



This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Exploring the Dirac nature of math  
 $\mu_{\text{RbBi}}^{2/mn}$

Sharon S. Philip, S. Liu, Jeffrey C. Y. Teo, P. V. Balachandran, and Despina Louca

Phys. Rev. B **107**, 035143 — Published 24 January 2023

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.107.035143](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.035143)

# Exploring the Dirac nature of RbBi<sub>2</sub>

Sharon S. Philip,<sup>1</sup> S. Liu,<sup>2</sup> Jeffrey C. Y. Teo,<sup>1</sup> P. V. Balachandran,<sup>2,3</sup> and Despina Louca<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Materials Science and Engineering,  
University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering,  
University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA*

(Dated: January 5, 2023)

Characteristics of topological semimetals such as a non-saturating magnetoresistance (MR), a field-induced metal to semiconducting crossover and a robust resistivity plateau are observed under a magnetic field in type-I RbBi<sub>2</sub> bulk superconductor with  $T_c = 4.15$  K. The MR exhibiting a notable 3500 % increase at 2 K and 9 T and the resistivity follows a power law temperature dependence, while the  $MR \propto H^{1.26}$ , indicating weak carrier compensation. First principles calculations provided insights into the dynamical stability of the cubic structure at 0 K. Both hole- and electron-pockets are observed at the Fermi surface. The electron-phonon interaction constant indicates weak coupling strength ( $<1$ ), that leads to a maximum predicted  $T_c$  of 2.852 K. Just below the Fermi level,  $E_F$ , the electronic band structure consists of linear band crossings at the  $X$ -points in the Brillouin zone (BZ) corresponding to massless, symmetry-protected Dirac fermions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In topological materials, the quantum state is entangled to an extent where its emergent quasiparticles exhibit exotic behaviors that are unique, and cannot be reproduced in conventional solids. These exotic properties are topologically protected as they are robust against perturbations. Studies on numerous materials to date have established that topological phases supporting topologically protected boundary states can exist in two and three dimensional time-reversal symmetry (TRS) invariant systems in the absence of an external magnetic field [1]. Many candidate topological insulators containing bismuth, Bi, have been explored for this purpose [2]. Bi metal exhibits strong spin-orbit coupling (SOC) that has been linked to topologically non-trivial band structures in its compounds. Several binary Bi compounds have been investigated for topological superconductivity. Examples include Bi-alkali and alkaline-earth intermetallic compounds such as LiBi, NaBi, KBi<sub>2</sub> [3], CsBi<sub>2</sub> [4], Ca<sub>11</sub>Bi<sub>10-x</sub> [5], CaBi<sub>2</sub> [6], CaBi<sub>3</sub>, SrBi<sub>3</sub> [7], BaBi<sub>3</sub> [7] and Ba<sub>2</sub>Bi<sub>3</sub> [8]. Among these, KBi<sub>2</sub> [9] and CaBi<sub>2</sub> are reportedly type-I superconductors, although the topological nature of their superconductivity has not been confirmed.

RbBi<sub>2</sub> is isostructural to KBi<sub>2</sub> with a higher superconducting transition temperature,  $T_c = 4.15$  K. In this work, we report on the Dirac (semi)metallic nature of RbBi<sub>2</sub> and its electronic properties. This is a novel superconducting system which exhibits extremely large non-saturating MR and a Dirac dispersion. RbBi<sub>2</sub> exhibits a very large MR of about 3500 % under an applied magnetic field of 9 T. While metallic behavior is observed at zero field above  $T_c$ , a metal-semiconductor crossover appears at low temperatures when the magnetic field exceeds  $H_c$ . A robust resistivity plateau appears below 10

K and persists up to 9 T. These characteristics are typical of topological semimetals with centrosymmetric crystal structures [10]. At constant field, the resistivity follows a power law temperature dependence [11] while the MR is fit following Kohler's rule with an exponent,  $m \sim 1.26$ . An  $m \sim 2$  is indicative of perfect carrier compensation [12], thus the current value obtained for RbBi<sub>2</sub> indicates weak carrier compensation. Phonon calculations based on first principles density functional theory (DFT) predicted the cubic structure to be dynamically stable at 0 K. The linear-response approach provided a weak electron-phonon coupling strength of  $< 1$ , yielding a maximum superconducting  $T_c$  of 2.852 K using the McMillan formula [13]. The electronic band structure near the Fermi energy showed a linear band dispersion and crossing indicative of a massless Dirac fermion at each of the three  $X$ -points in the BZ below  $E_F$ . The massless Dirac spectrum is protected by the fourfold screw rotation symmetry  $S_4$ .

## II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Magneto-transport characterization

RbBi<sub>2</sub> is cubic with  $Fd\bar{3}m$  space group symmetry [14]. The crystal structure is shown in Fig. 1(a). The Bi tetrahedra connect with each other by vertex-sharing to form a three-dimensional network and the Rb atoms are arranged in a diamond sublattice which is intertwined with the network of Bi tetrahedra. The Bi sublattice forms a hyperkagome structure as shown in Fig. 1(b).

