

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Quantum criticality in Ising chains with random hyperuniform couplings P. J. D. Crowley, C. R. Laumann, and S. Gopalakrishnan Phys. Rev. B **100**, 134206 — Published 17 October 2019

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.100.134206

Quantum criticality in Ising chains with random hyperuniform couplings

P. J. D. Crowley¹, C. R. Laumann¹, S. Gopalakrishnan²

¹ Physics Department, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, CUNY College of Staten Island,

Staten Island, NY 10314; Physics Program and Initiative for the Theoretical Sciences,

The Graduate Center, CUNY, New York, NY 10016, USA

We study quantum phase transitions in transverse-field Ising spin chains in which the couplings are random but hyperuniform, in the sense that their large-scale fluctuations are suppressed. We construct a one-parameter family of disorder models in which long-wavelength fluctuations are increasingly suppressed as a parameter α is tuned. For $\alpha = 0$, one recovers the familiar infiniterandomness critical point. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, we find a line of infinite-randomness critical points with continuously varying critical exponents; however, the Griffiths phases that flank the critical point at $\alpha = 0$ are absent at any $\alpha > 0$. When $\alpha > 1$, randomness is a dangerously irrelevant perturbation at the clean Ising critical point, leading to a state we call the critical Ising insulator. In this state, thermodynamics and equilibrium correlation functions behave as in the clean system. However, all finite-energy excitations are localized, thermal transport vanishes, and autocorrelation functions remain finite in the long-time limit. We characterize this line of hyperuniform critical points using a combination of perturbation theory, renormalization-group methods, and exact diagonalization.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quenched randomness has profound effects on the thermodynamics and dynamics of quantum systems. Equilibrium quantum critical points are unstable to weak randomness if the correlation length exponent violates the Harris criterion $\nu > 2/d$, where d is the spatial dimension¹. When the clean critical point is unstable, the system might exhibit a random critical point², at which its properties are heterogeneous on all length-scales, or the phase transition might be rounded³ or preempted—for instance, rare-region effects might destabilize one of the phases (for recent examples see Refs.^{4,5}). In addition, disorder qualitatively modifies quantum dynamics through Anderson localization of elementary excitations⁶. In lowdimensional systems, the Harris bounds are particularly stringent, and besides, weak randomness localizes all excitations⁶. Thus, at clean low-dimensional quantum critical points, both equilibrium properties and dynamics tend to be unstable to weak randomness. In the paradigmatic instance of the transverse-field Ising chain⁷, the clean correlation length exponent $\nu = 1$, which violates the Harris criterion; for any disorder, the true critical point is at infinite randomness⁸⁻¹⁰, and all excitations at nonzero energy are exponentially localized.

This standard analysis applies when the disorder lacks large-scale spatial correlations. However, localization and the instability of clean critical points occur more generally, even for deterministic quasiperiodic potentials^{11–23}. Quasiperiodic couplings, when weak, neither affect critical properties nor localize excitations; thus, unlike random couplings, they are perturbatively irrelevant for both statics and dynamics. At a critical strength of the quasiperiodic potential, however, all excitations localize and the equilibrium critical point is concomitantly destabilized^{24–27}. That the onset of localization and the critical-point instability coincide in both random and quasiperiodic systems might suggest that they are somehow fundamentally linked; this is consistent with the intuition²⁸ that statics and dynamics are inherently linked at quantum phase transitions. Conceptually, however, localization and the instability of critical points stem from different aspects of disorder: the former is due to the disorder potential having a continuous momentumspace spectrum; the latter, to long-wavelength fluctuations. Uncorrelated randomness has both features, while quasiperiodic potentials have neither. However, a broad



FIG. 1. Upper panel: simple model with strongly hyperuniform ($\alpha = 2$) bond randomness. Each *site* is displaced by an independent random amount ξ_i from its equilibrium position; this leads to bonds J_i that are evidently strongly hyperuniform, in the sense that the variance of $\sum_{i=1}^{l} J_i$ tends to a constant independent of l. Lower panel: Phase diagram of the random hyperuniform TFIM. Away from criticality, all excitations are localized for any randomness; however, the universality class of the critical point changes as the hyperuniformity parameter α is varied. A line of infinite-randomness critical points, with continuously varying exponents, terminates at a multicritical point, beyond which disorder is dangerously irrelevant (the critical Ising insulator).

class of random patterns also have suppressed largescale fluctuations. These patterns are called "hyperuniform"^{29–32}. The local value of the order parameter δ_i at site i in disordered system is characterised by its spatial average $\delta = [\delta_i]$ and with fluctuation scale set by the standard deviation $\sigma(\delta_i)$. Consider the integrated value $S_l(i) = \sum_{|j| < l} \delta_{i+j}$ summed over the region of linear size l in d dimensions and centred at site i. is characterised by an asymptotic mean $[S_l] \sim l^d \delta$. $S_l(i)$ For short range correlated δ_i , the fluctuations $\sigma(S_l)$ are Poissonian, scaling as $\sigma(S_l) \sim l^{d/2}$. The spatial variation of δ_i is said to be hyperuniform if the fluctuations scale as $\sigma(S_l) \sim l^{\beta}$ with $\beta < d/2$. Even in maximally uniform structures, such as crystals, where δ_i has the periodicity of the lattice, the are still fluctuations of order $\sigma(S_l) \sim l^{(d-1)/2}$, in a period structure this contribution comes from the boundary. We shall refer to systems with $\beta = (d-1)/2$ as strongly hyperuniform (Class I of Ref.³²). Systems with intermediate exponent $(d-1)/2 < \beta < d/2$, are weakly hyperuniform (Classes II and III of Ref^{32}).

