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We develop a generic \( k \cdot p \) open momentum space method for calculating the Hofstadter butterfly of both continuum (moire) models and tight-binding models, where the quasimomentum is directly substituted by the Landau level (LL) operators. By taking a LL cutoff and a reciprocal lattice cutoff for continuum models, one obtains the Hofstadter butterfly with in-gap spectral flows. For continuum models such as the moire model for twisted bilayer graphene, our method gives a sparse Hamiltonian, making it much more efficient than existing methods. The spectral flows in the Hofstadter gaps can be understood as edge states on a momentum space boundary, from which one can determine the two integers \( (t_{\nu}, s_{\nu}) \) of a gap \( \nu \) satisfying the Diophantine equation. The spectral flows can also be removed to obtain a clear Hofstadter butterfly. While \( t_{\nu} \) is known as the Chern number, our theory identifies \( s_{\nu} \) as a dual Chern number for the momentum space, which corresponds to a quantized Lorentz susceptibility \( \gamma_{xy} = eB s_{\nu} \).

Two-dimensional (2D) lattice electrons in large magnetic fields are known to exhibit Hofstadter butterfly spectra. Conventionally, the Hofstadter butterfly is calculated at rational fluxes per unit cell \( \phi = 2\pi p/q \) in a basis with translation symmetry of \( q \) unit cells, where \( p \) and \( q \) are coprime integers. The calculation often involves a complicated construction of the matrix elements. In particular, for continuum \( k \cdot p \) models obtained from plane wave expansions such as the moire model for twisted bilayer graphene (TBG), the Hofstadter Hamiltonian matrix is infinite dimensional and dense, which requires a large cutoff for the spectrum to converge.

In contrast, the Landau levels (LLs) of a \( k \cdot p \) Hamiltonian at small magnetic fields can be calculated by simply substituting the quasimomentum \( \mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y) \) with \( \left( a + a^\dagger, \sqrt{2} t \right) \), where \( a \) and \( a^\dagger \) are the LL lowering and raising operators, and \( t \) is the magnetic length. In this letter, we demonstrate that such a substitution with a LL cutoff (and a reciprocal lattice cutoff for continuum models) provides an efficient method for calculating the Hofstadter butterfly in large magnetic fields, which greatly simplifies the Hamiltonian matrix elements. In particular, for continuum models, this method yields a sparse Hamiltonian, whose spectrum can be efficiently calculated by the shift-and-invert Lanczos method.

The method can be understood as an open momentum space calculation, where the smaller of the momentum-space LL wavefunction radius cutoff and reciprocal lattice radius cutoff plays the role of a momentum space boundary. As a result, the spectrum contains not only the Hofstadter butterfly, but also in-gap spectral flow levels, which can be understood as “momentum space edge states”. We show that the spectral flows of these edges allow us to determine the two integers \( (t_{\nu}, s_{\nu}) \) in a Hofstadter gap \( \nu \) satisfying the Diophantine equation, where \( t_{\nu} \) is the Chern number of the gap. Moreover, we show that \( s_{\nu} \) can be interpreted as a dual Chern number for the momentum space, which yields a quantized Lorentz susceptibility (Eq. (1)). Furthermore, by identifying and removing the momentum space edge states, one can obtain the Hofstadter butterfly without spectral flows. We demonstrate our method for both continuum models and tight binding models in a 2D periodic lattice. We shall denote the lattice Bravais vectors as \( \mathbf{d}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{d}_2 \), and the reciprocal vectors as \( \mathbf{g}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{g}_2 \), which satisfy \( \mathbf{g}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d}_1 = 2\pi \delta_{ij} \) (\( i, j = 1, 2 \)).