The results from the transport measurements under magnetic field are summarized in Figs. 2. Shown in Fig. 2(a) is the electrical resistivity as a function of temperature under an applied magnetic field ranging from 0 to 9 T. At zero field, RbBi<sub>2</sub> exhibits very good metallic conductivity even at room temperature. The resistiv-

\* Corresponding author; louca@virginia.edu




Figure 1. (a) The unit cell showing the cubic crystal structure of  $\text{RbBi}_2$ . (b) The Bi sublattice forming a hyperkagome structure on a plane perpendicular to the threefold (111) axis. (c) The fourfold screw  $S_4$  and twofold  $C_2$  rotations of the lattice. Number indicates the fractional part of the vertical positions of the atoms (in units of the lattice constant  $a$ ). (d) Brillouin zone and high symmetry points.

ity follows a linear temperature dependence until about 25 K, below which it follows a power-law temperature dependence  $\rho = A + BT^{2.91}$  as it approaches the superconducting transition. Under field, the resistivity follows a complex power law dependence. Shown in Fig. 2(b) is the resistivity as a function of temperature at 9 T. At low temperatures, the resistivity is fit (solid red line) with  $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^2 + BT^3 + CT^5$  where  $\rho_0 = 15$ ,  $A = -0.1$ ,  $B = 7 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $C = -4.7 \times 10^{-5}$ .

Below 10 K, above the critical field,  $H_c$ , with the superconducting transition suppressed, the resistivity exhibits a plateau that extends down to 2 K, the lowest measured temperature. The resistivity data below 10 K measured on a liquid  $\text{N}_2$  quenched sample of  $\text{RbBi}_2$  is shown in Fig. 2(c). The plateau is observed for fields up to 9 T, with the residual resistivity rising linearly with field (Fig. 2(d)). The magnetic field induces a crossover in the temperature dependent behavior of the resistivity from metallic to semiconducting and is accompanied by a very large MR.

The magneto-transport behavior is shown in Fig. 2(e). The MR at two temperatures, above and below the observed  $T_c$  is plotted as a function of applied magnetic field. Typically in conventional metals, the MR decreases because the relaxation rate,  $\tau$  decreases with increasing temperature. This is the first report of MR in this system and shows no saturation at 9 T. The MR is obtained by  $MR(B) = \frac{\rho(B) - \rho(B_0)}{\rho(B_0)} \times 100$  %. As-grown  $\text{RbBi}_2$  exhibits an MR of about 3500% at 2 K and 9 T. The most trivial mechanism responsible for the extremely large un-




Figure 2. (a) The electrical resistivity as a function of applied magnetic field in the range 0 – 9 T. (b) Temperature dependence of resistivity at low temperatures in the presence of applied field  $H = 9$  T. The solid line shows the power law fit  $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^2 + BT^3 + CT^5$  to the data at low temperatures. (c) The low temperature resistivity behavior at applied fields measured on a quenched sample of  $\text{RbBi}_2$  above the critical field. (d) The residual resistivity at 2 K plotted as a function of the applied magnetic field. (e) The magnetoresistance (MR) of  $\text{RbBi}_2$  is compared between data collected at 2 and 5 K. (f) MR fitted with  $MR = \alpha(H/\rho_0)^m$  at 2 K and 5 K showing the Kohler scaling.

saturated MR in semimetals has been associated with a semiclassical two-band model where electron and hole-like carriers are almost compensated [15]. However, non-saturating large MR has also been observed in topological Weyl semimetals such as  $\text{WTe}_2$  [16] and  $\text{MoTe}_2$  [17] with high mobilities and low-residual resistivities. Although the two-band model predicts a quadratic in applied-field MR, these systems usually exhibit a linear field dependence and the origin of the extreme MR is not well understood.

Typically the magnetotransport in semiclassical single-band metals follows a functional form known as Kohler's rule where  $\Delta\rho/\rho_0 \propto F(H/\rho_0)$  where  $\rho_0$  is the zero-field resistivity [18]. In most simple metals, the MR exhibits an  $H^2$  dependence so that MR is proportional to  $\tau^2 H^2$ . Therefore a plot of MR versus  $(H/\rho_0)$  is expected to collapse to a single temperature independent curve if the number of carriers is constant, and with only one type