This work considers quantum phase transitions in which the control parameter is spatially varying and exhibits random hyperuniform fluctuations. Previous analyses have extensively explored the implications of hyperuniform fluctuations in particle density, both theoretically and experimentally in photonic materials^{33,34}, their localization properties have been studied numerically³⁵; however, phase transitions in systems with hyperuniform couplings have not previously been explored. We focus on the transverse-field Ising model, subject to random hyperuniform bonds and/or transverse fields, with tunable extent of hyperuniformity; the picture that emerges from our study is quite general, however, and applies to a range of phase transitions in systems with hyperuniform couplings. The models we introduce are constructed in momentum space, and are thus simple to implement in ultracold atomic gases using spatial light modulators³⁶ or in "quantum gas microscope" experiments³⁷. The hyperuniform couplings in the models we consider lead to a modification to the usual Harris criterion, which is obtained for uncorrelated spatial disorder. This alters the condition for the relevance of the disorder to the critical properties of the transition. Despite this change, as we consider one-dimensional models with local hopping subject to random bonds with continuous Fourier spectra, the $E \neq 0$ excitations remain subject to weak localization. Thus, these models are intermediate between random and quasiperiodic systems: all excitations localize at weak disorder, but the clean critical points need not be unstable. Hyperuniform disorder comes about due to correlations. The effect of correlated disorder on localization³⁸⁻⁴⁰ and phase transitions⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ have been previously explored; these works, however, were concerned with the case of locally *correlated* disorder, whereas the present work addresses local anticorrelations, which naturally give rise to entirely different physics.

We explore the critical point (and near-critical phases) of the random hyperuniform TFIM as a function of a pa-



FIG. 2. Critical length-scales and dynamics in the strongly hyperuniform Ising model. Left: the length-energy scaling of two relevant scales at the Ising critical point: the clean correlation length $\xi_{clean} \sim 1/|E|$ and the localization length $\xi_{loc} \sim 1/|E|^{\alpha}$. When $\alpha > 1$ the localization length diverges faster, so appears asymptotically larger than the correlation length in the low energy critical properties. The critical properties are then controlled by the clean Ising correlation length. Right: expansion of an initially localized wavepacket along the CII line at $\alpha = 2$. The bulk of the wavepacket is localized (corresponding to high energy components of the wavepacket), but there is a rapidly attenuating component that propagates at the light cone, depositing weight as it goes.

rameter α , defined in Sec. II, that tunes the degree of hyperuniformity (Fig. 1); α is related to the wandering exponent β via $\beta = \max\{0, (1-\alpha)/2\}$. Our results are as follows. For strongly hyperuniform systems, disorder is irrelevant at the clean critical point, and (to leading order) does not affect thermodynamics or equal-time correlation functions. It is counter-intuitive that the disorder



FIG. 3. Weakly hyperuniform systems. Critical exponents at the Ising transition, vs. hyperuniformity parameter α , extracted from the strong-disorder renormalization group. Upper panel shows the length-time scaling log $t \sim l^{\psi}$, with the analytically exact results for $\alpha = 0^8$ in agreement with the numerical results for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Lower panel plots the *average* order parameter scaling dimension, $[\langle \tau_i^x \tau_{i+l}^x \rangle] \sim l^{-2\Delta_{\sigma}}$, extracted from the correlations, and the scaling of the magnetic moment, $\mu_l \sim l^{\psi\phi}$. The relation $\Delta_{\sigma} = 1 - \psi\phi$ is seen to hold.

localise excitations, but remain irrelevant to critical proporties, this is possible as the localization length diverges faster than the clean correlation length (Fig. 2) at low energies (or equivalently, under an RG flow). However, despite irrelevance, the dynamics is completely altered even for weak disorder: thermal transport vanishes, and autocorrelation functions do not decay to zero; a wavepacket has a ballistically moving front that remains well-defined at all times (a remnant of the z = 1 clean critical dynamics), but the front attenuates as it moves, and at late times the weight at the front shrinks to zero (Fig. 2). We call the resulting unconventional critical point the "critical Ising insulator" (CII); because disorder acts as a dangerous irrelevant variable here, we are able to develop an essentially complete analytic understanding of the unusual dynamics on this critical line.

In the weakly hyperuniform case, disorder is relevant, and we find a line of infinite-randomness critical points with continuously varying critical exponents (Fig. 3). Unlike the uncorrelated $\alpha = 0$ case, there are no Griffiths phases for any degree of hyperuniformity; we explain this with an elementary counting argument. We explore these critical points via strong-disorder renormalization group (SDRG) methods. Our SDRG results for the average spin correlations at the critical point yield unexpected non-monotonic behavior: these correlations go as $[\langle \tau_i^x \tau_{i+l}^x \rangle] \sim l^{-2\Delta_{\sigma}}$, where the exponent Δ_{σ} first *increases* as the model is made more hyperuniform, then drops discontinuously. We attribute this effect to rare regions (which dominate response in the conventional random TFIM) getting progressively less dominant, and eventually becoming subleading to typical regions.

The rest of this work is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce a family of Ising models with random hyperuniform couplings. In Sec. III we use perturbative stability arguments, as well as exact results for the zero-mode wavefunction, to identify the perturbative (strongly hyperuniform) and nonperturbative (weakly hyperuniform) regimes. (In the process, we also generalize the Harris criterion to the hyperuniform case.) We then explore the equilibrium and dynamical properties of the strongly hyperuniform critical point (Sec. IV) and the weakly hyperuniform critical point (Sec. V), using a combination of perturbative and strong-randomness methods. In Sec. VI we present numerical results, from exact diagonalization, on the evolution of correlation functions as the degree of hyperuniformity is changed. Finally, in Sec. VII we summarize our results and address their implications for more general phase transitions in hyperuniform systems.

