



This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Gateway to all-optical spin switching in Heusler ferrimagnets: Pancharatnam-Berry tensor and magnetic moment ratio

G. P. Zhang, Y. Q. Liu, M. S. Si, Nicholas Allbritton, Y. H. Bai, Wolfgang Hübner, and
Thomas F. George

Phys. Rev. B **109**, L220401 — Published 3 June 2024

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.109.L220401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.109.L220401)

1 Gateway to all-optical spin switching in Heusler ferrimagnets:
2 Pancharatnam-Berry tensor and magnetic moment ratio

3 G. P. Zhang*

4 *Department of Physics, Indiana State University, Terre Haute, IN 47809*

5 Y. Q. Liu and M. S. Si

6 *School of Materials and Energy, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*

7 Nicholas Allbritton

8 *Department of Physics, Indiana State University, Terre Haute, IN 47809, USA*

9 Y. H. Bai

10 *Office of Information Technology, Indiana State*

11 *University, Terre Haute, Indiana 47809, USA*

12 Wolfgang Hübner

13 *Department of Physics, Rheinland-Pfälzische Technische Universität,*

14 *Kaiserslautern-Landau 67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany*

15 Thomas F. George

16 *Departments of Chemistry & Biochemistry and Physics & Astronomy*

17 *University of Missouri-St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63121*

18 (Dated: May 14, 2024)

Abstract

All-optical spin switching (AOS) is a new phenomenon found in a small group of magnetic media, where a single laser pulse can switch spins from one direction to another, without assistance of a magnetic field, on a time scale much shorter than existing magnetic technology. However, despite intensive efforts over a decade, its underlying working principle remains elusive. Here through manganese-based Heusler ferrimagnets, we show that a group of flat bands around the Fermi level act as gateway states to form efficient channels for spin switching, where their non-centrosymmetry allows us to correlate the spin dynamics to the second-order optical response. To quantify their efficacy, we introduce the third-rank Pancharatnam-Berry tensor (PB tensor), $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(3)} = \langle i | \mathbf{p} | m \rangle \langle m | \mathbf{p} | f \rangle \langle f | \mathbf{p} | i \rangle$, where $|i\rangle$, $|m\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ are **initial, intermediate and final band states, respectively**, and $\mathbf{p}$ is the momentum operator. A picture emerges: Those which show AOS, such as the recently discovered Mn_2RuGa , always have **a large PB tensor element** but have a small sublattice spin moment ratio, consistent with the prior experimental small remanence criterion. This does not only reveal that the delicate balance between the large PB tensor element and the small sublattice spin ratio plays a decisive role in AOS, but also, conceptually, connects the n th-order nonlinear optics to $(n + 1)$ th-rank PB tensors in general.

19 *Introduction.* For over half a century, magnetic storage technology has relied on a mag-
20 netic field to switch magnetization in magnetic drives. Beaupaire and coworkers¹ changed
21 this picture by showing that a femtosecond laser pulse can directly demagnetize the magnetic
22 nickel film within 1 ps, representing the beginning of femtomagnetism². In 2007, Stanciu
23 and coworkers³ reported that a single laser pulse alone, without assistance of a magnetic
24 field, is able to switch spins from one direction to another in GdFeCo, called all-optical
25 spin switching (AOS). Subsequent investigations^{4–11} soon discovered a large group of AOS
26 materials^{5,6,12–14}. It has been shown that whether or not AOS occurs sensitively depends
27 on the sample composition^{13,15}, thickness^{16,17}, remanence¹⁸, number of laser pulses, laser
28 fluences and other parameters¹⁵ (see recent reviews for details^{7,19}). Mentink *et al.*²⁰ sug-
29 gested an intersite spin exchange model for AOS. Recently Jakobs and Atxitia²¹ proposed a
30 criterion based on a transition from a relativistic relaxation path to an exchange-dominated
31 regime.

32 Unfortunately, most AOS materials are amorphous, which significantly limits access to
33 their microscopic electronic and magnetic structures, beyond the classical atomistic spin
34 model level^{15,22}. Although crystalline AOS materials are also discovered^{14,23,24}, they often
35 need multiple laser pulses to switch spins^{12,25}, thus not ideal for future applications. Heusler
36 alloys are different^{26–39}. They are crystalline and more importantly their electronic and
37 magnetic properties can be systematically tuned⁴⁰ without a significant change to their
38 structures. What is even more interesting is that one of the Heusler compounds, Mn₂RuGa,
39 shows single-pulse all-optical spin switching⁴¹. This presents a rare opportunity for a first-
40 principles investigation, beyond earlier model simulations^{21,42,43}.

41 In this *Letter*, we employ four manganese-based noncentrosymmetric Heuslers Mn₂YZ and
42 the time-dependent first-principles method to pin down two critical elements that underline
43 the all-optical spin switching. First, a group of flat bands, a few eV around the Fermi
44 level, act as gateway states for spin switching. To quantify the efficacy of these states,
45 we introduce the Pancharatnam-Berry (PB) tensor, a geometric tensor that consists of a
46 product of momentum matrix elements between transition states. Channels involving flat
47 bands have a large PB tensor element, found across all the four Heuslers. Second, the spin
48 moment ratio between two Mn sublattices must be small. This is only found in Mn₂RuGa
49 and Mn₂RuAl, not in Mn₂IrGa and Mn₂RuGe. Our finding conceptually establishes the
50 link between the $(n + 1)$ th PB tensor to the n th order optical process, and reveals that

it is the balance between a large PB tensor element and a small spin moment ratio that leads to all-optical spin switching. Our finding will have a profound impact on the future direction of the experimental and theoretical research, by focusing on crystalline Heuslers. In particular, since all four materials investigated here are already available, this will motivate an immediate experimental investigation.

