

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Probing putative orbital differentiation effects via math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">msup>mr ow>mi>Eu/mi>/mrow>mrow>mn>2/mn>mo>+/mo>/mro w>/msup>/math> spin dynamics in math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">mrow>/msup ws/msup>/math> spin dynamics in math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">mrow>/msup ws/msup>/math> spin dynamics in math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">mrow>/msup ws/msup>/math> mrow>ms ub>mi>Sr/mi>mrow>mn>1/mn>mtext>-/mtext>mi>x/m i>/mrow>/msub>msub>mi>Eu/mi>mi>x/mi>/msub>msu b>mi>Fe/mi>mn>2/mn>/msub>msub>mi>As/mi>mn>2/ mn>/msub>/mrow>/math> M. Radaelli, M. M. Piva, J. C. Souza, G. G. Lesseux, C. B. R. Jesus, D. Tobia, R. R. Urbano, P. F. S. Rosa, and P. G. Pagliuso Phys. Rev. B **107**, 134512 — Published 12 April 2023 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.107.134512

# Probing putative orbital differentiation effects via $Eu^{2+}$ spin dynamics in in $\mathbf{Sr}_{1-x}\mathbf{Eu}_{x}\mathbf{Fe}_{2}\mathbf{As}_{2}$

M. Radaelli<sup>1</sup>, M. M. Piva<sup>1,2</sup>, J. C. Souza<sup>1</sup><sup>†</sup>, G. G. Lesseux<sup>1</sup>, C. B. R.

Jesus<sup>1,3</sup>, D. Tobia,<sup>1,4</sup>, R. R. Urbano<sup>1</sup>, P. F. S. Rosa<sup>5</sup> and P. G. Pagliuso<sup>1,5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin", UNICAMP, 13083-859, Campinas, SP, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Nöthnitzer Str. 40, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, SE, 49500-000, Brazil

<sup>4</sup>Instituto de Nanociencia y Nanotecnología (CNEA-CONICET),

Centro Atómico Bariloche, Bariloche, RN, Argentina

<sup>5</sup>Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA.

(Dated: March 31, 2023)

In this work, we report x-ray powder diffraction, elemental analysis, electrical resistivity, magnetic susceptibility, specific heat and electron spin resonance (ESR) in single crystals of  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$ . We observed a breakdown of the previously reported scaling between the  $Eu^{2+}$  Korringa relaxation rate obtained from ESR and the spin density wave temperature evolution for Sr-rich samples. This result suggests a distinct evolution of the orbital differentiation of the Fe 3d bands along the Srbased series when compared to the Ba counterpart. We argue that this difference is related to a larger splitting between the structural (tetragonal-to-orthorhombic) and the Fe-driven spin density wave transitions induced by Eu-doping in this series. In fact, our results indicate that the two transitions follow an opposite x-Eu dependence for Sr-concentrated samples. Our work shows that  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  series and the comparison with their Ba-based counterparts are an exciting platform to be explored towards the understanding of the interplay between orbital differentiation, magnetism and structural distortions in the iron pnictides.

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q,75.78.-n

## I. INTRODUCTION

The interplay between magnetic order and superconductivity (SC) in several classes of unconventional superconductors suggests that magnetism can play an important role in the pairing mechanism for these systems [1]. Iron-based superconductors (FeSCs) are excellent materials for the study of this relation due to the possible presence of a spin-density-wave (SDW) antiferromagnetic order at high temperatures, which can be suppressed by chemical substitution and/or external applied pressure, inducing a superconducting state [2–4]. However, until now there is no complete microscopic understanding of the origin of the pairing mechanism in FeSCs.[5]

Another element which may be important to the pairing mechanism in FeSCs is the nematic phase [6, 7]. In particular, recent angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy results argue that the microscopic mechanism of the nematic phase should be the same between the iron  $AFe_2As_2$  (A = Ba, Sr, Ca) pnictides and Fe(Se,Te)chalcogenides [8–11]. Therefore, there are pressing open questions, such as the role of nematicity [12, 13], orbital selectivity of the itinerant Fe 3d bands [14–22] and, particularly for the iron pnictides, carrier doping [23] to the appearance of a superconducting ground state.

Regarding doping, there are reports of SC induced by substitutions in all distinct crystallographic sites of the iron-based materials [3, 4, 24-26]. It is important to note that isoelectronic P substitution in the As site [3], along with the appearance of SC in stoichiometric iron pnictides under pressure [27], bring insights to the controversy about the actual role of charge doping in these materials [23]. Co-substituted BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> is regarded as one of the prime examples of charge doping [3, 4]. However, x-ray absorption near edge structure measurements indicate no observable change in the Fe K edge spectra, hence Co-substitution does not add any electrons to the Fermi surface [28]. Furthermore, nuclear magnetic resonance measurements found the same quadrupolar frequency for the diluted regime of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Co^{2+}$  substituted BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> samples, which again does not support the expectation that each Cu would deliver two extra 3delectrons into the Fermi surface compared to Co substitution [29, 30].

Another crucial point is the role of orbital differentiation in the iron pnictides. This term is usually associate with the distinct weights of the Fe 3d orbitals  $(d_{xy}, d_{xz})$  $d_{yz}, d_{x^2-y^2}$  and  $d_{z^2}$ ) at the Fermi surface of these materials. This is a result of the actual structural symmetry of the Fe-site and therefore is also strongly connected to tetragonal-to-orthorhombic transition at  $T_s$  as well as to  $T_{SDW}$ . Particularly, extended x-ray absorption fine structure measurements have shown that both applied pressure and chemical substitutions in BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> are responsible for a shortening of the Fe-As bond length accompanied by a suppression of the SDW magnetic phase [31].