of carrier with a scattering rate that is the same at all points on the Fermi surface. This is not what is observed as seen from the fitting of the MR in RbBi<sub>2</sub> with Kohler's scaling (Fig. 2(f)).  $\Delta\rho/\rho_0 \propto (H/\rho_0)^{1.26}$  which is not consistent with a Fermi-liquid quasiparticle scattering rate. We suggest that the electron - hole compensation can be one potential explanation for the large MR behavior in RbBi<sub>2</sub>, however, we do not see a quadratic field dependence of MR which implies that such compensation is weak. It is not likely for the electron-hole compensation to be the dominant mechanism due to the complex nature of Fermi surface in this material. The different temperature dependence of  $\rho$  and MR is because  $\rho$  is related to the  $1/\tau$  over the Fermi surface. The MR on the other hand is related to  $\langle \tau \rangle$  over the Fermi surface. The transport and magnetotransport are reminiscent of the behavior observed in systems such as Ag<sub>2-d</sub>(Te/Se)<sub>d</sub> [19], Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> [20], Bi metal [21], graphite [22], Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub> [23] and (Sr/Ca)MnBi<sub>2</sub> [24]. In these systems, the mechanism for the large MR has been attributed to involve the presence of Dirac fermions and their linear dispersion (such as in Ag<sub>2-d</sub>(Te/Se)<sub>d</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>) or Fermi surface compensation. It is possible that the magnetic field breaks TRS and reorganizes the Dirac Fermi surface. A high mobility of the Dirac carriers could induce a very large MR as in the case of Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>.

## B. Phonons and electron-phonon coupling

The phonon dispersion curves along the W-L- $\Gamma$ -X-W high symmetry points as calculated using linear-response theory (Fig. 3a) and the results from the supercell-based finite displacement method are shown (Fig. 3b). Overall, both plots show similar features. Most importantly, no phonons with imaginary frequencies are found using either method. This suggests that the cubic structure of RbBi<sub>2</sub> is dynamically stable at 0 K. This result is in agreement with the experimental data where no structural phase transition was seen in any of our experiments down to 2 K.

The calculated electron-phonon coupling constant,  $\lambda_{ep}$ , from the linear-response theory varied from 0.55 to 0.72 depending on the broadening used to calculate the  $\alpha^2F(\omega)$  function. Since our calculated  $\lambda_{ep}$  is less than 1, we used the McMillan formula [13] instead of the Allen-Dynes formula to estimate  $T_c$ . The McMillan formula provided a  $T_c$  estimate between 1.263 and 2.852 K, which is in close agreement with the experimental value ( $\sim 4.15$  K for a polycrystal). Intriguingly, our calculated  $\lambda_{ep}$  for RbBi<sub>2</sub> agrees closely with that in KBi<sub>2</sub> [25], an experimentally confirmed Type-I superconductor [9].

## C. Electronic band structure and Fermi surface

In Fig. 4a and b, electronic band structures of metallic RbBi<sub>2</sub> without and with SOC are shown along the  $\Gamma$ -




Figure 3. Phonon dispersion curves of RbBi<sub>2</sub> along the high-symmetry directions using (a) Linear-response theory and (b) Finite displacement method. The high symmetry points are marked in the Brillouin zone in Fig. 1(d).

X-W- $\Gamma$ -L high symmetry directions, respectively. In the absence of SOC, a total of four bands cross the Fermi energy ( $E_F$ ). All four bands are from the Bi-atom. Introducing SOC has a non-trivial effect on the electronic band structure. Some of the major changes occur along the X-W  $k$ -path near  $E_F$ . Two bands that cross the  $\Gamma$ -X and X-W  $k$ -path in Fig. 4a are shifted to higher energies (by  $\sim 0.5$  eV) as shown in in Fig. 4b. Consequently, in the SOC electronic band structure, no bands cross  $E_F$  along the X-W path. Another interesting difference due to SOC is observed in the W- $\Gamma$  path. A Dirac-like linear dispersion along the W- $\Gamma$  path at  $\sim 0.75$  eV below  $E_F$  in Fig. 4a is gapped in Fig. 4b when SOC is turned on. The band crossing at the X point just above the Fermi level is also Dirac which is qualitatively the same as the one below the Fermi level.

Fig. 5a is a plot of the Fermi surface without SOC. An electron pocket (in red) is located at the  $\Gamma$ -point of the BZ. Hole pockets at the X- and L-points can be seen in Fig. 5c and d, resulting in a complex Fermi surface, with electron and hole characteristics as shown in Fig. 5b. This topology is similar to the Fermi surface of superconductor KBi<sub>2</sub> [25]. In Fig. 6, the Fermi surface and corresponding Fermi velocity are plotted with SOC included. An electron pocket is present at the  $\Gamma$ -point, shown in green in Fig. 6a. In Fig. 6b, a complex structure that consists of tubes connecting the X- and L-points are formed. The maximum and minimum Fermi velocities,  $v_f$ , were calculated to be  $2.64 \times 10^6$  and  $0.65 \times 10^6$  m/s, respectively. The maximum  $v_f$  is found around the electron pocket in the  $\Gamma$ -point of the BZ (Fig. 6c), whereas the minimum  $v_f$  is found in the tubes that connect the X- and L-points.




Figure 4. Electronic band structures of RbBi<sub>2</sub> (a) without SOC (scalar relativistic) and (b) with SOC (fully relativistic). The Fermi energy ( $E_F$ ) is set at 0 eV. (c) Dirac point at  $X$  at  $-0.4187$  eV below the Fermi level. For the two pairs of bands that cross the Fermi level, the degenerate pair with lower (higher) energy are bands #5 and #6 (#7 and #8).




