

CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Pressure-temperature phase diagram of math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">mi>CaK/ mi>mo>(/mo>msub>mi mathvariant="normal">Fe /mi>mrow>mn>1/mn>mo>−/mo>mi>x/mi>/mrow>/msu b>msub>mi mathvariant="normal">Mn/mi>mi>x /mi>/msub>msub>mo>)/mo>mn>4/mn>/msub>msub>m i mathvariant="normal">As/mi>mn>4/mn>/msub> mrow>mo>(/mo>mi>x/mi>mspace width="0.16em">/mspace>mo>=/mo>mspace width="0.16em">/mspace>mn>0.024/mn>mo>)/mo>/mr ow>/math> Li Xiang, Mingyu Xu, Sergey L. Bud'ko, and Paul C. Canfield Phys. Rev. B **106**, 134505 — Published 10 October 2022 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.106.134505](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.134505)

Pressure-temperature phase diagram of $Cak(F_{1-x}M_{1x})_4As_4$ for $x=0.024$

Li Xiang∗†, Mingyu Xu, Sergey L. Bud'ko, and Paul C. Canfield‡

Ames Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA and

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA

(Dated: September 16, 2022)

Resistance measurements on single crystals of $CaK(Fe_{1-x}Mn_x)_4As_4$ ($x = 0.024$) were performed under hydrostatic pressure up to 5.15 GPa. The pressure dependence of the magnetic and superconducting transition temperatures and that of the superconducting upper critical field are reported. Our results show that upon increasing pressure, the magnetic transition temperature T_N is suppressed, whereas the superconducting transition temperature T_c first increases and then decreases, exhibiting a maximum at a pressure p_c corresponding to the intersection of the $T_N(p)$ and $T_c(p)$ lines. In addition, a minimum in the normalized slope of the superconducting upper critical field as well as a change in the pressure dependence of the inferred superconducting coherence length are observed at p_c , suggesting a difference in the Fermi surface of the paramagnetic and antiferromagnetic states. Finally, CaK(Fe_{1-x}Mn_x)₄As₄ (x = 0.024) likely goes through a half-collapsed-tetragonal phase transition at ∼ 4.3 GPa, further demonstrating that the half-collapsed-tetragonal transition pressure in the CaKFe4As⁴ system is relatively insensitive to transition metal substitution.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Since their discovery, Fe-based superconductors (Fe-SC) have attract great interest for their unconventional superconductivity as well its interplay with magnetic, structural degrees of freedom $1-5$. The intensively investigated $BaFe₂As₂$ family shaped the canonical picture of the phase diagram of $Fe-SC^{5,6}$. At ambient pressure, the parent compound is tetragonal and paramagnetic at high temperature. Upon cooling, it goes through a magnetic/structural phase transition to a stripe-type antiferromagnetic, orthorhombic state^{6–8}. Upon chemical substitution or physical pressurization, the magnetic/structural transitions are separated and suppressed to lower temperatures and at certain level superconductivity emerges, often in a dome-like region with the magnetic/structural ordering line intersecting the T_c -dome near its maximal value^{5,9–12}.

Recently, a new class of Fe-SC, the $AeAFe₄As₄$ (Ae $=$ Alkaline Earth, $A = K$, Rb, Cs), was discovered¹³. In the $AeAFe₄As₄$ family, Ae and A form alternating planes along the c axis, which distinguishes $AeAFe₄As₄$ from the chemically substituted $(Ae_{0.5}A_{0.5})Fe₂As₂$, where Ae and A randomly occupy the same site (e.g. $Ba_0.5K_0.5Fe_2As_2$ ¹³. Although these $AeAFe_4As_4$ compounds were discovered in the polycrystalline form, single crystals of CaKFe4As⁴ were synthesized and investigated^{14,15}. Pure, or undoped, C_8 KFe₄As₄ is superconducting below ∼ 35 K without any other structural or magnetic phase transitions. Upon pressure tuning up to ∼ 6 GPa, the superconducting transition temperature T_c is weakly suppressed and a half-collapsed-tetragonal (hcT) transition that destroys bulk superconductivity is identified at $\sim 4 \text{ GPa}^{16}$.