Previous electron spin resonance (ESR) reports show that this decrease in the Fe-As distance  $d_{Fe-As}$  is closely connected to the suppression of the SDW magnetic phase transition temperature  $T_{SDW}$  and the localization of the Fe 3d electrons in the FeAs planes [32–34]. The increase of the planar character of the Fe 3d orbitals, favoring the occupation of the xy and  $x^2 - y^2$  orbitals at the Fermi surface may be a key ingredient in the physics of FeSCs [14]. Finally, recent scanning tunneling spectroscopy measurements in Ba<sub>0.6</sub>K<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> give interesting insights about the orbital selectivity, as it was found that tunneling on each termination surface of this compound probes superconductivity through selecting distinct Fe 3d orbitals.[22]. All the above results hint that SC, orbital differentiation of the itinerant Fe 3d bands and the Fe-As bond length are intimately connected for pnictides.

In order to further understand and generalize the role of the orbital differentiation to the physics of the FeAsbased materials, it is instructive to tune the coupling between structural and magnetic orders in distinct members of this family. While for BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> the tetragonalto-orthorhombic transition at  $T_s$  is either a weakly first order or a second order transition [35], for the counterpart compound SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> the structural transition is first order [36–39]. As a consequence, the structural and magnetic orders are, presumably, strongly coupled, which weakens the nematic phase [39].

In this work we have performed ESR measurements in  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  single crystals. ESR is a microscopic probe which can detect changes in the Fe 3d bands at the Fermi level through an analysis of the  $Eu^{2+}$  spin dynamics [32, 33]. More specifically, the exchange interaction J between the conduction electrons (*ce*) and the  $Eu^{2+}$  local moments depends on the overlap between the atomic orbitals [32, 33]. For  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$ , the  $Eu^{2+}$  local moments are our ESR paramagnetic probes located out of the FeAs plane. Therefore, an increase of the planar xy orbital contribution would decrease the exchange interaction between ce and the Eu<sup>2+</sup> moments. Conversely, an increase in the yz or xz orbital contributions would increase orbital overlap with the ESR probe. Such exchange interaction will be reflected in the relaxation of our probe, through the spin-flip scattering between the  $Eu^{2+}$  local moments and the carriers (Korringa mechanism) [41-43], and in the *g*-value, extracted from the  $Eu^{2+}$  resonance field [41–43]. Our data unveil that the Korringa relaxation rate b, and consequently the exchange J, does not follow  $T_{SDW}$  in Sr-rich samples. This result indicates that the orbital differentiation of the Fe 3d orbitals is instead connected with  $T_s$ . We argue that  $T_s$  and  $T_{SDW}$  follow an opposite dependence as a function of  $Eu^{2+}$  substituion in Sr-rich samples. Our work shows that the  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  system may be an interesting playground to understand the role of different interactions in the physics of FeSCs.

### **II. METHODS**

Single crystalline samples of  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  were grown by the In-flux method [44, 45]. The I4/mmm crystalline structure was confirmed by x-ray powder diffraction at room temperature using a commercial diffractometer. The synthesized phase and the actual  $Eu^{2+}$  content in the samples were determined by elemental analysis using energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS). High-temperature X-band ( $\nu = 9.34 \text{ GHz}$ ) ESR measurements were performed in a commercial spectrometer using appropriated resonators and temperature-controller systems. Both single crystals and powdered samples were used in the ESR experiments to study anisotropic effects and to increase the ESR signal-to-noise ratio, respectively. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments in single crystals of undoped SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> were carried out using a NMR probe equipped with a goniometer for fine in situ alignment of the crystallographic axes with the external applied magnetic field. The field-swept <sup>75</sup>As NMR spectra  $(I = 3/2; \gamma/2\pi = 7.2919 \text{ MHz/T})$  were obtained by stepwise summing the Fourier transform of the spinecho signals. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed in SQUID-based commercial magnetometer. Specific heat measurements were done in a small-mass calorimeter system that employs a quasi adiabatic thermal relaxation technique. In plane electrical resistivity was obtained in a commercial low-frequency equipment using the standard four-probe technique and with the current applied in the *ab*-plane.

## **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 1 displays the lattice parameters obtained from Rietveld refinements of the  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  x-ray powder diffraction. Both *a* and *c* decrease linearly with increasing  $Eu^{2+}$  content, indicating a homogeneous substitution of  $Sr^{2+}$  by  $Eu^{2+}$ , in agreement with Vegard's law [46]. However, *c* is more affected (1.6 % total variation) than *a* (0.4% total variation) with increasing  $Eu^{2+}$  substitution. The lattice parameters present similar values as in previous reports [2]. No changes on Fe-As local geometry were detected in these measurements along this series within the experimental uncertainty.

Figure 2 presents the specific heat  $(c_p)$ , magnetic susceptibility  $(\chi)$  and resistivity  $(\rho)$  as a function of temperature for  $\mathrm{Sr}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{Fe}_2\mathrm{As}_2$ . One can clearly see a sharp peak at high temperatures in Fig. 2 (a), which can be associated with both the structural and SDW phase transition. One can see that this anomaly initially increases and becomes sharper for the 1-x = 0.97 sample and then decreases as a function of  $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$  concentration. This decrease is consistent with the expected behavior of the  $T_{SDW}$  since  $\mathrm{EuFe}_2\mathrm{As}_2$  shows a lower  $T_{SDW}$  ( $\approx 192$  K) when compared to  $\mathrm{SrFe}_2\mathrm{As}_2$  ( $\approx 205$ 



FIG. 1. Lattice parameters a and c for  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$ . The dashed lines are guides to the eye.