II. MODELS AND REALIZATIONS

We consider the transverse field Ising model (TFIM) with spatially varying couplings:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left(h_i \tau_i^z + J_i \tau_i^x \tau_{i+1}^x \right), \qquad (1)$$

where τ^{α} are the Pauli matrices. We construct the coefficients h_i, J_i as follows. For concreteness, consider the J_i ; we choose J_i to have the form $J_i \equiv J_0 \exp(-sq_i)$, where $q_j \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k q_k e^{-ikj}$ (with $k = 2\pi n/L$, and $n = 1 \dots L$), and q_k are random numbers with correlations given by the structure factor $S_{\alpha}(k, k')$:

$$S_{\alpha}(k,k') \equiv [q_k q_{-k'}] \sim |k|^{\alpha} \delta_{kk'}, \qquad (2)$$

where from here on $[\cdot]$ denotes disorder averaging. In numerics we use $q_k = |\sin(k/2)|^{\alpha/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_j \xi_j e^{ikj}$ (j = 1...L) for independently identically distributed (iid) ξ_j drawn from the uniform distribution of mean $[\xi_j] = 0$ and unit variance $[\xi_j^2] = 1$. For this choice of q_k one finds $[q_k q_{-k'}] = |\sin(k/2)|^{\alpha} \delta_{kk'} \sim |k|^{\alpha} \delta_{kk'}$ as required.

When s is small, we can expand J_i to linear order in q_i , so that both have the same fluctuation properties; for the nature of the critical point, however, it is the distribution of $\ln J_i$ that we would like to be hyperuniform (Sec. III B). It is known³⁰ that when $0 < \alpha < 1$, the fluctuations scale as $\sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} q_i\right) \sim l^{(1-\alpha)/2}$, where $\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes the standard deviation; for $\alpha > 1$, the system is strongly hyperuniform, since these fluctuations are independent of the size of the region. Models with general α involve long-range correlations of the disordered couplings, as a result of their non-analytic behavior as $k \to 0$. For the bulk of our analysis and numerical work we fix h_i to have the same distribution as J_i in order to retain the standard self-duality properties of the Ising model. However, we have checked that our results are unaffected if, instead, we choose either h_i or J_i to be constant, so long as at least one of the terms is random and hyperuniform.

Since these patterns (2) have simple properties in Fourier space, they can in principle be implemented in systems of ultracold atoms using spatial light modulators (which engineer potentials in k-space^{45,46}). Spatial light modulators would allow one to realize hyperuniform couplings in, e.g., Rydberg-atom arrays, in which the TFIM has been realized^{47,48}. Also, in realizations of the TFIM that use quantum gas microscopes³⁷, all parameters can be addressed and tuned locally. Beyond ultracold gases, random hyperuniform couplings can also be straightforwardly realized in arrays of magnetic adatoms⁴⁹, deposited precisely on surfaces using scanning-tunneling microscopy, which can be chosen to have random hyperuniform spacings.

For the specific case $\alpha = 2$, the structure factor is analytic at k = 0 so a simple local construction of the random potential for this case exists⁵⁰ (Fig. 1, upper panel). Define $q_j = j + \xi_j$, where ξ_j are iid random "displacements" with a mean $[\xi_j] = 0$. Then $J_j = J \exp(s\{q_j - q_{j-1}\})$.

This choice of couplings is physically natural: it corresponds to exponentially decaying spin-spin interactions between spins on sites that are randomly displaced from equilibrium positions on a regular crystalline lattice. One can check that for weak variations in J_i , we have $S_{\alpha}(k,k') = \delta_{kk'} [\xi^2] \sin^2(k/2) \sim \delta_{kk'}k^2$; thus, this model is indeed hyperuniform with $\alpha = 2$. Other hyperuniformity exponents α may be realised in a similar manner if the spin degrees of freedom are spaced such that the fluctuations on the number of spins in a given volume is hyperuniform. Such models may arise naturally for judiciously chosen processes^{51,52}.

We note that the TFIM with arbitrary couplings can be mapped via Jordan-Wigner transformation to a model of free Majorana fermions with spatially varying hopping. Specifically²⁵⁻²⁷,

$$H = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i} \left(J_i \gamma_{2i} \gamma_{2i+1} + h_i \gamma_{2i+1} \gamma_{2i+2} \right), \qquad (3)$$

where the Majorana operators are related to the spins via the relations

$$\gamma_{2i} \equiv \left(\prod_{j < i} \tau_j^z\right) \tau_i^x; \quad \gamma_{2i+1} \equiv \left(\prod_{j < i} \tau_j^z\right) \tau_i^y. \tag{4}$$

This free-fermion representation allows for H to be brought to a diagonal form $H = i \sum_{n} E_n \eta_{2n} \eta_{2n+1}$ using exact diagonalization, and thus permits studies of relatively large systems.

III. CRITICAL POINTS AND PHASES VS. α

In this section we identify the various regimes of behavior as a function of the hyperuniformity parameter α , using perturbative arguments and the exact solution for the zero mode of the Ising model. This leads us to separate the phase diagram into a regime where disorder is perturbatively irrelevant (i.e., for strongly hyperuniform couplings (Sec. IV)) and a regime where it is relevant (i.e., for weakly hyperuniform couplings (Sec. V)). In subsequent sections we address these regimes separately, using the methods appropriate to each.