On one side, electron doping of $CaKF_{4}As_{4}$ via Ni- or Co-substitution suppresses superconductivity and induces a new-type of antiferromagnetic order, the hedgehog-spin-vortex magnetic order that has no accompanying structural transition¹⁷. Pressure tuning of the Ni-substituted CaKFe₄As₄ up to \sim 5 GPa leads to a suppression of the T_N associated with the hedgehogspin-vortex antiferromagnetic transition and a small local maximum in T_c located where $T_c(p)$ and $T_N(p)$ lines intersect and a hcT transition at $\sim 4 \text{ GPa}^{18}$. On the other side, a very recent study reports that nominal hole doping into CaKFe4As⁴ via Mn substitution also reveals a magnetic phase region as well as suppressing the superconducting transition temperature¹⁹. In the BaFe₂As₂ family, the effects of the transition metal substitution have been studied intensively and it is suggested that compared with Co- or Ni-substitution, Mn behaves more local-moment-like. For example, solely Mn substitution could not induce superconductivity in $BaFe₂As₂$ as compared to Co or Ni substitution^{6,20}. Mn substitution suppresses superconducting transition temperature more rapidly than Co or Ni^{19,21}.

The observation that Mn appears to behave more local-moment-like than Ni or $\overline{\text{Co}}^{19}$ has its consequences for coexistence of superconductivity and magnetism in these materials. Whereas in Ni-substituted CaKFe₄As₄, the coexistence of SC and magnetism is fairly consistent with a simple model²² in which SC and itinerant magnetism compete for the same electronic density of states^{23,24}. In Mn-substituted CaKFe₄As₄, Mn appears to have local-moment-like behavior, so that simple model of Machida does not work any more, and, in part, the observed T_c suppression with Mn^{19} is due to Abrikosov-Gor'kov mechanism²⁵. So it appears that Mn-substituted CaKFe4As⁴ has enough significant differences with Ni

[∗]Current affiliation: National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32310, USA

[†] li.xiang@magnet.fsu.edu

[‡]canfield@ameslab.gov

In this work, we present a pressure study of $CaK(Fe_{1-x}Mn_x)$ ₄As₄ (x = 0.024) up to ~ 5 GPa. From resistance measurements, the superconducting transition temperature, T_c and the magnetic transition temperature, T_N , were identified. A pressure-temperature $(p-T)$ phase diagram is constructed accordingly. Upon increasing pressure, T_c first increases and then decreases with a maximum at \sim 2 GPa, whereas T_N is monotonically suppressed intersecting the $T_c(p)$ line at $p_c \sim 2$ GPa. Pressure-dependent resistance analysis also suggest a hcT transition at ∼ 4.3 GPa. Furthermore, superconducting upper critical field analysis suggests a Fermisurface reconstruction as well as a change in the pressure dependence of the superconducting coherence length at p_c .