Correlation between the spin and charge dynamics and Pancharatnam-Berry momentum tensor. AOS is an optical process. What is probed often differs from what one wishes to probe, similar to time-resolved magneto-optics⁴⁴. Figure 1(a) schematically illustrates a laser pulse impinging on a sample, where one detects the polarization change and derives the spin change from it. Theoretically, we first solve the Kohn-Sham equation for the ground state properties⁴⁵,

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m_e} + V_{Ne} + V_H + V_{xc} \right] \psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = E_{n\mathbf{k}} \psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

where $\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ is the wavefunction of band n at the crystal momentum $\mathbf{k}$, and $E_{n\mathbf{k}}$ is its band energy. The terms on the left are the kinetic energy operator, the attraction between the nuclei and electrons, the Hartree term, and the exchange-correlation, respectively. The spin-orbit coupling (SOC) is included using a second-variational method in the same self-consistent iteration. We describe the optical interaction with a matter by the time-dependent Liouville equation^{44,46} (additional details can be found in the supplementary material (SM)⁴⁷),

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [H_0 + H_I, \rho], \quad (2)$$

where ρ is the density matrix, H_0 is the unperturbed system Hamiltonian and H_I is the interaction between the laser field and the system⁴⁷. The Liouville equation builds in the Pauli exclusion principle, can be extended to many-body states, and works well with other softwares such as VASP, Quantum Espresso, and ELK. The n th-order density matrix is given by⁴⁸

$$\rho^{(n)}(t) = (i\hbar)^{-n} U_0(t) \int_{-\infty}^t dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{t_{n-1}} dt_n [H_I(t_1), [H_I(t_2), \cdots [H_I(t_n), \rho_0] \cdots]] U_0(-t), \quad (3)$$

where $U_0(t) = \exp(-iH_0 t/\hbar)$. The symmetry property of electron and spin dynamics is encoded in the expectation value of an operator. For the reason that will become clear

below, we take the second-order momentum $\langle \mathbf{p} \rangle^{(2)}(t) = \text{Tr}[\mathbf{p}\rho^{(2)}(t)]$ as an example. Under a symmetry operation $\{R\}$, $\langle \mathbf{p} \rangle^{(2)}(t)$ transforms as a tensor (in the component form)

$$\langle p \rangle_{lmn}^{(2)}(t) = \sum_{abc} R_{la} R_{mb} R_{nc} \langle p \rangle_{abc}^{(2)}(t), \quad (4)$$

where l and a are the new and old Cartesian indices of $\mathbf{p}$, respectively, and the index pairs (mb) and (nc) are Cartesian indices of two laser fields before and after symmetry transformation. The entire procedure is exactly the same as the transformation between two susceptibility tensors $\chi^{(2)}$ and $\chi^{(2)'}$ in nonlinear optics as⁴⁸ $\chi_{lmn}^{(2)'} = \sum_{abc} R_{la} R_{mb} R_{nc} \chi_{abc}^{(2)}$.

The situation of spin is different. Spin is an axial vector and transforms under the SU(2) symmetry^{49,50}. For the same second-order response, the spin expectation value, $\langle \mathbf{s} \rangle^{(2)}(t) = \text{Tr}[\mathbf{s}\rho^{(2)}(t)]$, transforms as in a similar fashion as the Hall effect⁵¹

$$\langle s \rangle_{lmn}^{(2)}(t) = \sum_{abc} R_{la} R_{mb} R_{nc}^s \langle s \rangle_{abc}^{(2)}(t), \quad (5)$$

where the transformation matrix for spin R_{nc}^s is given as $R_{nc}^s = |R|R_{nc}$ and $|R|$ is its determinant⁴⁹. The second-order response in Eq. 5 is the lowest-order response of the spin, and the first order is zero because the product of one axial and one polar vector is zero⁴⁹, except that the axial and polar vectors are collinear and the symmetry is low. Because $\rho^{(2)}(t)$ is proportional to the square of the laser field, that is why the (de)magnetization is linearly proportional to the laser fluence as observed in experiments^{52,53} before saturation, and it is also the reason why we choose the second-order density matrix above. Regardless of whether the system has inversion symmetry or not, all even orders of the spin, including the zeroth order, survive. However, for the momentum, if the system has inversion symmetry, the multiplication of three R 's yields $(-1)(-1)(-1) = -1$ and it cancels out all even orders, including the second order here. Only odd orders survive. Therefore, in order to detect spin dynamics through the charge dynamics or more precisely the optical response, at minimum one must choose a system without inversion symmetry, so the second-order $\langle \mathbf{p}^{(2)} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{s}^{(2)} \rangle$ share the same field dependence such as $H_I(t_1)H_I(t_2)$. **If a system has inversion symmetry, then there is a mismatch between spin and charge responses. For a transition through a channel $|i\rangle \rightarrow |m\rangle \rightarrow |f\rangle \rightarrow |i\rangle$, the expectation value of the momentum $\langle \mathbf{p} \rangle^{(2)}(t)$ is related to the tensor**

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(3)}(C) = \langle i|\mathbf{p}|m\rangle \langle m|\mathbf{p}|f\rangle \langle f|\mathbf{p}|i\rangle, \quad (6)$$

which is very similar to the Pancharatnam-Berry phase^{54,55} for discrete paths⁵⁶, $\gamma(C) = -\text{Im} \ln[\langle \phi_1 | \phi_2 \rangle \langle \phi_2 | \phi_3 \rangle \cdots \langle \phi_{N-1} | \phi_N \rangle]$. Here $\{\phi_i\}$ are N number of nonorthogonal states and C refers to the close path in the functional space. We call $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(3)}(C)$ the third-order Pancharatnam-Berry momentum tensor (PB tensor). It obeys the sum rule as

$$\sum_{m,f} (E_i - E_m)(E_m - E_f)(E_f - E_i) \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(3)}(C) = \langle i | [H, \mathbf{p}]^3 | i \rangle, \quad (7)$$

which is zero for a system with inversion symmetry. This connects the experimental detectables to those undetectable, which forms the basis of our study⁴⁷.

