

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Coexistence of ferromagnetic fluctuations and superconductivity in the actinide superconductor UTe_{2} Shyam Sundar, S. Gheidi, K. Akintola, A. M. Côté, S. R. Dunsiger, S. Ran, N. P. Butch, S. R. Saha, J. Paglione, and J. E. Sonier Phys. Rev. B **100**, 140502 — Published 23 October 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.100.140502

Coexistence of ferromagnetic fluctuations and superconductivity in the actinide superconductor UTe₂

Shyam Sundar,¹, S. Gheidi,¹ K. Akintola,¹ A. M. Côté,^{1,2} S. R. Dunsiger,^{1,3}

S. Ran,^{4,5} N. P. Butch,^{4,5} S. R. Saha,⁵ J. Paglione,^{5,6}, and J. E. Sonier^{1,6} ¹Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A 1S6, Canada

²Kwantlen Polytechnic University, Richmond, British Columbia V6X 3X7, Canada

³Centre for Molecular and Materials Science, TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 2A3, Canada

⁴NIST Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

⁵Center for Nanophysics and Advanced Materials. Department of Physics.

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

⁶Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1Z8, Canada

(Dated: October 10, 2019)

We report low-temperature muon spin relaxation/rotation (μ SR) measurements on single crystals of the actinide superconductor UTe₂. Below 5 K we observe a continuous slowing down of magnetic fluctuations that persists through the superconducting (SC) transition temperature ($T_c = 1.6$ K), but we find no evidence of long-range or local magnetic order down to 0.025 K. The temperature dependence of the dynamic relaxation rate down to 0.4 K agrees with the self-consistent renormalization theory of spin fluctuations for a three-dimensional weak itinerant ferromagnetic metal. Our μ SR measurements also indicate that the superconductivity coexists with the magnetic fluctuations.

The unusual physical properties of intermetallic uranium-based superconductors are primarily due to the U-5f electrons having both localized and itinerant character. In a subclass of these compounds, superconductivity coexists with ferromagnetism. In URhGe and UCoGe^{1,2} this occurs at ambient pressure, whereas superconductivity appears over a limited pressure range in UGe_2 and $UIr^{3,4}$. With the exception of UIr, the Curie temperature of these ferromagnetic (FM) superconductors significantly exceeds T_c , and the upper critical field H_{c2} at low temperatures greatly exceeds the Pauli paramagnetic limiting field. These observations indicate that the SC phases in these materials are associated with spintriplet Cooper pairing, and likely mediated by low-lying magnetic fluctuations in the FM $phase^{5-8}$. The triplet state is specifically *non-unitary*, characterized by a nonzero spin-triplet Cooper pair magnetic moment due to alignment of the Cooper pair spins with the internal field generated by the pre-existing FM order.

Very recently, superconductivity has been observed in UTe₂ at ambient pressure below $T_c \sim 1.6 \text{ K}^9$. The superconductivity in UTe₂ also seems to involve spin-triplet pairing, as evidenced by a strongly anisotropic critcial field H_{c2} . Furthermore, a large residual value of the Sommerfeld coefficient γ is observed in the SC state, which is nearly 50 % of the value of γ above $T_c^{9,10}$. This suggests that only half of the electrons occupying states near the Fermi surface participate in spin-triplet pairing, while the remainder continue to form a Fermi liquid. While this is compatible with UTe₂ being a non-unitary spin-triplet superconductor (in which the spin of the Cooper pairs are aligned in a particular direction), unlike URhGe, UCoGe and UGe₂, there is no experimental evidence for ordering of the U-5f electron spins prior to the onset of superconductivity. Instead, the normal-state a-axis magnetization exhibits scaling behavior indicative of strong magnetic fluctuations associated with metallic FM quantum criticality⁹.

Little is known about the nature of the magnetism in UTe₂ below T_c , including whether it competes or coexists with superconductivity. Specific heat measurements show no anomaly below $T_c^{(9,10)}$, but like other bulk properties may be insensitive to a FM transition with little associated entropy (such as small-moment itinerant ferromagnetism). Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments indicate the development of low-frequency longitudinal magnetic fluctuations and the vanishing of the NMR signal along the *a*-axis below 20 K^{11} . Here we report μ SR experiments on UTe₂ single crystals that confirm the absence of FM order below T_c and demonstrate the presence of magnetic fluctuations consistent with FM quantum criticality that coexist with superconductivity.

