Matrix product operator approach to nonequilibrium Floquet steady states

Zihan Cheng and Andrew C. Potter

Matrix product operator approach to non-equilibrium Floquet steady states

Zihan Cheng[1] and Andrew C. Potter[1, 2]

[1] *Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA*
[2] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, and Quantum Matter Institute,*
University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1

We present a numerical method to simulate non-equilibrium Floquet steady states of one-dimensional periodically driven many-body systems coupled to a dissipative bath, based on a matrix product operator ansatz for the Floquet density matrix in frequency-space. This method enables access to large systems beyond the reach of exact simulations, while retaining the periodic micro-motion information. An excited-state extension of this technique allows computation of the dynamical approach to the steady state. We benchmark our method with a driven-dissipative Ising model and apply it to study the possibility of stabilizing pre-thermal discrete time-crystalline order by coupling to a cold bath.

Controlling quantum systems with time-periodic (Floquet) external driving fields offers a powerful toolkit to engineer interactions, symmetry-breaking, and topology that are not present in the un-driven system [1]. Floquet driving can also produce *intrinsically non-equilibrium* phenomena such as dynamical phases like time crystals and Floquet topological phases [2, 3], with properties that would be impossible in static equilibrium. However, for isolated systems, persistent energy absorption from external ordinarily produces runaway heating to a featureless state[4, 5] that is locally indistinguishable from an infinite temperature ensemble. Thus, to stabilize dynamical phases in closed Floquet systems, one usually considers systems with many-body localization [6] that fail to thermalize, or work in a pre-thermal regime [7–13] where Floquet states can live up an exponentially-long timescale $\tau_{\text{heat}} \sim e^{\Omega/\Lambda}$ in the ratio of driving frequency Ω, to the local bandwidth, Λ. Both of these approaches have substantial limitations. First, MBL requires synthesizing strong disorder, and is fundamentally incompatible with many interesting phenomena such as non-Abelian symmetries and anyons [14], Goldstone modes [15], long-range interactions and (at least as a matter of principle if not practice) in dimensions higher than one [16]. Second, no experimental system is truly isolated from its environment, which restricts MBL-protected order to transient times. Realizing pre-thermal *quantum* phases requires preparing a low-temperature state of the pre-thermal Hamiltonian which is typically hard to even calculate, let alone prepare its ground-state (e.g. adiabatic state-preparation generally fails in Floquet settings [17]).

Experience from solid-state physics, it is natural to look to dissipation from a cold bath to cool a Floquet system close to its pre-thermal ground-state. For fast, weakly-heating drives, rigorous bounds on pre-thermalization [7–13] establish a large separation of time scales between the drive period $\tau = 2\pi/\Omega$, and the heating time τ_{heat}. This suggests an ample range of parameter space to couple the system to a bath weakly enough to avoid disrupting the interesting Floquet dynamics, while cooling towards the pre-thermal ground-state at a rate much higher than the drive-induced heating. On the other hand, coupling a system to a bath can enhance drive-induced heating, by broadening spectral lines in the system to enable off-resonant drive-induced excitations that cause the system to heat [18]. To explore the balance between these competing processes and establish whether dissipation can stabilize dynamical orders in an appropriately designed range of drive, bath, and system-bath coupling parameters, it requires a controlled calculation method that can simultaneously treat strong driving, interactions, and open system dynamics.

However, solving the long-time non-equilibrium steady state (NESS) of a generic Floquet-Lindblad equation [19–22] (FLE) is a challenging task, even for one-dimensional systems. Similar to solving Schrodinger equation, the cost of exact treatment grows exponentially with respect to the system size, but with a *double*(!) exponent due to simulating density matrices rather than pure states. Quantum trajectory sampling methods [23–25] reduce the memory cost, but may incur exponential-in-system-size sampling overheads.

In one dimension ($1d$), matrix product states (MPS) and operators (MPO) provide an effective way of representing systems with limited spatial entanglement – a class that includes not only ground-states of gapped systems [26] but also thermal mixed-states [27]. One class of MPO approaches [28–31], in combination with time-evolving block decimation (TEBD) methods, allows studying the NESS via long-time dynamics. Such real-time approaches can suffer from the long relaxation time to the NESS, for example in the presence of long-time hydrodynamic tails, and weakly-dissipative systems may also feature a rapid growth of entanglement in the transient regime that cannot be captured by a low bond-dimension MPO [32–35], presenting a short-time barrier

FIG. 1. **Graphical representation** − of vectorized MPOs $|\rho^n\rangle\rangle$ (upper) and effective local Lindbladian $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_i^{nm}$ (lower) in frequency-space. Blue circles and black single/double lines respectively represent the tensor and bond/physical indices in the Hilbert space, while the purple circle and red wavy lines represent the tensor and Fourier indices in frequency-space. Green lines represent the virtual bonds, where each MPO block is diagonal in the frequency space.

to accessing the NESS through time-evolution.