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of $CaK(Fe_{1-x}Mn_x)_4As_4$ (x = 0.024) with sharp superconducting transitions at ambient pressure (see Figs. $1(b)$ and $2(b)$) were grown using hightemperature solution growth^{14,15}. The substitution level x was determined by performing Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy $(EDS)^{19}$. The in-plane ab resistance was measured using the standard four-probe configuration. The 25 μ m Pt wires were spot weldered to the samples. Two samples, 1 and 2, were measured in a pistoncylinder cell $(PCC)^{26}$ and a modified Bridgman anvil cell $(MBAC)^{27}$, respectively. Pressure values for both cells, at low temperature, were inferred from the $T_c(p)$ of lead28,29. For the PCC, a 4:6 mixture of light mineral oil:n-pentane was used as the pressure medium, which solidifies, at room temperature, in the range of 3–4 GPa. For the MBAC, a 1:1 mixture of isopentane:n-pentane was used as the pressure medium, which solidifies, at room temperature, in the range of 6–7 GPa. Both of the solidification pressures are well above the maximum pressures achieved in the pressure cells, which suggests good hydrostatic conditions $30,31$. The ac resistance measurements were performed in a Quantum Design physical property measurement system (PPMS) using $I = 1$ mA; f $= 17$ Hz excitation, on cooling with a rate of 0.25 K/min, and the magnetic field was applied along the crystallographic c-axis of the $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$ samples.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 1(a) and 2(a) present the temperature dependent resistance of $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$ sample 1 and 2 in a PCC under pressures up to 2.03 GPa and in a MBAC under pressures up to 5.15 GPa, respectively (Figs. $1(c)$ and $2(c)$). For both samples, at ambient pressure, resistance decreases upon cooling, showing metallic behavior. At ~ 30 K, a kink-like anomaly is observed and is associated with a magnetic transition T_N . At ∼ 10 K, a sharp drop of resistance to zero is observed (Figs. $1(b)$ and $2(b)$ as the compound goes through the superconducting transition¹⁹.

Upon increasing pressure several changes take place. The normal state resistance decreases and T_N and T_c change as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. As shown in the figures, T_c increases upon increasing pressure up to ~ 2 GPa. Further increasing of pressure suppresses T_c . In addition, the sharp superconducting transition at low pressures becomes broadened at higher pressures. For $p \geq$ 4.50 GPa, the resistance does not drop to zero down the lowest temperature measured in this study (1.8 K).

To better trace the magnetic transition temperature T_N , the temperature derivative, dR/dT is calculated and plotted in Figs. $1(c)$ and $2(c)$. It is seen that the kinklike anomaly in $R(T)$ is revealed as a step-like anomaly in dR/dT . As shown in the figures, T_N is suppressed by increasing pressure until it reaches the $T_c(p)$ line and is not resolved any more at higher pressures.

The pressure dependent resistance at fixed temperatures for sample 2 is further analyzed and plotted in Fig. 3. Again, at all temperatures above superconducting transition, resistance decreases upon increasing pressure. In addition, a kink-like anomaly in the $R(p)$ curves is observed at a critical pressure $p^* \sim 4.3$ GPa. It is worth noting such a behavior is also observed in the parent and Ni-substituted $CaKF_{4}As_{4}^{16,18,32}$, where the anomaly is associated with a half-collapsed-tetragonal (hcT) phase transition at around 4-4.15 GPa. In the parent $CaKF_{4}As_{4}$ compound, it is demonstrated that across the hcT transition, the As-As bonds forms across the Ca layer, which causes a sudden decrease in the c lattice parameter and an expansion in the a lattice $parameter¹⁶$. In addition such a collapsed tetragonal transition is often accompanied by significant changes of electronic properties which can lead to the loss of superconductivity^{16,33,34}. Based on the analogy, we identify this anomaly as an indication of the hcT transition that exists from base temperature up to at least 30 K. The superconducting transition for $p > p^*$ is likely not bulk, which could cause the broadening of the superconducting transition at high pressures as seen in Fig. $2(a)^{16,18}$. Similar hcT transitions are also predicted and observed in other $AeAFe₄As₄ compounds³³$. Specifically, the hcT transition for $CaRbFe₄As₄$, $RbEuFe₄As₄$ and CsEuFe₄As₄ are experimentally shown to be \sim 6GPa, $∼ 10$ GPa and $∼ 12$ GPa^{35,36}. Note that in the parent and Ni-substituted CaKFe₄As₄, the hcT transition remains around 4 GPa, demonstrating the insensitivity of the hcT transition pressure to Ni or Mn substitution.

