

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Ambient-pressure Dirac electron system in the quasi-twodimensional molecular conductor math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">mrow>mi xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">mrow>mi >α/mi>mtext>-/mtext>msub>mrow>mo>(/mo>mi>BET S/mi>mo>)/mo>/mrow>mn>2/mn>/msub>msub>mi mathvariant="normal">I/mi>mn>3/mn>/msub>/mrow>/ math>

Shunsuke Kitou, Takao Tsumuraya, Hikaru Sawahata, Fumiyuki Ishii, Ko-ichi Hiraki, Toshikazu Nakamura, Naoyuki Katayama, and Hiroshi Sawa Phys. Rev. B **103**, 035135 — Published 21 January 2021 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.103.035135

Ambient pressure Dirac electron system in quasi-two-dimensional molecular conductor α-(BETS)₂I₃

Shunsuke Kitou^{1,*,†}, Takao Tsumuraya^{2,‡,†}, Hikaru Sawahata³, Fumiyuki Ishii⁴, Ko-ichi Hiraki⁵, Toshikazu Nakamura⁶, Naoyuki Katayama¹, and Hiroshi Sawa^{1,#}

¹Department of Applied Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan

²Priority Organization for Innovation and Excellence, Kumamoto University, 2-39-1 Kurokami, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan

³Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

⁴Nanomaterials Research Institute, Kanazawa University, 920-1192 Kanazawa, Japan

⁵Department of Natural Sciences, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan

⁶Institute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

We investigated the precise crystal structures and electronic states of a quasi-two-dimensional molecular conductor α -(BETS)₂I₃ at ambient pressure. The electronic resistivity of this molecular solid shows metal-to-insulator (MI) crossover behavior at $T_{\rm MI} = 50$ K. Our x-ray diffraction and ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance experiments revealed that α -(BETS)₂I₃ maintains the inversion symmetry below $T_{\rm MI}$. First-principles calculations found a pair of anisotropic Dirac cones at a general *k*-point, with the degenerate contact points at the Fermi level. The origin of the insulating state in this system is a small energy gap of ~2 meV opened by the spin–orbit interaction. The Z₂ topological invariants indicate that this system is a weak topological insulator. Our results suggest that α -(BETS)₂I₃ is a promising material for studying the bulk Dirac electron system in two dimensions.

I. INTRODUCTION

A massless Dirac electron system, in which two linear band dispersions intersect at the Fermi level $(E_{\rm F})$, is one of the central themes of modern condensed-matter physics [1-7]. When a system has such an emergent band structure, the electron behavior, such as electronic transport, follows the Dirac equation, and the charge carriers move at the speed of light in a material as if they had no mass. However, there are only a very few true massless Dirac electron systems, i.e., material systems in which the Dirac point is located at the $E_{\rm F}$ and the band gap is zero. Such an electronic state is realized in a two-dimensional (2D) layer of graphene [1], in bismuth [8-10], and on the surface of topological insulators [11,12]. It has been suggested that unusual phenomena such as the quantum Hall effect [1], quantum spin Hall effect [2,4], and unscreened long-range Coulomb interaction [7] attributed to the Dirac cone band structure can be observed in these systems. In addition, applications to high-mobility electronic devices may exist [13,14].

Recently, massless Dirac electron systems have been shown to exist in some organic molecular solids [15-33], with Dirac cones formed by the bands of the same character of wavefunctions as frontier orbitals of consistent molecules at different sites. Such a massless Dirac electron system "in bulk" was first realized in a quasi-2D molecular conductor, α -(ET)₂I₃ [ET = BEDT-TTF = bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene] [Fig. 2(a)] [17-21], which, unlike graphene [1], has a pair of anisotropic Dirac cones [17-21]. However, the massless Dirac state in α -(ET)₂I₃ is realized only under high-pressure (P >1.2 GPa) [33]. At ambient pressure and $T_{\rm MI} = 135$ K, α -(ET)₂I₃ shows a metal-insulator (MI) transition, which causes a charge ordering (CO) associated with the lack of an inversion center, and the system turns to a nonmagnetic ferroelectric phase [34-42]. Further, the CO transition can be suppressed by applying pressure, and an anomalous electronic conducting phase, including a massless Dirac electron system, can be realized under high-pressure [16]. Although the quantum Hall effect [28], discrete Landau levels [29], and unscreened long-range Coulomb interactions [31,32] are observed under high-pressure in α -(ET)₂I₃, experimental determination of the detailed crystal structure and physical property measurements in the Dirac state are still limited.

To address the limitations mentioned above, we searched for a bulk Dirac electron system realized at ambient pressure. We found a promising candidate in the selenium-substituted analog of α -(ET)₂I₃, α -(BETS)₂I₃ [BETS = BETS-TSF bis(ethylenedithio)tetraselenafulvalene] [Fig. 2(b)], where the central four S atoms in the ET molecule are replaced by Se atoms. The resistivity of α -(BETS)₂I₃ behaves like that of α -(ET)₂I₃, and the MI crossover temperature of α -(BETS)₂I₃ ($T_{MI} = 50$ K) [43] is less than the CO transition temperature of α -(ET)₂I₃ [34]. As the temperature decreases from room temperature to low-temperature (LT), the magnetic susceptibility of α -(BETS)₂I₃ gradually decreases, and no anomaly exists at $T_{\rm MI}$ [44]. These electronic properties are different from those of α -(ET)₂I₃, and the MI crossover instead of the CO transition seems to occur as the temperature is decreased. The origin of the insulating state in α -(BETS)₂I₃ has not so far been understood.