To overcome these limitations, for time-independent systems, recent works [33, 34] directly target an MPO representation of a NESS that is variationally optimized through density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) type methods [36], while there are also Floquet DM-RGs targeting eigenstates in closed (e.g. MBL) systems [37, 38]. In this paper, we extend this technique to open Floquet systems, dubbed open-system Floquet DMRG (OFDMRG). The central idea will be to reduce the time-dependent Floquet problem to an effective time-independent one in an extended (frequency) space. Frequency-space methods are widely used in various analytic and numerical approaches to Floquet problems [38, 39]. Here we adapt this representation in a form convenient for performing MPS calculations. Importantly, the method retains information not only about the NESS at stroboscopic times, but also the micro-motion within a period, which can be required to observe certain dynamical phases, such as Floquet topological insulators and symmetry-protected topological phases [2]. We benchmark our method with a driven-dissipative Ising model and also use it to explore the dissipative stabilization of a discrete time-crystal (DTC) by coupling it to a cold bath.

Frequency-space MPO representation − Consider the evolution of the density matrix $\rho(t)$ of a periodically driven $1d$ quantum system coupled to a Markovian bath described by the Floquet-Lindblad equation (FLE):

$$\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{L}(t)\,[\rho] = -i\,[H(t), \rho]$$
$$+ \sum_\alpha \left(L_\alpha(t)\rho L_\alpha^\dagger(t) - \frac{1}{2}\left\{ L_\alpha^\dagger(t)L_\alpha(t), \rho \right\} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $H(t+\tau) = H(t)$ and $L_\alpha(t+\tau) = L_\alpha(t)$ are respectively the periodic Hamiltonian and jump operators.

Floquet's theorem enables one to write solutions to the FLE in terms of quasi-eigenmodes of the Lindbladian $\mathcal{L}(t)$ as: $\rho(t) = \sum_n \rho^n e^{-\lambda t} e^{in\Omega t}$, where λ is the (complex) quasi-eigenvalue and $\Omega = 2\pi/\tau$ is the driving frequency (see the Supplemental Material [40] Sec. I). Inserting this expression into Eq. 1, reduces the time-dependent FLE into an effectively time-independent equation: $\hat{\mathcal{L}}[\hat{\rho}] = -\lambda\hat{\rho}$ for extended $\hat{\rho} = \sum_n \rho^n \otimes |n\rangle\rangle$ residing in an enlarged (frequency) space $\mathcal{H}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ (intuitively, the extra $\mathbb{Z}$ factor keeps track of how many drive quanta the system has absorbed or released), where the extended Lindbladian is given by:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{nm}[\rho^m] = -in\Omega\rho^n\delta_{nm} - i\,[H^{n-m}, \rho^m] + \sum_\alpha D_\alpha^{nm}[\rho^m],$$

$$D_\alpha^{nm}[\rho^m] = L_\alpha^{n-k}\rho^m L_\alpha^{\dagger,k-m} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{ L_\alpha^{\dagger,n-k}L_\alpha^{k-m}, \rho^m \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where H^n, L_α^n are Fourier coefficients of H and L_α with frequency $n\Omega$ respectively, and throughout this paper repeated Fourier indices are implicitly summed.

We are targeting models with high-frequency drives and weak-system bath couplings to model whether a system can be cooled close to a pre-thermal ground-state. Here, we expect ρ^0 to be approximately thermal, and hence exhibit an area-law operator entanglement [27] permitting efficient representation as an MPO. We further assume that, at high frequencies, the linear potential $-in\Omega$ in frequency-space leads to localization near $n = 0$ characterized by rapid decay of $|\rho^n|/|\rho^0|$ with n (see the Supplemental Material [40] Sec. II for convergence check), so that we can cut off the infinite frequency index beyond $|n| = N_c$, and that each ρ^n has a low bond-dimension MPO representation $\forall n$. The validity of assumptions can be checked *a posteriori*. We note that the Fourier index n can be regarded either as a global index, or distributed to each MPO by introducing virtual bonds which formally require each MPS block diagonal in the frequency space, i.e. no interplay between different frequency space sectors. (see Fig. 1 for a graphical representation). It is further convenient to vectorize the density matrices $\rho^n \to |\rho^n\rangle\rangle$ using the Choi isomorphism $|\psi\rangle\langle\phi| \to |\psi \otimes \phi\rangle\rangle$, so that we regard the MPO as an MPS with squared physical dimension:

$$|\rho^n\rangle\rangle = \sum_{\{\mu_i\}} M_{\mu_1}^n \ldots M_{\mu_L}^n |\mu_1 \ldots \mu_L\rangle\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where each M^n is a $d^2 \times \chi \times \chi$ tensor, d is the onsite Hilbert space dimension, $\mu_i \in \{1 \ldots d^2\}$ labels a basis of physical states for the vectorized density matrix, $i = 1 \ldots L$ label sites of the $1d$ chain, and χ is the bond dimension.