K). A small shoulder is visible at intermediate concentrations, which could be related with disorder effects producing a distribution of  $T_{SDW}$ s in the crystals. In contrast to substituted BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> samples, no splitting of  $T_S$  and  $T_{SDW}$  is observed in specific heat measurements for  $\mathrm{Sr}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{Fe}_2\mathrm{As}_2$ . At low temperatures, a rise in  $c_p/T$  is observed for  $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ -rich samples. Such rise is caused by  $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ - $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$  interactions, similar to  $\mathrm{Ba}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{Fe}_2\mathrm{As}_2$  [32]. For higher  $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$  concentrations  $(1 - x \leq 0.36)$ , antiferromagnetic order of the  $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$  local moments occurs, which generates a low-temperature peak in  $c_p/T$ .

The magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature is displayed in Fig. 2 (b). The magnetic field H =300 Oe was applied parallel to the *ab* plane. The data for Eu<sup>2+</sup>-substituted samples, corrected for the core diamagnetism, were fitted to a Curie-Weiss law at high temperatures (220 K < T < 300 K) (see the top right inset of Fig. 2). From these fits we estimate the  $Eu^{2+}$  concentration, assuming each ion carries an effective magnetic moment of 7.94  $\mu_B$ . All Eu<sup>2+</sup> concentrations are in agreement with the concentration obtained from EDS measurements. We also extracted the Pauli contribution  $\chi_0 = 0.002(3)$  emu/mol-Oe for all concentrations. Regarding the magnetic transitions,  $T_{SDW}$  can be seen as a kink in  $\chi$  for all concentrations, as shown in one example in the top left inset of Fig. 2 (b). At low temperatures, one can see a clear monotonic decrease of  $T_N$  as a function of  $Eu^{2+}$  concentration, which is consistent with specific heat measurements.

The temperature dependence of normalized resistivity  $(\rho/\rho_{300K})$  is illustrated in Fig. 2 (c). At high temperatures, a metallic behavior is observed for all x. A clear kink characterizes  $T_{SDW}$  for all samples. The derivative of  $\rho$  only shows one peak at high temperatures (left inset) in agreement with the heat capacity data. The estimated transition width from these data for the pure SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of (a) specific heat, (b) magnetic susceptibility and (c) dc resistivity for  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$ .  $\chi$  measurements were done with applied field H = 300 Oe parallel to the *ab*-plane. The top insets in (b) show the signature of  $T_{SDW}$  and the data at high temperatures. The insets in (c) show the derivative of the resistivity near  $T_{SDW}$  (left) and  $T_N$  (right).

samples is  $\approx 2$  K, which indicates that if there is a separation between  $T_s$  and  $T_{SDW}$  in these sample, it should be smaller than 2 K. The right inset of Fig. 2 (c) shows the derivative of the resistivity at low temperatures, in which we obtained a peak that can be associated with  $T_N$ . In fact, the transition temperatures extracted from resistivity, specific heat and magnetic susceptibility are consistent with each other.

To gain microscopic insights regarding the possible splitting of  $T_S$  and  $T_{SDW}$  in  $\mathrm{Sr}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{Fe}_2\mathrm{As}_2$  we have

performed NMR experiments in single crystals of undoped SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. Figure 3 shows the <sup>75</sup>As NMR signal for an In-grown SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystal within the 204 K to 200 K temperature range with  $H \perp c$ . At 204 K, the narrow line at  $H \approx 9.35$  T corresponds to the (- $1/2 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ ) transition in the tetragonal/paramagnetic phase of SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> near the structural/SDW transitions. The intensity of the resonance dramatically disappears within a 2 K range as the sample is cooled through the structural/SDW transitions. In addition, no broad line associated with the orthorhombic phase or ordered magnetic domains with strong magnetic interactions emerges in this range, as previously observed for doped BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>.[58] This result reveals that the presence of even stronger magnetic interactions below  $T_{SDW}$ in SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> are affecting the NMR line associated to the orthorhombic/ordered phase. As a result, the resonance line is wiped out due to quite fast relaxation below  $T_s$ . [58]

The presence of even stronger magnetic interactions in the ordered state  $SrFe_2As_2$  when compared to  $BaFe_2As_2$ indicates that most of the available entropy of the structural/SDW transitions rely on the magnetic transition. This would make it harder to track the  $T_S$  evolution in the  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  series using macroscopic measurements.

Now, to obtain microscopic insights about the orbital differentiation through the Eu<sup>2+</sup> spin dynamics evolution along the series, we have performed ESR in  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  crystals. Fig. 4 shows the summary of our Eu<sup>2+</sup> X-band ESR. The left panel of Fig. 4 shows the Eu<sup>2+</sup> ESR spectra at T = 200 K for 1 - x = 0.83, 0.68, 0.22 and 0.14. The magenta solid lines are the best fits to the Eu<sup>2+</sup> ESR spectra considering an admixture of absorption and dispersion derivatives. The power absorption derivative (dP/dH) as a function of the applied field H can be expressed as

$$\frac{dP}{dH} \propto (1-\lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \left(\frac{1}{1+x^2}\right) + \lambda \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \left(\frac{x}{1+x^2}\right), \quad (1)$$

where the first term is the absorption component, the second term is the dispersion component,  $\lambda$  is the asymmetric parameter of the (Dysonian) line shape, and  $x = 2(H - H_r)/\Delta H$ , wherein  $H_r$  is the Eu<sup>2+</sup> resonance field and  $\Delta H$  the Eu<sup>2+</sup> ESR linewidth. The Dysonian line shape was used to fit the ESR spectra of Figure 4 and extract the Eu<sup>2+</sup> ESR *g*-values and linewidth. [42, 43, 47, 48].