In a previous theoretical study using the structure of α -(BETS)₂I₃ at room temperature and 0.7 GPa [24], a semimetallic band structure was obtained from first-principles density-functional-theory (DFT) method [20]. Tight-binding band structure calculations with extended Hückel parameters have failed to provide the zero-gap state (ZGS); they show different shapes of Fermi surface due to the over-tilting of Dirac cones [24,25]. Ambient-pressure structural and electronic properties, including atomic coordinates, have yet to be clarified; previous x-ray diffraction (XRD) studies at ambient-pressure provide only the lattice parameters and the space group at room temperature [24,43].

To verify the existence of the ZGS with a bulk Dirac electron system in α -(BETS)₂I₃ at ambient pressure, we investigate the crystal structures and electronic states by performing synchrotron XRD and ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments. We find no clear phase transition in either experiment. We perform first-principles DFT calculations on this structure at LT. Our results strongly suggest the existence of a ZGS with bulk Dirac cone-type band dispersion in α -(BETS)₂I₃ at ambient pressure. The band gap of ~2 meV is opened by the spin–orbit coupling (SOC) effect. Finally, we discuss the difference in electronic structure between α -(BETS)₂I₃ and α -(ET)₂I₃.

II. METHODS A. XRD experiments

XRD experiments were performed using a BL02B1 beamline at the synchrotron facility SPring-8 [45] in Japan. The dimensions of the α -(BETS)₂I₃ and α -(ET)₂I₃ crystals for the XRD experiments were $150 \times 150 \times 15 \,\mu\text{m}^3$ $140 \times 90 \times 20 \,\mu\text{m}^3$, respectively. and Α helium-gas-blowing device was employed to cool the samples to 30 K. A 2D imaging-plate was used as the detector. The wavelength of the x-ray was 0.39054 Å, avoiding energy absorption at the K-edge of iodine (0.3738 Å). For the crystal structural analysis, we used original software for extracting the diffraction intensity [46]. SORTAV [47] and Jana2006 [48,49] were used for diffraction intensity averaging and crystal structural analysis, respectively.

B. ¹³C NMR experiments

Single crystal ¹³C NMR measurements were performed in the same way as in an earlier study of α -(ET)₂I₃ [50]. The central double-bonded carbon atoms in BETS were selectively enriched with ¹³C isotope. An NMR spectrum was obtained by the fast Fourier transformation of the spin-echo signal induced by a $\pi/2-\pi$ pulse sequence. The assignment of each peak to the molecular site was performed as follows. First, we measured the NMR spectrum in the *ab*-plane in the metallic state, and we found that the angular dependence of the peak positions was identical to that in α -(ET)₂I₃. This is reasonable because the molecular arrangements in the unit cell of α -(BETS)₂I₃ are similar to α -(ET)₂I₃. The peak assignments were quickly done in the ab-plane. Then, we tilted the field direction from the *a*-axis to the *c*-axis, keeping the peak assignments. The temperature dependence of the NMR spectrum was obtained in the field direction $B \parallel c$ in which the chemical shift was reported to be sensitive to the fractional molecular charge in the case of α -(ET)₂I₃ [51].

C. First-principles calculations

The present first-principles DFT calculations [52,53] are based on the exchange-correlation functional of generalized gradient approximation (GGA) proposed by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [54]. For scalar-relativistic calculations, Kohn-Sham equations are self-consistently solved using an all-electron full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FLAPW) method [55]. We also performed the calculations with a scheme based on plane waves and pseudopotentials generated by the projected augmented wave (PAW) formalism [56], which was implemented in Quantum Espresso (Q.E.) 6.3 [57,58]. The dimensions of the *k*-point meshes used were $6 \times 6 \times 2$ for the self-consistent loop, and $14 \times 14 \times 2$ and $16 \times 16 \times 16$ 2 for the density of states without and with SOC, respectively. The results of both methods agreed well. Also, we performed nonmagnetic band structure calculations, including the SOC effect with full-relativistic pseudopotentials. Further, we calculate Z_2 topological invariants using OpenMX code [59-61]. The detailed computational conditions are summarized in Supplemental Material (SM) [62].

III. RESULTS A. Crystal structure and NMR spectra

First, we investigated the crystal structure of α -(BETS)₂I₃ in the high-temperature (HT) phase at 80 K. To determine the bond length in the molecule (i.e., the amount of the molecular charge) with high accuracy, we performed a high-angle analysis; this is an effective method for the analysis of molecular crystals [70]. The structural analysis shows that α -(BETS)₂I₃ and α -(ET)₂I₃ have similar crystal structures in the HT phase [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)], and the space group of both is $P\overline{1}$. There are four BETS molecules (A, A', B, and C) in a unit cell. Because there are inversion points at the centers of the molecule A

and A', these two are crystallographically equivalent. Our obtained lattice parameters of α -(BETS)₂I₃ generally agree with those reported in the previous structural studies at ambient pressure [43] and under a pressure of 0.7 GPa [24]. However, internal coordinates at ambient pressure have never been reported. Thus, here we fully determine structural parameters, including the lower temperature region. In SM [62], we show the results of the detailed analysis and compare the crystal structure we obtained at ambient pressure to that at 0.7 GPa reported in Ref. [24].

