

CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Orbital design of flat bands in non-line-graph lattices via line-graph wave functions Hang Liu, Gurjyot Sethi, Sheng Meng, and Feng Liu Phys. Rev. B **105**, 085128 — Published 17 February 2022 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.105.085128](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.105.085128)

Orbital Design of Flat Bands in Non-Line-Graph Lattices via Line-Graph Wavefunctions

Hang Liu,^{1,2,3} Gurjyot Sethi,¹ Sheng Meng,^{2,3,*} and Feng Liu^{1,†}

¹ *Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA*

² *Songshan Lake Materials Laboratory, Dongguan, Guangdong 523808, People's Republic of China*

³ *Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China*

Abstract: Line-graph (LG) lattices are known for having flat bands (FBs) from the destructive interference of Bloch wavefunctions encoded in only *lattice* symmetry. Here, we develop a generic atomic/molecular *orbital* design principle for FBs in non-LG lattices. Based on linear-combinationof-atomic-orbital (LCAO) theory, we demonstrate that the underlying wavefunction symmetry of FBs in a LG lattice can be transformed into the atomic/molecular orbital symmetry in a non-LG lattice. We illustrate such orbital-designed topological FBs in three 2D non-LG, square, trigonal, and hexagonal lattices, where the designed orbitals faithfully reproduce the corresponding lattice symmetries of checkerboard, Kagome, and diatomic-Kagome lattices, respectively. Interestingly, systematic design of FBs with a high Chern number is also achieved based on the same principle. Fundamentally our theory enriches the FB physics; practically it significantly expands the scope of FB materials, since most materials have multiple atomic/molecular orbitals at each lattice site, rather than a single *s* orbital mandated in graph theory and generic lattice models.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electronic properties of crystals are generally determined by four fundamental degrees of order: lattice, orbital, charge, and spin [\[1\]](#page-11-0). One distinguished manifestation of lattice symmetry in electron band structure is topological flat band (TFB) in line-graph (LG) lattices [\[2-5\]](#page-11-1). In graph theory, a LG is made by connecting the centers of edges sharing a common vertex of a graph. It is proved that [\[6-8\]](#page-11-2) the Laplacian operator of a LG is equivalent to the electronic Hamiltonian of the corresponding LG lattice, which has ubiquitously a constant eigenvalue, i.e., a FB. The topology of a FB hosted in a LG lattice can be assessed by the existence of singular band touching point with a dispersive band at a high-symmetry *k* point [\[9-12\]](#page-11-3), differing from an isolated trivial FB, such as the one in Tasaki lattices [\[13-15\]](#page-12-0). When the degeneracy of the touching point is lifted, the gapped TFB has a nonzero Chern number [\[9\]](#page-11-3). Due to its quenched kinetic energy and nontrivial topology, there exists a rich spectrum of physics associated with TFB, such as ferromagnetism [\[13](#page-12-0)*,*[16](#page-12-1)*,*[17\]](#page-12-2), superconductivity [\[18-20\]](#page-12-3), Wigner crystallization [\[21-23\]](#page-12-4), fractional quantum Hall effect [\[24-27\]](#page-12-5), Weyl fermion [\[28\]](#page-12-6), and excitonic insulator [\[29\]](#page-12-7). Recent discovery of superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene has further boosted the interest in FBs [\[30-33\]](#page-12-8).

The lattice, orbital, charge, and spin degrees of order are interdependent with each other. Of particular interest here is the transformation between lattice and orbital symmetry. Some generic *lattice* models (namely one *s*-orbital per site), based on LG [\[2-8](#page-11-1)*,*[34-46\]](#page-13-0), cell [\[13-15](#page-12-0)*,*[47-49\]](#page-13-1), and compact localized state (CLS) construction [\[10](#page-11-4)*,*[47](#page-13-1)*,*[50\]](#page-13-2), have been developed for FBs [see Section I of Supplemental Material (SM) [\[51\]](#page-13-3)]. Also, a couple of specific models have been shown for FBs in non-LG lattices $[22,35,52]$ $[22,35,52]$ $[22,35,52]$ $[22,35,52]$ $[22,35,52]$, such as the hexagonal lattice with (p_x, p_y) orbitals $[22,53-55]$ $[22,53-55]$. However, a generic *orbital* model for TFB construction, including high-Chern-number FB, is still lacking, which is important and useful since real materials usually consist of multiple atomic/molecular orbitals on each lattice site. In general, our understanding of fundamental relationship between lattice and orbital symmetry regarding FBs is far from complete.

In this work, we introduce a generic orbital design principle for TFBs, based on linear-

combination-of-atomic-orbitals (LCAO) theory, which transforms the symmetry of lattice wavefunctions in LG lattice into "molecular" orbital (MO) symmetry in non-LG lattice by a unitary transformation. Applying this principle, we predict new FB lattice/orbital systems and explain the few existing ones. It also enables a systematic orbital design of FBs with a high Chern number in various lattices. Using the tight-binding method, we calculate the band structures of three most common non-LG, square, trigonal, and hexagonal lattices, by employing the combinations of orbitals that are symmetry-transformed from a subset of lattice wavefunctions of the LG, checkerboard, Kagome, and diatomic-Kagome lattices, respectively. We are able to produce TFBs in these lattices with *all* the possible orbital combinations, as summarized in Table I at the end, much beyond a few specific cases found previously by physical intuition.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