After the vectorization, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{nm}$ in Eq.(2) becomes a linear operator acting on $|\rho^m\rangle\rangle$, which can be similarly represented in an MPO form with two Fourier components

n, m:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{nm} = \sum_{\{\mu_i, \nu_i\}} v^L W^{nm}_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \cdots W^{nm}_{\mu_N \nu_N} v^R |\mu_1 \cdots \mu_N \rangle\rangle \langle\langle \nu_1 \cdots \nu_N|, \tag{4}$$

where each W^{nm} is a $d^2 \times d^2 \times \chi_O \times \chi_O$ tensor, χ_O are the operator bond dimension, and $v^{L,R}$ impose boundary conditions.

Open-system Floquet DMRG (OFDMRG) – In conventional MPS-DMRG for closed systems, one minimizes the variation energy $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle$ for each local MPS tensor, which relies heavily on Hermiticity of H. A natural generalization [33] to open systems would be to minimize $\langle\langle \rho | \mathcal{L}^\dagger \mathcal{L} | \rho \rangle\rangle$, however, the MPO for $\mathcal{L}^\dagger \mathcal{L}$ has square of the bond-dimension of that for $\mathcal{L}$, adding significant overhead [34]. In an alternative approach [34], instead of variationally searching for the local MPS, one can solve the zero eigenvector for the local effective Lindbladian $\mathcal{L}_i$ obtained by contracting all indices for $\langle\langle \rho | \mathcal{L} | \rho \rangle\rangle$, except those for a single site i, so that sites $j \neq i$ form an environment for site i.

Here we adapt this approach directly to the frequency-space representation of ρ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$, seeking to approximately prepare the NESS satisfying $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_i^{nm} | \rho^m \rangle\rangle = 0$ by sweeping through a sequence of local eigenvalue problems for $M^m_{\mu_i}$ (see Fig. 1), using an implicitly restarted Arnoldi method based non-Hermitian eigensolver implemented in the ARPACK library [41]. Working in frequency-space requires imposing additional constraints on the solutions. Physical states satisfy $\mathrm{Tr}\rho(t) = \langle\langle \mathbb{I} | \rho(t) \rangle\rangle = 1 \forall t$, which demands $\mathrm{Tr}\rho^n = \langle\langle \mathbb{I} | \rho^n \rangle\rangle = \delta_{n0}$ where $|\mathbb{I}\rangle\rangle$ is the maximally mixed state. We enforce this condition by penalizing violations by modifying how the extended Lindbladian acts on vectors as $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \to \hat{\mathcal{L}}'$ with:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}'^{nm} | \rho^m \rangle\rangle = \left(\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{nm} - P_0 | \mathbb{I} \rangle\rangle \langle\langle \mathbb{I} | (1 - \delta_{n0}) \delta_{nm} \right) | \rho^m \rangle\rangle$$
$$- P_1 \exp\left(-|\mathrm{Tr}\rho^0|^2 / \delta^2 \right) | \rho^n \rangle\rangle, \tag{5}$$

where P_0, P_1, δ are penalty parameters. In practice, we start with several warm-up sweeps with proper penalty parameters ($P_0 = P_1 = 1000$ and $\delta = 0.01$ in our implementation) to avoid local minima violating the trace constraint, and then remove the penalty for further DMRG sweeping. (see the Supplemental Material [40] Sec. II and III for discussion on convergence and positivity of density matrices)

Dynamical approach to the NESS – The MPO-based method can be naturally extended to solve long-lived decaying modes of Floquet Lindbladian, with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$, by a similar approach to excited state DMRG [42]. To explore this, we first review some basic properties of the (extended) Lindbladian: (i) the Lindbladian has a bi-orthornormal basis, where left and right eigenvectors


FIG. 2. **NESS of driven-dissipative Ising chain** – with $(J, h, g, \gamma, \omega) = (1.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.0, 5.0)$. (a) Time-dependent expectation values of magnetization $\langle Z_6 \rangle$ for a system size $L = 11$, with $\chi = 36$, compared with the master equation evolution result. The period-averaged error (inset) decays rapidly with N_c to the numerical accuracy of the eigensolver. (b) Spatial correlations $\langle Z_6 Z_{6+x} \rangle$ for a larger chain with $L = 21$, using $(N_c, \chi) = (4, 20)$.

are defined by $\hat{\mathcal{L}} | \rho_\alpha^R \rangle\rangle = \lambda_\alpha | \rho_\alpha^R \rangle\rangle$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^\dagger | \rho_\alpha^L \rangle\rangle = \lambda_\alpha^* | \rho_\alpha^L \rangle\rangle$ and satisfy the orthogonal relations $\langle\langle \rho_\alpha^L | \rho_\beta^R \rangle\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$; (ii) The corresponding eigvenvalues $\{\lambda_{\alpha=0,1,\dots}\}$ can be sorted as $0 = \lambda_0 > \mathrm{Re}\lambda_1 \geqslant \mathrm{Re}\lambda_2 \geqslant \cdots$ (we assume that the zero eigenvalue is not degenerate in the following discussion). In particular $| \rho_0^L \rangle\rangle = | \mathbb{I} \rangle\rangle$ due to the trace preservation of Lindblad operator; (iii) The complex eigenvalues must occur in a pair of complex conjugate since when ρ is an eigenvector, $\rho^\dagger$ is also an eigenvector.