Figure 5. (a)-(d) Fermi surface without SOC for RbBi<sub>2</sub>. Four bands cross the  $E_F$ , whose Fermi surface is shown here. The Brillouin zone showing the high symmetry points is shown in Fig. 1(d).

#### D. Massless Dirac fermions at the $X$ points

The fourfold degenerate bands closest to and below  $E_F$  at each of the three  $X$  points correspond to a massless Dirac fermion (Fig. 4(c)) [26]. Thus, RbBi<sub>2</sub> is a Dirac (semi)metal. [27] Here we review the symmetry-protected Dirac point and present an effective  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$  Hamiltonian description near each  $X$  point. The symmetry group at  $X$  is generated by time reversal  $T$ , inversion  $P$ , twofold rotation  $C_2$  and fourfold screw rotation  $S_4$ . Since the three  $X$  points are related to each other by the threefold rotation about the (111) axis, it suffices to focus on one




Figure 6. (a)-(d) Fermi surface and corresponding Fermi velocity of RbBi<sub>2</sub> with SOC. The high symmetry points are labeled.

where  $S_4$  is parallel to, say, the  $\mathbf{a}$ -axis and the  $C_2$  is parallel to the perpendicular  $\mathbf{b}$ -axis. (See Fig. 1(c).) While the symmorphic  $C_2$ ,  $P$  and  $T$  all mutually commute, the non-symmorphic screw rotation  $S_4 = C_4 T_{\mathbf{a}}^{1/4}$  contains the fractional translation  $T_{\mathbf{a}}^{1/4}$  and does not commutes with  $P$  and  $C_2$ .  $T_{\mathbf{a}}^{1/4}$  translates along the  $\mathbf{a}$  axis by  $a/4$ , where  $a$  is the lattice constant of the cubic cell. At the  $X$  point,  $(T_{\mathbf{a}}^{1/4})^2 = -1$  and therefore the screw representation obeys  $PS_4P = -S_4$ . The twofold rotation flips the screw direction by conjugacy,  $C_2 S_4 C_2^{-1} = S_4^{-1}$ .

The fourfold degenerate bands at  $X$  irreducible represents the little group and is referred to as  $X_5$ . We adopt the following  $4 \times 4$  matrix representations for the symmetries.  $T = is_y \mathcal{K}$  where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the complex conjugate, and

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} is_y & 0 \\ 0 & -is_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & is_y \\ is_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

and  $P = \sigma_z = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ . Here,  $s_{x,y,z}$  are the spin Pauli matrices, and 1 is the spin identity matrix. The Kramers' theorem and  $PT$  symmetry, which is antiunitary and squares to  $(PT)^2 = -1$ , requires all band at all momentum to be doubly degenerate. Restricting to the screw symmetric  $\mathbf{a}$ -axis in momentum space, bands can be labeled by their  $S_4$  eigenvalues. The four bands near the Dirac point along  $k_{\mathbf{a}}$  are grouped in two degenerate pairs with  $S_4$  eigenvalues  $\{e^{i\pi/4}, e^{i3\pi/4}\}$  and  $\{e^{-i\pi/4}, e^{-i3\pi/4}\}$ . The eigenvalues are 4<sup>th</sup> roots of unity because  $S_4^4 = -1$ .  $PT$  switches the degenerate eigenstates since  $(PT)S_4 = -S_4(PT)$ . For example,  $S_4(PT)|e^{i\pi/4}\rangle = -(PT)S_4|e^{i\pi/4}\rangle =$

$-(PT)e^{i\pi/4}|e^{i\pi/4}\rangle = e^{i3\pi/4}(PT)|e^{i\pi/4}\rangle$ . These two pairs of degenerate bands cross at  $X$  and the Dirac crossing is protected by  $S_4$  and  $PT$  symmetry.

The massless Dirac bands can be effectively described by the  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$  Hamiltonian in a linear approximation near the  $X$  point,

$$H(X + \mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_X I + \hbar v_z k_z \gamma_z + \hbar v_x (k_x \gamma_x + k_y \gamma_y), \quad (2)$$

where the  $x, y, z$  directions are the orthogonal  $b, c, a$  directions, respectively. The fourfold degenerate bands at  $X$  sits below  $E_F$  at  $\epsilon_X = -0.418705 eV$ . The gamma matrices are restricted by the little symmetry group at  $X_5$ . They are

$$\gamma_z = \frac{\sigma_x(s_x + s_y)}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \gamma_x = \frac{\sigma_y + \sigma_x s_z}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \gamma_y = \frac{\sigma_y - \sigma_x s_z}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (3)$$

which mutually anticommute, and each matrix square equals to the identity,  $\gamma^2 = I$ . The Fermi velocities  $v_x$  and  $v_z$  along the axis normal and parallel to the screw direction from  $X$  are extracted by a polynomial fit of band energies near  $X$  (within 4% away from  $X$  towards  $\Gamma$  and  $M$ ). Using the numerical lattice parameter  $a = 9.52016 \text{ \AA}$ , they are  $v_x = (2.568 \pm 0.006) \times 10^5 m/s$  and  $v_z = (4.94 \pm 0.06) \times 10^5 m/s$ .