To investigate any potential changes in symmetry at $T_{\rm MI}$, ¹³C NMR experiments were performed on α -(BETS)₂I₃. Figure 1(c) shows the NMR spectra for α -(BETS)₂I₃ at 100 K and 30 K obtained with the field direction **B** || **c**. Maximum three doublets were observed even at 30 K. The splitting of the peak of the molecules A and A' due to the lack of an inversion center, which was observed at $T_{\rm MI}$ for α -(ET)₂I₃ [51], is not observed in the LT phase of α -(BETS)₂I₃.



FIG. 1. Crystal structure of α -(BETS)₂I₃ in (a) *bc*-plane and (b) *ab*-plane. (c) ¹³C NMR spectra for α -(BETS)₂I₃ at 100 K and 30 K. An external field of 7 T was applied parallel to the *c*-axis. Zero frequency corresponds to the zero-Knight shift frequency.

In the LT phase of α -(BETS)₂I₃, additional superlattice reflections and/or splitting of the diffraction peaks were not confirmed from the XRD data. In addition, we did not find the lack of the inversion center even at 30 K from the structural analysis (Fig. S2 [62]), which is consistent with the result of ¹³C NMR measurement [Fig. 1(c)] and the past report of ⁷⁷Se NMR measurement [44]. Therefore, we conclude that the space group is $P\overline{1}$ in the LT phase.

Next, we discuss the temperature dependence of the charge amount based on the bond length of the constituent

BETS molecules in α -(BETS)₂I₃. As references, XRD experiments at SPring-8 and high-angle analysis were performed on α -(ET)₂I₃. Figure 2(c) shows the experimental evaluation of the charge amount Q of α -(ET)₂I₃, which is calculated from the intra-molecular bond lengths corresponding to the C=C and C–S bonds [71]. The definition of Q is given in the inset of Fig. 2(c). Q varied largely due to the MI transition with the lack of an inversion center. In the LT phase, molecules A' and B are hole-rich, and molecule A and C are hole-poor, suggesting the existence of a horizontal-stripe-type CO state. This result is consistent with the results of several previous studies using infrared spectra [39], Raman spectra [40], NMR [41], XRD [42], and various theoretical calculations [20,36-38,72].



FIG. 2. (a),(b) Molecular structures of ET and BETS, respectively. (c) Temperature dependence of the charge amount Q in ET [71] in α -(ET)₂I₃. (d),(e) Temperature dependence of the δ value in BETS and ET in α -(BETS)₂I₃ and α -(ET)₂I₃, respectively. The δ value [$\delta = (b + c) - (a + d)$] corresponds to the difference in length between the C=C and C-S bonds in the molecule.

Next, in Fig. 2(d), we show the temperature dependence of the charge amount on each BETS molecule in α -(BETS)₂I₃. Because the formula Q for calculating the valence has not been reported for the BETS salt, a comparison is made using the δ value itself [inset of Fig. 2(c)]. For reference, Fig. 2(e) shows the temperature variation of δ for α -(ET)₂I₃. For α -(BETS)₂I₃, the amount of charge of BETS shows less change due to the MI crossover. The changes in bond length within BETS are less than 0.2% between 80 K and 30 K. Furthermore, the changes in the distance and angle between the BETS molecules are also insignificant (Figs. S4 and S5 [62]).

In previous work on α -(ET)₂I₃, changes of distances between donor molecules and terminal iodine atoms of I₃ before/after the phase transition associated with the CO were noted [20]. We therefore investigated the I–H distances in α -(ET)₂I₃ and α -(BETS)₂I₃. In α -(ET)₂I₃, apparent changes of the I–H distances are confirmed at T_{MI} , which is consistent with the previous report [20], whereas no changes are seen at T_{MI} in α -(BETS)₂I₃ (Fig. S6 [62]). This result also shows the absence of CO in the LT phase of α -(BETS)₂I₃. These structural analysis results imply that symmetry and intra- and inter-molecular structures hardly change at the MI crossover of α -(BETS)₂I₃.

B. Electron density distribution

In molecular solids, the valence and conduction bands, which control the physical properties, are made up of frontier orbitals of the constituent molecules [73]. Here, we focused on the valence electron density (VED) distribution to investigate the difference between α -(ET)₂I₃ and α -(BETS)₂I₃. An electron density (ED) analysis using a core differential Fourier synthesis (CDFS) method [70,74], which efficiently extracts only the valence electron contribution, was performed on these two compounds (see Ref. [74] for details about CDFS analysis).

We compared the VED distributions of molecule A in α -(ET)₂I₃ and α -(BETS)₂I₃, as shown in Fig. 3. Here, the valence electron configurations of the C, S, and Se atoms constituting the ET and BETS molecules are $2s^2 2p^2$, $3s^23p^4$, and $4s^24p^4$, respectively. The VED distributions of molecules A', B, and C were approximately identical to that of molecule A in real space (Figs. S8 and S9 [62]). In the HT phase, differentiating the contribution of the thermal vibrations from the VED is difficult because of the large temperature contribution. Indeed, the VED distribution of ET at 150 K is blurred [Fig. 3(a)]. However, relatively localized VED distributions are observed at 80 K [Fig. 3(b)] and 30 K [Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)]. It should be noted that there is a trade-off relationship between the resolution of XRD data and the statistical error of the weak reflection intensity. We confirmed the reliability of the VED distributions by changing the resolution (Fig. **S10** [62]).