Results. Mn₂RuGa has a space group symmetry of F $\bar{4}$ 3m, with no inversion symmetry. Figure 1(a) is a simplified version of the structure, where one Mn is situated at the 4a site (filled circle) and another Mn at the 4c site (shaded circles). Ru and Ga take the 4d and 4b sites (small and large empty circles), respectively. Without SOC, only one term $\langle p \rangle_{3,1,2}^{(2)}(t)$ is independent, where (312) are cyclic Cartesian indices. With SOC, there are three, and we choose $\langle p \rangle_{3,1,2}^{(2)}(t)$, so even-order signals polarize along the z axis and are spatially separated from odd orders whose polarization is along the x and y axes. Figure 1(a) illustrates this process, where the light propagates along the $-z$ axis with its vector potential in the xy plane, $\mathbf{A}(t) = A_0 \exp(-t^2/\tau^2)(\cos \omega t \hat{x} \pm \sin \omega t \hat{y})$. Here A_0 is the amplitude, τ is the laser pulse duration, t is the time, and $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ are the unit vectors of the x and y axes, respectively.

In the presence of SOC, we include all the valence states from -0.4124 Ry up to the Fermi energy of 0.662 Ry, as well as the partially and completely unoccupied states up to 2.156 Ry. In total, there are 76 states at each $\mathbf{k}$ point. Semicore and core states are not included, since they appear below -2.37 Ry. Including more states produces no visible effect. We use an extremely dense $\mathbf{k}$ mesh of $48 \times 48 \times 48$ for all the results here, while the test of $\mathbf{k}$ convergence is given in the SM⁴⁷. Figure 1(c) shows ultrafast demagnetization (long dashed line) under a laser pulse of $\tau = 60$ fs, $\hbar\omega = 1.6$ eV and $A_0 = 0.015$ Vfs/Å. So the fluence is 1.33 mJ/cm². Including the intraband transition (the red line with $\delta = 0.2$ eV)^{46,47} bolsters the demagnetization from 7% to 13%, consistent with the experimental findings⁵⁷. $\Delta M_z(t)$ is very smooth without rapid oscillation. By contrast, the charge response, i.e., $p_x(t)$ and $p_z(t)$, beats strongly. Figure 1(d) illustrates that $p_x(t)$ closely follows the laser field and peaks at 0 fs, which is ahead of the spin by 40 fs. The vertical line across (c) and (d) highlights this delay of the spin with respect to the charge response⁵⁸. $p_z(t)$ is much weaker and does not peak at 0 fs. We magnify p_z by 20 times and then vertically shift it down by

one unit. Since p_z still has rapid oscillations, we take its negative envelope and superimpose it on the spin change in Fig. 1(e) (see the solid line). We find that the leading edge of $p_z(t)$ (dashed line) matches that of $\Delta M_z(t)$, far better than $p_x(t)$. We have tested three additional Heuslers, Mn_2RuAl , Mn_2IrGa , and Mn_2RuGe . We find that only Mn_2RuGe has a stronger deviation (see⁴⁷), and the rest show a consistent match between $p_z(t)$ and $\Delta M_z(t)$ (see two insets for Mn_2RuAl and Mn_2IrGa). This demonstrates that our numerical result confirms our above analysis. This match is important as it allows us to use $p_z(t)$ to understand $\Delta M_z(t)$. Obviously, a perfect match is not expected.

We Fourier transform $p_z(t)$ into the frequency domain (Fig. 1(f)), where the second order dominates, and then disperse it along the Γ -X direction (Fig. 1(b)). Figure 2(a) shows such a plot for the spin majority channel under σ^+ excitation. We notice that most $\mathbf{k}$ points along the Γ -X direction have a weak harmonic signal. The strongest one is at $(\frac{44}{48}, 0, 0)\frac{2\pi}{a}$, close to the X point, where a is the lattice constant. In Fig. 2(b), we show the band structure for the majority band, where we draw a dashed line vertically across Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) to pinpoint which bands are involved in harmonic generation. Our Fermi energy without SOC is at $E_F = 0.652$ Ry. We number our band states from Ga's $3p$ states at $E_{3p}(\text{Ga}) = -6.252$ Ry, i.e., from 1 to 3. States 4 and 5 are Mn's $3s$ states at $E_{3s}(\text{Mn}_{4c}) = -5.288$ Ry and $E_{3s}(\text{Mn}_{4a}) = -5.08$ Ry. State 6 is Ru's $5s$ state at $E_{5s}(\text{Ru}) = -4.67$ Ry, followed by six Mn's $3p$ states from 7 to 12 at $E_{3p}(\text{Mn}_{4c}) = -2.990$ and $E_{3p}(\text{Mn}_{4a}) = -2.466$ Ry, respectively. Finally, states 13 to 15 are Ru's $5p$ state at $E_{5p}(\text{Ru}) = -2.466$ Ry. The valence bands start from band 16 at -0.403 Ry. Figure 2(b) only shows bands from |29> to |38>. A particular challenge in Mn_2RuGa is that bands are strongly hybridized, so it is rather cumbersome to denote them by their orbital characters. Table I shows that |29> and |30> are a hybridized band formed by $\text{Mn}_{4a}\text{-}3d$, $\text{Mn}_{4c}\text{-}3d$, $\text{Ru}\text{-}4d$ and $\text{Ga}\text{-}4p$ states, while |38> is dominated by $\text{Mn}_{4c}\text{-}3d$ and $\text{Ru}\text{-}4d$. A detailed discussion is given in Table II of SM⁴⁷. Figure 2(c) shows the second harmonic from the spin minority channel. Because the minority channel has nearly zero density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level^{39,59}, this cuts off signals significantly. Its maximum is only half the majority channel and is shifted to a different point, $(\frac{30}{48}, 0, 0)\frac{2\pi}{a}$.