The Dirac fermions at the three  $X$  points can become massive if the fourfold screw symmetry is broken, for example when the material is under uniaxial stress along the threefold (111) direction. The only time-reversal and inversion symmetric mass term is  $mP$ , where the Dirac masses of the three  $X$  points are identical due to the threefold symmetry. The sign of  $m$  depends on the sign of stress. Since the tension and compression phases are separated by three Dirac transitions – an odd number, one of them must be in the strong  $Z_2$  topological insulating phase, and exhibits gapless Dirac surface bands at the surface projected  $\bar{X}$  points.

### E. Topology of the Fermi surfaces

Although  $\text{RbBi}_2$  has finite Fermi surfaces and is a band metal, it is classified as an enforced semimetal with Fermi degeneracy (ESFD) [28] for the following reasons. The partially filled doubly degenerate conduction bands #7 and #8 can be continuously deformed above  $E_F$  while keeping all symmetries (See Fig. 4). Similarly, the partially empty doubly degenerate valence bands #5 and #6 can be moved below  $E_F$ . The Dirac crossing at  $X$  between the conduction and valence bands is still symmetry protected. It will reside exactly at the Fermi level after a continuous band deformation because of charge neutrality and electron filling. The resulting band structure is semimetallic as it has a vanishing Fermi surface and energy gap. However, in the real material, the electron and hole pockets have finite Fermi surfaces. They

enclose the same volume in momentum space because of charge neutrality.

The valence bands #5 and #6 cut across  $E_F$  and give rise to the hole pocket Fermi surface (shown in Fig. 6(a)). It has an isotropic shape and resembles a smoothed out rhombic dodecahedron that encloses the  $\Gamma$  point at its center. The conduction bands #7 and #8 cut across  $E_F$  and give rise to the electron pocket Fermi surface (shown in Fig. 6(b)). At low temperature, the electron pocket occupies regions near the boundary of the Brillouin zone including the  $X$  and  $L$  points, and does not overlap with the hole pocket near the zone center. The electron Fermi surface  $\Sigma_{e-FS}$  has genus 18, and is topologically equivalent to a torus with 18 “holes”. It has 18 independent longitudinal cycles that wrap inside  $\Sigma_{e-FS}$  where the conduction band is occupied, and 18 meridian cycles that wrap outside  $\Sigma_{e-FS}$  where the conduction band is empty. The electron Fermi surface  $\Sigma_{e-FS}$  consists of 24 “tubes” that connect between the three  $X$  points and the four  $L$  points. Each  $X$  point is connected to any given  $L$  point by two “tubes”. The longitudinal (meridian) cycles run inside (outside) the tubes. A longitudinal loop cycle links a meridian cycle if they thread the same tube. We defer the consequence of the negative Gaussian curvature of  $\Sigma_{e-FS}$  and the Wilson loop algebra of its cycles to future work.

## III. CONCLUSION AND SPECULATION

There exists a large list of non-magnetic compounds recently discovered that exhibit extraordinary responses under field i.e. large positive MR, with centrosymmetric symmetries. Systems such as  $\text{NbSb}_2$  [29],  $\text{YSb}$  [30],  $\text{LaSb}$  [31],  $\text{MoAs}_2$  [10],  $\text{TaSb}_2$  [32], and  $\text{NbAs}_2$  [33] all show strong MR, a resistivity plateau, induced by the magnetic field, leading to a crossover from a metal to a semiconductor. In these systems, the exponent in the Kohler’s fitting is less than 2, indicating weaker carrier compensation. Magnetotransport in semiclassical single-band metals scales as  $\text{MR} = F(H/\rho_0)$  assuming a single scattering rate. In our system,  $\text{MR} \propto H^{1.26}$  which deviates from Kohler scaling. The MR is almost linear that may arise from the quantum limit, suggesting that it could be the Dirac carriers that induce the large MR [34].

We close by speculating on the prospect of normal metallic and superconducting phases of this Dirac material under symmetry-breaking perturbations. The three massless Dirac fermions can become massive in this case and  $\text{RbBi}_2$  may serve as a testbed for tunable topological phases. As discussed in section IID, we expect uniaxial stress along the (111) direction turn the material into a strong topological insulator that hosts protected surface Dirac fermions. It would be equally interesting to explore the effects of other directional perturbations such as electric/magnetic fields or shear stress, on the topology and transport nature of this system. The high genus electron Fermi surface contains saddle point regions with

negative Gaussian curvature that associate to high density of states and locally flat energy dispersions along special directions. These regions are prone to electron many-body interactions and may give rise to non-trivial strong correlated behaviors. The superconducting state of  $\text{RbBi}_2$  deserves further investigation due to the strong SOC and Dirac nature of the material. For example, the surface Dirac fermion of a topological insulator are known to host vortex-bound Majorana zero modes under superconducting pairing [35]. Although  $\text{RbBi}_2$  is a type-I superconductor, quantum vortices could be introduced in thin film sandwiched by bulk trivial type-II superconductors. It would be interesting to observe such exotic excitations on the superconducting  $\text{RbBi}_2$  surface and the onset of surface Majorana gap under a strong enough symmetry-lowering perturbation such as the uniaxial stress. Thus  $\text{RbBi}_2$  is a strong candidate to be a novel topological system from which the interplay of superconductivity and Dirac states can be explored.