The superconducting upper critical field H_{c2} can be evaluated from the $R(T)$ data taken at different applied magnetic fields and pressures, shown in Fig. 4 at pressures lower than p^* , where the superconductivity is believed to be bulk, using the offset criteria defined in Figs. 1 and 2. The temperature dependent H_{c2} under pressures

FIG. 1: (a) Evolution of the in-plane resistance with hydrostatic pressure up to 2.03 GPa measured in a piston-cylinder cell (PCC) for $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$, sample 1. (b) Enlarged view of the low-temperature resistance showing the superconducting transition. Criterion for T_c^{offset} is indicated by dashed line and arrow in the figure. (c) Temperature derivative of the resistance, dR/dT , showing the evolution of the magnetic transition T_N . Criterion for T_N is indicated by dashed lines and arrow in the figure.

up to 3.86 GPa is presented in Fig. 5. As shown in the figure, H_{c2} is linear in temperature except for magnetic fields below 1 T. This curvature at low magnetic fields has been also observed in other FeSC and can be explained by the multiband nature of superconductivity $37-40$. In addition, it is observed that the evolution of the temperaturedependent H_{c2} with pressure is nonmonotonic.

The evolution of the superconducting and the magnetic transition temperatures with pressure is summarized in a pressure-temperature phase diagram presented in Fig. 6. T_c^{offset} and T_N values are obtained using the criteria defined in Figs. 1 and 2 and the hcT phase above p^* is indicated by the blue dotted line in the figure. We see that for $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$, upon increasing pressure from 0 to \sim 1.5 GPa, T_N is monotonically suppressed from 30 K to 18 K. In terms of the superconducting transition temperatures, T_c^{offset} first increases from 10 K to 13 K with pressure increasing from 0 to 2.03 GPa. Upon further increasing pressure to 4.13 GPa, T_c^{offset} is suppressed to 3.9 K, resulting in a lo-

FIG. 2: (a) Evolution of the in-plane resistance with hydrostatic pressure up to 5.15 GPa measured in a modified Bridgman anvil cell (MBAC) for $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$, sample 2. (b) Enlarged view of the low-temperature resistance showing the superconducting transition. Criterion for T_c^{offset} is indicated by dashed line and arrow in the figure. (c) Temperature derivative of the resistance, dR/dT , showing the evolution of the magnetic transition T_N . Criterion for T_N is indicated by dashed lines and arrow in the figure.

cal maximum in T_c at ~ 2 GPa. Above $p^* \sim 4.3$ GPa, $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$ enters into the hcT phase at low temperatures. The pressure-temperature phase diagram of $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$ is qualitatively similar to that of $CaK(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)$ ₄As₄ (x = 0.033, 0.05)¹⁸. For all three compounds, The $T_N(p)$ and $T_c(p)$ lines intersect at the maximum T_c point of the $T_c(p)$ curve. Note that below we call this intersect-pressure p_c (identified by a sharp minima in the $-(1/T_c)(d\mu_0H_{c2}/dT)|_{T_c}$ data)¹⁸. Quantitatively, the suppression of T_N with p is faster for Mn-substitution, compared with that for Ni-substitution (the initial suppression of T_N is ~ 8 K/GPa for Mnsubstitution, ~ 3.3 K/GPa and ~ 6.5 K/GPa for Nisubstitution $x = 0.033$ and 0.05, correspondingly). In addition, p_c for Mn-substitution (\sim 2 GPa) is lower than that of Ni-substitution (~ 3 GPa for $x=0.033$ and $(0.05)^{18}$. Such non-monotonic behavior of T_c under pressure could be qualitatively understood as a result of coexistence of itinerant magnetism and superconductivity that are com-

FIG. 3: Pressure dependence of the resistance, $R(p)$, at fixed temperatures for $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$ sample 2. The critical pressure p^* (indicated by dashed lines and arrow), which is associated with the hcT phase, is described in details in the text.

FIG. 4: Temperature dependence of resistance under magnetic field up to 9 T for selected pressures for $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$ sample 1 ((a) and (b)) and sample 2 ((c) and (d)).

peting in the same, shared, electron subsystem $^{22-24}$.

