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Previous works on deformed graphene predict the existence of valley-polarized states, however, optimal conditions for their detection remain challenging. We show that in the quantum Hall regime, edge-like states in strained regions can be isolated in energy within Landau gaps. We identify precise conditions for new conducting edges-like states to be valley polarized. By appropriate design of strain profiles these states can be positioned at chosen locations in the sample. A map of local density of states as a function of energy and position reveals a unique braid pattern that serves as a fingerprint to identify valley polarization.

PACS numbers: 72.80.Vp, 73.63.Nm, 73.43.–f, 81.40.Jj

Strained graphene has emerged as an important tool to implement valleytronic based devices [1–14] and in particular, in protocols for quantum computation [15]. Recent experimental developments show that substrate engineering can be used to design deformation geometries with specific strain profiles [16–34]. Clear signatures of valley splitting in confined geometries represent an important step in this direction, as exemplified by scanning tunneling microscope (STM) studies on graphene quantum dots [35, 36]. In extended configurations, similar observations have been reported on multiple fold structures [28, 29] with preliminary evidence of valley polarized states. These studies are supported by previous work that predicted strained regions to act as waveguides for electron currents [6–10]. However, several drawbacks still exist because optimal conditions for creation and detection of valley split currents are not well-defined.

To take advantage of the existence of valley polarized channels, it is crucial to separate their contribution from graphene’s conducting background. This can be achieved by introducing an external magnetic field large enough to take the system into the Quantum Hall (QH) regime [37–48]. As we show below, strained regions in the QH regime, allow: 1) generation of valley polarized edge-like states with energies inside Landau gaps, and 2) freedom to position them anywhere in the sample by proper design of strain fields. This feature adds the flexibility to accommodate contact probes to collect individual valley currents.

STM measurements of local density of states (LDOS) in strained graphene areas, exhibit characteristic split peaks that reflect the breaking of Landau level (LL) degeneracies, although not necessarily valley polarization. We address this issue by calculating the LDOS of graphene with a fold-like deformation, that describes the evolution of peak splittings and the emergence of the regime with valley polarization. Furthermore, as the deformed region is traversed across, maximum LDOS intensities for each valley evolve in energy, leading to a braid structure that serves as a unique fingerprint of valley polarized states. Under bias, these states generate extra conducting channels that can be visualized as new edge states along the strained region.

In order to bring attention to the interplay between deformation parameters and magnetic length, we perform combined analytical and numerical studies based on the continuum and tight-binding descriptions of electrons in graphene. As we are interested in the QH regime, the deformation is included as a perturbation for LL levels. Our results show the existence of two distinct regimes characterized by $\gamma = l_B/b$, i.e. the ratio between the magnetic length $l_B$ and the deformation width $b$. For $\gamma > 1$ the broad LL states average over the deformed region. In contrast, for $\gamma < 1$ the magnetic confinement allows the electrons to follow the inhomogeneous strain profile. In this last regime, the spatial separation between the polarized states becomes larger, an attractive feature for quantum device design [15], as it improves the detection of polarized currents.

I. MODEL

The electronic properties of strained graphene in the presence of a magnetic field are described by the nearest neighbor tight-binding Hamiltonian [50]

$$H = \sum_{<i,j>} t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + h.c. , \quad (1)$$

where $c_i^\dagger$ ($c_i$) is the creation (annihilation) field operator in the $i$-th site. The modified nearest-neighbor hopping energy, $t_{ij}$, is given by [39, 50–52]

$$t_{ij} = t_0 e^{i \Delta \phi_{ij}} e^{-\beta \left( \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{\gamma} - 1 \right)} , \quad (2)$$

where $\beta = |\partial \log t_0/\partial \log a_{cc}| \approx 3$, $t_0$ is the hopping parameter of pristine graphene and $a_{cc}$ is its lattice param-
eter. The magnetic field is included via the Peierls substitution, $\Delta \phi_{ij} = 2\pi (e/h) \int_{r_i}^{r_j} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$, with $r_i$ and $r_j$ being the nearest neighbors positions. The strain field, given in terms of the elasticity tensor $\varepsilon$, modifies interatomic distances $l_{ij} = \frac{1}{a_{cc}} \left( a_{cc}^2 + \varepsilon_{xx} r_{ij}^2 + \varepsilon_{yy} r_{ij}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{xy} r_{ix} r_{yj} \right)$, where $x_{ij}$ and $y_{ij}$ correspond to the projected distance between sites $i$ and $j$ before the deformation. In these expressions the $x$-axis is chosen along the zigzag direction.