A. Harris criterion

As a first step to understanding the relevance of hyperuniformity, we generalize the Harris criterion to random hyperuniform potentials in one dimension. The argument below generalizes that given by Luck⁵³ for quasiperiodic potentials.

The control parameter $\delta = [\log h_i - \log J_i]$ describes the deviation of a thermodynamic system from criticality. Analogously one can define a local control parameter δ_l , which describes the deviation from criticality within a



FIG. 4. Zero-mode wavefunction profiles $|c_i|$ (see Eq. (5)) in a representative sample in the weakly (left) and strongly (right) hyperuniform regimes.

finite region of size l. The value of δ_l depends on the disorder realisation (or equivalently the choice of finite region); δ_l has mean value $[\delta_l] = \delta$, and fluctuations given by the corresponding standard deviation $\sigma(\delta_l)$.

In the strongly hyperuniform case, the fluctuations of δ_{ξ} within a region of the size of the correlation length ξ , are of scale $\sigma(\delta_{\xi}) \sim 1/\xi \sim \delta^{\nu}$. For the clean criticality to be stable, we require that $[\delta_{\xi}] > \sigma(\delta_{\xi})$, i.e. $\delta > \delta^{\nu}$, as the critical point is approached $(\delta \rightarrow 0)$. In this case, stability of the clean universality to hyperuniform disorder requires $\nu \geq 1$. Thus the stability of the clean TFIM critical point in one dimension (where $\nu = 1$) is marginal. For weakly hyperuniform systems, the fluctuations are of order $\xi^{-(1+\alpha)/2}$, so the Harris criterion accordingly gives $\nu \geq 2/(1+\alpha)$. Thus the clean TFIM is perturbatively unstable to weakly hyperuniform potentials, while strongly hyperuniform potentials are marginal. To see that strongly hyperuniform potentials are in fact *irrelevant*, we turn to the exact solution for the zero mode of the TFIM, which can be computed for arbitrary potentials.

B. Zero mode

As a complementary way of probing the nature of the hyperuniform critical points, we use the following explicit construction of the zero mode of the critical Ising Hamiltonian²⁵:

$$\eta_0 = \sum_i c_i \gamma_i, \quad c_i = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \prod_{j < i} (h_j / J_j) \tag{5}$$

where \mathcal{N} is a normalisation factor. Since strongly hyperuniform potentials do not cause this product to wander, the zero mode has uncorrelated random site-to-site fluctuations but no large-scale heterogeneity. For instance, in the $\alpha = 2$ model, $J_i = J \exp(s\{\xi_i - \xi_{i-1} + 1\}), h_i =$ $h \exp(s)$ so $c_i \propto (h/J)^i \exp(-\xi_i - \xi_1) \sim \exp(-\xi_i)$ at criticality. In the weakly hyperuniform case, by contrast, η_0 has strong amplitude fluctuations (Fig. 4), with sharp isolated peaks c_i . Moving a distance l away from a peak, at criticality, the wavefunction amplitude typically decays as $c_{j+r} \sim \exp(-\text{const.}|r|^{(1-\alpha)/2})$. In the marginal case $\alpha = 1$, the product decays as $c_{j+r} \sim \exp(-\text{const.}\sqrt{\ln r})$ away from the peak c_i i.e. slower than any power law. Therefore we expect the zero mode in this case to be spread out uniformly over the lattice, as in the strongly hyperuniform regime.

C. Energy-dependent localization length

In the models we are considering here, states far from E = 0 are localized, with a localization length ξ given (at weak disorder) by the theory of weak localization

$$\frac{1}{\xi(E_k)} \sim \rho(E_k) \left([J_k J_{-k}] + [h_k h_{-k}] \right) \sim \rho(E_k) S_\alpha(k,k)$$
(6)

where E_k is the clean dispersion, and J_k , h_k are the appropriate Fourier components of the hyperuniform potential. To obtain this result consider a plane wave of momentum k, and treat the disorder perturbatively. The mean free distance is calculated by taking the product of the Fermi's golden rule decay time of the plane wave, and the group velocity. As the mean free distance is the only length scale in the problem we identify it with the localisation length, yielding Eq. (6).

A consequence of Eq. (6) is that the behavior of the localization length as $|E| \rightarrow 0$ is sensitive to α . Specifically, if we begin at the clean critical point, and consider the weak-localization formula for ξ as $|E| \to 0$, we find that $\xi \sim 1/|E|^{\alpha}$. This perturbative result is internally consistent whenever $k \xi(E_k) \gg 1$, where $k \propto E$ at the critical point; this is true for weak disorder when $\alpha \geq 1$, but breaks down as $|E| \to 0$ when $\alpha < 1$. Physically, in the strongly hyperuniform regime, the localization length diverges sufficiently rapidly at low energies that the momentum of an eigenstate $\sim |E|$ becomes asymptotically sharp compared to its momentum width $|E|^{\alpha}$, although the wavefunction is localized on the longest scales. By contrast, in the weakly hyperuniform regime, as with uncorrelated disorder, the perturbation theory breaks down at sufficiently low energies, and the low-energy localization properties are governed by nonperturbative effects.