The UTe₂ single crystals were grown by a chemical vapor transport method. Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) and Laue XRD measurements indicate that the single crystals are of high quality. The details of the sample growth and characterization are given in Ref.⁹. Zerofield (ZF), longitudinal-field (LF), transverse-field (TF), and weak transverse-field (wTF) μ SR measurements were performed on a mosaic of 21 single crystals. Measurements over the temperature range 0.02 K $\lesssim T \lesssim 5$ K were achieved using an Oxford Instruments top-loading dilution refrigerator on the M15 surface muon beam line at TRIUMF. The UTe₂ single crystals covered ~ 70 % of a 12.5 mm \times 14 mm silver (Ag) sample holder. For the ZF- μ SR experiments, stray external magnetic fields at the sample position were reduced to ≤ 20 mG using the precession signal due to muonium (Mu $\equiv \mu^+ e^-$) in intrinsic Si as a sensitive magnetometer¹². The TF and LF measurements were performed with a magnetic field applied parallel to the linear momentum of the muon beam (which we define to be in the z-direction). The wTF experiments were done with the field applied perpendicular to the beam (defined to be the x-direction). The initial



FIG. 1. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the ZF exponential relaxation rates obtained from fits of the ZF- μ SR asymmetry spectra to Eq. (1). Inset: Representaive ZF signals for T = 4.9 K and T = 0.04 K. The solid curves are the resultant fits to Eq. (1).

muon spin polarization $\mathbf{P}(0)$ was directed parallel to the z-axis for the ZF, LF and wTF experiments, and rotated in the x-direction for the TF measurements. The c- or a-axis of the single crystals were arbitrarily aligned in the z-direction. All error bars herein denote uncertainties of one standard deviation.

Representative ZF- μ SR asymmetry spectra for UTe₂ at T = 0.04 K and 4.9 K are shown in the inset of Fig. 1. No oscillation indicative of magnetic order is observed in any of the ZF- μ SR spectra, which are well described by a three-component function consisting of two exponential relaxation terms plus a temperature-independent background term due to muons stopping outside the sample

$$A(t) = A(0)P_{z}(t)$$

= $A_{1}e^{-\lambda_{1}t} + A_{2}e^{-\lambda_{2}t} + A_{B}e^{-\sigma^{2}t^{2}}$. (1)

The sum of the sample asymmetries A_1+A_2 is a measure of the recorded decay events originating from muons stopping in the sample. A global fit of the ZF spectra for all temperatures assuming common values of the asymmetry parameters, yielded $A_1/A(0) = 24$ %, $A_2/A(0) = 29$ % and $A_B/A(0) = 47$ %. A previous μ SR study of UGe₂ identified two muon stopping sites, with site populations of ~45 % for one site and ~55 % for the other¹³, in excellent agreement with the results here. The temperature variation of the ZF relaxation rates λ_1 and λ_2 are shown in Fig. 1. The monotonic increase in λ_1 and λ_2 with decreasing temperature indicates that the local magnetic field sensed at each muon site is dominated by a slowing down of magnetic fluctuations, as explained below. The difference in the size of the relaxation rates reflects



FIG. 2. (Color online) Representative LF- μ SR asymmetry spectra at (a) 2.5 K and (b) 0.25 K, for several different values of the applied magnetic field. The solid curves are fits to Eq. (1).

a difference in the dipolar and hyperfine couplings of the U-5f electrons to the muon at the two stopping sites.