At low energies the effective continuum Hamiltonian is given by two copies of a 2D Dirac equation $H_{K(K')}^D = v_F \sigma \cdot \mathbf{p}$ written in the valley symmetric representation. Here $v_F \approx 10^6 m/s$ is the Fermi velocity[54], $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y)$ are Pauli matrices acting on the pseudospin degree of freedom associated with the sublattice $(A, B)$ structure of the honeycomb lattice, and $\mathbf{p}$ the electronic momentum around the $K$ ($K'$) point. The magnetic field is implemented using the minimal coupling $\mathbf{eB}$ between sites $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$. The deformation is implemented using the minimal coupling $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 + e\mathbf{A}$ in the Landau gauge, as $\mathbf{A} = B(-y, 0)$. The unstrained system has relativistic LLs given by $E_N = \pm \hbar v_F \sqrt{2N}$ with the $\pm$ representing conduction and valence bands, respectively. The magnetic field is given by $F_B = \frac{\hbar}{eB}$, and $N$ is the integer label for the $N$-th LL.

To study the effects of strain in this regime we chose to represent a non-uniform strain field with a model for a fold-like deformation with a height profile

$$h(y) = h_0 e^{-\frac{(y-y_0)^2}{2\beta^2}},$$

where $h_0$ and $b$ describe amplitude and width of the fold, respectively, and $y_0$ indicates the position of its center. In the continuum limit, the corresponding strain tensor $\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_i h \partial_j h$ gives rise to the pseudo gauge field [55]

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc} A_x^p & A_y^p \\ A_y^p & A_y^p \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \varepsilon_{xx} - \varepsilon_{yy} & -2\varepsilon_{xy} \\ -2\varepsilon_{xy} & -2\varepsilon_{xx} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \frac{2\beta^2}{\hbar} h(y)^2 \end{array} \right),$$

and a pseudo magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_{K(K')}^{ps}$ with $(+(-))$ for valley $K$ ($K'$), where $\Phi_0$ is the unit of quantum flux. The model, chosen to emphasize the spatial dependence of $\mathbf{B}^{ps}$ (contour plots at the bottom of Fig. 1(b) and (e)) reveals physical features that are determined by the extension of the deformed region $b$. These features should be observable in samples with more general non-uniform strain profiles, thus making our predictions relevant for a broad range of experimental setups. In addition to $\mathbf{A}^{ps}$, strain introduces an effective scalar field [55–59] that is less important for the valley polarized regime as discussed in the Supp. Mat. [60].

The electron dynamics is governed by [41, 43, 50, 51]:

$$H_{K(K')} = \hbar v_F \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla - \frac{e}{\hbar} A \pm \frac{\beta}{2a_{cc}} \mathbf{A}^{ps}).$$

Since we are interested in the QH regime, $\mathbf{A}^{ps}$ due to the deformation is treated as a perturbation. Because of the $x$–direction translation invariance, Eq. (5) allows solutions of the form $\Psi(x,y) = \psi(y)e^{ikx}$. The effective one-dimensional Hamiltonian reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\mathcal{O}} + t' \langle \xi \rangle^2 \varepsilon_{gg} \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}} + t' \langle \xi \rangle^2 \varepsilon_{gg} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi(y) = E \psi(y),$$

with $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \frac{\hbar v_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial_g + \tilde{y})$, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^+ = \frac{\hbar v_F}{\sqrt{2}} (-\partial_g + \tilde{y})$ and $\omega_c = \frac{\sqrt{2e}{\hbar v_F}}{l_B}$. Dimensionless coordinates are defined as $\tilde{x} = x/l_B$, $\tilde{y} = (y/l_B - \tilde{k})$, $\tilde{k} = kl_B$ and $t' = 3h v_F/\alpha cc \approx 13.9eV$ is the effective hopping. The deformation parameter $\xi = (h_0/b)$ characterizes the strain intensity $\varepsilon_M = \xi^2/\varepsilon$ ( $\varepsilon$ = Euler number), and $\varepsilon_{gg} = (\tilde{y} + \tilde{k})^2 e^{-2(\tilde{y} + \tilde{k})^2} \gamma^2$ is the dimensionless strain tensor.