The PB tensor $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$ has three band indices, i , m and f , for each transition channel, written as $|i\rangle \rightarrow |m\rangle \rightarrow |f\rangle \rightarrow |i\rangle$. Most channels have a small element. We disperse the largest elements along the Γ -X direction (Δ line) in Fig. 3(a). At Γ , we find the first dominant channel is $|43\rangle \rightarrow |74\rangle \rightarrow |63\rangle \rightarrow |43\rangle$ (see the circles), where bands |74> and |63>

are shown in Fig. 2(f) but $|43\rangle$ is not because its energy is too low. As we move away from Γ , channel $|43\rangle \rightarrow |74\rangle \rightarrow |63\rangle \rightarrow |43\rangle$ smoothly transitions to $|44\rangle \rightarrow |74\rangle \rightarrow |63\rangle \rightarrow |43\rangle$. Once we encounter a band crossing, $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$ suddenly changes (see the squares and diamonds). Whenever there is no crossing, a smooth change is found from one $\mathbf{k}$ to another. This further confirms that the PB tensor is well defined⁴⁷. A much smaller tensor element is found along the Γ -L line (Λ line), because two flat bands are absent along this direction^{39,60,61}, where $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$ is below our numerical uncertainty limit. Because of multiple band crossings, we only find two regions with a smooth dispersion. One is between $(\frac{1}{48}, \frac{1}{48}, -\frac{1}{48})\frac{2\pi}{a}$ and $(\frac{5}{48}, \frac{5}{48}, -\frac{5}{48})\frac{2\pi}{a}$, and the other is between $(\frac{8}{48}, \frac{8}{48}, -\frac{8}{48})\frac{2\pi}{a}$ and $(\frac{13}{48}, \frac{13}{48}, -\frac{13}{48})\frac{2\pi}{a}$. Different from the Γ -X line, $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$ has both real (filled symbols) and imaginary parts (empty symbols). In summary, we have six channels: four for the minority, $|31\rangle \begin{smallmatrix} \swarrow & \searrow \\ |33\rangle \rightarrow |38\rangle & \\ \swarrow & \searrow \end{smallmatrix} |31\rangle, |32\rangle \begin{smallmatrix} \swarrow & \searrow \\ |33\rangle \rightarrow |39\rangle & \\ \swarrow & \searrow \end{smallmatrix} |32\rangle$, where the band indices are shown in Fig. 2(d), and two for the majority, $|29\rangle \rightarrow |36\rangle \rightarrow |38\rangle \rightarrow |29\rangle, |30\rangle \rightarrow |37\rangle \rightarrow |38\rangle \rightarrow |30\rangle$, where indices are shown in Fig. 2(b). Figure 2(b) reveals that the flat band $|38\rangle$ is at the center of the majority channel excitation. We call it a gateway state. The gateway state in the minority channel is $|33\rangle$, which is also a flat band (see Fig. 2(d)). Including SOC does not change the picture, except that the harmonic maximum shifts back to $(\frac{44}{48}, 0, 0)\frac{2\pi}{a}$ (Fig. 2(e)) and the flat bands are renumbered due to the doubling number of bands (Fig. 2(f)). They are now $|67\rangle$ and $|73\rangle$.

Are these flat bands essential to AOS? We employ three additional Heuslers, Mn_2YZ , where Y is Ir^{62} and Z is Ge and Al^{28,63}. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show that Mn_2RuGe has two similar flat bands, but its Fermi level is raised clearly with respect to Mn_2RuGa (compare with Figs. 4(c) and 4(d)). Replacing Ga by Al has the smallest effect on the band structure among all three new Heuslers (see Figs. 4(e) and 4(f)). The flat bands are retained. When we replace Ru by Ir^{62} , the flat band in the majority is lowered, and that in the minority is now at the Fermi level, which explains why it has a giant coercivity⁶².

We recall that in GdFeCo and TbFe the spin moment ratios of two spin sublattices are always very close to each other⁶⁴. We compute the ratio between the spin moment on Mn_{4a} and Mn_{4c} . The results are shown in Fig. 4(i). To our surprise, there is a significant variation. Mn_2RuGa and Mn_2RuAl have the smallest ratio, while Mn_2RuGe and Mn_2IrGa have a larger ratio. The smaller ratio between two spin sublattices is consistent with the small remanence criteria¹⁸ proposed much earlier. Figure 4(j) reveals the optical requirement of AOS through

the PB tensor $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$. We see that Mn_2RuGe has the strongest value and Mn_2RuGa has the smaller value. Because a strong optical excitation and a strong magnetic excitation are always opposite to each other, it is likely that the competition between these two underlines the all-optical spin switching.

Connection to future experiments. An experimental test of our theoretical prediction is exactly what we had in our mind when we carried out this study. For this reason, all the Heusler compounds, Mn_2RuAl , Mn_2RuSn , Mn_2RuSi , Mn_2RuGa , Mn_2IrGa and Mn_2RuGe , are already synthesized experimentally or well studied theoretically. The lattice constant a of Mn_2RuGa , 5.97 Å, matches that of GaAs, 5.75 Å, within 3.6 %, very attractive integration of a semimetal into a state-of-the-art semiconductor, to extend the boundary of spintronics.

We have three suggestions for experimentalists. First, since Mn_2RuAl has similar electronic, magnetic and optical properties as Mn_2RuGa , we would expect that the chance to observe AOS in Mn_2RuAl is high. So an experimental test of AOS on Mn_2RuAl will test our first prediction.

Second, Mn_2RuGe differs from Mn_2RuGa by an extra electron in the outer shell. Mn_2RuGe has the largest spin ratio among all four Heuslers investigated. We do not expect a single pulse AOS in this system. Whether or not AOS occurs in Mn_2RuGe will directly test our magnetic moment ratio prediction.