To confirm the dynamic nature of the magnetism, LF- μ SR measurements were performed for various longitudinal applied fields $H_{\rm LF}$. Representative LF- μ SR asymmetry spectra for T=2.5 K and 0.25 K are shown in Fig. 2. The LF signals are reasonably described by Eq. (1). Figure 3 shows the dependence of the fitted relaxation rates λ_1 and λ_2 on $H_{\rm LF}$. Also shown in Fig. 3 are fits of the field dependence of the larger relaxation rate λ_1 to the Redfield equation¹⁴

$$\lambda_1(H_{\rm LF}) = \frac{\lambda_1(H_{\rm LF} = 0)}{1 + (\gamma_\mu H_{\rm LF} \tau)^2}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\lambda_1(H_{\rm LF}=0) = 2\gamma_{\mu}^2 \langle B_{\rm loc}^2 \rangle \tau$, $\langle B_{\rm loc}^2 \rangle$ is the mean of the square of the transverse components of the timevarying local magnetic field at the muon site, and τ is the characteristic fluctuation time. The fit for 2.5 K yields $\lambda_1(H_{\rm LF}=0) = 0.065(5) \ \mu {\rm s}^{-1}$, $\tau = 8(3) \times 10^{-10}$ s and $B_{\rm loc} = 76(22)$ G, whereas the fit for 0.25K yields $\lambda_1(H_{\rm LF}=0) = 0.70(9) \ \mu {\rm s}^{-1}$, $\tau = 9(2) \times 10^{-8}$ s and $B_{\rm loc} = 23(4)$ G. We could not confirm similar fluctuation rates at the second muon site, because λ_2 is much



FIG. 3. (Color online) Field dependence of the relaxation rates λ_1 and λ_2 from the fits of the LF- μ SR asymmetry spectra at (a) 2.5 K, and (b) 0.25 K. The solid red curves are fits of $\lambda_1(H_{\rm LF})$ to Eq. (2).

smaller and not well resolved for most fields.

Above ~ 150 K, the magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ of UTe₂ is described by a Curie-Weiss law with an effective magnetic moment μ_{eff} that is close to the expected value $(3.6\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm U})$ for localized U-5f electrons and a Weiss temperature $\theta \sim -100 \text{ K}^{15}$. However near $\sim 35 \text{ K}, \chi(T)$ for $H \parallel b$ -axis exhibits a maximum that suggests the U-5 felectrons may become more itinerant at lower temperatures. Figure 4 shows the temperature dependence of λ_1/T , where $\lambda_1 \ (\equiv 1/T_1)$ is the larger of the two dynamic ZF exponential relaxation rates. The phenomenological self-consistent renormalization (SCR) theory for intinerant ferromagnetism¹⁶, predicts that $1/T_1T \propto T^{-4/3}$ near a FM quantum critical point (QCP) in a threedimensional metal¹⁷. As shown in Fig. 4, this behavior is observed down to T = 0.4 K. The deviation below ~ 0.3 K suggests a breakdown in SCR theory close to the presumed FM QCP. The inset of Fig. 4 shows that T_1T (which is proportional to the inverse of the imaginary part of the dynamical local spin susceptibility) goes to zero as $T \rightarrow 0$, which provides evidence for the ground state of UTe_2 being close to a FM QCP.

Figure 5 shows wTF- μ SR asymmetry spectra above and far below T_c . The data were fit to the following sum of two exponentially-damped precessing terms due to the sample and an undamped temperature-independent pre-



FIG. 4. (Color online) Temperature dependence of λ_1/T ($\equiv 1/T_1T$) for zero field. The solid blue line is a fit of the data over $0.4 \leq T \leq 4.9$ K to the power-law equation $1/T_1T \propto T^{-n}$, which yields the exponent $n = 1.35 \pm 0.04$. The dashed line is a similar fit over $0.037 \leq T \leq 0.3$ K, yielding $n = 1.12 \pm 0.14$. The inset shows a plot of T/λ_1 (($\equiv T_1T$) versus $T^{1.12}$ with a linear fit that yields the T=0 intercept $T/\lambda_1 = (0.7\pm4.2) \times 10^{-3}$ K μ s.