Based on properties of the Lindbladian and in analogy to the Hamiltonian case [42], one can define $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1 = \hat{\mathcal{L}} - w | \mathbb{I} \rangle\rangle \langle\langle \mathbb{I} |$ ($\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1^\dagger = \hat{\mathcal{L}}^\dagger - w | \rho_{ss} \rangle\rangle \langle\langle \rho_{ss} |$) where w is the penalty energy for the vector not orthogonal to the zeroth left (right) eigenvector. For large enough w, the solved eigenvalue with largest real part will give the first right (left) eigenvector $| \rho_1^R \rangle\rangle$ ($| \rho_1^L \rangle\rangle$). In principle, this procedure can be done recursively to the nth eigenvector by adding n projectors, however for the pair of eigenvectors whose eigenvalues are in complex conjugate pairs $\lambda = a \pm ib$, they cannot be distinguished by their real part. Thus, we focus only on the first decaying mode by targeting the largest real part of eigenvalues, which dominates the approach to the steady state at long times.

Benchmark: driven-dissipative Ising chain – We first benchmark our OFDMRG method in a driven-dissipative Ising model on a length L spin-1/2 chain with Pauli operators $\{X_i, Y_i, Z_i\}$ for sites $i = 1 \dots L$ with Hamiltonian:

$$H(t) = \sum_i \left[p(t) \left(-J Z_i Z_{i+1} + h Z_i \right) + q(t) g X_i \right], \tag{6}$$

where $p(t) = (1 - \sin\omega t)/2$, $q(t) = (1 + \sin\omega t)/2$, and time-independent majority-rule jump operators

$$L_i = \sqrt{\gamma} (2| \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow \rangle\langle \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow | + | \uparrow\uparrow\downarrow \rangle\langle \uparrow\downarrow\downarrow | + | \uparrow\downarrow\downarrow \rangle\langle \uparrow\uparrow\downarrow | + (\uparrow \leftrightarrow \downarrow)). \tag{7}$$

To compare our method with the exact evolution of Lindblad master equation implemented in QUTIP [43], we

FIG. 3. **OFDMRG for dissipative DTC model** Eq. 8 for $J = 1$, $h = 0.5$, $\omega_c = 2$, and unless otherwise specified: $\beta = 2$ and $r = 2$. (a) Comparison between effective temperature β_{eff} of the dissipative DTC model calculated by OFDMRG method and that from solving Floquet rate equation (FRE), with $L = 11$, $g = 0.05$, $\gamma = 0.2$, and $(N_c, \chi) = (1, 16)$. (b) Correlation lengths ξ of the dissipative DTC model for $L = 31$, $g = 0.05$, $\gamma = 0.2$, and $(N_c, \chi) = (1, 8)$. The correlation length for a thermal state of transverse-field Ising model (TFIM) with $\beta = 2$ is given as a reference. (c) Comparison between transient dynamics of $\langle Z_3 \rangle$ calculated by OFDMRG method and by the exact evolution of master equation (ME) for $L = 5$, $g = 0.2$, $\gamma = 2$, $\beta = 5$, high-frequency ($\Omega = 10$), and $(N_c, \chi) = (2, 16)$. (d) Relaxation time of the dissipative DTC model for $L = 21$, $g = 0.2$, $\gamma = 2$, and $(N_c, \chi) = (1, 16)$.

simulate a chain with array length $L = 11$. We find excellent convergence in the central magnetization $\langle Z_6 \rangle$ to the exact solution with increasing frequency-space cutoff N_c, achieving residual error $\sim 10^{-4}$ for $N_c \sim 5$ that is consistent with residual error in the zero-eigenvalue solver of OFDMRG and the order of magnitude of Schmidt components at the bond-dimension cutoff (see the Supplemental Material [40] Sec. II). The OFDMRG method also extends straightforwardly to larger systems with polynomial-in-L scaling. For example, in Fig. 2 we show spatial correlations for a size $L = 21$, which would require enormous computational resources to compute exactly.