Third, Mn_2IrGa presents another opportunity. Here Ir (in Mn_2IrGa) and Gd (in GdFeCo) both have $4f$ and $5d$ shells. Although Gd is different from Ru and Ir, Gd is the only one among $4f$ rare-earth elements that has $5d$ electrons and is thus similar to Ru and Ir, whereas $4f$ electrons play a minor role as demonstrated in magnetic anisotropy⁶⁵. Ir's $4f$ shell is completely filled, since its native electron configuration is $\text{Xe}4f^{14}5d^76s^2$. $4f$ in Gd is half filled since its configuration is $\text{Xe}4f^75d^6s^2$. They both have zero orbital moment from the $4f$ shell. In addition, Ir also has zero spin moment from the $4f$ shell. Whether AOS in Mn_2IrGa occurs experimentally allows one to distinguish the role of $4f$, with respect to their differences in $5d$. Since Ru's native electron configuration is $\text{Kr}4d^75s^1$, a comparison between Mn_2IrGa and Mn_2RuGa is potentially able to compare and contrast the roles of $4d$ and $5d$ in AOS. Therefore, we believe there are ample opportunities that await experimental verifications.

In conclusion, we have shown that a group of flat bands, with a large PB tensor, act as gateway states that channel one sublattice spin to another to realize all-optical spin switching

in Mn-based Heusler ferrimagnets. This is the origin of the theoretical intersite exchange model by Mentink *et al.*²⁰. Although all four Heuslers have flat bands, only Mn₂RuGa and Mn₂RuAl have a small spin moment ratio between two spin sublattices, explaining the experimental low remanence criterion¹⁸. Our finding will have a significant impact on future research on two fronts. Conceptually, the Pancharatnam-Berry tensor extends the Berry phase⁵⁵ and connects the n th-order nonlinear optics to the $(n + 1)$ th PB tensor, so one has a way to quantify the efficacy of nonlinear optical materials. More importantly, since all four Heuslers are readily available, their supertunability renders them an ideal test bed, representing a paradigm shift for future experimental and theoretical investigations of all-optical spin switching.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Peter Blaha for helpful communications on the partial charge. YQL and MSS were supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11874189. GPZ was partly supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FG02-06ER46304. Part of the work was done on Indiana State University's Quantum and Obsidian Clusters. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

*guo-ping.zhang@outlook.com. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1792-2701>

¹ E. Beaurepaire, J. C. Merle, A. Daunois, and J.-Y. Bigot, Ultrafast spin dynamics in ferromagnetic nickel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 4250 (1996).

² G. P. Zhang, W. Hübner, E. Beaurepaire, and J.-Y. Bigot, Laser-induced ultrafast demagnetization: Femtomagnetism, A new frontier? *Topics Appl. Phys.* **83**, 245 (2002).

³ C. D. Stanciu, F. Hansteen, A. V. Kimel, A. Kirilyuk, A. Tsukamoto, A. Itoh, and Th. Rasing, All-optical magnetic recording with circularly polarized light, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 047601 (2007).

⁴ T. A. Ostler, J. Barker, R. F. L. Evans, R. W. Chantrell, U. Atxitia, O. Chubykalo-Fesenko, S. El Moussaoui, L. Le Guyader, E. Mengotti, L. J. Heyderman, F. Nolting, A. Tsukamoto, A.

- Itoh, D. Afanasiev, B. A. Ivanov, A. M. Kalashnikova, K. Vahaplar, J. Mentink, A. Kirilyuk, Th. Rasing, and A. V. Kimel, Ultrafast heating as a sufficient stimulus for magnetization reversal in a ferrimagnet, *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 666 (2012).
- ⁵ S. Mangin, M. Gottwald, C.-H. Lambert, D. Steil, V. Uhler, L. Pang, M. Hehn, S. Alebrand, M. Cinchetti, G. Malinowski, Y. Fainman, M. Aeschlimann, and E. E. Fullerton, Engineered materials for all-optical helicity-dependent magnetic switching, *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 286 (2014).
- ⁶ C.-H. Lambert, S. Mangin, B. S. D. Ch. S. Varaprasad, Y. K. Takahashi, M. Hehn, M. Cinchetti, G. Malinowski, K. Hono, Y. Fainman, M. Aeschlimann, and E. E. Fullerton, All-optical control of ferromagnetic thin films and nanostructures, *Science* **345**, 1337 (2014).
- ⁷ G. P. Zhang, M. Murakami, M. S. Si, Y. H. Bai, and T. F. George, Understanding all-optical spin switching: Comparison between experiment and theory, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **32**, 1830003 (2018).
- ⁸ Y. Quessab, M. Deb, J. Gorchon, M. Hehn, G. Malinowski, and S. Mangin, Resolving the role of magnetic circular dichroism in multishot helicity-dependent all-optical switching, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 024425 (2019).
- ⁹ J.-X. Lin, M. Hehn, T. Hauet, Y. Peng, J. Igarashi, Y. Le Guen, Q. Remy, J. Gorchon, G. Malinowski, S. Mangin, and J. Hohlfeld, Single laser pulse induced magnetization switching in in-plane magnetized GdCo alloys, *Phys. Rev. B* **108**, L220403 (2023).
- ¹⁰ J. Wang, M. Li, C. Li, R. Tang, M. S. Si, G. Chai, J. Yao, C. Jia, and C. Jiang, Piezostain-controlled magnetization compensation temperature in ferrimagnetic GdFeCo alloy films, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 184424 (2023).
- ¹¹ Y. Kasatani, H. Yoshikawa, and A. Tsukamoto, Electrical detection and current control of all-optical magnetization switching in GdFeCo ferrimagnetic alloy thin film, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **62**, SB1014 (2023).
- ¹² S. Alebrand, M. Gottwald, M. Hehn, D. Steil, M. Cinchetti, D. Lacour, E. E. Fullerton, M. Aeschlimann, and S. Mangin, Light-induced magnetization reversal of high-anisotropy TbCo alloy films, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **101**, 162408 (2012).
- ¹³ A. Hassdenteufel, B. Hebler, C. Schubert, A. Liebig, M. Teich, M. Helm, M. Aeschlimann, M. Albrecht, and R. Bratschitsch, Thermally assisted all-optical helicity dependent magnetic switching in amorphous Fe_{100-x}Tb_x alloy films, *Adv. Mater.* **25**, 3122 (2013).
- ¹⁴ M. Vomir, M. Albrecht, and J.-Y. Bigot, Single shot all optical switching of intrinsic micron size

magnetic domains of a Pt/Co/Pt ferromagnetic stack, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **111**, 242404 (2017).