For tight-binding model calculations, we employed the well-known two-center bond integrals initially derived by Slater and Koster [\[56\]](#page-14-0). All moment-space Hamiltonians without spin-orbit coupling (SOC) can be found in Section IV of SM [\[51\]](#page-13-3). Furthermore, onsite SOC (Table S1 [\[51](#page-13-3)*,*[57\]](#page-14-1)) is considered to break the degeneracy of singular touching points between the flat and dispersive band. For the two-dimensional systems in this work, the orbital bases with separate spin-up and down channels $\{|o_I, \uparrow\rangle, \ldots, |o_n, \uparrow\rangle; |o_I, \downarrow\rangle, \ldots, |o_n, \downarrow\rangle\}$ are used, so the onsite-SOC contribution to Hamiltonian is written as $\lambda \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ $\frac{\lambda}{2} \begin{bmatrix} L_z & 0 \\ 0 & -L \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -L_z \end{bmatrix}$ [\[58\]](#page-14-2) with SOC strength λ , orbital angular momentum L , and spin angular momentum S , in which the matrix L_z is derived based on Supplementary Table 1. The spin *z* component is not mixed by the onsite SOC, manifesting *z* component is still a good quantum number. For the spin-polarized band indexed as *n*, Chern invariant $C_n = \frac{1}{2i}$ $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{BZ} d^2 \mathbf{k} \Omega_n$ is calculated by integrating Berry curvature in the FBZ [\[59\]](#page-14-3). The

momentum-space Berry curvature is
$$
\Omega_n(\mathbf{k}) = -\sum_{n' \neq n} \frac{2Im(\psi_{nk}|\hat{v}_x|\psi_{n'k})\langle \psi_{n'k}|\hat{v}_y|\psi_{nk})}{(E_{n'k} - E_{nk})^2}
$$
, where \hat{v}_x

and \hat{v}_y are velocity operators along the *x* and *y* directions. The Chern invariant is calculated for spin-up channel in this work.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. General formulation of orbital-design principle.

We first discuss a general formulation of the orbital designed TFBs in non-LG lattices. Consider a LG lattice consisting of *n* sites per unit cell, such as $n = 2$ in a checkerboard lattice (LG of square lattice) in Fig. 1 (grey dots), with one *s*-orbital per site (φ_s) . Let us partition the LG by grouping *m* sites with labels (A, B, C, \cdots) together as a "molecule" (periodically repeated), the resulting MOs are constructed from LCAO theory as

$$
\varphi_{MO} = \sum_{i=A,B,C,\cdots}^{m} c_i \varphi_{is} , \qquad (1)
$$

and treat the center of this molecule as one site with *n* MOs in a new lattice, which will generally be a non-LG lattice. For example, in Fig. 1(a), we chose $m = n = 2$, then the new lattice is a square lattice with two MOs on each site (blue dots). This operation transforms the lattice symmetry of a LG checkerboard lattice into the orbital symmetry of a non-LG square lattice. Importantly both lattices must have the same band structure, including the FB, because they have the equivalent Hamiltonian by a unitary transformation between different basis expansions for the Bloch wavefunctions in the same lattice partition, the former expanded in single *s*-orbitals and the latter in multi-MOs. The *symmetry* (or type) of the MOs is determined by coefficients c_i in Eq. (1), in particular the sign of c_i on the m LG lattice sites, which can be obtained from the nodes of Bloch wavefunctions at the Γ point. Note that the basis transformation is independent of *k* points; in other words, the Bloch states at every *k* point are solved with the same *s*-orbital (MO) basis in the LG (non-LG) lattice. For example, the two MOs in Fig. 1(a) have the general form of $\phi_{MO}^{1,2} = (\varphi_{As} \pm \varphi_{A})$)/√2 [see calculation results in Fig. 2(a)], indicating one *s*- and one *p*-orbital. This is different from the *k*-resolved symmetry analysis of the whole-lattice Bloch states, used to assess band topology [\[60](#page-14-4)*,*[61\]](#page-14-5).

Also, different MOs may result from partitioning different number of *m* sites. For example, in Fig. 1(b), we chose $m = 2n = 4$, the new lattice is again a square lattice, but the two MOs on each site (blue dots) have *s*- and *d*-symmetry, respectively [see calculation results in Fig. 2(e)]. In doing so, the new square lattice has a supercell size twice as large as the original checkerboard lattice, as the two MOs are transformed from four *s*-orbitals. This indicates band folding must accompany with this basis transformation, since the band structure is independent of basis representations. Interestingly, this would lead to multiple "folded" singular band touching points between the flat and dispersive band, indicating the FB with a high Chern number.

B. Square lattice.

Next, we illustrate the above design principle by tight-binding band calculations of specific examples. The checkerboard lattice, having site A and B in a unit cell, hosts a FB touched with a dispersive band (Fig. S1 in SM [\[51\]](#page-13-3)). In Fig. 2(a), we plot the two eigenstates at Γ , $\psi_{E=-3t}$ = 1 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|A\rangle + |B\rangle)$ and $\psi_{E=t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|A\rangle - |B\rangle)$, centered at the middle of A and B. They may be viewed as two MOs sitting on the same site in a square lattice, one with $s \sim |A\rangle + |B\rangle$ and the other with $p \sim |A\rangle - |B\rangle$ symmetry polarized along the diagonal direction, as shown in Fig. 2(a,b). The band structure of this square lattice is calculated, as shown in Fig. 2(c), using the following nearestneighbor (NN) and next-NN (NNN) hopping integrals (note: the two-center Slater-Koster integrals [\[56](#page-14-0)*,*[62\]](#page-14-6) is scaled by a common factor *t*.)