A change in the VED is observed between the CDFS analysis results at 80 K and 30 K [Figs. 3(b) and 3(d)]. The ED around Se sites is higher in the LT phase than that in the HT phase, although there is no significant change in the structural parameters going from above to below the MI crossover temperature. Comparing ET and BETS at 30 K, the ED near the C=C bonds in BETS is higher than that in ET. Furthermore, although the number of valence electrons is the same (6e per atom), the VED around Se sites in BETS [Fig. 3(d)] is higher than that around S sites near the center in ET [Fig. 3(c)]. These results indicate that the VED is more localized in BETS than in ET. Considering the electronegativity, since the value of S atom is slightly larger than Se, our result is not well explained with the difference of electronegativity.

On the other hand, the difference in the ionic radius of the two elements may affect their VEDs. The *p* orbitals of Se in α -(BETS)₂I₃ are higher in energy than those of S in α -(ET)₂I₃; the *p* orbitals of Se (4*p* state) are more delocalized (extended in space) than those of S (3*p* state). As will be described later, this difference appears in bandwidths, as plotted in the total density of states (DOSs) (Fig. 6). In addition, the intermolecular transfer integrals of α -(BETS)₂I₃ [75] calculated by the tight-binding model certainly have larger values in the far range than those of α -(ET)₂I₃ [18]. However, because the molecular orbital-like valence charge densities formed by the mixing of several atomic orbitals due to SOC are very complicated, the final orbital state of BETS is not trivial. We think that this issue is an open question and a challenge for future researches.



FIG. 3. Valence electron density distribution of molecule A in α -(ET)₂I₃ at (a) 150 K and (c) 30 K, and in α -(BETS)₂I₃ at (b) 80 K and (d) 30 K, obtained by the core differential Fourier synthesis analysis from the x-ray diffraction data in the limit $0 \text{ Å}^{-1} \leq \sin \theta / \lambda \leq 0.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$.

C. Band structure

Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the calculated band structure and local density of states (LDOS) of α -(BETS)₂I₃ at 30 K without SOC. We find the Dirac cones are at general k-points $(\pm 0.2958, \pm 0.3392, 0)$, not highly symmetric ones. No over-tilting of the Dirac cones is observed in Fig. 4(c). The LDOS is obtained as a summation of projected densities of state (PDOSs) on C p and S p states in the respective monomer units. The PDOSs are calculated within each muffin-tin sphere by FLAPW method. Using the LDOSs from -0.52 eV up to the E_F , we compare the partition of holes on the individual molecule in the unit cell. The calculated values for molecules A and B are almost the same: 0.52 and 0.51, respectively. On the other hand, that for molecule C is smaller: 0.45. This tendency corresponds well to the δ value obtained from our structural data, as discussed in Sec. III.A [Fig. 2(d)]. These values are somewhat different from a previous DFT evaluation of hole distribution for the 0.7 GPa structure [20], where the donor A and C had similar charges, and the donor B was more positively charged.



FIG. 4. (a) Band structure calculated from first-principles density-functional-theory and (b) local density of states (LDOS) of α -(BETS)₂I₃ in the low-temperature phase (30 K) (without the spin-orbit coupling effect calculated with the FLAPW method). The dashed horizontal line shows the Fermi energy E_F . Green, red, and blue solid curves indicate the LDOS of molecules A, B, and C, respectively. (c) Band dispersion is seen from two directions close to the Dirac cone on the $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, 0)$ plane; a pair of Dirac points are located at $\mathbf{k} = (\pm 0.2958, \mp 0.3392, 0)$.

Near the $E_{\rm F}$ in Fig. 4(b), the LDOSs of both A and C have a steep downward slope toward the $E_{\rm F}$. On the other hand, the LDOS of B has a relatively gentle downward slope near the $E_{\rm F}$. These trends in α -(BETS)₂I₃ are similar to those in α -(ET)₂I₃ at high-pressure [72]. This might be a consequence of the fact that the Se p orbitals in α -(BETS)₂I₃ are higher in energy than the S p orbitals of α -(ET)₂I₃; the p orbitals of Se are more delocalized (extended in both energy and space) than those of S atoms. This leads to increasing bandwidth due to the Se substitutions to the TTF part; i.e., the upper band of E_1 in α -(BETS)₂I₃ has a broader bandwidth (263 meV) than that of α -(ET)₂I₂ (147 meV). The chemical substitution of Se atoms with S atoms thus plays a role similar to that of a physical pressure increase in α -(ET)₂I₃. Interestingly, the insulating CO phase with P1 structure (no Dirac band dispersion) in α -(ET)₂I₃ is suppressed by applying both uniaxial and hydrostatic pressures [16]. Therefore, we consider that controlling bandwidth is crucial for suppressing the CO transition and maintaining Dirac electron

behavior even at LT.

In the no SOC limit, a massless Dirac electron system is realized when two linear bands intersect at the $E_{\rm F}$ (where the Dirac points are located) [Fig. 5(a)]. However, with SOC, we observe a finite (indirect) energy gap of $\sim 2 \text{ meV}$ around the Dirac points, and the $E_{\rm F}$ is located inside the energy gap, as plotted in Fig. 5(b). This insulator band dispersion is consistent with the increase in the electrical resistivity below $T_{\rm MI} = 50$ K [43] and the decrease in the magnetic susceptibility with a decrease in temperature from room temperature [44]. Therefore, the insulating mechanism of α -(BETS)₂I₃ is quite different from that of α -(ET)₂I₃, showing the structural phase transition associated with CO [42]. We also note that the GGA functional slightly underestimates the band gap. The actual size of band gap is expected to be $4 \sim 5$ meV, and the gap size also agrees well with the MI crossover temperature of ~50 K. However, because the energy gap of this system is quite small, unique physical properties, such as massless Dirac electron system behavior, are expected.