¹⁵ K. Vahaplar, A. M. Kalashnikova, A. V. Kimel, S. Gerlach, D. Hinzke, U. Nowak, R. Chantrell, A. Tsukamoto, A. Itoh, A. Kirilyuk, and Th. Rasing, All-optical magnetization reversal by circularly polarized laser pulses: Experiment and multiscale modeling, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 104402 (2012).

¹⁶ B. Hebler, A. Hassdenteufel, P. Reinhardt, H. Karl, and M. Albrecht, Ferrimagnetic Tb-Fe alloy thin films: composition and thickness dependence of magnetic properties and all-optical switching, *Frontiers in Materials* **3**, 8 (2016).

¹⁷ J. Gorchon, C.-H. Lambert, Y. Yang, A. Pattabi, R. B. Wilson, S. Salahuddin, and J. Bokor, Single shot ultrafast all optical magnetization switching of ferromagnetic Co/Pt multilayers, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **111**, 042401 (2017).

¹⁸ A. Hassdenteufel, J. Schmidt, C. Schubert, B. Hebler, M. Helm, M. Albrecht, and R. Bratschkitsch, Low-remanence criterion for helicity-dependent all-optical magnetic switching in ferromagnets, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 104431 (2015).

¹⁹ P. Scheid, Q. Remy, S. Lebegue, G. Malinowski, and S. Mangin, Light induced ultrafast magnetization dynamics in metallic compounds, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **560**, 169596 (2022).

²⁰ J. H. Mentink, J. Hellsvik, D. V. Afanasiev, B. A. Ivanov, A. Kirilyuk, A. V. Kimel, O. Eriksson, M. I. Katsnelson, and Th. Rasing, Ultrafast spin dynamics in multisublattice magnets, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 057202 (2012).

²¹ F. Jakobs and U. Atxitia, Atomistic spin model of single pulse toggle switching in $\text{Mn}_2\text{Ru}_x\text{Ga}$ Heusler alloys, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **120**, 172401 (2022).

²² R. F. L. Evans, W. J. Fan, P. Chureemart, T. A. Ostler, M. O. A. Ellis and R. W. Chantrell, Atomistic spin model simulations of magnetic nanomaterials, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **26**, 103202 (2014).

²³ U. Parlak, R. Adam, D. E. Bürgler, S. Gang, and C. M. Schneider, Optically induced magnetization reversal in $[\text{Co/Pt}]_N$ multilayers: Role of domain wall dynamics, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 214443 (2018).

²⁴ G. Kichin, M. Hehn, J. Gorchon, G. Malinowski, J. Hohlfeld, and S. Mangin, From multiple- to single-pulse all-optical helicity-dependent switching in ferromagnetic Co/Pt multilayers, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **12**, 024019 (2019).

²⁵ S. Alebrand, A. Hassdenteufel, D. Steil, M. Cinchetti, and M. Aeschlimann, Interplay of heating

- and helicity in all-optical magnetization switching, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 092401 (2012).
- ²⁶ S. Wurmehl, H. C. Kandpal, G. H. Fecher, and C. Felser, Valence electron rules for prediction of half-metallic compensated-ferrimagnetic behaviour of Heusler compounds with complete spin polarization, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **18**, 6171 (2006).
- ²⁷ T. Graf, F. Casper, J. Winterlik, B. Balke, G. H. Fecher, and C. Felser, Crystal structure of new Heusler compounds, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **635**, 976 (2009).
- ²⁸ K. Endo, T. Kanomata, H. Nishihara, and K. R. A. Ziebeck, Magnetic properties of new compounds RuMn_2Sn and RuMn_2Si , *J. Alloys Compd.* **510**, 1 (2012).
- ²⁹ M. Hakimi, M. Venkatesan, K. Rode, K. Ackland, and J. M. D. Coey, The zero-magnetization Heusler ferrimagnet, *J. Appl. Phys.* **113**, 17B101 (2013).
- ³⁰ L. Wollmann, S. Chadov, J. Kübler, and C. Felser, Magnetism in cubic manganese-rich Heusler compounds, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 214420 (2014).
- ³¹ L. Yang, B. Liu, F. Meng, H. Liu, H. Luo, E. Liu, W. Wang and G. Wu, Magnetic properties of Heusler alloy Mn_2RuGe and Mn_2RuGa ribbons, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **379**, 1 (2015).
- ³² M. Zic, K. Rode, N. Thiyagarajah, Y.-C. Lau, D. Betto, J. M. D. Coey, S. Sanvito, K. J. O'Shea, C. A. Ferguson, D. A. MacLaren, and T. Archer, Designing a fully compensated half-metallic ferrimagnet, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 140202 (2016).
- ³³ G. Chang, S. Xu, H. Zheng, B. Singh, C. Hsu, G. Bian, N. Ali doust, I. Belopolski, D. S. Sanchez, S. Zhang, H. Lin and M. Z. Hasan, Room-temperature magnetic topological Weyl fermion and nodal line semimetal states in half-metallic Heusler Co_2TiX ($\text{X}=\text{Si}$, Ge , or Sn), *Scientific Reports* **6**, 38839 (2016).
- ³⁴ Z. Wang, M. G. Vergniory, S. Kushwaha, M. Hirschberger and E. V. Chulkov, Time-reversal-breaking Weyl fermions in magnetic Heusler alloys, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 236401 (2016).
- ³⁵ J. Kübler and C. Felser, Weyl points in the ferromagnetic Heusler compound Co_2MnAl , *EPL* **114**, 47005 (2016).
- ³⁶ T. Song, Q. Ma, X.-W. Sun, Z.-J. Liu, X.-P. Wei, and J.-H. Tian, High-pressure and high-temperature physical properties of half-metallic full-Heusler alloy Mn_2RuSi by first-principles and quasi-harmonic Debye model, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **424**, 359 (2017).
- ³⁷ W. Zhang, Y. Jin, R. Skomski, P. Kharel, X. Li, T. Chen, G. Zhao, D. Kim, S. Valloppilly, and D. J. Sellmyer, Mn_2CrGa -based Heusler alloys with low net moment and high spin polarization, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **51**, 255001 (2018).