$$
t_{SS\sigma}^{NN} = -t_{Sp\sigma}^{NN} = -\frac{1}{2}, \, t_{pp\sigma}^{NN} = -t_{pp\pi}^{NN}, \, t_{SS\sigma}^{NNN} = -\frac{1}{4}, \, t_{Sp\sigma}^{NNN} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \, t_{pp\sigma}^{NNN} = \frac{1}{2}.\tag{2}
$$

One may also partition the checkerboard lattice wavefunctions in Fig. 2(a) differently, by grouping four instead of two lattice sites, as illustrated in Fig. 2(e). Expanding the Γ-point lattice wavefunctions into a four-site basis in a $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$ checkerboard superlattice gives rise to two MOs corresponding to $\psi_{E=-3t} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(|A_1\rangle + |A_2\rangle + |B_1\rangle + |B_2\rangle)$, and $\psi_{E=t} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(|A_1\rangle+|A_2\rangle-|B_1\rangle-$ |² ⟩), with *s* and *d* symmetry in a square lattice [Fig. 2(e,f)]. This enables an alternative design of FB in a square lattice, and Figure 2(g) shows the resulting band structure calculated using (s, d_{xy}) hopping integrals of $t_{ss\sigma}^{NN} = -t_{dd\pi}^{NN} = -\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, $t_{SS\sigma}^{NNN} = -\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$, $t_{sd\sigma}^{NNN} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$ $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$, and $t_{dd\sigma}^{NNN} = -\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$.

The band structure in Fig. 2(g) is different but related to that in Fig. 2(c) by band folding.

Specifically, the bands in Fig. 2(g), calculated from the 1×1 unit cell [solid square in Fig. 2(f)] with a "1 \times 1" first Brillouin zone (FBZ) [solid square of inset in Fig. 2(g)], can be folded into the bands of a $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$ cell [dashed rhombus in Fig. 2(f)] with a $\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ " FBZ [dashed rhombus of inset in Fig. 2(g)], with the M, middle point of Γ -M, and X of the former folded into the Γ , X, and M of the latter, respectively. The folding produces two sets of degenerate checkerboard bands (Fig. S2) having the identical band dispersions as in Fig. 2(c). Interestingly, this renders the FB in Fig. 2(g) to have a Chern number of −2 for one spin channel, as manifested by the observation of FB touched with the dispersive band at two X points within the FBZ, as explained below.

At each band touching point, the Berry curvature of singular Bloch wavefunctions of TFB diverges, in association with the *N*−1 CLSs for a finite lattice with *N* sites, and 2 topological noncontractible loop states (NLSs), i.e., extended boundary states, in real space [\[9-12\]](#page-11-3). In LG lattices, the CLS is resulted from destructive interference (phase cancellation) of lattice hopping induced solely by lattice symmetry, as reflected by the alternating nodal signs of wavefunction on an even-edged plaquette, e.g., a rhombus in a checkerboard lattice (Fig. S1). The CLS of orbitaldesigned FBs is more complex, as illustrated in Fig. 2(d). The Bloch state of FB in Fig. 2(c) is calculated as $\psi_k^{FB} = i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin \frac{k_1+k_2}{2} |s\rangle + \cos \frac{k_1}{2}$ $\frac{k_1}{2}$ cos $\frac{k_2}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}$ |p) with $k_j = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$ (\mathbf{a}_j , lattice vector; $j = 1$, 2), whose Fourier transformation $\psi_R^{FB} = \int_{BZ} d\bm{k} e^{-i\bm{k} \cdot \bm{R}} \psi_k^{FB}$ produces a real-space CLS on a square plaquette centered at *R* [Fig. 2(d)]. It consists of nodal wavefunctions of $\frac{|p\rangle}{4}$, $-\frac{|s\rangle}{2\sqrt{2}}$ $rac{|s\rangle}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{|p\rangle}{4}$ $\frac{p}{4}, \frac{|p\rangle}{4}$ $\frac{p}{4}$, and $|s\rangle$ $rac{|s\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{|p\rangle}{4}$ $\frac{p}{4}$ at four vertices of the plaquette, respectively. Electron hoppings outward from the CLS to its surrounding lattice sites are completely forbidden, which can be shown by analyzing hoppings based on Eq. (2). For example, the hoppings to the site above site 1 come from site 1 and 2 in Fig. 2(d), which are respectively $\frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right]$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(t^{NN}_{pp\sigma}+t^{NN}_{pp\pi}\right)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}t_{sp\sigma}^{NN}\right] = -\frac{t}{8v}$ $rac{t}{8\sqrt{2}}$ and $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ $rac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}t_{SS\sigma}^{NNN} + \frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4} t_{pp\pi}^{NNN} =$ t $\frac{c}{8\sqrt{2}}$, and cancels out with each other. The same is true for all other hoppings. Likewise, the FB in *sd*-orbital model supports a CLS on a square plaquette with linearly combined *s* and *d* orbitals on its vertices [Fig. 2(h)], whose outward hoppings also vanish (see details in Section II of SM [\[51\]](#page-13-3)).

Thus, the orbital symmetry in a non-LG lattice plays the role of lattice symmetry in a LG lattice in conditioning the destructive interference of Bloch states to form a CLS. Besides the localized CLSs, the extended FB NLSs exist (see details in Section III of SM [\[51\]](#page-13-3)), indicating the nontrivial topology of the orbital-designed FBs [\[9-12\]](#page-11-3).

