Sentef, *Applied Physics Reviews* **9** (2022).
- [14] P. Forn-Díaz, L. Lamata, E. Rico, J. Kono, and E. Solano, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 025005 (2019).
- [15] J. Flick, M. Ruggenthaler, H. Appel, and A. Rubio, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 15285 (2015).
- [16] H. Hübener, U. De Giovannini, C. Schäfer, J. Andberger, M. Ruggenthaler, J. Faist, and A. Rubio, *Nature materials* **20**, 438 (2021).
- [17] M. Ruggenthaler, D. Sidler, and A. Rubio, “Understanding polaritonic chemistry from ab initio quantum electrodynamics,” (2022), [arXiv:2211.04241 \[quant-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.04241).
- [18] D. Sidler, M. Ruggenthaler, C. Schäfer, E. Ronca, and A. Rubio, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **156** (2022).
- [19] O. V. Kibis, O. Kyriienko, and I. A. Shelykh, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 195413 (2011).
- [20] O. V. Kibis, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 165433 (2010).
- [21] X. Wang, E. Ronca, and M. A. Sentef, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 235156 (2019).
- [22] K. Masuki and Y. Ashida, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 195104 (2023).
- [23] V. Rokaj, M. Ruggenthaler, F. G. Eich, and A. Rubio, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, 013012 (2022).
- [24] G. Scalari, C. Maissen, D. Turčinková, D. Hagenmüller, S. De Liberato, C. Ciuti, C. Reichl, D. Schuh, W. Wegscheider, M. Beck, *et al.*, *Science* **335**, 1323 (2012).
- [25] J. Keller, G. Scalari, F. Appugliese, S. Rajabali, M. Beck, J. Haase, C. A. Lehner, W. Wegscheider, M. Failla, M. Myronov, *et al.*, *Physical Review B* **101**, 075301 (2020).

- (2020).
- [26] X. Li, M. Bamba, Q. Zhang, S. Fallahi, G. C. Gardner, W. Gao, M. Lou, K. Yoshioka, M. J. Manfra, and J. Kono, *Nature Photonics* **12**, 324 (2018).
 - [27] D. Hagenmüller, S. De Liberato, and C. Ciuti, *Physical Review B* **81**, 235303 (2010).
 - [28] N. Bartolo and C. Ciuti, *Physical Review B* **98**, 205301 (2018).
 - [29] V. Rokaj, J. Wang, J. Sous, M. Penz, M. Ruggenthaler, and A. Rubio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 196602 (2023).
 - [30] Z. Bacciconi, G. M. Andolina, and C. Mora, “Topological protection of majorana polaritons in a cavity,” (2023), [arXiv:2309.07278 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.07278).
 - [31] G. L. Paravicini-Bagliani, F. Appugliese, E. Richter, F. Valmorra, J. Keller, M. Beck, N. Bartolo, C. Rössler, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, *et al.*, *Nature Physics* **15**, 186 (2019).
 - [32] F. Appugliese, J. Enkner, G. L. Paravicini-Bagliani, M. Beck, C. Reichl, W. Wegscheider, G. Scalari, C. Ciuti, and J. Faist, *Science* **375**, 1030 (2022), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.abl5818>.
 - [33] G. Jarc, S. Y. Mathengattil, A. Montanaro, F. Giusti, E. M. Rigoni, R. Sergo, F. Fassoli, S. Winnerl, S. Dal Zilio, D. Mihailovic, P. Prelovšek, M. Eckstein, and D. Fausti, *Nature* **622**, 487 (2023).
 - [34] M. P. Faraday, *The Royal Society* (1846), 10.5479/sil.389644.mq591299.
 - [35] J. Suits, *IEEE Transactions on Magnetism* **8**, 95 (1972).
 - [36] T. Arikawa, X. Wang, A. A. Belyanin, and J. Kono, *Opt. Express* **20**, 19484 (2012).
 - [37] J. Y. Chin, T. Steinle, T. Wehls, D. Dregely, T. Weiss, V. I. Belotelov, B. Stritzker, and H. Giessen, *Nature communications* **4**, 1599 (2013).
 - [38] K. J. Carothers, R. A. Norwood, and J. Pyun, *Chemistry of Materials* **34**, 2531 (2022).
 - [39] E. Plum and N. I. Zheludev, *Applied Physics Letters* **106**, 221901 (2015).