The analysis of the continuum model is done with perturbation theory techniques for energy and eigenstates with unperturbed spinor eigenstates given by:

$$\Psi^0(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{N-1}(\tilde{y}) \psi_N(\tilde{y}) e^{ik\tilde{x}} ,$$

where $\psi_N(\tilde{y}) = 2^{-N/2}(N!)^{-1/2} e^{-\tilde{y}^2/2} H_N(\tilde{y})$, $H_N(\tilde{y})$ is the Hermite polynomial of N-th order, $L_x (\rightarrow \pm \infty)$ rises from the normalization of the plane wave and $\pm$ corresponds to positive and negative energies, respectively.

The change in the energy of the $N$-th LL is

$$\Delta E_N(\tilde{k}) = -t' \langle \xi \rangle^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{gg}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{k}) \psi_{N-1}(\tilde{y}) \psi_N(\tilde{y}) d\tilde{y} .$$

The analytic solution of the integral provides an exact expression for the energy corrections and allows to derive expressions for the reduced gaps at large and small values of $\gamma$ (see Fig. 1 and Supp. Mat. [60]). The closing of gaps between consecutive LLs is the criteria used to establish convergence of the perturbation expansion. As discussed in Supp. Mat. [60], this condition implies $\varepsilon_M < h \omega_c \left( \sqrt{N+1} - \sqrt{N} \right) / 0.112t' e$.

For a fixed strain value ($\xi = \text{const.}$), the first LL corrections are:

$$\begin{cases} 
\Delta E_1 = \pm c_1 t' \xi^2 \gamma^{-1} + O[\gamma^{-3}], & \text{for } \gamma \gg 1, \\
\Delta E_1 = \pm c_2 t' \xi^2 \gamma + O[\gamma^3], & \text{for } \gamma \ll 1. 
\end{cases}$$

with constant values $c_1 \approx 0.1$, $c_2 \approx 0.2$ and $c_3 \approx 0.3$. These expressions are confirmed by higher order results (see Supp. Mat. [60]), as well as by numerical solutions for Eq. (1) implemented in a ribbon geometry with zigzag termination along the fold axis direction (ribbon’s widths were appropriately chosen to avoid edge effects). We show that, in contrast to previous works [2, 29, 39–41], valley polarized currents can be generated even in perfect symmetric configurations and generic inhomogeneous strain fields in the appropriate regimes.
II. RESULTS

Fig. 1 shows a comparison between continuum and tight-binding results for fixed $B = 11T$. Panels (a) and (d) show band structure results for $\gamma > 1$ and $\gamma < 1$ respectively, with parameters appropriate for currently available experimental realizations [25–34]. The general profile for both band structures shows modifications in gaps between LLs. As expected, the pseudo field preserves electron-hole symmetry [61] and the zeroth-LL is not affected. For a given level, the two regimes exhibit a larger number of states affected at higher energies. No-finer structure that develops at higher LLs is produced while dashed (orange) lines correspond to analytic expressions in the asymptotic regimes given by Eq. (9).

Panels (c) and (f) depict the different scaling of maxima and minima energy corrections for the first LL, $\Delta E_1 = \Delta E_1/|\xi|^2$, obtained with Eq. (8) as function of $\gamma$ and $1/\gamma^2$, respectively (blue online). Data is presented for valley $K$ (identical results for $K'$). The four energy corrections for states $(k_{1,\pm}, k_{2,\pm})$ identified in panel (d) are plotted. The dependence with $\gamma$ in panel (c) shows the vanishing of the correction at $\gamma = 1$ for states labeled by $k_{1,\pm}$, signaling the change in regimes from $\gamma < 1$ to $\gamma > 1$. For $\gamma \gg 1$ the correction vanishes as expected because $B_{ps}$ is concentrated in a narrower region compared to the LL confinement, even when $|B_{ps}| \gg |B|$. The dependence for $\gamma < 1$ is better appreciated in panel (f), where $\Delta E_1$ is plotted as a function of $1/\gamma^2$. Notice that the asymptotic behavior indicates vanishing of the corrections as the pseudo field decreases in magnitude while occupying a larger region of the sample. The spreading of $B_{ps}$ in a larger area allows for a definite resolution of its sign alternation, leading to the spatial separation of the four states. The exact solution for all values of $\gamma$ is compared with the analytic expression (Eq. (9)), shown with dashed lines (orange online), exhibiting excellent agreement in the two regimes. For $\gamma \ll 1$, the expression for LL energy, $E_N + \Delta E_N \propto \sqrt{|B| + B_{ps}}$, reproduces the expected scaling for an effective magnetic field [60]. Colored areas in Fig. 1 (c) and (f) depict the transition between $\gamma \gg 1$ and $\gamma \ll 1$ regimes.