Continuum models. At zero magnetic field, a continuum model can be written in the real space basis \( |r, \alpha\rangle \)

\[
H^\alpha\beta(r) = e^{\alpha\beta}(-i\nabla) + \sum_j V^{\alpha\beta} e^{q_j^{\alpha\beta} \cdot r},
\]

where \( r = (x, y) \) is the real space position, \( -i\nabla = -i(\partial_x, \partial_y) \) is the canonical momentum, and we assume there are \( M \) intrinsic orbitals labeled by \( \alpha, \beta \). \( e^{\alpha\beta}(-i\nabla) \) and \( V^{\alpha\beta} e^{q_j^{\alpha\beta} \cdot r} \) are the electron kinetic term in free space and the periodic lattice potential between orbitals \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \), respectively. If one denote \( Q \in \mathbb{g}_1 \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{g}_2 \mathbb{Z} \) as the reciprocal lattice, and choose the momentum origin of orbital \( \alpha \) at \( p_\alpha \), one can define a momentum lattice \( \mathbb{Q}_\alpha = p_\alpha + Q \) for orbital \( \alpha \), and \( Q_j^{\alpha\beta} \) in Eq. (1) must be the difference \( \mathbb{Q}_\alpha - \mathbb{Q}_\beta \) between some sites \( \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \) and \( \mathbb{Q}_\beta \) (see supplementary material (SM) Sec. S2A). Generically, one can always fix all \( p_\alpha = 0 \); however, in certain models (e.g., the TBG model) nonzero \( p_\alpha \) choices are preferred.

One can transform the zero-magnetic-field Hamiltonian \( \mathbb{H} \) into the momentum eigenbasis \( |k, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha, \alpha\rangle = \int d^2r e^{-i(k+\mathbb{Q}_\alpha) \cdot r} |r, \alpha\rangle \), where \( k \) is in the first Brillouin zone (BZ). The momentum space Hamiltonian under basis \( |k, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha, \alpha\rangle \) then takes the form

\[
H_{\mathbb{Q}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(k) = e^{\alpha\beta}(k + \mathbb{Q}_\beta)\delta_{\mathbb{Q}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\beta} + \sum_j V_j^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\mathbb{Q}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\beta} e^{q_j^{\alpha\beta} \cdot r}.
\]

When a uniform out-of-plane magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} = B\hat{z} \) is added, \(-i\nabla \) in Eq. (1) is replaced by the kinematic momentum \( \mathbf{p} = -i\nabla - A(r) \), where \( A(r) \) is the vector potential satisfying \( \partial_y A_y - \partial_x A_x = B \). The kinetic...
momentum satisfies $[\Pi_x, \Pi_y] = i/\ell^2$, where $\ell = 1/\sqrt{B}$ is the magnetic length. We also define the guiding center $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} - \ell^2 \mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{\Pi}$, which satisfies $[R_x, R_y] = -i\ell^2$, and $[\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{\Pi}] = 0$.

The usual Hofstadter method for continuum models employs the Landau basis defined by eigenstates of $R_x$ and $\Pi^2$, which has complicated matrix elements. Here we shall take a different basis, under which we prove the nonzero-magnetic field Hamiltonian can be simply obtained by the zero-field momentum-space Hamiltonian with the substitution of Eq. 6.

We define $R_\tau = \mathbf{R} \cdot \hat{\tau}$ as the guiding center along unit vector $\hat{\tau}$, where we choose $\hat{\tau} = (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{g}_1)/|\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{g}_1|$. We also define a set of (linearly dependent) LL operators $a_{Q_\alpha} = \ell/2\sqrt{2} [\Pi_x - Q_{\alpha,x} - k_{0,x} + i(\Pi_y - Q_{\alpha,y} - k_{0,y})]$ and their conjugates $a_{Q_\alpha}^\dagger$ associated with momentum sites $Q_\alpha$, where $k_0 = (k_{0,x}, k_{0,y})$ is a freely chosen real vector which we call the center momentum. We then construct an orthonormal basis $|\lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha\rangle$ for orbital $\alpha$ and reciprocal site $Q_\alpha$ by requiring

$$ R_\tau |\lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha\rangle = |\lambda - \ell^2 \hat{\tau} \cdot (\mathbf{z} \times Q_\alpha)|\lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha\rangle, $$

$$ a_{Q_\alpha}^\dagger a_{Q_\alpha} |\lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha\rangle = n|\lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha\rangle. $$