### Methods:

*Material Synthesis:* The  $\text{RbBi}_2$  samples were prepared by solid state reaction following the procedure described in Ref. [14]. Rubidium pieces (Alfa Aesar, 99.5%) and Bismuth powder (Alfa Aesar, 99.99%) were mixed in a 1:2 molar ratio and the mixture was vacuum sealed in a quartz ampule. The samples were heated at  $700^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 hours. Two batches of samples were synthesized, one that was slowly cooled down (furnace-cooled) to room temperature and the other quenched from  $700^\circ\text{C}$  in liquid  $\text{N}_2$  to room temperature. The samples are denoted as AG (as-grown) and Q (quenched), respectively. The sample preparation and handling were done inside an Argon filled glovebox to avoid exposure to air. Electrical transport and magnetization measurements were performed as a function of magnetic field. Magnetic field studies on correlated metals have been instrumental in revealing the ground state properties of the normal state by suppressing the superconducting transition. The magnetic field interacts with the charge carriers through the Lorentz force, coupling directly to the orbital motion.

*First Principles Calculations:* DFT calculations were performed using the planewave pseudopotential code, QUANTUM ESPRESSO [36] within the generalized gradient approximation [37] and the PBEsol exchange-correlation functional is used for all calculations [38]. The ultrasoft pseudopotential optimized in the Rappe-Rabe-Kaxiras-Joannopoulos scheme was used to treat the core and valence electrons [39]. The  $\text{RbBi}_2$  system is built as a 6-atom cubic cell (space group  $Fd\bar{3}m$ ), where Rb atoms occupy the  $8a$  Wyckoff site and the Bi atoms occupy the  $16d$  site. The lattice constant was obtained by performing a variable-cell optimization, where both the cell and the internal coordinates were allowed to relax. A  $\Gamma$ -point

centered Monkhorst-Pack  $k$ -point mesh of size  $16 \times 16 \times 16$  was used for the structure optimization [40]. A kinetic energy and charge density cutoff of 70 and 700 Ry, respectively, were used in the self-consistent field (SCF) calculation. An energy threshold of  $7 \times 10^{-10}$  Ry was used for the SCF calculation in the electronic steps. Marzari-Vanderbilt smearing with a degauss width of 0.001 Ry was used [41]. The calculated equilibrium lattice parameter of  $9.52016 \text{ \AA}$  agreed well with the experimental value ( $9.59 \text{ \AA}$ ). The electronic band structure and Fermi surface calculations were performed with and without SOC in the Hamiltonian. In the SOC calculations, fully-relativistic pseudopotential were used only for the Bi-atoms because the atom-projected density of states indicated that only the Bi-orbitals are involved in the Fermi surface. For the Fermi surface calculations, non SCF calculations were performed with a dense  $32 \times 32 \times 32$   $k$ -mesh. The Fermi surface is visualized using XCRYSDEN [42]. The Fermi velocity was also calculated using the SOC term ( $v_f$ ) and visualized the contour using the FERMISURFER software [43].

The phonon dispersion curve, electron-phonon coupling strength ( $\lambda_{ep}$ ), and Eliashberg spectral function [ $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ ] were calculated using the linear-response theory [44]. Both Rb and Bi atoms are described using scalar-relativistic pseudopotentials in these calculations. The superconducting critical temperature was calculated using the McMillan formula [13]. An energy threshold of  $10^{-15}$  Ry was used for self-consistency based on a  $4 \times 4 \times 4$  Monkhorst-Pack grid. A total of 10 double-delta smearing values with a spacing of 0.005 Ry were used in the electron-phonon coupling calculation. In addition to the linear-response theory, the phonon dispersion curve was also calculated based on the finite displacement method using PHONOPY [45]. A  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  supercell of the conventional cell was used in these calculations. In the SCF calculations, a  $4 \times 4 \times 4$   $k$ -point mesh and an energy threshold of  $7 \times 10^{-12}$  Ry were used for convergence.

## IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported by the Department of Energy (DOE), Grant number DE-FG02-01ER45927. JCYT is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number DMR-1653535. DFT calculations are performed using the Rivanna cluster that is supported and maintained by the University of Virginia research computing.

## V. REFERENCES

---

[1] Charles L Kane and Eugene J Mele, "Quantum spin hall effect in graphene," *Physical review letters* **95**, 226801

(2005).