cessing component due to muons that missed the sample

ŀ

$$A(t) = A(0)P_{z}(t) = \cos(2\pi\nu t + \phi) \sum_{i=1}^{2} A_{i}e^{-\Lambda_{i}t} + A_{B}e^{-\Delta^{2}t^{2}}\cos(2\pi\nu_{B}t + \phi), (3)$$

where ϕ is the initial phase of the muon spin polarization $\mathbf{P}(0)$ relative to the x-direction. The fits yield $A_1+A_2=0.176(4)$ and 0.165(4) for T=2.5 K and 0.025 K, respectively. The lower-critical field $H_{c1}(T)$ of UTe₂ is unknown, but presumably quite small. The smaller value of $A_{\rm S}$ at 0.025 K may be due to partial flux expulsion, if $H_{c1}(T=0.025$ K) is somewhat larger than the applied 23 Oe local field. Regardless, the small difference between $A_{\rm S}$ at the two temperatures indicates that the magnetic volume sensed by the muon above and below T_c is essentially the same. Consequently, the superconductivity must reside in spatial regions where there are magnetic fluctuations.

Figure 6(a) shows representative TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra recorded for H = 1 kOe. Fourier transforms of these TF- μ SR spectra indicate an evolution of the internal magnetic field distribution with decreasing temperature¹⁸. Once again the TF signals were fit to the sum

$$A(t) = A(0)P_x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} A_i e^{-\Lambda_i t} \cos(2\pi\nu_i t + \psi) + A_{\rm B} e^{-\Delta^2 t^2} \cos(2\pi\nu_{\rm B} t + \psi), (4)$$

where ψ is the initial phase of the muon spin polarization $\mathbf{P}(0)$ relative to the z-direction. The exponentially-



FIG. 5. (Color online) Weak TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra recorded for H = 23 Oe. The solid curves are fits to Eq. (3).

damped terms account for muons stopping at the two sites in the sample, and the Gaussian-damped term accounts for muons that missed the sample. The precession frequencies ν_i are a measure of the local field $B_{\mu,i}$ sensed by the muon at the two stopping sites, where $\nu_i = (\gamma_{\mu}/2\pi)B_{\mu,i}$ and $\gamma_{\mu}/2\pi$ is the muon gyromagnetic ratio. The applied 1 kOe field induces a polarization of the U-5*f* moments and a corresponding relative muon frequency shift (Knight shift), which is different for the two muon sites. Fits of the TF asymmetry spectra to Eq. (4) were performed assuming the background term is independent of temperature, and the ratio of the asymmetries A_1 , A_2 and A_B are the same as determined from the analysis of the ZF asymmetry spectra.

The temperature dependence of ν_1 and ν_2 are shown in Fig. 6(b). Below $T \sim 1.6$ K there is a decrease in ν_1 and ν_2 compatible with the estimate of ~ 0.2 % for the SC diamagnetic shift from the relation¹⁹ $-4\pi M =$ $(H_{c2}-H)/[1.18(2\kappa^2-1)+n]$, with $H_{c2} = 200$ kOe, H =1 kOe, $\kappa = 200$, and $n \leq 1$. However, the temperature dependence of the TF relaxation rates Λ_1 and Λ_2 [see Fig. 6(c)] do not exhibit a significant change in behavior at T_c . This indicates that Λ_1 and Λ_2 are dominated by the internal magnetic field distribution associated with the magnetic fluctuations and the London penetration depth λ_L is quite long — as is the case for other uraniumbased superconductors in which $\lambda_L \gtrsim 10,000$ Å²⁰. The magnetic fluctuations may also contribute to $\nu_1(T)$ and $\nu_2(T)$ by adding or opposing the SC diamagnetic shift.

In conclusion, we observe a gradual slowing down of magnetic fluctuations with decreasing temperature below 5 K, consistent with weak FM fluctuations approaching a magnetic instability. However, we find no evidence for magnetic order down to 0.025 K. Hence there is no phase transition to FM order in UTe₂ preceding or coinciding with the onset of superconductivity. The magnetic volume fraction is not significantly reduced below



FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra at T = 0.05 K and 5 K for a magnetic field H = 1 kOe applied parallel to the z-direction, displayed in a rotating reference frame frequency of 13.15 MHz. The solid curves are fits to Eq. (4). Temperature dependence of the fitted (b) muon spin precession frequencies, and (c) TF relaxation rates.