(3)

Here $n \geq 0$ is an integer LL number, while $\lambda$ is a real number chosen in the set $\lambda + \ell^2 \hat{\tau} \cdot (\mathbf{z} \times g_1)\mathbb{Z} + \ell^2 \hat{\tau} \cdot (\mathbf{z} \times g_2)\mathbb{Z}$ representing the set, or abstractly, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}/[\ell^2 \hat{\tau} \cdot (\mathbf{z} \times g_1)\mathbb{Z} + \ell^2 \hat{\tau} \cdot (\mathbf{z} \times g_2)\mathbb{Z}]$ (see SM Sec. S2B). It can then be proved that all the states $|\lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha\rangle$ form a complete basis for the continuum model satisfying $\langle \lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha | \lambda', Q_\alpha', n', \beta \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}\delta_{Q_\alpha, Q_\alpha'}\delta_{n,n'}\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$.

The above basis $|\lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha\rangle$ is advantageous because the nonzero-magnetic-field Hamiltonian is diagonal in $\lambda$ and independent of $\lambda$. In SM Sec. S2B, we show the Hamiltonian in a fixed $\lambda$ subspace is

$$ H_{Q_\alpha}^{\lambda,\alpha\beta} = c^{\alpha\beta}(k_{Q_\alpha} + k_{0} + Q_{\beta})\delta_{\alpha,\beta} + \sum_j V_j \delta_{Q_\alpha} Q_{\beta} + q_j^{\alpha\beta}, $$

(4)

where we have defined $k_{Q_\alpha} = -i/\ell (a_{Q_\alpha} + a_{Q_\alpha}^\dagger)$, $\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$, and $q_j^{\alpha\beta}$. Without ambiguity, we can drop the subindex $Q_\alpha$ and simplify $(a_{Q_\alpha}^\dagger, a_{Q_\alpha})$ as $(a, a^\dagger)$, which acts as $a|\lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha\rangle = \sqrt{n}|\lambda, Q_\alpha, n-1, \alpha\rangle$ and $a^\dagger|\lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|\lambda, Q_\alpha, n+1, \alpha\rangle$. The Hamiltonian (4) is then exactly the zero-field Hamiltonian $H_{Q_\alpha}^{\lambda,\alpha\beta}(k)$ in Eq. (2) with the substitution

$$ k_x \rightarrow a + a^\dagger \sqrt{2}/\ell + k_{0,x}, \quad k_y \rightarrow a - a^\dagger \sqrt{2}/\ell + k_{0,y}, $$

(5)

as we claimed earlier. One then only need calculate the spectrum for a fixed $\lambda$. Different $\lambda$ and $\lambda'$ subspaces have identical spectra, but have eigenstates differing by displacement $\lambda - \lambda'$ in the $\hat{\tau}$ direction ($R_\tau$ eigenvalue).

To numerically calculate the spectrum of Hamiltonian (4), one can fix a center momentum $k_0$, take a LL cutoff $n \leq N_L$, and take a cutoff of reciprocal lattice $Q_\alpha$ at a boundary enclosing $N_Q$ BZs. This yields a Hamiltonian of size $MN_LN_Q$ for $M$ intrinsic orbitals. If $\ell(k)$ only contains polynomials up to $\Delta$-th power of $k$, and the number of $q_j^{\alpha\beta}$ is finite, $\langle \lambda, Q_\alpha, n, \alpha | H | \lambda, Q_\beta, n, \beta \rangle$ will be zero for $|m-n| > \Delta$ or $|Q_\alpha - Q_\beta| > \max(|q_j^{\alpha\beta}|)$, so the Hamiltonian $H$ is a sparse matrix. The low-energy eigenstates and spectrum can then be efficiently calculated by the Lanczos algorithm.