- [2] Liang Fu, Charles L Kane, and Eugene J Mele, “Topological insulators in three dimensions,” *Physical review letters* **98**, 106803 (2007).
- [3] Joseph M Reynolds and CT Lane, “Superconducting bismuth alloys,” *Physical Review* **79**, 405 (1950).
- [4] Benjamin Washington Roberts, “Survey of superconductive materials and critical evaluation of selected properties,” *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* **5**, 581–822 (1976).
- [5] Mihai Sturza, Fei Han, Christos D Malliakas, Duck Young Chung, Helmut Claus, and Mercouri G Kanatzidis, “Superconductivity in the intermetallic pnictide compound  $\text{Ca}_{11}\text{Bi}_{10}\text{S}_x$ ,” *Physical Review B* **89**, 054512 (2014).
- [6] F Merlo and ML Fornasini, “Crystal structure of some phases and alloying behaviour in alkaline earths, europium and ytterbium pnictides,” *Materials research bulletin* **29**, 149–154 (1994).
- [7] BT Matthias and JK Hulm, “A search for new superconducting compounds,” *Physical Review* **87**, 799 (1952).
- [8] A Iyo, Y Yanagi, S Ishida, K Oka, Y Yoshida, K Kihou, CH Lee, H Kito, N Takeshita, I Hase, *et al.*, “Superconductivity at 4.4 K in  $\text{Ba}_2\text{Bi}_3$ ,” *Superconductor Science and Technology* **27**, 072001 (2014).
- [9] Shanshan Sun, Kai Liu, and Hechang Lei, “Type-II superconductivity in  $\text{Kb}_2$  single crystals,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **28**, 085701 (2016).
- [10] Jialu Wang, Lin Li, Wei You, Tingting Wang, Chao Cao, Jianhui Dai, and Yuke Li, “Magnetoresistance and robust resistivity plateau in  $\text{MoS}_2$ ,” *Scientific reports* **7**, 1–9 (2017).
- [11] MK Chan, MJ Veit, CJ Dorow, Y Ge, Y Li, W Tabis, Y Tang, X Zhao, N Barišić, and M Greven, “In-plane magnetoresistance obeys Kohler’s rule in the pseudogap phase of cuprate superconductors,” *Physical review letters* **113**, 177005 (2014).
- [12] Max Kohler, “To the magnetic resistance—a change of pure metals,” *Annals of physics* **424**, 211–218 (1938).
- [13] W. L. McMillan, “Transition temperature of strongly-coupled superconductors,” *Phys. Rev.* **167**, 331–344 (1968).
- [14] Sharon S Philip, Junjie Yang, Despina Louca, PFS Rosa, JD Thompson, and KL Page, “Bismuth kagome sublattice distortions by quenching and flux pinning in superconducting  $\text{RbBi}_2$ ,” *Physical Review B* **104**, 104503 (2021).
- [15] Na Hyun Jo, Yun Wu, Lin-Lin Wang, Peter P Orth, Savannah S Downing, Soham Manni, Dixiang Mou, Duane D Johnson, Adam Kaminski, Sergey L Bud’ko, *et al.*, “Extremely large magnetoresistance and Kohler’s rule in  $\text{PdSn}_4$ : A complete study of thermodynamic, transport, and band-structure properties,” *Physical Review B* **96**, 165145 (2017).
- [16] Mazhar N Ali, Jun Xiong, Steven Flynn, Jing Tao, Quinn D Gibson, Leslie M Schoop, Tian Liang, Neel Haldolaarachchige, Max Hirschberger, Nai Phuan Ong, *et al.*, “Large, non-saturating magnetoresistance in  $\text{WTe}_2$ ,” *Nature* **514**, 205–208 (2014).
- [17] QL Pei, Xuan Luo, FC Chen, HY Lv, Yan Sun, WJ Lu, Peng Tong, ZG Sheng, YY Han, WH Song, *et al.*, “Mobility spectrum analytical approach for the type-II Weyl semimetal  $\text{TaS}_2$ ,” *Applied Physics Letters* **112**, 072401 (2018).
- [18] John M Ziman, *Electrons and phonons: the theory of transport phenomena in solids* (Oxford university press, 2001).
- [19] R Xu, A Husmann, TF Rosenbaum, M-L Saboungi, JE Enderby, and PB Littlewood, “Large magnetoresistance in non-magnetic silver chalcogenides,” *Nature* **390**, 57–60 (1997).
- [20] Xiaolin Wang, Yi Du, Shixue Dou, and Chao Zhang, “Room temperature giant and linear magnetoresistance in topological insulator  $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$  nanosheets,” *Physical Review Letters* **108**, 266806 (2012).
- [21] FY Yang, Kai Liu, Kimin Hong, DH Reich, PC Searson, and CL Chien, “Large magnetoresistance of electrodeposited single-crystal bismuth thin films,” *Science* **284**, 1335–1337 (1999).
- [22] RR Saxena and RH Bragg, “Kohler’s rule for magnetoresistance of graphite and carbons,” *Carbon: (United Kingdom)* **12** (1974).
- [23] LP He, XC Hong, JK Dong, J Pan, Z Zhang, J Zhang, and SY Li, “Quantum transport evidence for the three-dimensional Dirac semimetal phase in  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$ ,” *Physical review letters* **113**, 246402 (2014).
- [24] Joonbum Park, Geunsik Lee, F Wolff-Fabris, YY Koh, MJ Eom, Yeong Kwan Kim, MA Farhan, YJ Jo, C Kim, JH Shim, *et al.*, “Anisotropic Dirac fermions in a  $\text{Bi}_2$  square net of  $\text{SrMnBi}_2$ ,” *Physical review letters* **107**, 126402 (2011).
- [25] Jianyong Chen, “A comprehensive investigation of superconductor  $\text{Kb}_2$  via first-principles calculations,” *Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism* **31**, 1301–1307 (2018).
- [26] P. A. M. Dirac, “The quantum theory of the electron,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **117**, 610–624 (1928).
- [27] Oskar Vafek and Ashvin Vishwanath, “Dirac fermions in solids: From high- $T_c$  cuprates and graphene to topological insulators and Weyl semimetals,” *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **5**, 83–112 (2014).
- [28] Barry Bradlyn, L Elcoro, Jennifer Cano, MG Vergniory, Zhijun Wang, C Felser, MI Aroyo, and B Andrei Bernevig, “Topological quantum chemistry,” *Nature* **547**, 298–305 (2017).
- [29] Kefeng Wang, D Graf, Lijun Li, Limin Wang, and C Petrovic, “Anisotropic giant magnetoresistance in  $\text{NbS}_2$ ,” *Scientific reports* **4**, 1–6 (2014).
- [30] Orest Pavlosiuk, Przemysław Swatek, and Piotr Wiśniewski, “Giant magnetoresistance, three-dimensional Fermi surface and origin of resistivity plateau in  $\text{YbS}$  semimetal,” *Scientific reports* **6**, 1–8 (2016).
- [31] FF Tafti, QD Gibson, SK Kushwaha, Neel Haldolaarachchige, and RJ Cava, “Resistivity plateau and extreme magnetoresistance in  $\text{LaSb}$ ,” *Nature Physics* **12**, 272–277 (2016).
- [32] Yuke Li, Lin Li, Jialu Wang, Tingting Wang, Xiaofeng Xu, Chuanying Xi, Chao Cao, and Jianhui Dai, “Resistivity plateau and negative magnetoresistance in the topological semimetal  $\text{TaS}_2$ ,” *Physical Review B* **94**, 121115 (2016).
- [33] Zhujun Yuan, Hong Lu, Yongjie Liu, Junfeng Wang, and Shuang Jia, “Large magnetoresistance in compensated semimetals  $\text{TaAs}_2$  and  $\text{NbAs}_2$ ,” *Physical Review B* **93**, 184405 (2016).