 T_c , indicating that the superconductivity coexists with the fluctuating magnetism. Lastly, we note that because the relaxation rate of the ZF- μ SR signal below 5 K is dominated by dynamic local fields, it is not possible to determine whether spontaneous static magnetic fields occur below T_c due to time-reversal symmetry breaking in the SC state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the staff of TRIUMF's Centre for Molecular and Materials Science for technical assistance. J.E.S. acknowledges support from Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Research at the University of Maryland was supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award No. DE-SC0019154, and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative through Grant No. GBMF4419. S.R.S acknowledges support from the National Institute of Standards and Technology Cooperative Agreement 70NANB17H301.

(2001).

¹ D. Aoki, A. Huxley, E. Ressouche, D. Braithwaite, J. Flouquet, J.P. Brison, E. Lhotel, C. Paulsen, Nature **413**, 613

- ² N. T. Huy, A. Gasparini, D. E. de Nijs, Y. Huang, J. C. P. Klaasse, T. Gortenmulder, A. de Visser, A. Hamann, T. Görlach, H. v. Löhneysen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 067006 (2007).
- ³ S. S. Saxena, P. Agarwal, K. Ahilan, F. M. Grosche, R. K. W.Haselwimmer, M. J. Steiner, E. Pugh, I. R. Walker, S. R.Julian, P. Monthoux, G. G. Lonzarich, A. Huxley, I. Sheikin, D.Braithwaite, and J. Flouquet, Nature **406**, 587 (2000).
- ⁴ T. Akazawa, H. Hidaka, T. Fujiwara, T. C. Kobayashi, E. Yamamoto, Y. Haga, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16, L29-L32 (2004).
- ⁵ D. Fay and J. Appel, Phys. Rev. B **22**, 3173 (1980).
- ⁶ R. Roussev and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 140504(R) (2001).
- ⁷ T. R. Kirkpatrick, D. Belitz, T. Vojta, and R. Narayanan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 127003 (2001).
- ⁸ N. Tateiwa, Y. Haga, and E. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 237001 (2018).
- ⁹ S. Ran, C. Eckberg, Q.-P. Ding, Y. Furukawa, T. Metz, S. R. Saha, I.-L. Liu, M. Zic, H. Kim, J. Paglione, and N. P. Butch, Science **365**, 684-687 (2019).
- ¹⁰ D. Aoki, A. Nakamura, F. Honda, D. Li, Y. Homma, Y. Shimizu, Y. J. Sato, G. Knebel, J.-P. Brison, A. Pourret, D. Braithwaite, G.0 Lapertot, Q. Niu, M. Vališka, H.

Harima, and J. Flouquet, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 043702 (2019).

- ¹¹ Y. Tokunaga, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, T. Hattori, N. Higa, G. Nakamine, S. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, A. Nakamura, Y. Shimizu, Y. Homma, D. Li, F. Honda, and D. Aoki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 073731 (2019).
- ¹² G. Morris and R. Heffner, Physica B **326**, 252 (2003).
- ¹³ S. Sakarya, P. C. M. Gubbens, A. Yaouanc, P. Dalmas de Réotier, D. Andreica, A. Amato, U. Zimmermann, N. H. van Dijk, E. Brück, Y. Huang, and T. Gortenmulder, Phys. Rev. B 81, 024429 (2010).
- ¹⁴ A. Schenck, Muon Spin Rotation Spectroscopy: Principles and Applications in Solid State Physics (Adam Hilger Ltd., Bristol and Boston, 1985).
- ¹⁵ S. Ikeda, H. Sakai, D. Aoki, Y. Homma, E. Yamamoto, A. Nakamura, Y. Shiokawa, Y. Haga, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75**, 116 (2006).
- ¹⁶ T. Moriya, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **100**, 261 (1991).
- ¹⁷ A. Ishigaki and T. Moriya, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 3402 (1996).
- ¹⁸ See Supplemental Material for Fourier transforms of representative TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra for H=1 kOe.
- ¹⁹ A. A. Abrikosov, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **2**, 199-208 (1957).
- ²⁰ F. Gross, K. Andres, and B. S. Chandrasekhar, Physica C 162, 419 (1989).