In real materials, there are usually multiple atomic/molecular orbitals on each lattice site contributing to the bands near Fermi level. In the proposed lattice-orbital transformation, the number of sites in the LG lattice equals the number of orbitals in the non-LG lattice per unit- or super-cell. So, to design FB with more than two orbitals in a square lattice, one may find another LG lattice, instead of checkerboard lattice, with more sites per cell. One such choice is the diamondoctagon (diatomic-checkerboard) lattice, the LG of Lieb lattice [\[43\]](#page-13-7). This leads to dual TFBs in a square lattice. Without losing generality, let us first choose three orbitals (s, p_x, p_y) [Fig. 3(a,b)], and the following NN hopping integrals

$$
t_{SS\sigma} = -\frac{1}{8}, t_{pp\sigma} = \frac{1}{4}, t_{sp\sigma} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}.
$$
 (3)

The resulting band structure consists of two FBs touched with one dispersive band in between [Fig. 3(c)]. They correspond exactly to the top three bands of diamond-octagon lattice (Fig. S3), whose lattice wavefunctions at Γ indeed display the *s*, p_x , and p_y orbital symmetry, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3(a), following our design principle.

The CLS is analyzed to understand how the kinetic energy of the dual TFBs is quenched. As illustrated in Fig. 3(d), the lower FB supports a CLS on a square plaquette with bonding nodal wavefunctions $|s\rangle + \frac{1}{s}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|p_x\rangle-|p_y\rangle), |s\rangle-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|p_x\rangle+|p_y\rangle), |s\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-|p_x\rangle+|p_y\rangle)$, and $|s\rangle$ + 1 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|p_x\rangle + |p_y\rangle)$ at four vertices, respectively; while the upper-FB CLS consists of four antibonding vertex states. Based on Eq. (3), both CLSs have vanishing outward hoppings. For example, the one from site 1 to the site above is $(t_{ss\sigma} + t_{sp\sigma}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-t_{spo} + t_{ppo}) = 0$ (see others and topological NLSs in Section II and III of SM [\[51\]](#page-13-3), respectively). It once again confirms that the orbital symmetry underlines the destructive interference of Bloch wavefunctions for our

theoretically designed TFBs.

The diamond-octagon lattice has total four sites per unit cell and hence four bands; the wavefunction of the fourth bottom isolated band has the *d*_{x2−y}₂ symmetry (Fig. S3). It can be shown that by changing the sign of lattice hopping integrals, the position of *s*- and *d*x2−y²-band is interchangeable. Consequently, an alternative design of the top three bands with dual FBs is to use (*d*x2−y², *p*x, *p*y) in place of (*s*, *p*x, *p*y) orbitals in a square lattice (Fig. S4), as found previously [\[35\]](#page-13-4). One may also include four orbitals (*s*, *p*x, *p*y, *d*x2−y²) in a square lattice, which produces two sets of checkerboard bands of opposite chirality (Fig. S5). They are dubbed as Yin-Yang checkerboard bands, in analogy to the yin-yang Kagome bands [\[41\]](#page-13-8). The above hopping integrals in square lattice produce a perfect FB, same as in the corresponding LG lattice with ideal hopping integrals. Ideally, specific inter-orbital hopping integrals are assumed in the non-LG lattices to produce FBs with perfect flatness, same as in the corresponding LG lattice with ideal hopping integrals. Usually, a small deviation from ideal hopping conditions in either LG or non-LG lattices leads to finite dispersion of FBs, but without changing the physics qualitatively $([64]$ $([64]$, Fig. S6).

In the present study, we focus on the LG of bipartite lattices whose FB is singularly touched with a dispersive band, and hence topologically nontrivial [\[9-12\]](#page-11-3), while the LG of non-bipartite lattices have an isolated FB that is topologically fragile [\[4](#page-11-5)*,*[8](#page-11-6)*,*[36\]](#page-13-9). Each singular band touching point can be viewed as a Berry flux center, in analogy to Dirac/Weyl point [\[9](#page-11-3)*,*[63\]](#page-14-8), contributing to one integer Chern number of ± 1 . This can be clearly illustrated by evaluating evolution of band structure and Berry curvature Ω of FB as a function of increasing SOC strength, as shown in Fig. 4 for the case of sp^2 square lattice as an example. One sees that with a diminishing SOC [Fig. 4(a)] towards zero, Ω vanishes everywhere except for around the Γ point where it diverges going to infinity on a tiny small circle. With the increasing SOC, the distribution of Ω gradually broadens around Γ on a band of ring. In all cases, integration of Ω over the FBZ gives a Chern number of 1. Indeed, this is confirmed by adding SOC to open a gap, and calculating the FB Chern number in all the lattices considered (Fig. S7). Each singular band touching point contributes a Chern number of +1 or -1; therefore, the proposed orbital design provides also an effective way to realize highChern-number FBs by introducing multiple band touching points as shown in Fig. $2(g)$ [see also Fig. 5(f) below].