- [34] AA Abrikosov, “Quantum magnetoresistance,” *Physical Review B* **58**, 2788 (1998).
- [35] Liang Fu and C. L. Kane, “Superconducting proximity effect and majorana fermions at the surface of a topological insulator,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 096407 (2008).
- [36] Paolo Giannozzi, Stefano Baroni, Nicola Bonini, Matteo Calandra, Roberto Car, Carlo Cavazzoni, Davide Ceresoli, Guido L Chiarotti, Matteo Cococcioni, Ismaila Dabo, *et al.*, “Quantum espresso: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **21**, 395502 (19pp) (2009).
- [37] John P Perdew, Kieron Burke, and Matthias Ernzerhof, “Generalized gradient approximation made simple,” *Physical Review Letters* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996).
- [38] John P. Perdew, Adrienn Ruzsinszky, Gábor I. Csonka, Oleg A. Vydrov, Gustavo E. Scuseria, Lucian A. Constantin, Xiaolan Zhou, and Kieron Burke, “Restoring the density-gradient expansion for exchange in solids and surfaces,” *Physical Review Letters* **100**, 2–6 (2008).
- [39] David Vanderbilt, “Soft self-consistent pseudopotentials in a generalized eigenvalue formalism,” *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 7892–7895 (1990).
- [40] Hendrik J. Monkhorst and James D. Pack, “Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations,” *Phys. Rev. B* **13**, 5188–5192 (1976).
- [41] Nicola Marzari, David Vanderbilt, Alessandro De Vita, and M. C. Payne, “Thermal contraction and disordering of the al(110) surface,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3296–3299 (1999).
- [42] Anton Kokalj, “Xcrysden—a new program for displaying crystalline structures and electron densities,” *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling* **17**, 176–179 (1999).
- [43] Mitsuaki Kawamura, “FermiSurfer: Fermi-surface viewer providing multiple representation schemes,” *Computer Physics Communications* **239**, 197–203 (2019).
- [44] Stefano Baroni, Stefano de Gironcoli, Andrea Dal Corso, and Paolo Giannozzi, “Phonons and related crystal properties from density-functional perturbation theory,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 515–562 (2001).
- [45] A Togo and I Tanaka, “First principles phonon calculations in materials science,” *Scr. Mater.* **108**, 1–5 (2015).