D. Stability of the critical Ising insulator

The strongly hyperuniform case shares some features with the putative semimetal-to-metal critical point in disordered Weyl and Dirac systems 54-57. For Weyl systems, it is the zero-energy DOS rather than the spectrum of the disorder that vanishes at low energies; however, both mechanisms cause disorder to be perturbatively irrelevant as $|E| \rightarrow 0$. Nonperturbative rare-region effects destabilize Weyl semimetals in the presence of disorder, and one might wonder if some similar nonperturbative effect might arise as $|E| \rightarrow 0$ at the strongly hyperuniform critical point, leading it to flow to strong randomness. If some such scenario held, we would expect the true critical point to be at infinite randomness regardless of α , and the structure of the zero mode to evolve smoothly with α . But as we saw above, the exact zero mode in fact shows an abrupt change of behavior at the critical value $\alpha = 1$, supporting our case that there really is a sharp change in the critical properties between weakly and strongly hyperuniform regimes.

IV. STRONGLY HYPERUNIFORM CASE: "CRITICAL ISING INSULATOR"

In this section we explore the critical behavior of the thermodynamics, equal-time correlations, transport, and dynamics when $\alpha > 1$. As noted already, the critical point has an energy-dependent localization length

$$\xi(E) \sim 1/|E|^{\alpha}; \tag{7}$$

this relation will be central to our analysis below. We first summarize the equilibrium properties of the critical point, which are (to leading order) unchanged by the hyperuniform potential; then turn to its dynamical properties, which are qualitatively different from those of the clean system. Finally, we extend our results away from the critical point.

A. Equilibrium properties

1. Density of states

Since disorder is perturbatively irrelevant at this critical point, we expect the DOS of the disordered problem to approach a constant as $E \to 0$. However, there is a subleading non-analyticity in the DOS, for $\alpha \neq 2$. This non-analyticity follows from the non-analytic behavior of ξ ; in fact, the two are related by the Thouless formula⁵⁹:

$$\int dE' \left(\rho(E') - \rho_0(E') \right) \log |E - E'| \simeq \frac{1}{\xi(E)} \sim |E|^{\alpha}.$$
(8)

where $\rho_0(E)$ is the DOS of the clean system. In general this equality requires $\rho(E) - \rho_0(E) \sim |E|^{\alpha-1}$: thus, the



FIG. 5. Density of states for strongly hyperuniform systems: At low energies the density of states tends to a finite value as in the clean case. The hyperuniform modulation gives rise to a sub-leading correction in the form of a non-analytic cusp. The density of states was computed using the recursive method of Ref.⁵⁸ for parameters $L = 10^7$, s = 3/16, and n = 40 disorder realizations.

nonanalytic dependence of ξ^{-1} at low energies translates into a non-analyticity in the DOS. (The case $\alpha = 2$ is special: here, $\xi^{-1}(E)$ is an analytic function of E, so the nonanalytic DOS correction is absent there.) We see this nonanalytic behavior clearly by numerically evaluating the DOS for very large systems via the recursion method of Ref.⁵⁸ (Fig. 5). Finally we note that due to the subleading nature of the correction to DOS we have neglected to precisely calculate logarithmic corrections in (8).

2. Equilibrium correlation functions

On dimensional grounds, we expect equilibrium correlation functions at long distances to behave as they would for clean systems. The scale $\xi_l \sim 1/|E|^{\alpha}$ is much larger than $\ell_{\text{Ising}} \sim 1/E$. In the clean system, the correlations at a length-scale l are set by wavefunctions at energies $E \sim 1/l$; however, these wavefunctions are only localized on much longer scales, so their localization properties are irrelevant for the equilibrium correlations. This expectation is consistent with the results of numerical simulations (Sec. VI).

B. Dynamics at the critical point

1. Thermal transport

Unlike equilibrium properties, transport is strongly modified by localization. The simplest conserved quantity in the Ising model is energy; accordingly, we focus on thermal transport. In the clean Ising chain, thermal transport is ballistic and the conductance is given by the appropriate Landauer formula, $\kappa \sim T^{60}$. This result no longer applies in the CII, but understanding how precisely energy is transported requires some care with the order of limits. In what follows, we consider a setup in which the Ising chain is connected to two leads at temperatures $T_{\rm L} \equiv T - \Delta T/2$ and $T_{\rm R} \equiv T + \Delta T/2$ respectively; we also make the linear-response assumption $\Delta T \ll T$.

Since $\xi \sim 1/|E|^{\alpha}$, in a chain of length L, excitations with $E \leq 1/L^{1/\alpha}$ are delocalized (and indeed ballistic). Since the level spacing scales as 1/L, the *number* of delocalized modes grows with system size, although the delocalized fraction of the spectrum decreases as $L^{-1/\alpha}$. There is a mesoscopic parameter regime for the temperature gradient such that $1/L \ll \Delta T \ll T \ll 1/L^{1/\alpha}$. In this mesoscopic regime, heat transport takes place through the delocalized states around zero energy and the clean-system Landauer result⁶⁰ continues to apply.