The cutoffs $N_Q$ and $N_L$, however, lead to spectral flows in the Hofstadter gaps due to the absence of periodic boundary conditions. As an example, we calculate the Hofstadter butterfly of the TBG continuum model defined on a honeycomb momentum lattice $Q_\alpha$, which has a Dirac kinetic term $\epsilon(k) = v_F \sigma^* \cdot k$, and $2 \times 2$ hopping matrices $V_j$ between the nearest momentum sites, where $\sigma^* = (\sigma_2, -\sigma_1)$ are the Pauli matrices (SM Sec. S3). Fig. 2(a) shows the TBG spectrum at twist angle $\theta = 2.2^\circ$ versus the flux per unit cell $\varphi = B/|d_1 \times d_2|$, where we take $N_Q = 37$ and $N_L = 60$. Besides the Hofstadter butterfly, one can see numerous in-gap spectral flow levels.

The in-gap spectral flows are generically due to the presence of boundaries which host edge states. Here, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a) and (b), the cutoff $N_Q$ sets a boundary of momentum radius $\sqrt{N_Q\Omega_{BZ}/\pi}$ enclosing $N_Q$ BZs, where $\Omega_{BZ} = 4\pi^2/|d_1 \times d_2|$ is the BZ area; while the LL cutoff $N_L$ yields a boundary $\sqrt{N_L^2/\pi}$ for $k_{Q_\alpha}$ in the Hamiltonian (4). The smaller value $\sqrt{N_Q\Omega_{BZ}/\pi}$ then serves as a momentum space boundary radius for Hamiltonian (4) (SM Sec. S2C), which gives rise to edge states.

The momentum space edge state levels then generate the spectral flows versus the magnetic field. This can be understood from the Diophantine equations, which are satisfied by the $\nu$-th Hofstadter gap ($\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$) at $\varphi = 2\pi / q$. 

![FIG. 1. (a) When $\varphi < N_Q/N_L$, the momentum space (the shaded area) has a circular boundary of radius $\sqrt{2N_Q/\ell}$. (b) When $\varphi > N_Q/N_L$, the momentum space boundary is the reciprocal lattice boundary enclosing $N_Q$ BZs (the shaded area).](attachment:fig1.png)
flux per unit cell:

\[ t_\nu p + s_\nu q = \nu , \]

where \((t_\nu, s_\nu)\) are two integer quantum numbers characterizing the gap. \( t_\nu \) is the Chern number of the gap, while \( s_\nu \) is referred to as the electromechanical quantum number in Ref.\(^2\). It is often rewritten as

\[ t_\nu \frac{\varphi}{2\pi} + s_\nu = \rho , \]

where \( \rho = \nu/q \) is the number of occupied bulk states per unit cell in the gap.\(^{[18,20]}\) Here it is more useful to rewrite it in a dual form

\[ t_\nu + s_\nu \frac{2\pi}{\varphi} = \rho_K , \]

where \( \rho_K = 2\pi\rho/\varphi = \nu/p \). In SM\(^{[13]}\) Sec. S2F, we show that \( \rho_K \) gives the number of occupied bulk states per BZ in the gap for the Hamiltonian \((4)\) at a fixed \( \lambda \). Furthermore, in Eq. \((10)\), we show that \( s_\nu \) plays the role of a dual Chern number for the momentum space. Eq. \((8)\) then determines the in-gap spectral flows (Fig. \(2\)) in two different regimes as follows.

In the first regime \( \varphi/2\pi < N_Q/N_L \), the momentum space boundary is a circle enclosing a \( \varphi \)-dependent area \( A_K = 2\pi N_L/\ell^2 \) centered at \( k_0 \) (Fig. \(1\)). In a gap, the total number of occupied states in the momentum area \( A_K = N_K = \rho_K A_K/\Omega_BZ = N_L\rho_K\varphi/2\pi = N_L\rho \). Therefore, by Eq. \((8)\) we have

\[ N_K = N_L(t_\nu \varphi/2\pi + s_\nu) . \]