The superconducting upper critical field H_{c2} was further analyzed following Refs. 18,38,39,41. Generally speaking, the slope of the upper critical field normalized by T_c is related to the Fermi velocity and superconducting gap of the system³⁷. In the clean limit for a single band case,

$$
-(1/T_c)(d\mu_0 H_{c2}/dT)|_{T_c} \propto 1/v_F^2 \tag{1}
$$

FIG. 5: Temperature dependence of the superconducting upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$ under selected pressures for $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$. T_c^{offset} is used. Solid and open symbols are two samples measured in PCC and MBAC, respectively.

where v_F is the Fermi velocity. Even though the superconductivity in $CaKF_{4}As_{4}$ is multiband, Eq.1 can give qualitative insight into changes induced by pressure. As shown in Fig. $6(b)$, upon increasing pressure, the normalized slope of the upper critical field - $(1/T_c)(d\mu_0 H_{c2}/dT)|_{T_c}$ (the slope $d\mu_0 H_{c2}/dT|_{T_c}$ is calculated by linear fitting the $H_{c2}(T)$ data above 1 T in Fig. 5) first decreases and then increases, resulting a minimum of $-(1/T_c)(d\mu_0H_{c2}/dT)|_{T_c}$ at p_c in the studied pressure range. In addition, p_c coincide with the cross of the $T_N(p)$ and $T_c(p)$ lines. Note that similar upper-critical-field behavior is also observed in the Nisubstituted $CaK(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_{4}As_4^{18}$.

Coherence length, ξ, can be estimated from $|dH_{c2}^{||c}/dT| = \phi_0/2\pi \xi_{\perp}^2 T_c$, where ϕ_0 is the magnetic flux quantum, ξ_{\perp} is the effective Ginzburg-Landau coherence length near $T_c^{19,42}$ when magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the ab plane. As shown in the figure, ξ monotonically increases with increasing pressure. In addition, $\xi(p)$ shows a sudden increase at p_c . This is not surprising as coherence length ξ is also inferred from the upper critical field slope, dH_{c2}/dT .

The clear changes in the pressure dependence of normalized slope upper critical field slope, - $(1/T_c)(d\mu_0H_{c2}/dT)|_{T_c}$, and in coherence length, ξ , coincides with the pressure, p_c , across which T_N is suppressed below T_c . We argue that this indicates a possible Fermisurface reconstruction, which could happen due to the pressure-induced disappearance of magnetism, which is also observed in the $BaFe₂As₂$ family^{18,43–46}.

FIG. 6: (a) Pressure-temperature phase diagram of $CaK(Fe_{0.976}Mn_{0.024})_4As_4$ as determined from resistance measurements. The squares and circles represent the superconducting T_c^{offset} and magnetic T_N phase transitions. The blue dotted line indicates the half-collapsed-tetragonal phase transition up to 30 K, inferred from the pressure-dependent resistance $R(p)$ data in Fig. 3. (b) Pressure dependence of the normalized upper critical field slope $-(1/T_c)(d\mu_0H_{c2}/dT)|_{T_c}$ (black symbols) and inferred coherence length ξ (red symbols). A local minimum in the slope and a clear change of the coherence length at p_c (indicated by the arrow) are observed near the pressure where $T_c^{offset}(p)$ and $T_N(p)$ lines intersect.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, the resistance of the Mn-substituted ironbased superconductor $CaK(Fe_{1-x}Mn_x)$ ₄As₄ (x = 0.024) was investigated under hydrostatic pressures up to 5.15 GPa and in magnetic fields up to 9 T. It is observed that the magnetic transition temperature T_N is suppressed upon increasing pressure, whereas the superconducting transition temperature exhibits a nonmonotonic dependence on pressure with a maximum at ∼ 2 GPa in the studied pressure range. $CaK(Fe_{1-x}Mn_x)_4As_4$ (x $= 0.024$) likely goes through a half-collapsed-tetragonal phase transition when pressure is increased across ~ 4.3 GPa. A minimum in the normalized slope of the upper critical field, $-(1/T_c)(d\mu_0H_{c2}/dT)|_{T_c}$, and a sudden

change of the coherence length, ξ , are observed at a pressure where $T_N(p)$ and $T_c(p)$ lines intersect. This suggests a possible Fermi-surface reconstruction associated with the disappearance of the magnetism.