However, for thermodynamically long chains, this is not the appropriate order of limits. Instead, one keeps ΔT finite as $L \to \infty$, so that $1/L^{\alpha} \ll \Delta T \ll T$. In this limit, a vanishing fraction of the modes around E = 0contribute to transport; moreover, the contribution of each delocalized mode is suppressed because it is effectively at very high temperature. The Landauer formula for the energy flux is⁶⁰:

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega \Big(n_{\rm R}(\omega) - n_{\rm L}(\omega) \Big) t(\omega), \qquad (9)$$

where $n_{\rm R}, n_{\rm L}$ are the quasiparticle occupation numbers in the two leads, and $t(\omega)$ is the transmission coefficient of states at frequency ω . The transmission coefficient is given by $\exp(-L/\xi) \sim \exp(-\alpha L E^{\alpha})$, which we approximate by cutting off the integral at the energy scale $1/L^{1/\alpha}$ (this amounts to neglecting the exponentially suppressed transmission through localized states). The delocalized states with energies $E \leq 1/L^{1/\alpha}$ have occupation numbers that are effectively at high temperature since $1/L^{1/\alpha} \ll T$. Thus $n_{\rm R}(\omega) \sim 1/2 - \omega/T_{\rm R}$, and likewise for $n_{\rm L}$. Plugging these results into (9) we find

$$\dot{Q} \sim \frac{\Delta T}{T^2} \frac{1}{L^{3/\alpha}} \Rightarrow \kappa \sim \frac{1}{T^2 L^{3/\alpha}},$$
 (10)

so the critical state is a thermal insulator, with a conductance that decays algebraically with chain length.

2. Wavepacket dynamics and autocorrelations

We now turn to the behavior of autocorrelation functions and wavepacket dynamics; these quantities might be easier to probe, e.g., in ultracold atomic experiments, than transport. A particularly illuminating quantity to study is the dynamics of the Majorana fermion operator describing to the spreading of elementary excitations

$$\gamma_i(t) = e^{iHt} \gamma_i e^{-iHt} = \sum_j U_{ij}(t) \gamma_j, \qquad (11)$$





0.025

0.020

0.015 probability

0.010

0.005

0.000

with the clean critical velocity; however, the weight at the front attenuates with time: its height shrinks as 1/t (dashed line) and it broadens as \sqrt{t} (Supplemental Material App. A). Bottom: regimes of $\{\gamma_{i+r}(t), \gamma_i(0)\}$. The autocorrelator is small outside the light-cone, grows to $r^{-2/\alpha}$ when $r \sim t$, then saturates at later times to a value $\{\gamma_{i+r}(\infty), \gamma_i(0)\} =$ $1/r^{1+1/\alpha}$. Other correlation functions can exhibit multiples $p = 1, 2, \cdots$ of this basic power law.

the transition matrix is set by the anti-commutator $U_{ii}(t) = \{\gamma_i(t), \gamma_i(0)\}$. This quantity is closely related to the out-of-time-order correlator 61,62 . After addressing how elementary excitations spread, we turn to the behavior of general autocorrelation functions.

In the clean system at its critical point, operators spread ballistically. The situation in the disordered case is quite different. One can decompose the spatial Majorana degrees of freedom in terms of the fermionic eigenmodes $\gamma_i = \sum_n u_{in} \eta_n$. After time evolution up to a timescale t, the projection of γ_i onto modes that have localization lengths $\xi \lesssim t$ remains localized, while the rest of the operator moves ballistically to the light-cone, r(t) = t. Assuming the operator was initially spread out uniformly among modes, the fraction that is still spreading at time t is $1/t^{1/\alpha}$. This spreading fraction consists of a well-defined but broadening peak, which is Gaussian in its outer tail, with height decaying as $t^{-2/\alpha}$ and width broadening as $t^{1/\alpha}$. As it moves, the front locally "deposits" intensity of order $t^{-1-1/\alpha}$ (one can see this from the conservation of total weight). When $\alpha > 1$ (i.e., in

TABLE I. Scaling properties of $\{\gamma_{i+r}(t)\gamma_i(0)\}\$ in the strongly hyperuniform regime $(1 < \alpha \leq 2)$.

Quantity	Behavior
Front height	$t^{-2/\alpha} \sim r^{-2/\alpha}$
Front width	$t^{1/\alpha} \sim r^{1/\alpha}$
Late-time saturation value	$r^{-1-1/\alpha}$

the CII), the height of the "deposited" operator is parametrically smaller than the height of the front, and the front remains well-defined at late times (Fig. 6).

Finally, the broadening of the front can be understood as follows. As we noted in Sec. IVA, the DOS in the presence of hyperuniform potentials gets modified to $\rho(E) \sim c + |E|^{\alpha-1}$. Since the momentum of lowenergy modes is asymptotically well-defined (because the localization length of a mode grows much faster than its wavelength), we can continue to associate a momentum to each eigenstate, and therefore interpret the DOS shift as providing an effective dispersion relation of the form $E(k) \sim a|k| + b|k|^{\alpha}$. This causes wavepackets to spread, with a width $\delta r(t) \sim t^{1/\alpha}$, when $1 < \alpha \leq 2$; this is the behavior we observe numerically⁶³. These various scaling relations are summarized in Table I. For all α this broadening parametrically exceeds the $t^{1/3}$ broadening in the clean Ising chain⁶⁴⁻⁶⁸.

Because the TFIM is a model of free fermions, the results above can be used to infer the dynamics of any local perturbation that preserves the Ising symmetry (i.e., does not involve Jordan-Wigner strings). The various regimes of behavior of spatio-temporal autocorrelation functions such as, e.g., the retarded transverse field autocorrelation function $\langle [\gamma_i(t)\gamma_i(t), \gamma_0(0)\gamma_1(0)]\rangle \Theta(t)$, can also be deduced from the structure of the Heisenberg operator $\gamma_i(t)$. In the TFIM, local operators locally create or eliminate some number of quasiparticles, and each of these quasiparticles behaves as discussed above. Space-time correlation functions exhibit a well-defined but rapidly attenuating light-cone, and the behavior inside the lightcone clearly indicates localization: the memory of local perturbations persists indefinitely. The regimes of behavior of local autocorrelation functions are sketched in Fig. 6. If one fixes a distance r and measures a generic correlation function C(r, t), it has three regimes: (i) at times before the light-cone passes through, the correlation function is small, as causality demands; (ii) at a time $r \sim t$, the correlation function grows to a value that is power-law small in r; (iii) at times $r \gg t$, it saturates to a parametrically smaller value that is also power-law small in r. The precise values of these exponents depend on the operator.