The right panel of Fig. 4 shows the temperature evolution of Eu<sup>2+</sup>  $\Delta H$  for 200 K  $\leq T \leq$  300 K for different Eu<sup>2+</sup> concentrations. Towards high temperatures there is a clear linear increase of  $\Delta H$ , which suggests a Korringa relaxation mechanism [42, 43]. This linear  $\Delta H$ increase as a function of temperature is observed for all samples. Performing a linear fit of  $\Delta H(T)$ ,  $\Delta H = \Delta H_0 +$ 



FIG. 3. <sup>75</sup>As NMR central transition  $(-1/2 \leftrightarrow 1/2)$  at  $\nu = 68.5878$  MHz for the In-grown SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystal, within the 204 K to 200 K temperature range with  $H \perp c$ . The red solid lines are Lorentzian fits to the spectra.

bT, where  $\Delta H_0$  is the Eu<sup>2+</sup> residual linewidth, we obtain the Korringa rate *b* for all concentrations. For EuFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> we obtained b = 6.6 Oe/K, which is in good agreement with previous reports [32, 49, 50]. The *g*-factor of the Eu<sup>2+</sup> ESR spectra is  $g \approx 2$  for all temperatures and concentrations - right inset of Fig. 4.

Figure 5 displays the phase diagram for  $\operatorname{Sr}_{1-x}\operatorname{Eu}_x\operatorname{Fe}_2\operatorname{As}_2$  as a function of 1-x. Both  $T_{SDW}$  and  $T_N$  were obtained using the macroscopic measurements detailed above. For comparison, we also present the evolution of the Korringa relaxation rate b as a function of the concentration 1-x. We note that  $T_{SDW}$  increases and  $T_N$  decreases with the decrease of  $\operatorname{Eu}^{2+}$  concentration. For  $1-x \geq 0.75$  the  $\operatorname{Eu}^{2+}$  AFM transition is no longer identified above T = 2 K. Interestingly the  $\operatorname{Eu}^{2+}$  AFM ordering in  $\operatorname{Sr}_{1-x}\operatorname{Eu}_x\operatorname{Fe}_2\operatorname{As}_2$  has higher  $T_N$  than in  $\operatorname{Ba}_{1-x}\operatorname{Eu}_x\operatorname{Fe}_2\operatorname{As}_2$  indicating a larger  $\operatorname{Eu}^{2+}$ - $\operatorname{Eu}^{2+}$  magnetic interaction [36–39].

It is worth noting the difference in the trend of the *b* rate as a function of 1 - x in comparison with  $Ba_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  [32, 49, 50]. In the Ba series, the *b* rate follows the same trend of  $T_{SDW}$  as function of Euconcentration, whereas in  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$ , the *b* rate



FIG. 4. Left panel: X-band  $\operatorname{Eu}^{2+}$  ESR spectra at T = 200K of  $\operatorname{Sr}_{1-x}\operatorname{Eu}_x\operatorname{Fe}_2\operatorname{As}_2$  for 1-x=0.83, 0.68, 0.22 and 0.14 (no ESR signal was observed for 1-x=0.97). The magenta solid lines are fits described into the text. Right panel: Temperature dependence of the linewidth  $\Delta H$ . The red solid lines are the best linear fits obtained. The right inset shows the temperature dependence of the g-value.

has the same trend of  $T_{SDW}$  only at higher concentrations of Eu<sup>2+</sup> (1 -  $x \leq 0.5$ ).

This difference in the Korringa rate b scaling between Sr and Ba compounds can be understood by analysing the exchange interaction J between the Eu<sup>2+</sup> local moments and the *ce* in these compounds. Assuming that bottleneck, dynamic, electron-electron correlation, **q**dependence, and multiple bands effects are not present in the interaction between the Eu<sup>2+</sup> local moments and the Fe 3*d ce* [34], the *b* rate and the ESR *g*-shift can be written as [42, 43]

$$b = \frac{\pi k_B}{g\mu_B} J_{fd}^2 \eta^2(E_F), \qquad (2)$$

$$\Delta g \equiv g - g_{insulator} = J_{fd} \eta(E_F), \qquad (3)$$

where  $J_{fd}$  is the effective exchange interaction between the Eu<sup>2+</sup> local moment and the *ce* in the absence of *ce* momentum transfer,  $\eta(E_F)$  is the density of states for one spin direction at the Fermi surface,  $\kappa_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magneton, and  $g_{insulator}$ = 1.993 is the Eu<sup>2+</sup> g-value in an insulator. When Eqs. 1 and 2 are applicable we have the following identity

$$b = \frac{\pi k_B}{g\mu_B} \Delta g^2. \tag{4}$$

Fig. 5 shows that the b rate has a dependence with  $Eu^{2+}$  concentration, while the *g*-value is concentration independent. This is a clear indication that eq. 4 is no longer valid and we should take into consideration the **q**-dependence of the exchange interaction,  $J_{fd}(\mathbf{q})$ [32, 33, 43]. At the Eu<sup>2+</sup> site, the g-shift probes the *ce* polarization ( $\mathbf{q} = 0$ ) and the Korringa rate probes the average over the Fermi surface of the ce momentum transfer  $(0 \le q \le 2k_F)$  [42, 43]. In other words, The  $Eu^{2+}$  ESR linewidth Korringa rate, b, depends on the average of the q-dependent effective exchange interaction between the Eu<sup>2+</sup> local moment and the Fe 3d conduction electrons averaged over the whole Fermi surface,  $J_{fd}(\mathbf{q})$ , which is strongly connected to the Fe 3d orbital differentiation and the weight of the Fe 3d orbitals  $((d_{xy}, d_{xz}, d_{xz}))$  $d_{yz}, d_{x^2-y^2}$  and  $d_{z^2}$ ) at the Fermi surface.