In a bulk gap, the number of occupied states \( N_K \) can only change by pumping edge states into (out of) the bulk. Therefore, the edge states necessarily produce in-gap spectral flows, where the rate of flowing levels is \( dN_K/d(\varphi/2\pi) = N_L t_\nu \) by Eq. \((8)\). Fig. \(2\) shows a gap in this regime, where the midgap line (dashed line) crosses 16 levels as \( \varphi/2\pi \) increases from 0.25 to 0.5, and \( N_L = 60 \). The flow rate is then \( dN_K/d(\varphi/2\pi) = 16/(0.5-0.25) = 64 \), so we can identify the Chern number of the gap as the integer closest to \( N_L^{-1}dN_K/d(\varphi/2\pi) = 1.07 \), namely, \( t_\nu = 1 \). Further, at \( \varphi/2\pi = 0.5 \), we counted there are \( N_K = 32 \) levels from zero energy to the midgap energy (for TBG, \( N_K = 0 \) is at zero energy (SM\(^{[13]}\) Sec. S2G)), so we find the gap has \( s_\nu = N_K/N_L - t_\nu \varphi/2\pi = 0 \) from Eq. \((8)\).

In the second regime \( \varphi/2\pi > N_Q/N_L \), the momentum space boundary is given by cutoff \( N_Q \), which encloses a \( \varphi \) independent area \( A_K = N_Q\Omega_BZ \) (Fig. \(1\)). The number of occupied states \( N_K = \rho_K A_K/\Omega_BZ \) in momentum space \( A_K \) is then

\[ N_K = N_Q(t_\nu + 2\pi s_\nu/\varphi) . \]

This yields a spectral flow rate \( dN_K/d(2\pi/\varphi) = N_Q s_\nu \). Besides, for TBG which has a Dirac kinetic term, there are \( 2N_Q \) horizontal levels at \( \varphi/2\pi > N_Q/N_L \) in Fig. \(2\), which are spurious zero modes due to LL cutoff \( N_L \) (see SM\(^{[13]}\) Sec. S3). These spurious levels should be excluded when counting \( N_K \). Fig. \(2\) shows a gap in this regime, where the midgap line crosses 6 levels (excluding the spurious modes) as \( 2\pi/\varphi \) decreases from 2/3 to 1/2, and \( N_Q = 37 \). The flow rate is then \( dN_{\nu K}/d(2\pi/\varphi) = 6/(2/3-1/2) = 36 \), thus \( s_\nu \) can be identified as the integer closest to \( N_Q^{-1}dN_{\nu K}/d(2\pi/\varphi) = 0.97 \), namely, \( s_\nu = 1 \). Further, we counted there are \( N_K = 55 \) levels (excluding the spurious modes) between midgap and zero energy at \( 2\pi/\varphi = 1/2 \), thus the gap has a Chern number \( t_\nu = N_K/N_Q - 2\pi s_\nu/\varphi = 1 \) from Eq. \((10)\).

We note that models with a Dirac kinetic term \( \epsilon(k) = v_F \sigma^* \cdot k \) would have \( N_K = 0 \) defined at half filling (zero energy for TBG), while models with a lower-bounded kinetic term (e.g., \( \epsilon(k) = k^2/2m_0 \)) would have \( N_K = 0 \) below the lowest band (SM\(^{[13]}\) Sec. S2G). More generally, if a gap persists below and above \( \varphi/2\pi = N_Q/N_L \), one can identify \( t_\nu \) and \( s_\nu \) separately from the spectral flow rates at small and large \( \varphi \), after which one can obtain \( N_K \) of the gap from Eq. \((8)\) or \((10)\).

The edge states and spurious modes can be easily removed from the spectrum. We define a boundary projector \( P_{\lambda, w} \) onto basis \( |\lambda, Q, n, \alpha \rangle \) with \( n > (\kappa_\theta - w)^2/2 \) for some \( w > 0 \), where \( \kappa_\theta \approx \min\{ \sqrt{N_QN_L}/\ell, \sqrt{N_Q\Omega_BZ}/\pi \} \) is the radius of momentum space boundary. We can then identify the eigenstates with \( \langle P_{\lambda, w} \rangle > P_e \) above certain
value $P_c \in [0,1]$ as momentum space edge states within distance $w$ to the boundary, and delete them to obtain a bulk Hofstadter spectrum. For example, Fig. 3(b) is obtained by setting $w = \min\{\ell^{-1}, 1.6/|B|Z\}$ and $P_c = 0.5$.