Dissipatively-stabilizing a discrete time-crystal (DTC) – Having benchmarked the performance of the OFDMRG approach, we now turn to the question of whether a pre-thermal dynamical phase can be stabilized by coupling to a cold bath. As an example, we study a model for a pre-thermal DTC model [11] coupled to a thermal bath. For the system part, we consider one-dimensional Ising model driven by periodic π-pulses with generic perturbation breaking the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, which serves as a prototypical model for the pre-

thermal DTC [11]

$$H(t) = \sum_i \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_n \delta(t - n\tau) X_i - J Z_i Z_{i+1} + h Z_i f(t) + g X_i \right], \tag{8}$$

where $f(t) = (1 - \cos\Omega t)$. Various disordered and/or long-range interacting incarnations of this Hamiltonian have been studied in previous theoretical studies and implemented experimentally in a variety of systems [2, 3] to study MBL and prethermal mechanisms for stabilizing DTC order in (approximately) closed systems.

Here, we introduce dissipation by coupling each spin, $\sqrt{\gamma} X_i \otimes B_i$, where γ is thecoupling strength and B_i are bath operators corresponding to separate ohmic bath with spectral function $J(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} e^{-|\varepsilon|/\omega_c} / (1 - e^{-\beta\varepsilon})$, where $\beta = 1/T$ is the inverse temperature of the bath, ε_0 is a characteristic energy scale, and ω_c is the local bandwidth of the bath, which will play an important role in controlling the steady state [44]. We compute the effective time-dependent jump operators for this model using a Born-Markov approximation (see the Supplemental Material [40] Sec. IV), and then truncate these to a finite range of $(2r + 1)$ sites to incorporate into the OFDMRG procedure.

The singular δ-train has unbounded Fourier spectrum, which would be long range in frequency-space. However, for models with smooth $f(t)$ satisfying $f(0) = 0$, we can cure this by transforming into a rotating frame of the δ-function X_π-pulses. In the rotating frame the periodicity is doubled to 2τ, but there is a dynamical symmetry: $H(t + \tau) = X H(t) X$ with $X = \prod_i X_i$. In the DTC phase [2, 3], this dynamical symmetry is spontaneously broken, resulting in persistent period-doubled oscillations, and manifesting in long-range mutual information between distant spins [45]. However, unlike the long-range interacting pre-thermal DTC model realized recently in trapped-ion experiments [46], such spontaneous symmetry breaking is forbidden in any short-range interacting $1d$ system that thermalizes to a finite temperature. Instead, one expects the length- and time-scales for these signatures to diverge if the system is successfully cooled to a pre-thermal ground-state. The criterion of cooling near the pre-thermal ground is also required to realize dynamical Floquet topological phases (in any dimension), whose properties rely crucially on quantum coherence and entanglement.

Our goal is to assess whether and under what conditions the resulting NESS resembles a low-temperature Floquet Gibbs state with extended range DTC correlations. To this end, we compute (i) the NESS entropy $S_{\text{ss}} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_{\text{ss}} \log \rho_{\text{ss}})$; (ii) the NESS DTC spatial correlation length ξ defined by fitting the averaged correlation function $\overline{\langle Z_{j+x} Z_j \rangle}$ to the form $e^{-x/\xi}$ (shown in

Fig. 3(b)). The NESS results are compared to properties of a thermal state $\rho_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta D}$, where $D = \sum_i \left[-J Z_i Z_{i+1} + g \left(1 - 8ch^2/\Omega^2 \right) X_i \right]$ with some constant c from $f(t)$ is the effective Floquet Hamiltonian obtained by performing a high-frequency (ven Vleck) expansion to the second order. By comparing the system entropy S_{ss} to the thermal entropy of D, we can extract an effective inverse temperature $\beta_{\text{eff}} = 1/T_{\text{eff}}$ (shown in Fig. 3(a)). D takes the form of a transverse-field Ising model with ordered ground-state, and the characteristic energy scale to make a local spin-flip excitation of D is $4J$, which results enhanced drive-induced heating when $\Omega/2 \approx 4J$, and hence enhanced T_{eff}. We also compare results to solutions to an approximate Floquet rate equation (FRE) [22, 47, 48] (for $L = 11$) obtained from a Fermi-Golden rule treatment bath-induced transition rates between eigenstates of the effective system Hamiltonian D in a rotating frame, which neglects off-diagonal coherences in the system density matrix (see the Supplemental Material [40] Sec. V). As driving frequency increases beyond $8J$, this heating is suppressed, and the system's β_{eff} asymptotes to that of the bath (note that, simulating colder temperatures requires keeping a larger spatial extent, r, to the ab initio computed jump operators), and ξ increases towards the thermal correlation length of ρ_{thermal} at the bath temperature. Importantly, the Floquet Gibbs state arises only when the local bath bandwidth satisfies $\omega_c \ll \left| \frac{\Omega}{2} - 4J \right|$, so that bath-assisted drive-induced heat absorption processes are suppressed (see the Supplemental Material [40] Sec. V and VI).