C. Trigonal lattice.

Next, we demonstrate existence of TFB in a trigonal lattice, by orbital design from a Kagome lattice (LG of hexagonal lattice). Kagome lattices has three Γ -point eigenstates of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|A\rangle + |B\rangle +$ $|C\rangle$), $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-|A\rangle + |B\rangle)$, and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-|A\rangle - |B\rangle + 2|C\rangle)$ at $E = -4t$, 2*t*, and 2*t* [Fig. 5(a)], which have the s , p_x , and p_y orbital symmetry, respectively. So, using three orbitals (s, p_x, p_y) on each site [Fig. 5(b)] and the following NN hopping integrals

$$
t_{SS\sigma} = -\frac{2}{3}, t_{pp\sigma} = 1, t_{pp\pi} = -\frac{1}{3}, t_{sp\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}},
$$
(4)

a FB appears to touch with two Dirac bands [Fig. 5(c)], similar to Kagome bands (Fig. S8). Since the lattice-orbital transformation has three lattice sites transformed into three orbitals in the same unit cell, there is no band folding.

Similar to the design of high-Chern-number FB in square lattice [Fig. 2(g) vs. 2(c)], instead of three sites (nodal points), one may consider the symmetry of Kagome lattice wavefunctions partitioned on twelve nodal points in a 2 × 2 supercell [Fig. 5(d)], leading to three $(s, d_{xy}, d_{x^2-y^2})$ orbitals in a trigonal lattice. Correspondingly, using (*s*, *d*xy, *d*x2−y²) basis in a 1 1 trigonal unit cell [Fig. 5(e)] and NN hopping integrals $t_{ss\sigma} = -\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}$, $t_{dd\sigma} = -\frac{4}{9}$ $\frac{4}{9}$, $t_{dd\pi} = 1$, $t_{sd\sigma} = -\sqrt{\frac{8}{27}}$ $\frac{8}{27}$, we obtain a band structure exhibiting a FB touched with Dirac bands [Fig. 5(f)], but different from the apparent looking of Kagome bands (Fig. S8). This is because they are unfolded from four sets of degenerate Kagome bands appearing as if in a "2 \times 2" supercell with a " $\frac{1}{3}$ " $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ FBZ [four dashed hexagons in the inset of Fig. 5(f)], with the Γ , K and M of the former unfolded from the Γ , K and Γ of the latter, respectively. Accordingly, there are four singular band touching points, one at Γ and three at M in the FBZ, with the former contributing a Chern number of +1 and the latter −3, adding to a net FB Chern number of −2 (Fig. S7). The FB CLS in a trigonal lattice is on a hexagon plaquette, with

outward hopping all canceled out by orbital symmetry (Fig. S9).

D. Hexagonal lattice.

Lastly, we discuss the design of FBs in a hexagonal lattice with site A and B, where an alreadyknown orbital basis is (p_x, p_y) with the hopping integral $t_{pp\sigma} = \frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ [\[22\]](#page-12-9). The four Γ -point eigenstates are $-|A: p_x\rangle + |B: p_x\rangle, |A: p_y\rangle - |B: p_y\rangle, |A: p_x\rangle + |B: p_x\rangle,$ and $|A: p_y\rangle + |B: p_y\rangle$, which have the same symmetry of diatomic-Kagome lattice wavefunctions (Fig. S10, S11), noticing that the diatomic-Kagome lattice is a generalized LG (i.e., two copies of LG) of hexagonal lattice. So, it again conforms to our generic orbital-design principle.

Furthermore, other orbital bases for TFBs in hexagonal lattice can be designed. As shown in Fig. 6(a,b), using $(d_{xy}, d_{x^2-y^2})$ orbitals on each site, and a NN hopping integral $t_{dd\sigma} = -\frac{8}{9}$ $\frac{8}{9}$, two FBs sandwiching two Dirac bands are obtained [Fig. 6(c)]. The doubly degenerate Γ-point eigenstates are $-|A: d_{xy}\rangle - |B: d_{xy}\rangle$ and $|A: d_{x^2-y^2}\rangle + |B: d_{x^2-y^2}\rangle$ ($|A: d_{xy}\rangle - |B: d_{xy}\rangle$ and $|A: d_{x^2-y^2}\rangle |B: d_{x^2-y^2}\rangle$, respectively, having the same symmetries as those of diatomic-Kagome lattice Γpoint wavefunctions in Fig. 6(a). Adding another *s* orbital leads to yin-yang Kagome bands (Fig. S12), as found previously [\[41\]](#page-13-8).

IV. CONCLUSION

We have developed a generic orbital design principle for FBs, including high-Chern-number FBs, in non-LG lattices via LG lattice wavefunctions, as summarized in Table I, for *all* possible orbital combinations. Importantly, the required hopping conditions are generally achievable in real materials, and some of the proposed designs have already been shown in real materials [\[41](#page-13-8)*,*[52-](#page-13-5) [55](#page-13-5)*,*[64](#page-14-7)*,*[65\]](#page-14-9). Also, more strict hopping conditions can be met by designing artificial lattice systems, where photonic and phonic FBs can be created in the non-LG lattices. Generally, the implementation of the design principle is more flexible with molecular than atomic orbitals. For example, the frontier MOs of a triangular graphene flake have the p_z , (p_x, p_y) and (s, p_x, p_y) symmetry, respectively, with a side length of 2, 3, and 4 benzene rings [\[41\]](#page-13-8). One may also generalize the design principle to three dimensional lattices.

Acknowledgements

H.L. and S.M. thank financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 12025407, 11934003, and 12147136), Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB330301), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2021M700163), and Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Grant No. 2021A1515110466). G.S. and F.L. are supported by U.S. DOE-BES (Grant No. DE-FG02-04ER46148).