Calculation of LDOS to second order provides signatures of the transition that could be observed in STM measurements as shown in Fig. 2. Results for LDOS at valleys $K$ and $K'$ (blue and red online) are presented for values of $\gamma$ at both boundaries of each colored shaded area.
in Fig. 1 (c) and (f), corresponding to \( B = 13T, 3T \). The LDOS is plotted at positions marked by the red dot on the \( B^{ps} \) contour plots (presented for a fixed length to emphasize the different widths \( b \) used). Although some panels show peak splittings in the LDOS, not all splittings represent valley polarized regimes. As panel (a) shows, there is a broadened LL peak for \( B = 13T \ (\gamma = 0.83) \) and a split peak for \( B = 3T \ (\gamma = 1.73) \), not valley polarized. In contrast, panel (b) shows broadened peaks for both fields (\( \gamma = 0.52 \) and \( \gamma = 0.25 \)). As predicted, valley polarization is only clearly resolved for values \( \gamma \ll 1 \), as shown in panel (c) (\( \gamma = 0.17 \) and \( \gamma = 0.08 \)). Valley polarized peaks resemble van-Hove singularities representing new edge states emerging at the deformed region. In all cases, peak energies can be obtained from Eq. (8), and splittings could be engineered by appropriate choice of the strain intensity for fixed \( \gamma \).

To further investigate valley splittings, Fig. 3(a) shows LDOS vs Energy curves for K and K’ valleys (blue and red) at different positions across the deformation for \( \gamma = 0.08 \). As one moves from one side of the deformation to the other (y axis), the maxima LDOS intensities braid in a precise pattern that distinguish each valley contribution. The origin of this peculiar pattern can be traced back to Fig. 1, where the energy difference between states from valleys K and K’ is a consequence of different signs of \( B^{ps}_K(K') \). For example, at the position indicated by the blue dot (\( y \approx 25nm \)), the LDOS peak at low energy corresponds to valley K, while at the red dot position (\( y \approx 75nm \)), it corresponds to valley K’. As \( B^{ps} \) changes across the deformation, the magnitude of the splittings also change resulting in the braiding signature. Panel (b) shows LDOS with contributions from both valleys (black curves) and highlights the evolution of valley polarization for particular positions across the ribbon. Peak splittings are still observed at positions with \( B^{ps} = 0 \) (black and gray dots), due to the finite extension of wave functions and the inhomogeneous \( B^{ps} \). Although all panels show a double peak structure, only two of them correspond to valley polarized states, emphasizing the relevance of the braiding structure to detect valley polarization. These new states will appear in transport measurements as four new conducting channels at the deformed region. Fig. 3(c) compares conductance results, obtained with Green’s function methods [62], for ribbons with different strains intensities. As expected, new conductance plateaus appear at energies corresponding to van Hove singularities. Appropriate space separation between these channels can be adjusted by choosing \( b \), thus enhancing the collection of separate valley currents. Furthermore, these channels are robust against edge disorder as the deformation resides inside the sample.

Finally, differences between regimes \( \gamma > 1 \) and \( \gamma < 1 \)
are clearly identified in Fig. 3 (d) and (e), where tight-binding results for LDOS peaks are presented. Panel (d) (γ = 0.08) shows braiding features visible in several Landau levels. At higher levels gaps close and valley polarization vanishes. In panel (e) (γ = 2.8), it is still possible to distinguish peak splittings, however the braiding pattern is absent. Valley polarization disappears for γ > 1.

### III. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, deformed graphene in the QH regime provides a perfect playground to create valley polarized conducting channels. These appear whenever the sample provides a perfect playground to create valley polarized states. These setups to design electronic devices.
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We have neglected the hopping modification that reflects $p_x$ orbital rotations due to curvature (see Ref. [63]). We did not take this contribution into account because the maximum strain instensities considered in our studies are small ($\varepsilon_M < 2.1\%$) and deformations considered are extended in large areas compared to the atomic distances.


See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for derivation of asymptotic behavior for Landau level energy corrections and discussions about scalar field effect.