Next, we discuss the correspondence of the electronic structures of α -(BETS)₂I₃ before and after the MI crossover at $T_{\rm MI} = 50$ K. Figure 6(a) compares the magnified DOSs between 30 K and 80 K. Within an energy range from -0.02 eV to 0.02 eV, including the band gap, the DOSs are almost the same. On the other hand, the DOSs outside this energy region are different, and those for the 80 K structures have slightly more expansive valleys. This difference originates with small changes in structural properties, e.g. inter-molecular distances (Fig. S4 [62]). In the band structure at 80 K, the band gap induced by SOC also exists. However, the thermal energy of 50 K is about 4.3 meV; the chemical potential can move over the energy gap and cut the finite DOSs. Therefore, we suggest that the electronic structure difference between 30 K and 80 K may contribute to the physical properties: the temperature effect on chemical potential explains the electronic conducting phase above $T_{\rm MI}$ and the MI crossover behavior. A similar argument has been made previously about the DFT band structure of α -(ET)₂I₃ calculated from an experimental structure measured at room temperature [18].

Based on the present results for α -(BETS)₂I₃, we comment on the previous DFT studies of the crystal structure at a pressure of 0.7 GPa [24]. The energy band of E_1 close to the M(S) point is lower than the E_F . The lower band of E_2 close to the Y point is higher than the E_F , resulting in a semimetallic state. We have verified the above result for 0.7 GPa (with SOC), where the calculated DOSs shown in Fig. 6(c) indicate an entirely metallic state, although the overall band structure is consistent with ambient pressure results. In other words, the expected ground state at 0.7 GPa is different from that at ambient pressure.



FIG. 5. Band dispersion of α -(BETS)₂I₃ along the X (-0.5, 0, 0), (-0.2995, *y*, 0), and M(=S) (-0.5, 0.5, 0) lines (a) without and (b) with spin–orbit coupling near the Fermi energy E_F , calculated using Quantum Espresso code. The zero energies in (a) and (b) are set to be at the chemical potential and the top of the valence bands, respectively.

Next, we discuss the difference of electronic states from those for α -(ET)₂I₃ above the CO transition temperature (T_{CO}). Above T_{CO} , α -(ET)₂I₃ and α -(BETS)₂I₃ crystals are isostructural. The ET salt has several common DOS features with the BETS salt, since α -(ET)₂I₃ also has a Dirac cone-type band dispersion near the E_F [18]. Figures 6(b) and 6(d) show the total DOS of α -(BETS)₂I₃ at 30 K and α -(ET)₂I₃ at 150 K, respectively. In both of these salts, associated with a Van Hove singularity close to the E_F , the DOSs show an asymmetric valley, centering on the zero energy. We find that the width of the valley in α -(BETS)₂I₃ is much narrower than that in α -(ET)₂I₃, although the width of each band is generally larger.

As discussed above, the bands forming the Dirac cones in α -(BETS)₂I₃ are more flattened than those in α -(ET)₂I₃. Therefore, the effective electron velocity of α -(BETS)₂I₃ is expected to be smaller than that of α -(ET)₂I₃. As shown in Fig. 6(b), the nearest peak above the chemical potential, located at +0.006 eV, is lower than that of +0.017 eV in α -(ET)₂I₃ [Fig. 6(d)]. On the other hand, the nearest peak below E = 0 is located at -0.0075 eV in α -(BETS)₂I₃, and is shallower than that in α -(ET)₂I₃. The linear energy dependence of the DOSs attributed to the 2D Dirac cone is also much smaller than that in α -(ET)₂I₃.

The delocalized character of Se *p* orbitals causes such narrow energy windows close to the Dirac cones. In fact, the energy difference between eigenvalues is generally smaller than in α -(ET)₂I₃. For instance, the energy difference between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) levels of an isolated BETS monomer is found to be 1.34 eV within the GGA-PBE functional; this is smaller than that for the isolated ET molecule (1.57 eV). Thus, the hybridization of wavefunctions with surrounding BETS molecules becomes more significant, making the number of relevant transfer energies much more extensive [75]. The delocalized nature of Se *p* orbitals also reduces the on-site Coulomb interaction *U*. The *U* values calculated in the constrained random phase approximation are ~1.38 eV [76], and are generally smaller than those for α -(ET)₂I₃ [77]. Recent NMR measurements of $1/T_1$ also confirmed the reduction of effective Coulomb interactions by Se substitution [78]. Therefore, we consider the delocalization of the molecular orbitals to reduce an excitonic instability (as discussed for α -(ET)₂I₃ in Ref. [32]), and perhaps also to suppress the appearance of CO.



FIG. 6. (a) Total density of states (DOSs) close to the Dirac cones in α -(BETS)₂I₃ at ambient pressure, when spin-orbit coupling is included. The solid (black) and dashed (green) curves show the DOSs at 30 K and 80 K, respectively. The grey shaded region lying above the energy zero (chemical potential) represents the band gap (~2 meV) in the 30 K structure. (b) DOS for the ambient pressure structure at 30 K (including the same data as the solid curve in (a) but plotted on a different scale). (c) DOS for the experimental structure under a pressure of 0.7 GPa [24]. (d) DOS of α -(ET)₂I₃ for 150 K (above the charge-ordering transition temperature). The zero energies in (a), (b), and (d) are set to the tops of the valence bands; the zero energy in (c) is the Fermi energy $E_{\rm F}$.