The results of these studies, show that there is no obvious or qualitative difference in the pressure response between Ni- and Mn-substituted CaKFe₄As₄. The observation of lower value of p_c in Mn-substituted CaKFe₄As₄ is, at least in part, due to lower T_N at ambient pressure. We do not see unambiguous, additional, contribution from AG pair-breaking to the evolution of T_c under pressure, in contrast to the results on borocarbides^{$47,48$}, but in agreement with the behavior of Gd-substituted $La₃In⁴⁹$. As such then, the pressure dependent phase diagram for the Mn-substituted $CaKF_{4}As_{4}$ provides a full set of benchmarks that any theory trying to understand these materials would need to accommodate.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the U.S. DOE, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Engineering Division under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358. L. X. was supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative through Grant No. GBMF4411 and, in part, by the W. M. Keck Foundation.

- ¹ Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, Journal of the American Chemical Society 130, 3296 (2008), URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja800073m.
- ² H. Takahashi, K. Igawa, K. Arii, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, Nature 453, 376 (2008), URL http://dx. doi.org/10.1038/nature06972.
- ³ M. Rotter, M. Tegel, and D. Johrendt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 107006 (2008), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.107006.
- ⁴ Z. A. Ren, G. C. Che, X. L. Dong, J. Yang, W. Lu, W. Yi, X. L. Shen, Z. C. Li, L. L. Sun, F. Zhou, et al., EPL (Europhysics Letters) 83, 17002 (2008), ISSN 0295-5075, URL http://stacks.iop.org/0295-5075/83/i=1/a=17002.
- 5 J. Paglione and R. L. Greene, Nat Phys 6, 645 (2010), ISSN 1745-2473, URL http://dx.doi.org/10. 1038/nphys1759.
- ⁶ P. C. Canfield and S. L. Bud'ko, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 1, 27 (2010), ISSN 1947-5454, URL http://dx. doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104041.
- ⁷ D. C. Johnston, Advances in Physics 59, 803 (2010), ISSN 0001-8732, URL https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732. 2010.513480.
- ⁸ P. Dai, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87, 855 (2015), URL https:// link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.87.855.
- ⁹ M. S. Torikachvili, S. L. Bud'ko, N. Ni, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 78, 104527 (2008), URL https://link.aps. org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.104527.
- ¹⁰ S. A. J. Kimber, A. Kreyssig, Y.-Z. Zhang, H. O. Jeschke, R. Valentí, F. Yokaichiya, E. Colombier, J. Yan, T. C. Hansen, T. Chatterji, et al., Nature Materials 8, 471 (2009), URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2443.
- ¹¹ S. Avci, O. Chmaissem, D. Y. Chung, S. Rosenkranz, E. A. Goremychkin, J. P. Castellan, I. S. Todorov, J. A. Schlueter, H. Claus, A. Daoud-Aladine, et al., PRB 85, 184507 (2012), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevB.85.184507.
- ¹² J. J. Wu, J.-F. Lin, X. C. Wang, Q. Q. Liu, J. L. Zhu, Y. M. Xiao, P. Chow, and C. Jin, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110, 17263 (2013), URL http://www.pnas.org/content/ 110/43/17263.abstract.
- ¹³ A. Iyo, K. Kawashima, T. Kinjo, T. Nishio, S. Ishida, H. Fujihisa, Y. Gotoh, K. Kihou, H. Eisaki, and Y. Yoshida, Journal of the American Chemical Society 138, 3410 (2016), URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ jacs.5b12571.
- ¹⁴ W. R. Meier, T. Kong, U. S. Kaluarachchi, V. Taufour, N. H. Jo, G. Drachuck, A. E. Böhmer, S. M. Saunders, A. Sapkota, A. Kreyssig, et al., Phys. Rev. B 94, 064501 (2016), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.
- 1103/PhysRevB.94.064501.
¹⁵ W. R. Meier, T. Ko T. Kong, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. Materials 1, 013401 (2017), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/ PhysRevMaterials.1.013401.
- ¹⁶ U. S. Kaluarachchi, V. Taufour, A. Sapkota, V. Borisov, T. Kong, W. R. Meier, K. Kothapalli, B. G. Ueland, A. Kreyssig, R. Valentí, et al., Phys. Rev. B 96, 140501 (2017), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevB.96.140501.
- ¹⁷ W. R. Meier, Q.-P. Ding, A. Kreyssig, S. L. Bud'ko, A. Sapkota, K. Kothapalli, V. Borisov, R. Valentí, C. D.