348 ³⁸ B. Ernst, R. Sahoo, Y. Sun, J. Nayak, L. MÜchler, A. K. Nayak, N. Kumar, J. Gayles, A.
349 Markou, G. H. Fecher, and C. Felser, Anomalous Hall effect and the role of Berry curvature in
350 Co₂TiSn Heusler films, *Phys. Rev. B*. **100**, 054445 (2019).

351 ³⁹ G. P. Zhang, Y. H. Bai, M. S. Si and T. F. George, First-principles insight into all-optical spin
352 switching in the half-metallic Heusler ferrimagnet Mn₂RuGa, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 054431 (2022).

353 ⁴⁰ L. Wollmann, A. K. Nayak, S. S. P. Parkin, and C. Felser, Heusler 4.0: Tunable Materials, *Annu.*
354 *Rev. Mater. Res.* **47**, 247 (2017).

355 ⁴¹ C. Banerjee, N. Teichert, K. Siewierska, Z. Gercsi, G. Atcheson, P. Stamenov, K. Rode, J.
356 M. D. Coey, and J. Besbas, Single pulse all-optical toggle switching of magnetization without
357 gadolinium in the ferrimagnet Mn₂Ru_xGa, *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4444 (2020).

358 ⁴² G. P. Zhang, R. Meadows, A. Tamayo, Y. H. Bai, and T. F. George, An attempt to simulate
359 laser-induced all-optical spin switching in a crystalline ferrimagnet, *AIP Adv.* **10**, 125323 (2020).

360 ⁴³ F. Jakobs and U. Atxitia, Universal criteria for single femtosecond pulse ultrafast magnetization
361 switching in ferrimagnets, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 172401 (2022).

362 ⁴⁴ G. P. Zhang, W. Hübner, G. Lefkidis, Y. Bai, and T. F. George, Paradigm of the time-resolved
363 magneto-optical Kerr effect for femtosecond magnetism, *Nat. Phys.* **5**, 499 (2009).

364 ⁴⁵ P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J. Luitz, WIEN2k, An Augmented
365 Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Karlheinz Schwarz,
366 Techn. Universität Wien, Austria, 2001).

367 ⁴⁶ M. Murakami and G. P. Zhang, Strong ultrafast demagnetization due to the intraband transi-
368 tions, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **35**, 495803 (2023).

369 ⁴⁷ Supplementary Material contains additional information about our method, the underlying
370 mechanism of AOS, numerical results of PB tensors, partial charges, and **k** convergence tests.

371 ⁴⁸ P. N. Butcher and D. Cotter, *The Elements of Nonlinear Optics*, Cambridge University Press,
372 Cambridge (1990).

373 ⁴⁹ R. R. Birss, *Symmetry and Magnetism*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam (1964).

374 ⁵⁰ G. P. Zhang, M. S. Si, M. Murakami, Y. H. Bai, and T. F. George, Generating high-order optical
375 and spin harmonics from ferromagnetic monolayers, *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3031 (2018).

376 ⁵¹ M. Lax, *Symmetry Principles in Solid State and Molecular Physics*, J. Wiley and Sons, Inc.,
377 New York (1974).

378 ⁵² H. Regensburger, R. Vollmer, and J. Kirschner, Time-resolved magnetization-induced second-

harmonic generation from the Ni(110) surface, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 14716 (2000).

⁵³ P. Scheid, J. Hohlfeld, G. Malinowski, A. Bergman, O. Eriksson, S. Lebegue, and S. Mangin, Uncovering the role of the light-induced reduction of the inter-atomic exchange in the ultrafast demagnetization of Fe, Co, and Ni, arXiv:2301.01055v1.

⁵⁴ S. Pancharatnam, Generalized theory of interference and its applications, Part I. Coherent pencils, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. A. **44**, 247 (1956).

⁵⁵ M. V. Berry, Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **392**, 45 (1984).

⁵⁶ D. Vanderbilt, *Berry Phases in Electronic Structure Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2018).

⁵⁷ G. Bonfiglio, K. Rode, G. Y. P. Atcheson, P. Stamenov, J. M. D. Coey, A. V. Kimel, Th. Rasing, and A. Kirilyuk, Sub-picosecond exchange-relaxation in the compensated ferrimagnet $\text{Mn}_2\text{Ru}_x\text{Ga}$, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **33**, 135804 (2021).

⁵⁸ W. Hübner and G. P. Zhang, Ultrafast spin dynamics in ferromagnetic nickel, Phys. Rev. B **58**, R5920 (1998).

⁵⁹ Y. Q. Liu, M. S. Si and G. P. Zhang, Strong and nearly 100% spin-polarized second-harmonic generation from ferrimagnet Mn_2RuGa , Phys. Rev. A **108**, 033514 (2023).

⁶⁰ I. Galanakis, P. H. Dederichs, and N. Papanikolaou, Slater-Pauling behavior and origin of the half-metallicity of the full-Heusler alloys, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 174429 (2002).