C. Away from criticality

1. Localization length

Away from criticality, it appears that all states are localized at weak disorder. For simplicity we consider the paramagnetic phase (though our results extend to the ferromagnetic phase by Ising duality). Here, the clean system is gapped, with a dispersion relation $E \sim \sqrt{\Delta^2 + k^2}$. We are primarily concerned with the localization properties near k = 0, which corresponds to $E = \Delta$. The density of states is $\rho(E) \sim 1/\sqrt{E-\Delta}$, and the effective velocity of an excitation at $k(E) \sim \sqrt{(E-\Delta)\Delta}$ is $v(E) \sim \sqrt{E - \Delta}$. At leading order in perturbation theory, we find that the mean free *time* diverges in the strongly hyperuniform regime as $\tau(E) \sim (E - \Delta)^{1/2(1-\alpha)}$. However, because the velocity vanishes as $E \to \Delta$, the mean-free path goes as $\xi(E) \sim (E-\Delta)^{1-\alpha/2}$. Thus ξ vanishes (and perturbation theory ceases to be controlled) near the bottom of the band for $1 \leq \alpha < 2$. We check numerically that ξ does indeed decrease near the bottom of the band in Fig. 7. Precisely, the pairs ψ^n, E_n were obtained by exact diagonalisation of a periodic chain, and indexed n by their rank order in energy. A localisation length ξ_n is then assigned to each one by a least squares fit to the relationship

$$\log \sum_{i} \left| \psi_i^n \psi_{i+r}^n \right| = r/\xi_n + \text{cons.}$$
(12)

for -L/2 < r < L/2. The ensemble averaged values of $1/\xi = [1/\xi_n]$ and $E = [E_n]$ are then plotted in Fig. 7.

For $\alpha = 2$, the localization length remains finite at the bottom of the band, and for $\alpha > 2$ it diverges within perturbation theory. Even for $\alpha > 2$, however, rare local configurations of the potential might smooth out the square-root divergence of the DOS and thus prevent ξ from diverging; this issue is outside the scope of the present work.

2. Equilibrium correlations

The equilibrium correlation length at a distance δ from criticality is governed by the slowest-decaying modes in the system. The very lowest-energy modes are potentially tightly localized, in which case they cannot transmit correlations beyond their localization length; however, modes at an energy $\Delta E \sim \delta$ from the bottom of the band are still "critical" regime, so their localization lengths are parametrically larger than their inverse momenta, provided δ is sufficiently small. Thus the correlation length of the system is governed by these modes, which decay on a length-scale set by the distance from criticality, *not* the localization length. Thus, up to potential numerical factors, the equilibrium behavior of the model away from criticality should be identical to that of



FIG. 7. Minimum in the inverse localisation length $1/\xi(E)$: Disorder averaged $1/\xi$ is plotted versus E. At criticality the localisation length ξ is monotonically increasing with decreasing excitation energy E. When one detunes away from criticality by δ , the localisation length is minimised at an energy $E = O(\delta)$. Data for system sizes L = 5000, $\alpha = 1.5$, s = 3/16error bars smaller than plot points.

the clean system. The minima in $1/\xi(E)$ which govern this behaviour are visible in Fig. 7.

3. Transport and dynamics

Unlike equilibrium correlations, transport and dynamics are strongly affected by the localization properties of the model. For $\alpha \leq 2$ all modes are localized, with a non-diverging ξ . Wavepackets do not travel to infinity, and the finite-temperature thermal transport coefficients are *exponentially* small in system size (in addition to being thermally activated). Specifically, at a distance δ from criticality, the least localized modes are those with $\Delta E \sim \delta$ above the gap, which have localization length $\xi \sim 1/\delta^{\alpha}$ (see Fig. 7). The conductance through a system of length L is therefore suppressed as $\exp(-L\delta^{\alpha})$.

V. WEAKLY HYPERUNIFORM CASE: INFINITE-RANDOMNESS CRITICAL LINE

While perturbation theory about the clean limit allowed us to extract the behavior of physical observables in the strongly hyperuniform case (even when this behavior was drastically different from the clean system), such a perturbative approach evidently fails when disorder is relevant at the critical point. We approach this regime instead using strong-disorder renormalizationgroup (SDRG) methods and estimates based on counting rare regions. We first discuss the behavior of the density of states at the critical points, and the absence of Griffiths phases for $\alpha > 0$, as these can be understood using elementary counting arguments. We then present SDRG results for the evolution of critical exponents with α . This section focuses on static properties, as these are



FIG. 8. Density of states for weakly hyperuniform systems: At low energies the integrated density of states scales as $N(E)^{(\alpha-1)/2} = c_1 \log E + c_0$ (for constants c_0, c_1), generalising the familiar $N(E) \sim 1/\log^2 E$ of the iid ($\alpha = 0$) case. Mean values 0f N(E) are calculated by disorder averaging; statistical error is shown by error bars. Parameters: $L = 10^7$, s = 3/16.

the most directly accessible; however, we expect the dynamics throughout this phase to be qualitatively similar to that at the conventional infinite-randomness critical point.