Batista, P. P. Orth, et al., npj Quantum Materials 3, 5 (2018), ISSN 2397-4648, URL https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41535-017-0076-x.

- ¹⁸ L. Xiang, W. R. Meier, M. Xu, U. S. Kaluarachchi, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 97, 174517 (2018), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevB.97.174517.
- ¹⁹ M. Xu, J. Schmidt, E. Gati, L. Xiang, W. R. Meier, V. G. Kogan, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, PRB 105, 214526 (2022), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.105.214526.
- ²⁰ A. Thaler, H. Hodovanets, M. S. Torikachvili, S. Ran, A. Kracher, W. Straszheim, J. Q. Yan, E. Mun, and P. C. Canfield, PRB 84, 144528 (2011), URL https: //link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.144528.
- ²¹ J. Li, Y. F. Guo, S. B. Zhang, J. Yuan, Y. Tsujimoto, X. Wang, C. I. Sathish, Y. Sun, S. Yu, W. Yi, et al., PRB 85, 214509 (2012), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevB.85.214509.
- ²² K. Machida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 50, 2195 (1981), ISSN 0031- 9015, URL https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.50.2195.
- ²³ S. L. Bud'ko, V. G. Kogan, R. Prozorov, W. R. Meier, M. Xu, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 98, 144520 (2018), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevB.98.144520.
- 24 A. Kreyssig, J. M. Wilde, A. E. Böhmer, W. Tian, W. R. Meier, B. Li, B. G. Ueland, M. Xu, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, et al., PRB 97, 224521 (2018), URL https:// link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.224521.
- ²⁵ A. A. Abrikosov and L. P. Gor'kov, Zhur. Eksptl'. i Teoret. Fiz. 39 (1960).
- ²⁶ S. L. Bud'ko, A. N. Voronovskii, A. G. Gapotchenko, and E. S. ltskevich, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 86, 778 (1984), URL http://www.jetp.ras.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e_ 059_02_0454.pdf.
- ²⁷ E. Colombier and D. Braithwaite, Review of Scientific Instruments 78, 093903 (2007), URL http://aip. scitation.org/doi/full/10.1063/1.2778629.
- ²⁸ B. Bireckoven and J. Wittig, Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments 21, 841 (1988), ISSN 0022-3735, URL http://stacks.iop.org/0022-3735/21/i=9/a=004.
- ²⁹ L. Xiang, E. Gati, S. L. Bud'ko, R. A. Ribeiro, A. Ata, U. Tutsch, M. Lang, and P. C. Canfield, Review of Scientific Instruments 91, 095103 (2020), ISSN 0034-6748, URL https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0022650.
- ³⁰ S. K. Kim, M. S. Torikachvili, E. Colombier, A. Thaler, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 84, 134525 (2011), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevB.84.134525.
- ³¹ M. S. Torikachvili, S. K. Kim, E. Colombier, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 86, 123904 (2015), URL http://aip.scitation.org/doi/ abs/10.1063/1.4937478.
- 32 E. Gati, L. Xiang, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, AN NALEN DER PHYSIK p. 2000248 (2020), ISSN 0003- 3804, URL https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.202000248.
- 33 V. Borisov, P. C. Canfield, and R. Valentí, Phys. Rev. B 98, 064104 (2018), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevB.98.064104.
- ³⁴ E. Gati, S. Köhler, D. Guterding, B. Wolf, S. Knöner, S. Ran, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, and M. Lang, Phys.