† fliu@eng.utah.edu * smeng@iphy.ac.cn

- 1. D. Xiao, L. Gu, Origin of functionality for functional materials at atomic scale. Nano Select 1, 183 (2020).
- 2. A. Mielke, Ferromagnetism in the Hubbard model on line graphs and further considerations. J. Phys. A - Math. Gen. 24, 3311 (1991).
- 3. A. Mielke, Ferromagnetic ground states for the Hubbard model on line graphs. J. Phys. A Math. Gen. 24, L73 (1991).
- 4. D.-S. Ma, Y. Xu, C. S. Chiu, N. Regnault, A. A. Houck, Z. Song, B. A. Bernevig, Spin-Orbit-Induced Topological Flat Bands in Line and Split Graphs of Bipartite Lattices. Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 266403 (2020).
- 5. S. Zhang, M. Kang, H. Huang, W. Jiang, X. Ni, L. Kang, S. Zhang, H. Xu, Z. Liu, F. Liu, Kagome bands disguised in a coloring-triangle lattice. Phys. Rev. B 99, 100404(R) (2019).
- 6. T. Shirai, The Spectrum of Infinite Regular Line Graphs. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 352, 115 (1999).
- 7. S. Miyahara, K. Kubo, H. Ono, Y. Shimomura, N. Furukawa, Flat-Bands on Partial Line Graphs –Systematic Method for Generating Flat-Band Lattice Structures–. Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 74, 1918 (2005).
- 8. A. J. Kollár, M. Fitzpatrick, P. Sarnak, A. A. Houck, Line-Graph Lattices: Euclidean and Non-Euclidean Flat Bands, and Implementations in Circuit Quantum Electrodynamics. Communications in Mathematical Physics 376, 1909 (2020).
- 9. J.-W. Rhim, B.-J. Yang, Classification of flat bands according to the band-crossing singularity of Bloch wave functions. Phys. Rev. B 99, 045107 (2019).
- 10. D. L. Bergman, C. Wu, L. Balents, Band touching from real-space topology in frustrated hopping models. Phys. Rev. B 78, 125104 (2008).
- 11. J. Ma, J. W. Rhim, L. Tang, S. Xia, H. Wang, X. Zheng, S. Xia, D. Song, Y. Hu, Y. Li, B. J. Yang, D. Leykam, Z. Chen, Direct Observation of Flatband Loop States Arising from Nontrivial Real-Space Topology. Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 183901 (2020).
- 12. J.-W. Rhim, B.-J. Yang, Singular flat bands. Advances in Physics: X 6, 1901606 (2021).
- 13. H. Tasaki, Ferromagnetism in the Hubbard models with degenerate single-electron ground states. Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1608 (1992).
- 14. H. Tasaki, Ferromagnetism in the Hubbard Model: A Constructive Approach. Communications in Mathematical Physics 242, 445 (2003).
- 15. T. Misumi, H. Aoki, New class of flat-band models on tetragonal and hexagonal lattices: Gapped versus crossing flat bands. Phys. Rev. B 96, 155137 (2017).
- 16. F. Pollmann, P. Fulde, K. Shtengel, Kinetic ferromagnetism on a kagome lattice. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 136404 (2008).
- 17. W. Jiang, H. Huang, F. Liu, A Lieb-like lattice in a covalent-organic framework and its Stoner ferromagnetism. Nat. Commun. 10, 2207 (2019).
- 18. S. Miyahara, S. Kusuta, N. Furukawa, BCS theory on a flat band lattice. Physica C: Superconductivity 460-462, 1145 (2007).
- 19. G. E. Volovik, Graphite, Graphene, and the Flat Band Superconductivity. JETP Letters 107, 516 (2018).
- 20. J. S. Hofmann, E. Berg, D. Chowdhury, Superconductivity, pseudogap, and phase separation in topological flat bands. Phys. Rev. B 102, 201112(R) (2020).
- 21. B. Jaworowski, A. D. Güçlü, P. Kaczmarkiewicz, M. Kupczyński, P. Potasz, A. Wójs, Wigner crystallization in topological flat bands. New Journal of Physics 20, 063023 (2018).
- 22. C. Wu, D. Bergman, L. Balents, S. Das Sarma, Flat bands and Wigner crystallization in the honeycomb optical lattice. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 070401 (2007).
- 23. Y. Chen, S. Xu, Y. Xie, C. Zhong, C. Wu, S. B. Zhang, Ferromagnetism and Wigner crystallization in kagome graphene and related structures. Phys. Rev. B 98, 035135 (2018).
- 24. E. Tang, J. W. Mei, X. G. Wen, High-temperature fractional quantum Hall states. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 236802 (2011).
- 25. T. Neupert, L. Santos, C. Chamon, C. Mudry, Fractional quantum Hall states at zero magnetic field. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 236804 (2011).
- 26. D. N. Sheng, Z. C. Gu, K. Sun, L. Sheng, Fractional quantum Hall effect in the absence of Landau levels. Nat. Commun. 2, 389 (2011).
- 27. Y.-F. Wang, H. Yao, C.-D. Gong, D. N. Sheng, Fractional quantum Hall effect in topological flat bands with Chern number two. Phys. Rev. B 86, 201101(R) (2012).