**Tight-binding models.** The substitution (5) can also be employed to calculate the Hofstadter butterfly of tight-binding models. Given the position $u_\alpha$ of each Wannier orbital $\alpha$ in a unit cell in the continuum space, we denote orbital $\alpha$ at position $D + u_\alpha$ as $|D, \alpha\rangle$, where $D \in d_1Z + d_2Z$ is the lattice vector. The Hamiltonian under Peierls substitution (12) then takes the form

$$H = \sum_{j,\alpha,\beta} t^{\alpha\beta}_{D_j} T_{D_j} | D_j + u_\alpha - u_\beta \rangle ,$$  

where $D_j \in d_1Z + d_2Z$, $t^{\alpha\beta}_{D_j}$ is the hopping from $|D, \beta\rangle$ to $|D + D_j, \alpha\rangle$, and

$$T_{D_j} | u_\alpha - u_\beta \rangle = \sum_D e^{i f_{\alpha\beta} A(r) | D + D_j, \alpha\rangle} |D, \beta\rangle$$  

is the translation operator, with $c_{\alpha\beta}$ being the straight line segment from $D + u_\alpha$ to $D + D_j + u_\alpha$. At zero magnetic field, the Hamiltonian can be transformed into the momentum space basis of $|k, \alpha\rangle = \sum_D e^{i k \cdot (D + u_\alpha)} |D, \alpha\rangle$ as

$$H^{\alpha\beta}(k) = \sum_j t^{\alpha\beta}_{D_j} e^{-i k \cdot (D_j + u_\alpha - u_\beta)} .$$  

At nonzero magnetic field, we define a basis as $|\lambda, n, \alpha\rangle = \sum_D |D, \alpha\rangle \langle D + u_\alpha | \lambda, 0, n, \alpha\rangle$, where $|D + u_\alpha, \alpha\rangle$ is the continuum space position eigenstate at position $r = D + u_\alpha$, $|\lambda, 0, n, \alpha\rangle$ is the state defined in Eq. (1) in the continuum space at reciprocal site $0$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}/[2\pi].$ One can then show that $|\lambda, n, \alpha\rangle$ forms a complete orthonormal basis of Hamiltonian (11) satisfying $\langle \lambda', n', \beta | \lambda, n, \alpha \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{nn'} \delta_{\beta\alpha}$ (SM Sec. S4A). Furthermore, $T_{D_j} | u_\alpha - u_\beta \rangle$ is diagonal in $\lambda$ and takes the $\lambda$ independent form

$$T_{D_j} | u_\alpha - u_\beta \rangle = e^{-i(k + k_0) \cdot (D_j + u_\alpha - u_\beta)}$$  

in a fixed $\lambda$ subspace between basis $|\lambda, n, \beta\rangle$ and $|\lambda, n', \alpha\rangle$, where $\hat{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a + a^\dagger)$, with $a|\lambda, n, \alpha\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|\lambda, n+1, \alpha\rangle$ and $a^\dagger|\lambda, n, \alpha\rangle = \sqrt{n}|\lambda, n-1, \alpha\rangle$ (SM Sec. S4A). Therefore, the nonzero magnetic field tight-binding Hamiltonian (11) in a fixed $\lambda$ is given by the zero-field momentum Hamiltonian (13) with substitution (5). For nonstandard Peierls substitutions along nonstraight $c_{\alpha\beta}$ paths, $e^{-i(k + k_0) \cdot (D_j + u_\alpha - u_\beta)}$ in Eq. (14) becomes the path-ordered integral $P e^{-i \int_{c_{\alpha\beta}} (k + k_0) \cdot d\mathbf{r}}$ (SM Sec. S4B).