D. Z₂ topological invariant

Barring a few reports [79-82], the SOC has been mostly ignored in theoretical studies for molecular solids because most of them are composed of light elements. However, we observe that the SOC critically changes the low-energy band structure from a massless Dirac electron system to a small band gap insulator. Therefore, to clarify whether the insulator state is a topological insulator or not, we have calculated Z_2 topological invariants from first-principles for bulk α -(BETS)₂I₃ at 30 K using the parity eigenvalues at the time-reversal invariant momenta [83] and the parity method [11] (implemented in OpenMX code [84]). We have confirmed that the calculated topological invariants (v; $v_1 v_2 v_3$) are (0; 0 0 1), indicating a weak topological insulator. This suggests that an exotic massless Dirac band dispersion appears at the surface state along the xz direction.

To investigate whether the non-centrosymmetric CO phase in α -(ET)₂I₃ is a topological insulating state, we have calculated the Z_2 topological invariant [83] for the experimental structure of α-(ET)₂I₃ at 30 K using the Fukui-Hatsugai method [85] (implemented in OpenMX code [86]). The structural transition associated with CO is not always accompanied by the transition from a topological state to a trivial insulating state or vice versa. In this case, the calculated topological invariant for the CO phase is $Z_2 = 0$, implying a trivial insulator phase. On the other hand, the topological invariant for the HT phase with a centrosymmetric structure is $Z_2 = 1$, which indicates a topological semimetal phase [87]. These results suggest that the Z₂ topological phase transition occurs in α -(ET)₂I₃ and is associated with the CO phase transition. We emphasize that the LT CO state of α -(ET)₂I₃ is a trivial insulator in the ground state. By contrast, the band structure above $T_{\rm MI} = 80$ K of α -(BETS)₂I₃ remains that of a topological insulating state with $(0; 0 \ 0 \ 1)$. Thus, no topological phase transition occurs in α -(BETS)₂I₃, and the ground state is a weak topological insulator. Therefore, topological physical properties, such as the quantum spin Hall effect [4], can be observed in α -(BETS)₂I₃, but not in the CO phase in α -(ET)₂I₃. The calculated Z₂ topological invariants and the detailed computational conditions are summarized in SM [62].

IV. SUMMARY

We studied the precise crystal and electronic structures of the quasi-2D molecular conductor α -(BETS)₂I₃ at ambient pressure. Our XRD and ¹³C NMR measurements revealed that the crystal structure, unlike that of α -(ET)₂I₃, is centrosymmetric even at 30 K, and the energy bands are Kramer's degenerate. To investigate the origin of the insulating state observed in electronic resistivity measurements, we performed first-principles calculations based on the crystal structure measured above and below the MI crossover temperature of ~50 K. At 30 K, we found linear crossing band dispersions close to $E_{\rm F}$; we suggest a massless Dirac electron system with a ZGS is realized at ambient pressure. In contrast to the Dirac cone-type band structure in α -(ET)₂I₃ above the CO transition temperature, the bands close to the Dirac point are comparatively flattened in α -(BETS)₂I₃, and the band structure close to the Dirac cone is in a narrow energy window. Thus, the effective electron velocity of α -(BETS)₂I₃ is expected to be smaller than that of α -(ET)₂I₃, although overall bandwidth and transfer energies are generally larger.

Moreover, the degeneracies are removed by the SOC, resulting in an energy gap of ~ 2 meV near the Dirac points

that corresponds well with the MI crossover temperature. Thus, the spin-orbit interaction converts α -(BETS)₂I₃ from a zero-gap massless Dirac electron system to a weak topological insulator. This phenomenon is similar to that occurring in graphene, which has a smaller SOC-related energy gap (~0.8 × 10⁻³ meV) than α -(BETS)₂I₃ [88]. Thus, the quantum spin Hall effect is expected in α -(BETS)₂I₃, as in graphene [2] and surface states of topological insulators. Our results have the potential to contribute significantly to the study of the Dirac electron system. In the near future, experimental results based on our expectations will be reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank N. Tajima, D. Ohki, A. Kobayashi, K. Yoshimi, Y. Suzumura, T. Naito, S. Fujiyama, R. Kato, and T. Takahashi for fruitful discussions. Crystal structure and ED distribution figures were visualized using VESTA [89]. CCDC 2008980–2008983 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper, which are α -(ET)₂I₃ at 150 K and 30 K, and α -(BETS)₂I₃ at 80 K and 30 K. The data is provided free of charge by The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre [90]. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grants No. JP19J11697, and JP19K21860), JST CREST Grant No. JPMJCR18I2, and JSPS Research Fellow from JSPS. T.T. is partially supported by MEXT Japan, Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers (LEADER). The computations were mainly carried out using the computer facilities of ITO at the Research Institute for Information Technology, Kyushu University, MASAMUNE at the Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, and the facilities of the Supercomputer Center, the Institute for Solid State Physics, the University of Tokyo. The synchrotron radiation experiments were performed at SPring-8 with the approval of the Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (JASRI) (Proposal No. 2017B1733).