Bottleneck effects are not present because, in the diluted regime, the Korringa rate increases with increasing  $Eu^{2+}$  concentration [42]. If dynamic effects were present, the g-value should be T-dependent, which is not the case [51]. Finally, multiple bands effects can be neglected due to the contribution at the Fermi surface being only from Fe 3d electrons. The remaining point is the contribution of electron-electron interactions. The estimated Pauli magnetic susceptibility, assuming a free *ce* gas model, for  $SrFe_2As_2 (\chi_{theoretical} \approx 62 \ \mu emu/mol-Oe)$  is two orders of magnitude smaller compared to the experimental value  $\chi_0 = 2(3)$  memu/mol-Oe, which means that electronelectron correlations are important to our ESR analysis. This is consistent with recent inelastic neutron scattering results in BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, which also show the importance of electron-electron correlations in iron pnictides [52, 53]. With the **q**-dependence and electron-electron interaction assumptions, eqs. 1 and 2 should be rewritten as

$$b = \frac{\pi k_B}{g\mu_B} < J_{fd}^2(\mathbf{q}) > \eta^2(E_F) \frac{K(\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)^2},$$
 (5)

$$\Delta g = J_{fd}(\mathbf{0}) \, \frac{\eta(E_F)}{(1-\alpha)},\tag{6}$$

where  $(1-\alpha)^{-1}$  is the Stoner enhancement factor [54, 55] and  $K(\alpha)$  is the Korringa exchange enhancement factor [56, 57].

Importantly, the Eu<sup>2+</sup> ESR *g*-value is *x*-independent (Inset of Figure 4). Therefore, we can infer that the density of states for one spin direction at the Fermi surface  $\eta(E_F)$  and the Stoner enhancement factor  $\alpha$  are nearly *x*-independent within the experimental error bars (see Eq. 6). Consequently, the *q*-dependent effective exchange interaction averaged over the whole Fermi surface,  $\langle J_{fd}^2(\mathbf{q}) \rangle$  is more affected by doping than its value for q = 0, which clearly indicates an evolution of the topology of the Fermi surface with *x*, since  $J_{fd}(\mathbf{q})$  is just the Fourier transform of  $J_{fd}(\mathbf{r})$ . Previous studies in  $\operatorname{Ba}_{1-x}\operatorname{Eu}_x\operatorname{Fe}_2\operatorname{As}_2$  series have shown a decrease in  $\langle J_{fd}^2(\mathbf{q}) \rangle$  as  $\operatorname{T}_{SDW}$  is suppressed, indicating that the *ce* bands which overlap with  $\operatorname{Eu}^{2+} 4f$  states are becoming more anisotropic, *i.e.* the  $d_{xy}$  orbitals are increasing their role at the Fermi surface. In real space, this is reflected by the increasing of the separation between the  $\operatorname{Eu}^{2+}$  site and the *ce*, which characterizes a localization of Fe 3*d* conduction electrons in the FeAs plane. As  $\operatorname{Ba}^{2+}$  and  $\operatorname{Eu}^{2+}$  are isoelectronic, there is no introduction of carriers, therefore the evolution in the electronic structures is likely due to subtle changes in the tetragonal crystal structures (and consequently in the Fe-As bonds). Hence, the orbital differentiation and the occupation of the Fe 3*d* orbitals should follow the structural distortion  $T_s$ , which is coupled to  $T_{SDW}$  in  $\operatorname{BaFe}_2\operatorname{As}_2$  [32, 33].



FIG. 5.  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  phase diagram and the comparison with the Korringa rate as a function of  $Eu^{2+}$  concentration. The solid and dashed lines are guides to the eyes.

Eu<sup>2+</sup>-concentration the low regime for In  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$ , we have obtained a different scaling between b and  $T_{SDW}$  - while the first increases, the second decreases, apart from the possible small initial increase of the both  $T_s$  and  $T_{SDW}$  for the 1-x = 0.97 sample. This is a hint that there  $T_s$  and  $T_{SDW}$ are not tracking each other for Sr rich samples, most likely because of the coexisting magnetically ordered orthorhombic and paramagnetic tetragonal domains are more likely to persist over an larger temperature range above  $T_{SDW}$  in the Eu-substituted SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> compared with their  $BaFe_2As_2$  counterparts [32, 33, 36–39]. This effect was clearly observed in Raman scattering, synchrotron x-ray diffraction, specific heat, resistivity and magnetic susceptibility measurements performed in  $Sr(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2 \ [x = 0.20(3)] \text{ single crystals. [40]}.$ 

Microscopically, the increase of b reveals an enhancement of  $\langle J_{fd}^2(\mathbf{q}) \rangle$  as  $T_{SDW}$  is suppressed, indicating a larger contribution of the  $d_{xz/yz}$  orbital character. This increase in the axial  $(d_{xz/yz})$  orbital contribution is related to an increase in  $T_s$  as the SDW phase is suppressed, suggesting a possible initial splitting between  $T_s$  and  $T_{SDW}$  as they follow opposite directions as a function Eu-concentration in the Sr-rich regime. Presumably, apart form the initial increase of both  $T_s$  and  $T_{SDW}$  where the anomalies in our data become sharper,  $T_{SDW}$  starts to decrease with the larger amount of entropy associated with it, which makes  $T_s$  practically undetectable in our macroscopic measurements. However, the Eu-x dependence of  $T_s$  can still be tracked by our ESR measurements.