⁶¹ I. Galanakis, P. H. Dederichs, and N. Papanikolaou, Origin and properties of the gap in the half-ferromagnetic Heusler alloys, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 134428 (2002).

⁶² A. K. Patel, Y. Venkateswara, S. S. Samatham, A. Lakhani, J. Nayak, K. G. Suresh, and A. Alam, Spin-valve nature and giant coercivity of a ferrimagnetic spin semimetal Mn_2IrGa , arXiv:2303.04649v1.

⁶³ T. Roy, M. Tsujikawa and M. Shirai, Ab initio study of electronic and magnetic properties of $\text{Mn}_2\text{RuZ}/\text{MgO}(001)$ heterojunctions ($Z = \text{Al}, \text{Ge}$), J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **33**, 145505 (2021). Their earlier version also includes $Z = \text{Al}, \text{Ga}, \text{Si}, \text{Ge}$, see arXiv:2010.06761v1.

⁶⁴ G. P. Zhang, Y. H. Bai, and T. F. George, Switching ferromagnetic spins by an ultrafast laser pulse: Emergence of giant optical spin-orbit torque, EPL **115**, 57003 (2016).

⁶⁵ S. Abdelouahed and M. Alouani, Magnetic anisotropy in Gd, GdN, and GdFe_2 tuned by the energy of gadolinium $4f$ states, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 054406 (2009).

TABLE I. (Top) Composition of the majority band states at $(\frac{44}{48}, 0, 0)\frac{2\pi}{a}$ and (bottom) that of the minority band states at $(\frac{30}{48}, 0, 0)\frac{2\pi}{a}$. The band indices are shown in Fig. 2. l refers to the orbital angular momentum quantum number. The numbers given under each column are partial charges in percentages. Since the majority and minority states are from different $\mathbf{k}$, one should not add them up.

Majority states	Mn _{4a}			Mn _{4c}			Ru			Ga		
$(\frac{44}{48}, 0, 0)\frac{2\pi}{a}$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$
$ 29\rangle, 30\rangle$	0	3.71	13.88	0	5.78	8.92	0	6.41	10.57	0	18.25	0.01
$ 36\rangle, 37\rangle$	0	1.15	18.46	0	0.82	59.52	0	0.98	10.48	0	0.57	1.42
$ 38\rangle$	0	0	0	0	0	71.85	0	0	25.84	0	0	0
Minority states	Mn _{4a}			Mn _{4c}			Ru			Ga		
$(\frac{30}{48}, 0, 0)\frac{2\pi}{a}$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$
$ 31\rangle, 32\rangle$	0	2.50	45.29	0	8.33	0.67	0	5.72	3.78	0	7.68	0.58
$ 33\rangle$	0	0	4.57	0	0	51.60	0	0	40.00	0	0	0.15
$ 35\rangle$	0	0	78.75	0	0	0.01	0	0	11.45	0	0	0.69
$ 38\rangle, 39\rangle$	0	3.87	42.54	0	3.92	8.67	0	3.37	16.46	0	4.51	1.31




FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of the interaction between a σ pulse and Mn₂RuGa. Even and odd harmonics are emitted along two different directions. Inset: Simplified crystal structure of Mn₂RuGa, where the filled circles are Mn_{4a}, and the shaded circle is Mn_{4c}, the small and large empty circles are the Ga_{4b} and Ru_{4d} atoms, respectively. (b) The Brillouin zone of Mn₂RuGa. (c) Spin change $\Delta M_z = (\frac{M_z(t)}{M_z(-\infty)} - 1)$ for two intraband cutoffs $\delta = 0.0$ (dashed line) and 0.2 eV (solid line). (d) p_x and p_z as a function of time. (e) Envelope of p_z (red dotted lines) and ΔM_z (solid lines) changes with time for Mn₂RuGa, Mn₂IrGa and Mn₂RuAl (insets). (f) Logarithmic harmonic signals along the z axis.




FIG. 2. (a) Second harmonic signal of the spin majority channel dispersed along the Γ -X direction, without spin-orbit coupling. The vertical one denotes the location of the largest peak at $(\frac{44}{48}, 0, 0) \frac{2\pi}{a}$. (b) Band structure of the spin majority channel, where the horizontal dashed line is the Fermi level. (c) The same as (a), but for the spin minority channel, where the maximum peak is shifted to $(\frac{30}{48}, 0, 0) \frac{2\pi}{a}$. (d) Spin minority band structure. (e) Second harmonic signal with spin-orbit coupling, whose maximum peak is shifted back to $(\frac{44}{48}, 0, 0) \frac{2\pi}{a}$. The numbers with subscript g refer to the flat band gateway states. (f) Band structure with SOC.




FIG. 3. (a) Large elements of the Pancharatnam-Berry tensor $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$ in units of $\hbar^3/a_0^3$ along the Γ -X direction for a few selected channels denoted by (i, m, f, i) in the figure, same as $|i\rangle \rightarrow |m\rangle \rightarrow |f\rangle \rightarrow |i\rangle$ in the main text, where band indices i, m, f are those bands shown in Fig. 2(f). We link symbols by lines only if they have similar channel states. For instance, the circles are mainly due to $|43\rangle \rightarrow |74\rangle \rightarrow |63\rangle \rightarrow |43\rangle$. All the elements are all imaginary. (b) Pancharatnam-Berry tensor $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$ elements along the Γ -L direction, which is much weaker. The filled symbols are the real part of $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$, while the unfilled symbols are the imaginary part of $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$.




FIG. 4. (a)-(h) Comprehensive band structure comparison among four Heusler compounds, Mn_2RuGe , Mn_2RuGa , Mn_2RuAl and Mn_2IrGa . The majority bands are in (a), (c), (e) and (g), while the minority are in (b), (d), (f) and (h). (i) Spin moment ratio between two Mn atoms. (j) Maximum PB tensor elements $\eta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})$ along the Γ -X and Γ -L directions.