*kitou.shunsuke@h.mbox.nagoya-u.ac.jp *tsumu@kumamoto-u.ac.jp

[#]hiroshi.sawa@cc.nagoya-u.ac.jp

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

- K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, Nature 438, 197 (2005).
- [2] C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 226801 (2005).
- [3] C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 146802 (2005).
- [4] S. Murakami, New J. Phys. 9, 356 (2007).
- [5] V. N. Kotov, B. Uchoa, V. M. Pereira, F. Guinea, and A. H. Castro Neto, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1067 (2012).

- [6] A. Bansil, H. Lin, and T. Das, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 021004 (2016).
- [7] D. C. Elias, R. V. Gorbachev, A. S. Mayorov, S. V. Morozov, A. A. Zhukov, P. Blake, L. A. Ponomarenko, I. V. Grigorieva, K. S. Novoselov, F. Guinea, and A. K. Geim, Nat. Phys. 7, 701 (2011).
- [8] P. A. Wolff, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 25, 1057 (1964).
- [9] H. Fukuyama, and R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 28, 570 (1970).
- [10] L. Li, J. G. Checkelsky, Y. S. Hor, C. Uher, A. F. Hebard, R. J. Cava, and N. P. Ong, Science **321**, 547 (2008).
- [11] L. Fu, and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. B 76, 045302 (2007).
- [12] D. Hsieh, D. Qian, L. Wray, Y. Xia, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, Nature 452, 970 (2008).
- [13] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, Science **306**, 666 (2004).
- [14] P. Avouris, Nano Lett. 10, 4285 (2010).
- [15] K. Kajita, T. Ojiro, H. Fujii, Y. Nishio, H. Kobayashi, A. Kobayashi, and R. Kato, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 61, 23 (1992).
- [16] N. Tajima, M. Tamura, Y. Nishio, K. Kajita, and Y. Iye, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69, 543 (2000).
- [17] S. Katayama, A. Kobayashi, and Y. Suzumura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 054705 (2006).
- [18] H. Kino, and T. Miyazaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 034704 (2006).
- [19] A. Kobayashi, S. Katayama, Y. Suzumura, and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 76, 034711 (2007).
- [20] P. Alemany, J.-P. Pouget, and E. Canadell, Phys. Rev. B 85, 195118 (2012).
- [21] F. Piéchon, and Y. Suzumura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, 033703 (2013).
- [22] R. Kato, H. Cui, T. Tsumuraya, T. Miyazaki, and Y. Suzumura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 1770 (2017).
- [23] T. Tsumuraya, R. Kato, and Y. Suzumura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 113701 (2018).
- [24] R. Kondo, S. Kagoshima, N. Tajima, and R. Kato, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 114714 (2009).
- [25] T. Morinari, and Y. Suzumura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 83, 094701 (2014).
- [26] B. Zhou, S. Ishibashi, T. Ishii, T. Sekine, R. Takehara, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, E. Nishibori, and A. Kobayashi, Chem. Commun. 55, 3327 (2019).
- [27] T. Naito, R. Doi, and Y. Suzumura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 89, 023701 (2020).
- [28] N. Tajima, T. Yamauchi, T. Yamaguchi M. Suda Y. Kawasugi, H. M. Yamamoto, R. Kato, Y. Nishio, and K. Kajita, Phys. Rev. B 88, 075315 (2013).
- [29] N. Tajima, S. Sugawara, R. Kato, Y. Nishio, and K. Kajita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 176403 (2009).
- [30] N. Tajima, M. Sato, S. Sugawara, R. Kato, Y. Nishio, and K. Kajita, Phys. Rev. B 82, 121420(R) (2010).
- [31] M. Hirata, K. Ishikawa, K. Miyagawa, M. Tamura, C.

Berthier, D. Basko, A. Kobayashi, G. Matsuno, and K. Kanoda, Nat. Comm. 7, 12666 (2016).

- [32] M. Hirata, K. Ishikawa, G. Matsuno, A. Kobayashi, K. Miyagawa, M. Tamura, C. Berthier, and K. Kanoda, Science 358, 1403 (2017).
- [33] H. Schwenk, F. Gross, C.-P. Heidmann, K. Andres, D. Schweitzer, and H. Keller, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 119, 329 (1985).
- [34] K. Bender, K. Dietz, H. Endres, H. W. Helberg, I. Hennig, H. J. Keller, H. W. Schäfer, and D. Schweitzer, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 107, 45 (1984).
- [35] B. Rothaemel, L. Forró, J. R. Cooper, J. S. Schilling, M. Weger, P. Bele, H. Brunner, D. Schweitzer, and H. J. Keller, Phys. Rev. B 34, 704 (1986).
- [36] H. Kino, and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 2158 (1996).
- [37] H. Seo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69, 805 (2000).
- [38] C. Hotta, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 72, 840 (2003).
- [39] M. Meneghetti, R. Bozio, and C. Pecile, Synth. Met. 19, 143 (1987).
- [40] R. Wojciechowski, K. Yamamoto, K. Yakushi, M. Inokuchi, and A. Kawamoto, Phys. Rev. B 67, 224105 (2003).
- [41] T. Takahashi, Y. Nogami, and K. Yakushi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 051008 (2006).
- [42] T. Kakiuchi, Y. Wakabayashi, H. Sawa, T. Takahashi, and T. Nakamura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 76, 113702 (2007).
- [43] M. Inokuchi, H. Tajima, A. Kobayashi, T. Ohta, H. Kuroda, R. Kato, T. Naito, and H. Kobayashi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 68, 547 (1995).
- [44] K. Hiraki, S. Harada, K. Arai, Y. Takano, T. Takahashi, N. Tajima, R. Kato, and T. Naito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 014715 (2011).
- [45] K. Sugimoto, H. Ohsumi, S. Aoyagi, E. Nishibori, C. Moriyoshi, Y. Kuroiwa, H. Sawa, and M. Takata, AIP Conference Proceedings 1234, 887 (2010).
- [46] K. Sugawara, K. Sugimoto, T. Fujii, T. Higuchi, N. Katayama, Y. Okamoto, and H. Sawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 024601 (2018).
- [47] R. H. Blessing, Crystallogr. Rev. 1, 3 (1987).
- [48] V. Petříček, M. Dušek, and L. Palatinus, Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 229, 345 (2014).
- [49] The Slater-type orbital wavefunction library in Jana2006 [48] was supplied from <u>http://harker.chem.buffalo.edu/group/wavtable.html</u>, whose references are Z. Su, and P. Coppens, Acta Cryst. A53, 749 (1997); P. Macchi, and P. Coppens, Acta Cryst. A57, 656 (2001).
- [50] Y. Takano, K. Hiraki, Y. Takada, H. M. Yamamoto, and T. Takahashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**, 104704 (2010).
- [51] T. Kawai, and A. Kawamoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 074711 (2009).
- [52] P. Hohenberg, and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964).