We further explore the long-time DTC dynamics, through asymptotic decay rate $\tau_{\text{relax}} = -\left(\text{Re}\lambda_1 \right)^{-1}$ of period doubled oscillations obtained by computing the first excited eigenstate $|\rho_1\rangle\!\rangle$, as well as the explicit dynamics of $\langle Z_j(t) \rangle$ for the $|\rho(t)\rangle\!\rangle = |\rho_{\text{ss}}\rangle\!\rangle + e^{-\lambda_1 t} \langle\!\langle \rho_I | \rho_1 \rangle\!\rangle | \rho_1 \rangle\!\rangle$, which captures the long-time dynamics from an initial product state: $\rho_I = \prod_i (\sin \frac{\pi}{8} | \uparrow \rangle + \cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) | \downarrow \rangle)(\sin \frac{\pi}{8} \langle \uparrow | + \cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) \langle \downarrow |)$. As shown in Fig. 3(c), the dynamical results are compared against exact master equation simulations (for $L = 5$, close to the limit of a single workstation). We observe quantitative agreement between the time-dependent dynamics of the excited-state OFDMRG method with the master equation simulations, confirming that the long-time dynamics is indeed dominated by the first decaying mode. Further, in Fig. 3(d), we observe that the DTC time scale increases with driving frequency Ω (for $\Omega/2 > 4J$), asymptoting to a finite time-scale that increases as the bath is cooled.

Discussion and outlook – These results confirm the expectation that there is a parameter regime of large driving frequency ($\Omega \gg 8J$), moderate bath bandwidth ($\omega_c \ll \left| \frac{\Omega}{2} - 4J \right|$), and moderately-weak system bath coupling ($e^{-J/\Omega} \ll \gamma \ll J$) where coupling the pre-thermal

DTC model to a bath successfully produces a Floquet Gibbs-like state with temperature close to that of the bath (see the Supplemental Material [40] Sec. V and VI). Further, the OFDMRG method successfully captures this behavior in system sizes that greatly exceed those accessible by exact master equation simulations (here we simulated up to $L = 31$ on a single computer, which would be limited to $L \lesssim 6$ for exact computation).

We expect this technique to be useful in designing experimental realizations of dissipatively-stabilized dynamical phases in solid-state devices and atomic quantum simulators. The OFDMRG also permits a controlled means to assess the validity of various approximation methods such as Floquet rate equations and truncated Wigner approximation methods [49, 50] which could potentially be used beyond $1d$. Natural future targets for extending the OFDMRG method include studying NESS of quasiperiodically driven systems [51–53] (with multiple frequency-space directions), and incorporating non-Markovian effects [54, 55].

[1] Takashi Oka and Sota Kitamura, "Floquet Engineering of Quantum Materials," Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics **10**, 1–22 (2018).

[2] Fenner Harper, Rahul Roy, Mark S Rudner, and SL Sondhi, "Topology and broken symmetry in floquet systems," arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.01317 (2019).

[3] Dominic V. Else, Christopher Monroe, Chetan Nayak, and Norman Y. Yao, "Discrete Time Crystals," Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics **11**, 467–499 (2020).

[4] Luca D'Alessio and Marcos Rigol, "Long-time Behavior of Isolated Periodically Driven Interacting Lattice Systems," Physical Review X **4**, 041048 (2014).

[5] Achilleas Lazarides, Arnab Das, and Roderich Moessner, "Equilibrium states of generic quantum systems subject to periodic driving," Physical Review E **90**, 012110 (2014).

[6] Achilleas Lazarides, Arnab Das, and Roderich Moessner, "Fate of Many-Body Localization Under Periodic Driving," Physical Review Letters **115**, 030402 (2015).

[7] Dmitry A. Abanin, Wojciech De Roeck, and François Huveneers, "Exponentially Slow Heating in Periodically Driven Many-Body Systems," Physical Review Letters **115**, 256803 (2015).

[8] Dmitry A. Abanin, Wojciech De Roeck, Wen Wei Ho, and François Huveneers, "Effective Hamiltonians, prethermalization, and slow energy absorption in periodically driven many-body systems," Physical Review B **95**, 014112 (2017).

[9] Dmitry Abanin, Wojciech De Roeck, Wen Wei Ho, and François Huveneers, "A Rigorous Theory of Many-Body Prethermalization for Periodically Driven and Closed Quantum Systems," Communications in Mathematical Physics **354**, 809–827 (2017).

[10] Marin Bukov, Luca D'Alessio, and Anatoli Polkovnikov, "Universal high-frequency behavior of periodically driven systems: from dynamical stabilization to Floquet engineering," Advances in Physics **64**, 139–226 (2015).

[11] Dominic V. Else, Bela Bauer, and Chetan Nayak, "Prethermal Phases of Matter Protected by Time-Translation Symmetry," Physical Review X **7**, 011026 (2017).

[12] André Eckardt and Egidijus Anisimovas, "High-frequency approximation for periodically driven quantum systems from a Floquet-space perspective," New Journal of Physics **17**, 093039 (2015).