- 28. Y. Zhou, K.-H. Jin, H. Huang, Z. Wang, F. Liu, Weyl points created by a three-dimensional flat band. Phys. Rev. B 99, 201105(R) (2019).
- 29. G. Sethi, Y. Zhou, L. Zhu, L. Yang, F. Liu, Flat-Band-Enabled Triplet Excitonic Insulator in a Diatomic Kagome Lattice. Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 196403 (2021).
- 30. R. Bistritzer, A. H. MacDonald, Moire bands in twisted double-layer graphene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 12233 (2011).
- 31. Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, P. Jarillo-Herrero, Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices. Nature 556, 43 (2018).
- 32. H. S. Arora, R. Polski, Y. Zhang, A. Thomson, Y. Choi, H. Kim, Z. Lin, I. Z. Wilson, X. Xu, J. H. Chu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Alicea, S. Nadj-Perge, Superconductivity in metallic twisted bilayer graphene stabilized by WSe2. Nature 583, 379 (2020).
- 33. B. E. Feldman, Squeezing strong correlations from graphene. Science 363, 1035 (2019).
- 34. A. Mielke, Exact ground states for the Hubbard model on the Kagome lattice. J. Phys. A - Math. Gen. 25, 4335 (1992).
- 35. K. Sun, Z. Gu, H. Katsura, S. Das Sarma, Nearly flatbands with nontrivial topology. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 236803 (2011).
- 36. C. S. Chiu, D.-S. Ma, Z.-D. Song, B. A. Bernevig, A. A. Houck, Fragile topology in line-graph lattices with two, three, or four gapped flat bands. Physical Review Research 2, 043414 (2020).
- 37. M. Ezawa, Higher-Order Topological Insulators and Semimetals on the Breathing Kagome and Pyrochlore Lattices. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 026801 (2018).
- 38. H. Xue, Y. Yang, F. Gao, Y. Chong, B. Zhang, Acoustic higher-order topological insulator on a kagome lattice. Nat. Mater. 18, 108 (2019).
- 39. A. Bolens, N. Nagaosa, Topological states on the breathing kagome lattice. Phys. Rev. B 99, 165414 (2019).
- 40. K. Essafi, L. D. C. Jaubert, M. Udagawa, Flat bands and Dirac cones in breathing lattices. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 29, 315802 (2017).
- 41. Y. Zhou, G. Sethi, H. Liu, Z. Wang, F. Liu, Excited quantum Hall effect: enantiomorphic flat bands in a Yin-Yang Kagome lattice. arXiv:1908.03689.
- 42. Y. Zhou, G. Sethi, C. Zhang, X. Ni, F. Liu, Giant intrinsic circular dichroism of enantiomorphic flat Chern bands and flatband devices. Phys. Rev. B 102, 125115 (2020).
- 43. B. Pal, Nontrivial topological flat bands in a diamond-octagon lattice geometry. Phys. Rev. B 98, 245116 (2018).
- 44. K. Kubo, C. Hotta, S. Miyahara, N. Furukawa, Ferromagnetism on generalized partial line graphs. Physica B: Condensed Matter 378-380, 273 (2006).
- 45. C.-C. Lee, A. Fleurence, Y. Yamada-Takamura, T. Ozaki, Hidden mechanism for embedding the flat bands of Lieb, kagome, and checkerboard lattices in other structures. Phys. Rev. B 100, 045150 (2019).
- 46. T. Ogata, M. Kawamura, T. Ozaki, Methods for constructing parameter-dependent flat-band lattices. Phys. Rev. B 103, 205119 (2021).
- 47. W. Maimaiti, A. Andreanov, H. C. Park, O. Gendelman, S. Flach, Compact localized states and flat-band generators in one dimension. Phys. Rev. B 95, 115135 (2017).
- 48. Y. Xu, H. Pu, Building flat-band lattice models from Gram matrices. Phys. Rev. A 102, 053305 (2020).
- 49. H. Tasaki, From Nagaoka's Ferromagnetism to Flat-Band Ferromagnetism and Beyond. Progress of Theoretical Physics 99, 489 (1998).
- 50. R. G. Dias, J. D. Gouveia, Origami rules for the construction of localized eigenstates of the Hubbard model in decorated lattices. Sci. Rep. 5, 16852 (2015).
- 51. See Supplemental Material at<http://link.aps.org/supplemental/xxx> for a summary of lattice construction for FBs, and the details on the transfomation from line-graph wavefuctions to non-line-graph orbitals, the CLS of orbitaldesigned FBs, and momentum-space tight-binding Hamiltonians, which includes Refs. [2-10,13-15,34-50].
- 52. M. Zhou, Z. Liu, W. Ming, Z. Wang, F. Liu, sd(2) Graphene: Kagome band in a hexagonal lattice. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 236802 (2014).
- 53. Z. Liu, Z. F. Wang, J. W. Mei, Y. S. Wu, F. Liu, Flat Chern band in a two-dimensional organometallic framework. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 106804 (2013).
- 54. M. Zhou, W. Ming, Z. Liu, Z. Wang, P. Li, F. Liu, Epitaxial growth of large-gap quantum spin Hall insulator on