- [53] W. Kohn, and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).
- [54] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [55] E. Wimmer, H. Krakauer, M. Weinert, and A. J. Freeman, Phys. Rev. B 24, 864 (1981).
- [56] P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B 50, 17953 (1994).
- [57] P. Giannozzi *et al.*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 395502 (2009).
- [58] P. Giannozzi *et al.*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 29, 465901 (2017).
- [59] OpenMX: Open source package for Material eXplorer, <u>http://www.openmx-square.org/</u>
- [60] T. Ozaki, Phys. Rev. B 67, 155108 (2003).
- [61] T. Ozaki, and H. Kino, Phys. Rev. B 69, 195113 (2004).
- [62] See Supplemental Material at http://~ for the detail of crystal structural analysis, ED analysis, and computational conditions, which includes Ref. [63-69].
- [63] H. D. Flack, M. Sadki, A. L. Thompson, and D. J. Watkin, Acta Cryst. A67, 21 (2011).
- [64] D. D. Koellng, and G. O. Arbman, J. Phys. F 5, 2041 (1975).
- [65] M. Weinert, J. Math. Phys. 22, 2433 (1981).
- [66] P. E. Blochl, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 17953 (1994).
- [67] A. Dal Corso, Comp. Mat. Sci. 95, 337 (2014).
- [68] N. Troullier, and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1993 (1991).
- [69] S. G. Louie, S. Froyen, and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 26, 1738 (1982).
- [70] S. Kitou, T. Fujii, T. Kawamoto, N. Katayama, S. Maki, E. Nishibori, K. Sugimoto, M. Takata, T. Na-kamura, and H. Sawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 065701 (2017).
- [71] P. Guionneau, C. J. Kepert, G. Bravic, D. Chasseau, M. R. Truter, M. Kurmoo, and P. Day, Synth. Met. 86, 1973 (1997).
- [72] S. Katayama, A. Kobayashi, and Y. Suzumura, Eur. Phys. J. B 67, 139 (2009).
- [73] K. Fukui, Science 218, 747 (1982).

- [74] S. Kitou, T. Manjo, N. Katayama, T. Shishidou, T. Arima, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, T. Nakamura, T. Yo-koyama, K. Sugimoto, and H. Sawa, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 033503 (2020).
- [75] T. Tsumuraya, and Y. Suzumura, Eur. Phys. J. B (to be published).
- [76] D. Ohki, K. Yoshimi, and A. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. B 102, 235116 (2020).
- [77] D. Ohki, K. Yoshimi, T. Misawa, and A. Kobayashi, private communication.
- [78] S. Fujiyama, private communication.
- [79] S. M. Winter, K. Riedl, and R. Valentí, Phys Rev. B 95, 060404(R) (2017).
- [80] S. Roychoudhury, and S. Sanvito, Phys. Rev. B 95, 085126 (2017).
- [81] A. L. Khosla, A. C. Jacko, J. Merino, and B. J. Powell, Phys. Rev. B 95, 115109 (2017).
- [82] T. Osada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 075002 (2018).
- [83] L. Fu, and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. B 74, 195312 (2006).
- [84] T. Kato, H. Kotaka, and F. Ishii, JPS Conf. Proc. 5, 011022 (2015).
- [85] T. Fukui, and Y. Hatsugai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 76, 053702 (2007).
- [86] H. Sawahata, N. Yamaguchi, H. Kotaka, and F. Ishii, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 57, 030309 (2018).
- [87] The calculated Z₂ topological invariant (*v*; *v*₁ *v*₂ *v*₃) with time-reversal invariant momentum (TRIM) method for HT phase of α -(ET)₂I₃ are (0; 0 0 1), indicating a weak topological insulator. The results of a previous study with a tight-binding model for α -(ET)₂I₃ (without the SOC) [20] agree well with the result of the relativistic first-principles calculations.
- [88] Y. Yao, F. Ye, X.-L. Qi, S.-C. Zhang, and Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. B 75, 041401(R) (2007).
- [89] K. Momma, and F. Izumi, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 44, 1272 (2011).
- [90] <u>https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures/</u>