[13] Kaoru Mizuta, Kazuaki Takasan, and Norio Kawakami, "High-frequency expansion for Floquet prethermal phases with emergent symmetries: Application to time crystals and Floquet engineering," Physical Review B **100**, 020301 (2019).

[14] Andrew C Potter and Romain Vasseur, "Symmetry constraints on many-body localization," Physical Review B **94**, 224206 (2016).

[15] Sumilan Banerjee and Ehud Altman, "Variable-range hopping through marginally localized phonons," Physical Review Letters **116**, 116601 (2016).

[16] Wojciech De Roeck and Fran çois Huveneers, "Stability and instability towards delocalization in many-body localization systems," Phys. Rev. B **95**, 155129 (2017).

[17] Phillip Weinberg, Marin Bukov, Luca D'Alessio, Anatoli Polkovnikov, Szabolcs Vajna, and Michael Kolodrubetz, "Adiabatic perturbation theory and geometry of periodically-driven systems," Physics Reports **688**, 1–35 (2017), adiabatic Perturbation Theory and Geometry of Periodically-Driven Systems.

[18] Mark S. Rudner and Netanel H. Lindner, "Band structure engineering and non-equilibrium dynamics in Floquet topological insulators," Nature Reviews Physics **2**, 229–244 (2020).

[19] Farhang Haddadfarshi, Jian Cui, and Florian Mintert, "Completely Positive Approximate Solutions of Driven Open Quantum Systems," Physical Review Letters **114**, 130402 (2015).

[20] C. M. Dai, Z. C. Shi, and X. X. Yi, "Floquet theorem with open systems and its applications," Physical Review A **93**, 032121 (2016).

[21] Tatsuhiko N. Ikeda and Masahiro Sato, "General description for nonequilibrium steady states in periodically driven dissipative quantum systems," Science Advances **6**, eabb4019 (2020).

[22] Tatsuhiko Ikeda, Koki Chinzei, and Masahiro Sato, "Nonequilibrium steady states in the Floquet-Lindblad systems: van Vleck's high-frequency expansion approach," SciPost Physics Core **4**, 033 (2021).

[23] Klaus Mølmer, Yvan Castin, and Jean Dalibard, "Monte carlo wave-function method in quantum optics," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **10**, 524–538 (1993).

[24] Jean Dalibard, Yvan Castin, and Klaus Mølmer, "Wave-function approach to dissipative processes in quantum optics," Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 580–583 (1992).

[25] R. Dum, P. Zoller, and H. Ritsch, "Monte carlo simulation of the atomic master equation for spontaneous emission," Phys. Rev. A **45**, 4879–4887 (1992).

[26] U. Schollwöck, "The density-matrix renormalization group," Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 259–315 (2005).

[27] Michael M. Wolf, Frank Verstraete, Matthew B. Hastings, and J. Ignacio Cirac, "Area laws in quantum systems: Mutual information and correlations," Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 070502 (2008).

[28] F. Verstraete, J. J. García-Ripoll, and J. I. Cirac, "Matrix product density operators: Simulation of finite-temperature and dissipative systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 207204 (2004).

[29] Michael Zwolak and Guifré Vidal, "Mixed-state dynamics in one-dimensional quantum lattice systems: A time-dependent superoperator renormalization algorithm," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 207205 (2004).

[30] Guifré Vidal, "Efficient simulation of one-dimensional quantum many-body systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 040502 (2004).

[31] Steven R. White and Adrian E. Feiguin, "Real-time evolution using the density matrix renormalization group," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 076401 (2004).

[32] Lars Bonnes and Andreas M. Luchli, "Superoperators vs. trajectories for matrix product state simulations of open quantum system: A case study," arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.4831 (2014).

[33] Jian Cui, J. Ignacio Cirac, and Mari Carmen Bañuls, "Variational Matrix Product Operators for the Steady State of Dissipative Quantum Systems," Physical Review Letters **114**, 220601 (2015).

[34] Eduardo Mascarenhas, Hugo Flayac, and Vincenzo Savona, "Matrix-product-operator approach to the nonequilibrium steady state of driven-dissipative quantum arrays," Physical Review A **92**, 022116 (2015).

[35] Hendrik Weimer, Augustine Kshetrimayum, and Román Orús, "Simulation methods for open quantum many-body systems," Rev. Mod. Phys. **93**, 015008 (2021).

[36] Steven R. White, "Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups," Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2863–2866 (1992).

[37] Carolyn Zhang, Frank Pollmann, S. L. Sondhi, and Roderich Moessner, "Density-matrix renormalization group study of many-body localization in floquet eigenstates," Annalen der Physik **529**, 1600294 (2017).

[38] Shaon Sahoo, Imke Schneider, and Sebastian Eggert, "Periodically driven many-body systems: A floquet density matrix renormalization group study," arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.00004 (2019).