semiconductor surface. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111, 14378 (2014).

- 55. M. Zhou, W. Ming, Z. Liu, Z. Wang, Y. Yao, F. Liu, Formation of quantum spin Hall state on Si surface and energy gap scaling with strength of spin orbit coupling. Sci. Rep. 4, 7102 (2014).
- 56. J. C. Slater, G. F. Koster, Simplified LCAO Method for the Periodic Potential Problem. Phys. Rev. 94, 1498 (1954).
- 57. S. Konschuh, M. Gmitra, J. Fabian, Tight-binding theory of the spin-orbit coupling in graphene. Phys. Rev. B 82, 245412 (2010).
- 58. G.-B. Liu, W.-Y. Shan, Y. Yao, W. Yao, D. Xiao, Three-band tight-binding model for monolayers of group-VIB transition metal dichalcogenides. Phys. Rev. B 88, 085433 (2013).
- 59. D. Xiao, M.-C. Chang, Q. Niu, Berry phase effects on electronic properties. Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1959 (2010).
- 60. B. Bradlyn, L. Elcoro, J. Cano, M. G. Vergniory, Z. Wang, C. Felser, M. I. Aroyo, B. A. Bernevig, Topological quantum chemistry. Nature 547, 298 (2017).
- 61. H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, H. Watanabe, Symmetry-based indicators of band topology in the 230 space groups. Nat. Commun. 8, 50 (2017).
- 62. A. P. Sutton, *Electronic structure of materials*. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993).
- 63. Y. Hwang, J. Jung, J.-W. Rhim, B.-J. Yang, Wave-function geometry of band crossing points in two dimensions. Phys. Rev. B 103, L241102 (2021).
- 64. H. Liu, S. Meng, F. Liu, Screening two-dimensional materials with topological flat bands. Phys. Rev. Mater. 5, 084203 (2021).
- 65. B. Zhou, S. Dong, X. Wang, K. Zhang, W. Mi, An sd(2) hybridized transition-metal monolayer with a hexagonal lattice: reconstruction between the Dirac and kagome bands. Phys Chem Chem Phys 19, 8046 (2017).

Figures and Tables

FIG. 1. (a) Illustration of lattice wavefunction symmetries, viewed on two sites (A and B, shaded) in a 1×1 checkerboard primitive cell (black thin lines), to be transformed into two orbitals on one site (blue dot) in a square lattice: $|s\rangle \sim |A\rangle + |B\rangle$, $|p\rangle \sim |A\rangle - |B\rangle$. (b) Same as (a) but viewed on four sites (A₁, A₂, B₁, and B₂, shaded) in a $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$ checkerboard supercell (black thin lines). The two orbitals become $|s\rangle \sim |A_1\rangle + |A_2\rangle + |B_1\rangle + |B_2\rangle$, $|p\rangle \sim |A_1\rangle + |A_2\rangle - |B_1\rangle - |B_2\rangle$.

FIG. 2. TFB in a square lattice. (a) Γ-point wavefunctions of a checkerboard lattice (gray lines), exhibiting *s* (upper) and *p* orbital symmetry (lower). Red and green dots represent respectively positive and negative wavefunction nodes. (b) Square lattice with (*s*, *p*) orbitals. (c) Band structure of (b) with hopping integrals in Eq. (2). (d) The CLS of FB in (b) on a square plaquette, illustrating overall zero outward hoppings. Red, green, and gray arrows represent positive, negative, and zero hopping integrals, respectively. (e)-(h) Same as (a)-(d) with (*s*, *d*xy) orbitals. The bands in (g) can be viewed as folded from (c), the inset of (g) shows the FBZs before (solid line) and after folding (dashed line).

FIG. 3. Dual TFBs in a square lattice. (a) Three Γ-point wavefunctions of a diamond-octagon lattice, with *s* (left), p_x (middle), and p_y orbital symmetry (right), respectively. (b) Square lattice with (*s*, *p*x*, p*y) orbitals. (c) Band structure of (b) with NN hopping integrals in Eq. (3). (d) The CLS of lower (left) and upper FB (right) in (c) on a square plaquette. Gray arrows indicate vanishing outward hoppings.

FIG. 4. SOC induced evolution of band structure and Berry curvature Ω of TFB in *sp*² square lattice with hopping parameters of Eq. (3). The strength of onsite SOC is (a) $\lambda = 10^{-6}t$, the inset shows a 100× magnification of the tiny circle around Γ , (b) $\lambda = 10^{-4}t$, (c) $\lambda = 10^{-2}t$, (d) $\lambda = 5 \times 10^{-2}t$. The calculated Ω is for upper TFB, in units of a^2 (*a* is lattice constant).

FIG. 5. TFB in a trigonal lattice. (a) Three Γ-point wavefunctions of a Kagome lattice, with *s* (left), *p*^x (middle), and *p*^y orbital symmetry (right), respectively. (b) Trigonal lattice with (*s*, *p*x*, p*y) orbitals. (c) Band structure of (b) with NN hopping integrals in Eq. (4). (d-f) Same as (a-c) with (*s*, *d*xy, *d*_{x2−y}2) orbitals. The bands in (f) can be viewed as folded from (c), the inset of (f) shows the FBZs before and after folding.

FIG. 6. Dual TFBs in a hexagonal lattice. (a) Four Γ-point wavefunctions of a diatomic-Kagome lattice, all with *d* orbital symmetry. (b) Hexagonal lattice with (*d*xy, *d*x2−y²) orbitals on site A and B. (c) Band structure of (b) with a NN hopping integral $t_{dd\sigma} = -\frac{8}{9}$ $\frac{8}{9}$.

LG lattice	Non-LG orbital
Checkerboard	Square $(s/d_{z^2}, p)$, $(s/d_{z^2}, d)$
Diamond-octagon	Square $(s/d_{z^2}, p_x, p_y), (d_{x^2-y^2}, p_x, p_y)$
Kagome	Trigonal (s/d _z 2, p _x , p _y), (s/d _z 2, d _{xy} , d _x 2-y ₂)
Diatomic-Kagome	Hexagonal (p_x, p_y) , $(d_{xy}, d_{x^2-y^2})$

Table. I. Transformation from LG lattices to non-LG orbitals.