Therefore, in contrast with previous reports [32, 33], we argue that the separation between  $T_s$  and  $T_{SDW}$  is within a 2 K range for pure SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, but they are following an opposite evolution as a function of Eu-doping in the low  $Eu^{2+}$ -concentration regime. This occurs most likely due to the strong magnetic fluctuations present in this compound [32, 33, 36–40] which allows the coexistence of orthorhombic and tetragonal domains over a larger temperature range above  $T_{SDW}$  and below  $T_s$ . In the high  $Eu^{2+}$ -concentration region,  $1 - x \leq 0.5$ , the suppression of the SDW phase follows the suppression of the  $Eu^{2+}$  ESR Korringa rate, consistent with a localization of the 3d electrons in the FeAs plane, similar to the BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> series which indicates that  $T_s$  is accompanying the same trend of  $T_{SDW}$ . However, it is worth to emphasize that modeling the microscopic interaction between Fe 3d electrons and the  $Eu^{2+}$  ESR probes is a open challenge and further experiments such as nuclear magnetic resonance, x-ray absorption fine structure and high resolution x-ray diffraction as a function of temperature in the Eu-doped (Ba,Sr)Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> could be useful to understand this main difference between the BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> compounds.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we present a detailed experimental characterization of In-flux grown  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$  single crystals by x-ray powder diffraction, elemental analysis, specific heat, magnetic susceptibility, electrical resistivity and electron spin resonance (ESR). Our ESR results may indicate a different evolution of the orbital differentiation of the Fe 3d bands as the spin density wave is suppressed compared to the Ba series. We suggest that this anomalous evolution is related to an Eu-induced higher splitting between the structural phase transition and the spin-density-wave transition in the dilute regime for  $Sr_{1-x}Eu_xFe_2As_2$ . These results suggest that, indeed, the orbital differentiation of the 3d bands of Fe in these materials seems to be governed by subtle structural distortions.

This work was supported by FAPESP (Grants No 2015/09701-7, 2017/10581-1, 2012/04870-7, 2012/05903-6, 2018/11364-7, 2020/12283-0), CNPq (Grants No. 311783/2021-0, 309483/2018-2, 314587/2021-

7, 442230/2014-1 and 304649/2013-9), CAPES and FINEP-Brazil. The authors would like to acknowledge the Brazilian Nanotechnology National Laboratory (LNNano Project Inspect, 14958) for providing the equipment and technical support for the experiments involving scanning electron microscopy. Work at Los Alamos was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Science and Engineering: project-Quantum Fluctuations in Narrow-Band Systems.

† Current address: Department of Condensed Matter Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel.

- P. Monthoux, D. Pines, and G.G. Lonzarich, Superconductivity without phonons, *Nature* 450, 1177-1183 (2007).
- [2] J.W. Lynn, and P. Dai, Neutron studies of the iron-based family of high  $T_c$  magnetic superconductors *Physica C:* Supercond. **469**, 469-476 (2009).
- [3] J. Paglione, and R.L. Greene, High-temperature superconductivity in iron-based materials, *Nat. Phys.* 6, 645-658 (2010).
- [4] G.R. Stewart, Superconductivity in iron compounds, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 83, 1589 (2011).
- [5] Gorachand Biswal and K.L. Mohanta, A recent review on iron-based superconductor, Materials Today: Proceedings Volume 35, Part 2, pages 207-215 (2021)
- [6] R.M. Fernandes, A.V. Chubukov, and J. Schmalian, What drives nematic order in iron-based superconductors?, *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 97-104 (2014).
- [7] M.H. Christensen, J. Kang, B.M. Andersen and R.M. Fernandes, Spin-driven nematic instability of the multiorbital Hubbard model: Application to iron-based superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 085136 (2016).
- [8] H. Pfau, C.R. Rotundu, J.C. Palmstrom, S.D. Chen, M. Hashimoto, D. Lu, A.F. Kemper, I.R. Fisher, and Z.-X. Shen, Detailed band structure of twinned and detwinned BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> studied with angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 035118 (2019).
- [9] H. Pfau, S.D. Chen, M. Yi, M. Hashimoto, C.R. Rotundu, J.C. Palmstrom, T. Chen, P. Dai, J. Straquadine, A. Hristov *et al.*, Momentum dependence of the nematic order parameter in iron-based superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 066402 (2019).
- [10] M. Yi, H. Pfau, Y. Zhang, Y. He, H. Wu, T. Chen, Z.R. Ye, M. Hashimoto, R. Yu, Q. Si *et al.*, Nematic Energy Scale and the Missing Electron Pocket in FeSe, *Phys. Rev. X* 9, 041049 (2019).
- [11] Ming Yi, Yan Zhang, Zhi-Xun Shen and Donghui Lu, Role of the orbital degree of freedom in iron-based superconductors, npj Quantum Materials 2, 57 (2017) doi:10.1038/s41535-017-0059-y.
- [12] J. Kang, R.M. Fernandes, and A. Chubukov, Superconductivity in FeSe: The Role of Nematic Order, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 267001 (2018).
- [13] S.-H. Baek, J.M. Ok, J.S. Kim, S. Aswartham, I. Morozov, D. Chareev, T. Urata, K. Tanigaki, Y. Tanabe, B. Büchner *et al.*, Separate tuning of nematicity and spin fluctuations to unravel the origin of superconductivity in

FeSe, npj Quantum Materials 5, 1-5 (2020).