The Hofstadter butterfly can then be numerically calculated with a LL cutoff, namely, $n \leq N_L$, Fig. 3(a) and (b) show the spectrum of the square lattice tight-binding model $H(k) = -\cos k_x - \cos k_y$ with cutoffs $N_L = 100$ and $N_L = 500$, respectively, where we set $k_0 = 0$. The spectrum exhibits both the Hofstadter butterfly and the spectral flows, which can again be understood as momentum space edge states. Since tight-binding models have no cutoff in the reciprocal lattice, the momentum space boundary is always at radius $k_b = \sqrt{2|B|}$, given by $N_L$, and the spectral flows always satisfy Eq. (9).

One can define a boundary projector $P_{\alpha\beta}$ onto basis $|\lambda, n, \alpha\rangle$ with $n > (k_b - w)^2 \ell^2 / 2$ for certain $w > 0$, and identify the eigenstates with $|P_{\alpha\beta}\rangle > P_c$ for some $P_c \in [0,1]$ as momentum space edge states. Fig. 3(c) and (d) show the probability of a typical edge state and bulk state versus LL number $n$, respectively. By deleting the edge states, one can obtain a high-quality Hofstadter butterfly without spectral flows, as shown in Fig. 3(e) (where $w = 3.5\ell^{-1}\sqrt{\varphi^{-1} + 0.5}$ and $P_c = 0.5$).

**Quantized Lorentz susceptibility.** The Chern number $t_{\nu}$ is known to give a quantized Hall conductance via the Kubo formula $\sigma_{xy} = -i \partial_{\omega} \int d\omega' \langle G_{\omega + \omega'} j_x G_{\omega'} j_y \rangle_{\omega \to 0} = t_{\nu} e^{-N}$, where $G_\omega$ is the Green’s function at energy $\omega$, and $j = (j_x, j_y)$ is the uniform current operator. The duality between Eqs. (6) and (8) suggests that $s_{\nu}$ behaves as a dual Chern number for the momentum space, thus $s_{\nu}$ should also give a quantized response. Indeed, by noting that the natural momentum-space dual of the current operator $j$ is the force operator $\mathbf{F} = e\mathbf{B} \times \partial \mathbf{R} = (F_x, F_y)$, we find $s_{\nu}$ leads to a quantized Lorentz susceptibility (SM Sec. S6)

$$\gamma_{xy} = - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \int d\omega' \langle G_{\omega + \omega'} F_x G_{\omega'} F_y \rangle_{\omega \to 0} = eB s_{\nu} .$$  

(15) It yields a Lorentz force per unit cell $F_x = \gamma_{xy} v_y$ on the system when the lattice is moving at velocity $v_y$. Furt-
thermore, a formula similar to the Thouless-Kohmoto-Nightingale-den Nijs formula\(^{19}\) at flux per unit cell \(\varphi = 2\pi p/q\) can be derived for \(s_\nu\) (SM\(^{15}\) Sec. S6B2):

\[
s_\nu = -i \sum_{n \in \text{occ}} \int_{d \in \Omega_M} \frac{d^2 d}{2\pi^2} \cdot (\partial_d w_{n,d} \times |\partial_d w_{n,d}|),
\]

where \(\Omega_M\) is a torus with periods \(d_1\) and \(d_2/p\) serving as a “dual magnetic BZ”, \(|w_{n,d}| = e^{i\varphi/2 (2\pi R \cdot d)}|\psi_{n,t-2\pi R \cdot d}|\) (see the explicit form in SM\(^{15}\) Sec. S6C) is defined using the Bloch eigenstates \(|\psi_{n,k}\rangle\) of band \(n\), and \(n\) runs over all occupied bands.

**Discussion.** It is worth noting that the cutoffs in our method affect the resolution but not the shape of the Hofstadter butterfly. Our method greatly simplifies the matrix element construction compared to usual methods\(^{15}\), and require neither rational flux per unit cell nor large magnetic unit cells, making it easy to calculate the Hofstadter spectra of complicated models\(^{12-14}\). Moreover, it leads to a sparse Hamiltonian for continuum models.

At small magnetic fields, our method reduces to the LL calculations of \(k \cdot p\) Hamiltonians expanded at center momentum \(k_0\). The large magnetic field spectrum is insensitive to the choice of \(k_0\).
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