 - [40] M. S. Rudner and J. C. W. Song, *Nature Physics* **15**, 1017–1021 (2019).
 - [41] K. P. Nuckolls, M. Oh, D. Wong, B. Lian, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. A. Bernevig, and A. Yazdani, *Nature* **588**, 610 (2020).
 - [42] J. M. Luttinger and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* **97**, 869 (1955).
 - [43] J. R. Schrieffer and P. A. Wolff, *Phys. Rev.* **149**, 491 (1966).
 - [44] N. Rivera, J. Sloan, Y. Salamin, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **120**, e2219208120 (2023).
 - [45] A. Kundu, H. A. Fertig, and B. Seradjeh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 236803 (2014).
 - [46] P. M. Perez-Piskunow, L. E. F. Foa Torres, and G. Usaj, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 043625 (2015).
 - [47] G. Usaj, P. M. Perez-Piskunow, L. E. F. Foa Torres, and C. A. Balseiro, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 115423 (2014).
 - [48] M. A. Sentef, J. Li, F. Künzel, and M. Eckstein, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 033033 (2020).
 - [49] B. A. Bernevig, *Topological insulators and topological superconductors* (Princeton university press, 2013).
 - [50] See supplementary material for the derivation of a tight-binding model for graphene coupled to a chiral cavity, Berry curvature and Chern number results, arguments on the time-reversal symmetry breaking, derivation of the Schrieffer–Wolff effective Hamiltonians with a convergence analysis, Hartree-Fock mean-field theory calculations and density-matrix Chern value formalism for mixed electronic states..
 - [51] Y. Zhang, Y.-W. Tan, H. L. Stormer, and P. Kim, *Nature* **438**, 201 (2005).
 - [52] C. Maissen, G. Scalari, F. Valmorra, M. Beck, J. Faist, S. Cibella, R. Leoni, C. Reichl, C. Charpentier, and W. Wegscheider, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 205309 (2014).
 - [53] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, A. Demir, S. Fang, S. L. Tomarken, J. Y. Luo, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, R. C. Ashoori, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, *Nature* **556**, 80 (2018).
 - [54] J. A. Sobota, Y. He, and Z.-X. Shen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 025006 (2021).
 - [55] T. Fukui, Y. Hatsugai, and H. Suzuki, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **74**, 1674 (2005).
 - [56] T. Karzig, C.-E. Bardyn, N. H. Lindner, and G. Refael, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 031001 (2015).
 - [57] D. G. Suárez-Forero, R. Ni, S. Sarkar, M. J. Mehrabad, E. Mechtel, V. Simonyan, A. Grankin, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, S. Park, H. Jang, M. Hafezi, and Y. Zhou, “Chiral optical nano-cavity with atomically thin mirrors,” (2023), [arXiv:2308.04574 \[physics.optics\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.04574).
 - [58] D.-P. Nguyen, G. Arwas, Z. Lin, W. Yao, and C. Ciuti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 176602 (2023).
 - [59] E. Y. Andrei, D. K. Efetov, P. Jarillo-Herrero, A. H. MacDonald, K. F. Mak, T. Senthil, E. Tutuc, A. Yazdani, and A. F. Young, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **6**, 201 (2021).
 - [60] F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 2015 (1988).
 - [61] H. Spohn, *Dynamics of Charged Particles and their Radiation Field* (Cambridge university press, 2004).
 - [62] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, *Photons and Atoms-Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics* (Wiley-VCH, 1997).
 - [63] K. F. Mak and J. Shan, *Nature Nanotechnology* **17**, 686 (2022).
 - [64] V. Rokaj, D. M. Welakuh, M. Ruggenthaler, and A. Rubio, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **51**, 034005 (2018).
 - [65] R. Luo, G. Benenti, G. Casati, and J. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 022009 (2020).
 - [66] G. Baym, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (W. A. Benjamin Inc., 1973).
 - [67] N. W. Ashcroft and N. Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Harcourt College Publishers, 1976).
 - [68] C. Bena and G. Montambaux, *New Journal of Physics* **11**, 095003 (2009).
 - [69] S. Hu and S. M. Weiss, *ACS Photonics* **3**, 1647 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.6b00219>.
 - [70] A. Rivas, O. Viyuela, and M. A. Martin-Delgado, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 155141 (2013).