- [14] Z.P. Yin, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, Kinetic frustration and the nature of the magnetic and paramagnetic states in iron pnictides and iron chalcogenides, *Nat. Mater.* 10, 932-935 (2011).
- [15] F. Wang, and D.-H. Lee, The Electron-Pairing Mechanism of Iron-Based Superconductors, *Science* 332, 200-204 (2011).
- [16] P.O. Sprau, A. Kostin, A. Kreisel, A.E. Böhmer, V. Taufour, P.C. Canfield, S. Mukherjee, P.J. Hirschfeld, B.M. Andersen, and J.C. Séamus Davis, Discovery of orbitalselective Cooper pairing in FeSe, *Science* **357**, 6346 (2017).
- [17] E.M. Nica, R. Yu, and Q. Si, Orbital-selective pairing and superconductivity in iron selenides, *npj Quantum Mater.* 2, 1-7 (2017).
- [18] A. Kreisel, B.M. Andersen, P.O. Sprau, A. Kostin, J.C. Séamus Davis, and P.J. Hirschfeld, Orbital selective pairing and gap structures of iron-based superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 174504 (2017).
- [19] A.Kostin, P.O. Sprau, A. Kreisel, Y.X. Chong, A.E. Böhmer, P.C. Canfield, P.J. Hirschfeld, B.M. Andersen, and J.C. Séamus Davis, Imaging orbital-selective quasiparticles in the Hund's metal state of FeSe, *Nature Materials* 17, 869-874 (2018).
- [20] H. Hu, R. Yu, E.M. Nica, J.-X. Zhu, and Q. Si, Orbitalselective superconductivity in the nematic phase of FeSe, *Phys. Rev. B* 98, 220503 (2018).
- [21] R. Yu, H. Hu, E.M. Nica, J.-X. Zhu, and Q. Si, Orbital Selectivity in Electron Correlations and Superconducting Pairing of Iron-Based Superconductors, Front. Phys. Sec. Condensed Matter Physics, Volume 9 - 2021, https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2021.578347.
- [22] J.-X. Yin, X.-X. Wu, J. Li, Z. Wu, J.-H. Wang, C.-S. Ting, P.-H. Hor, X.J. Liang, C.L. Zhang, P. Dai *et al.*, Orbital selectivity of layer-resolved tunneling in the ironbased superconductor Ba<sub>0.6</sub>K<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 054515 (2020).
- [23] S. Ideta, T. Yoshida, T. Nishi, A. Fujimori, Y. Kotani, K. Ono, Y. Nakashima, S. Yamaichi, T. Sasagawa, M. Nakajima *et al.*, Dependence of Carrier Doping on the Impurity Potential in Transition-Metal-Substituted FeAs-Based Superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 107007 (2013).
- [24] S. Wu, Y. Song, Y. He, A. Frano, M. Yi, X. Chen, H. Uchiyama, A. Alatas, A.H. Said, L. Wang *et al.*, Short-Range Nematic Fluctuations in Sr<sub>1-x</sub>Na<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 107001 (2021).
- [25] S.R. Saha, N.P. Butch, K. Kirshenbaum, and J. Paglione, Evolution of bulk superconductivity in SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> with Ni substitution, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 224519 (2009).
- [26] S. Nandi, W.T. Jin, Y. Xiao, Y. Su, S. Price, D.K. Shukla, J. Strempfer, H.S. Jeevan, P. Gegenwart, and Th. Brückel, Coexistence of superconductivity and ferromagnetism in P-doped EuFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 89, 014512 (2014).
- [27] P.L. Alireza, Y.T.C. Ko, J. Gillett, C.M. Petrone, J.M. Cole, G.G. Lonzarich, and S.E. Sebastian, Superconductivity up to 29 K in SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> at high pressures, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **21**, 012208 (2008).
- [28] E.M. Bittar, C. Adriano, T.M. Garitezi, P.F.S. Rosa, L. Mendonça-Ferreira, F. Garcia, G. de M. Azevedo, P.G. Pagliuso, and E. Granado, Co-Substitution Effects on the Fe Valence in the BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> Superconducting Compound:

A Study of Hard X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 267402 (2011).

- [29] T.M. Garitezi, G.G. Lesseux, P.F.S. Rosa, C. Adriano, A.P. Reyes, P.L. Kuhns, P.G. Pagliuso, and R.R. Urbano, High field nuclear magnetic resonance in transition metal substituted BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *J. Appl. Phys.* **115**, 17D711 (2014).
- [30] H. Wadati, I. Elfimov, and G. A. Sawatzky, Where Are the Extra d Electrons in Transition-Metal-Substituted Iron Pnictides?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 157004 (2010).
- [31] E. Granado, L. Mendonça-Ferreira, F. Garcia, G. de M. Azevedo, G. Fabbris, E.M. Bittar, C. Adriano, T.M. Garitezi, P.F.S. Rosa, L.F. Bufaiçal *et al. Phys. Rev.* B 83, 184508 (2011).
- [32] P.F.S. Rosa, C. Adriano, W. Iwamoto, T.M. Garitezi, T. Grant, Z. Fisk, and P. G. Pagliuso, Pressure and chemical substitution effects in the local atomic structure of BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 86, 165131 (2012).
- [33] P.F.S. Rosa, C. Adriano, T.M. Garitezi, T. Grant, Z. Faisk, R.R. Urbano, and P. G. Pagliuso, Possible unconventional superconductivity in substituted BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> revealed by magnetic pair-breaking studies, *Sci. Rep.* 4, 1-6 (2014).
- [34] P.F.S. Rosa, C. Adriano, T.M. Garitezi, R.A. Ribeiro, Z. Fisk, and P. G. Pagliuso, Electron spin resonance of the intermetallic antiferromagnet EuIn<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 86, 094408 (2012).
- [35] M.G. Kim, R.M. Fernandes, A. Kreyssig, J.W. Kim, A. Thaler, S.L. Bud'ko, P.C. Canfield, R.J. McQueeney, J. Schmalian, and A.I. Goldman, Character of the structural and magnetic phase transitions in the parent and electron-doped BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> compounds, *Phys. Rev. B* 83, 134522 (2011).
- [36] J. Zhao, W. Ratcliff II, J.W. Lynn, G.F. Chen, J.L. Luo, N.L. Wang, J. Hu, and P. Dai, Spin and lattice structures of single-crystalline, SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 78, 140504 (2008).
- [37] K. Kaneko, A. Hoser, N. Caroca-Canales, A. Jesche, C. Krellner, O. Stockert, and C. Geibel, Columnar magnetic structure coupled with orthorhombic distortion in the antiferromagnetic iron arsenide, SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 78, 212502 (2008).
- [38] A. Jesche, N. Caroca-Canales, H. Rosner, H. Borrmann, A. Ormeci, D. Kasinathan, H.H. Klauss, H. Luetkens, R. Khasanov, A. Amato *et al.*, Strong coupling between magnetic and structural order parameters in SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* 78, 180504(R) (2008).
- [39] D.W. Tam, W. Wang, L. Zhang, Y. Song, R. Zhang, S.V. Carr, H.C. Walker, T.G. Perring, D.T. Adroja, and P. Dai, Weaker nematic phase connected to the first order antiferromagnetic phase transition in SrFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> compared to BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 134519 (2019) and references therein.
- [40] U. F. Kaneko, M. M. Piva, C. B. R. Jesus, M. E. Saleta, R. R. Urbano, P. G. Pagliuso and E. Granado, Evidence of precursor orthorhombic domains well above the electronic nematic transition temperature in  $Sr(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2$  J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 31, 495402 (2019).
- [41] C.P. Poole, and H.A. Farach, *Relaxation in Magnetic Resonance*, Academic, New