[39] Mark S Rudner and Netanel H Lindner, "The floquet engineer's handbook," arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.08252 (2020).

[40] See the Supplemental Material for the Floquet theorem for open systems, implementation details of OFDMRG, convergence and positivity check, derivation of jump operators from microscopic model and their MPO construction, Floquet rate equation and conditions for Floquet-Gibbs states, which includes Ref. [56–63].

[41] http://www.caam.rice.edu/software/ARPACK/.

[42] E.M. Stoudenmire and Steven R. White, "Studying Two-

Dimensional Systems with the Density Matrix Renormalization Group," Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics **3**, 111–128 (2012).

[43] J.R. Johansson, P.D. Nation, and Franco Nori, "Qutip 2: A python framework for the dynamics of open quantum systems," Computer Physics Communications **184**, 1234–1240 (2013).

[44] Karthik I. Seetharam, Charles-Edouard Bardyn, Netanel H. Lindner, Mark S. Rudner, and Gil Refael, "Controlled Population of Floquet-Bloch States via Coupling to Bose and Fermi Baths," Physical Review X **5**, 041050 (2015).

[45] Dominic V. Else, Bela Bauer, and Chetan Nayak, "Floquet Time Crystals," Physical Review Letters **117**, 090402 (2016).

[46] Antonis Kyprianidis, Francisco Machado, William Morong, Patrick Becker, Kate S Collins, Dominic V Else, Lei Feng, Paul W Hess, Chetan Nayak, Guido Pagano, *et al.*, "Observation of a prethermal discrete time crystal," Science **372**, 1192–1196 (2021).

[47] Tatsuhiko Shirai and Takashi Mori, "Thermalization in open many-body systems based on eigenstate thermalization hypothesis," Physical Review E **101**, 042116 (2020).

[48] Takashi Mori, "Floquet states in open quantum systems," arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.16358 (2022).

[49] Iacopo Carusotto and Cristiano Ciuti, "Spontaneous microcavity-polariton coherence across the parametric threshold: Quantum monte carlo studies," Phys. Rev. B **72**, 125335 (2005).

[50] Vijay Pal Singh and Hendrik Weimer, "Driven-dissipative criticality within the discrete truncated wigner approximation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 200602 (2022).

[51] Philipp T. Dumitrescu, Romain Vasseur, and Andrew C. Potter, "Logarithmically slow relaxation in quasiperiodically driven random spin chains," Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 070602 (2018).

[52] Dominic V. Else, Wen Wei Ho, and Philipp T. Dumitrescu, "Long-lived interacting phases of matter protected by multiple time-translation symmetries in quasiperiodically driven systems," Phys. Rev. X **10**, 021032 (2020).

[53] Aaron J. Friedman, Brayden Ware, Romain Vasseur, and Andrew C. Potter, "Topological edge modes without symmetry in quasiperiodically driven spin chains," Phys. Rev. B **105**, 115117 (2022).

[54] Kaoru Mizuta, Kazuaki Takasan, and Norio Kawakami, "Breakdown of markovianity by interactions in stroboscopic floquet-lindblad dynamics under high-frequency drive," Phys. Rev. A **103**, L020202 (2021).

[55] Alexander Schnell, Sergey Denisov, and André Eckardt, "High-frequency expansions for time-periodic lindblad generators," Phys. Rev. B **104**, 165414 (2021).

[56] A. H. Werner, D. Jaschke, P. Silvi, M. Kliesch, T. Calarco, J. Eisert, and S. Montangero, "Positive Tensor Network Approach for Simulating Open Quantum Many-Body Systems," Physical Review Letters **116**, 237201 (2016).

[57] Heinz-Peter. Breuer and Francesco Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Clarendon, 2002).

[58] Frederik Nathan and Mark S. Rudner, "Universal Lindblad equation for open quantum systems," Physical Review B **102**, 115109 (2020).

[59] Evgeny Mozgunov and Daniel Lidar, "Completely positive master equation for arbitrary driving and small level spacing," Quantum **4**, 227 (2020).

[60] Devashish Tupkary, Abhishek Dhar, Manas Kulkarni, and Archak Purkayastha, "Fundamental limitations in lindblad descriptions of systems weakly coupled to baths," Phys. Rev. A **105**, 032208 (2022).

[61] Frederik Nathan and Mark S. Rudner, "High accuracy steady states obtained from the universal lindblad equation," arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.02917 (2022).

[62] Zongping Gong and Ryusuke Hamazaki, "Bounds in nonequilibrium quantum dynamics," arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.02011 (2022).

[63] Tatsuhiko Shirai, Juzar Thingna, Takashi Mori, Sergey Denisov, Peter Hänggi, and Seiji Miyashita, "Effective Floquet–Gibbs states for dissipative quantum systems," New Journal of Physics **18**, 053008 (2016).