Rev. B 86, 220511 (2012), URL https://link.aps.org/ doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.220511.

- ³⁵ R. L. Stillwell, X. Wang, L. Wang, D. J. Campbell, J. Paglione, S. T. Weir, Y. K. Vohra, and J. R. Jeffries, PRB 100, 045152 (2019), URL https://link.aps.org/ doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.045152.
- ³⁶ D. E. Jackson, D. VanGennep, W. Bi, D. Zhang, P. Materne, Y. Liu, G.-H. Cao, S. T. Weir, Y. K. Vohra, and J. J. Hamlin, Phys. Rev. B 98, 014518 (2018), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB. 98.014518.
- ³⁷ V. G. Kogan and R. Prozorov, Rep. Prog. Phys. 75, 114502 (2012), URL http://stacks.iop.org/0034-4885/75/i= 11/a=114502.
- ³⁸ U. S. Kaluarachchi, V. Taufour, A. E. Böhmer, M. A. Tanatar, S. L. Bud'ko, V. G. Kogan, R. Prozorov, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 93, 064503 (2016), URL http: //link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.064503.
- 39 L. Xiang, U. S. Kaluarachchi, A. E. Böhmer, V. Taufour, M. A. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 96, 024511 (2017), URL https: //link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.024511.
- ⁴⁰ D. Mou, T. Kong, W. R. Meier, F. Lochner, L.-L. Wang, Q. Lin, Y. Wu, S. L. Bud'ko, I. Eremin, D. D. Johnson, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 277001 (2016), URL https: //link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.277001.
- ⁴¹ V. Taufour, N. Foroozani, M. A. Tanatar, J. Lim, U. Kaluarachchi, S. K. Kim, Y. Liu, T. A. Lograsso, V. G. Kogan, R. Prozorov, et al., Phys. Rev. B 89, 220509 (2014), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.89. 220509.
- 42 A. Gurevich, Reports on Progress in Physics 74, 124501 (2011), ISSN 1361-6633, URL http://dx.doi.org/10. 1088/0034-4885/74/12/124501.
- ⁴³ G. Liu, H. Liu, L. Zhao, W. Zhang, X. Jia, J. Meng, X. Dong, J. Zhang, G. F. Chen, G. Wang, et al., Phys. Rev. B 80, 134519 (2009), URL https://link.aps.org/ doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.134519.
- ⁴⁴ J. Dai, Q. Si, J.-X. Zhu, and E. Abrahams, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106, 4118 (2009), ISSN 0027-8424, URL http://www.pnas.org/content/106/11/ 4118.
- ⁴⁵ C. Liu, T. Kondo, R. M. Fernandes, A. D. Palczewski, E. D. Mun, N. Ni, A. N. Thaler, A. Bostwick, E. Rotenberg, J. Schmalian, et al., Nature Physics 6, 419 (2010), ISSN 1745-2481, URL https://doi.org/10.1038/ nphys1656.
- ⁴⁶ C. Liu, A. D. Palczewski, R. S. Dhaka, T. Kondo, R. M. Fernandes, E. D. Mun, H. Hodovanets, A. N. Thaler, J. Schmalian, S. L. Bud'ko, et al., PRB 84, 020509 (2011), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevB.84.020509.
- ⁴⁷ H. Michor, M. El-Hagary, L. Naber, E. Bauer, and G. Hilscher, PRB 61, R6487 (2000), URL https://link. aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.R6487.
- ⁴⁸ J.-H. Choi, H. Doh, E.-M. Choi, S.-I. Lee, M. Ohashi, and N. Môri, PRB 65, 024520 (2001), URL https://link. aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.024520.
- ⁴⁹ M. B. Maple and K.-S. Kim, PRL 23, 118 (1969), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.23. 118.