York (1971).

- [42] S. Barnes, Theory of electron spin resonance of magnetic ions in metals, Adv. Phys. 30, 801-938 (1981).
- [43] Anatole Abragam and Brebis Bleaney, Electron paramagnetic resonance of transition ions, OUP Oxford (2012).
- [44] T.M. Garitezi, C. Adriano, P.F.S. Rosa, E.M. Bittar, L. Bufaiçal, R.L. de Almeida, E. Granado, T. Grant, Z. Fisk, M. A. Avila *et al.*, Synthesis and Characterization of BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> Single Crystals Grown by In-flux Technique *Braz. J. Phys.* **43**, 223-229 (2013).
- [45] A. Szytuła, Complex magnetic phenomena in f-electron intermetallic compounds, *Mater. Sci.-Poland* 24, 737 (2006).
- [46] A.R. Denton, and N.W. Ashcroft, Vegard's law Phys. Rev. A 43, 3161 (1991).
- [47] F.J. Dyson, Electron spin resonance absorption in metals.
  II. Theory of electron diffusion and the skin effect, *Phys. Rev.* 98, 349 (1955).
- [48] G. Feher, and A.F. Kip, Electron Spin Resonance Absorption in Metals. I. Experimental, *Phys. Rev.* 98, 337 (1955).
- [49] É. Dengler, J. Deisenhofer, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, S. Khim, J.S. Kim, K.H. Kim, F. Casper, C. Felser, and A. Loidl, trong reduction of the Korringa relaxation in the spin-density wave regime of EuFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, observed by electron spin resonance *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 024406 (2010).
- [50] J.J. Ying, T. Wu, Q.J. Zheng, Y. He, G. Wu, Q.J. Li, Y.J. Yan, Y.L. Xie, R.H. Liu, X.F. Wang *et al.* Electron spin resonance in EuFe<sub>2-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>As<sub>2</sub> single crystals, *Phys. Rev. B* 81, 052503 (2010).
- [51] C. Rettori, H.M. Kim, E.P. Chock, and D. Davidov, Dynamic behavior of paramagnetic ions and conduction electrons in intermetallic compounds: Gd<sub>1-x</sub>Lu<sub>x</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. B* **10**, 1826 (1974).
- [52] X. Lu, D.D. Scherer, D.W. Tam, W. Zhang, R. Zhang, H. Luo, L.W. Harriger, H.C. Walker, D.T. Adroja, B.M. Andersen *et al.*, Spin Waves in Detwinned BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 067002 (2018).
- [53] C. Liu, X. Lu, P. Dai, R. Yu, and Q. Si, Anisotropic magnetic excitations of a frustrated bilinear-biquadratic spin model: Implications for spin waves of detwinned iron pnictides, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 024510 (2020).
- [54] T. Moriya, The Effect of Electron-Electron Interaction on the Nuclear Spin Relaxation in Metals, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 18, 516-520 (1963).
- [55] A. Narath, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Relaxation of <sup>197</sup>Au in Gold Metal and <sup>109</sup>Ag in Gold-Silver Alloys, *Phys. Rev.* 163, 232 (1967).
- [56] A. Narath, and H.T. Weaver, Effects of Electron-Electron Interactions on Nuclear Spin-Lattice Relaxation Rates and Knight Shifts in Alkali and Noble Metals, *Phys. Rev.* 175, 373 (1968).
- [57] R.W. Shaw Jr., W.W. Warren Jr., Enhancement of the Korringa Constant in Alkali Metals by Electron-Electron Interactions, *Phys. Rev. B* 3, 1562 (1971).
- [58] R. R. Urbano, E. L. Green, W. G. Moulton, A. P. Reyes, P. L. Kuhns, E. M. Bittar, C. Adriano, T. M. Garitezi, L. Bufaical, and P. G. Pagliuso, Distinct High-*T* Transitions in Underdoped Ba<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***105**, 107001 (2010).