A. Density of states

The thermodynamic properties of the critical point are captured by the density of states (DOS) near zero energy; this quantity can be estimated by adapting Ref.⁶⁹. The key result of that work is that, for a random hopping model, the integrated DOS up to energy $E, N(E) = \int_0^E \rho(E') dE'$, obeys the relation $N(E) \sim 1/2\ell(E)$, where ℓ is the spatial scale over which the quantity $\log[\prod_i J_j/h_j]$ changes by an amount ~ log E. For uncorrelated randomness, $\ell \sim \log^2 E$, leading to the familiar Dyson singularity in the DOS. For strongly hyperuniform potentials, when the potential is weak enough, the wandering does not grow with distance at all, so $\ell =$ ∞ , and the DOS is (to leading order) unaffected by weak randomness (but as discussed in Sec. IV, there are subleading non-analyticities). For $\alpha < 1$, $\ell \sim \log^{2/(1-\alpha)}(E)$ and therefore $\rho(E) \sim 1/(E \log^{1+2/(1-\alpha)} E)$. The corresponding low energy behaviour of the integrated DOS for weakly hyperuniform disorder, $N(E)^{(\alpha-1)/2} = c_1 \log E +$ c_0 (for some constants c_0, c_1), is verified in Fig. 8. This construction of the DOS also gives an implicit relation between length- and timescales, $\ell(E)$. In the strongly hyperuniform case, $\ell(E) = \infty$, so randomness does not affect the dynamic critical exponent. In the weakly hyperuniform case, $\ell(E) \sim \log^{2/(1-\alpha)}(E)$, suggesting infinite-randomness behavior. For $\alpha = 1$, $\sqrt{\log \ell} \sim \log E$, so $\ell \sim$ $\exp(\text{const.}\log^2 E)$. Thus, $\rho(E) \sim e^{-\text{const.}\log^2 E} \log E/E$, which vanishes at small E. This is subleading to the perturbative effects discussed in the previous section (as we would expect, since $\alpha = 1$ is in effect strongly hyperuniform).

B. Griffiths effects

The infinite-randomness critical point at $\alpha = 0$ is associated with "Griffiths" regimes on either side; in these regimes, the response to perturbations is dominated by rare regions that are in the wrong phase, and these contributions are parametrically dominant over the response from typical regions. Thus, for instance, in the paramagnetic phase sufficiently near the transition, the magnetization $m(h) \sim h^{\gamma}$ with $\gamma < 1$. This behavior occurs because the paramagnet contains an exponentially small (in size) density of regions that are locally in the ferromagnetic phase, and these regions have an exponentially large contribution to the susceptibility. These two exponentials combine to give a continuously varying power law, depending on the density of Griffiths regions, and the power law is less than one close to the critical point.

For $\alpha > 0$, there are no Griffiths regimes. One can see this by estimating the number of rare ferromagnetic regions in the microscopic model (i.e., regions of size l for which the local control parameter δ_l exceeds some threshold β). To do this we need the probability distribution $P_L(\delta_l)$ for a region of size l. It is simpler to work with the characteristic function

$$F_{l}(t) \equiv \left[\exp\left(\frac{it}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \ln\left\{\frac{h_{j}}{J_{j}}\right\} \right) \right] \sim \exp\left(-t^{2} l^{-(\alpha+1)}\right),$$
(13)

where we used the Gaussian (though correlated) nature of the distribution of $\ln(h_i/J_i)$. Inverting the Fourier transform, we find

$$P_l(\delta_l) \sim \exp\left(-\delta_l^2 l^{1+\alpha}\right). \tag{14}$$

Thus the probability of a ferromagnetic region is suppressed faster than exponentially in l, whenever $\alpha > 0$. (In the strongly hyperuniform regime, it vanishes as a Gaussian in l, which is natural since in this regime the entire variance must come from the edge spins, which have a Gaussian distribution.)

We now estimate the contribution to the susceptibility from these regions. At a field h, the ferromagnetic regions of size $\geq \log h$ fully magnetize. The density of such regions is given by $\exp(-(\log h)^{1+\alpha})$. This vanishes faster than a power law at small h, so it is always subleading to the paramagnetic response from typical regions.

The arguments above applied only to the bare couplings; one might wonder if they continue to apply if one instead considers renormalized couplings. We argue below (Sec. VC1), after introducing our renormalization scheme, that they do apply.



FIG. 9. Susceptibility of a spin at the edge of the chain, vs. distance from the transition δ , for the cases $\alpha = 0$ (independent random couplings), $\alpha = 0.5$ (weakly hyperuniform) and $\alpha = 1.5$ (strongly hyperuniform). Evidently even for weakly hyperuniform couplings, the susceptibility approaches linear behavior with field even very close to the transition, suggesting the absence of a Griffiths phase. Parameters: L = 2000, s = 3/16

1. Edge-spin susceptibility

This analytic argument against Griffiths effects is borne out numerically by studying the magnetization of the chain in response to a field applied at the edge; this is a simple way of computing a lower bound to the susceptibility of Ising chains (Fig. 9). To do this within the free-fermion description of the TFIM, one can introduce an artificial edge spin τ_0 at one end of the chain, which couples to the leftmost spin via a coupling $g\tau_0^x\tau_1^x$ but has no transverse field acting on it^{70} . The susceptibility of the edge spin to this field is given by the g-dependence of the quantity $\langle \tau_0^x \tau_1^x \rangle$, which we can compute within the free-fermion theory. Our results are consistent with the absence of a Griffiths phase at $\alpha > 0$: the low-field susceptibility appears to be asymptotically linear in the field even very close to the critical point, in contrast to the $\alpha = 0$ case.

C. SDRG and correlation functions

To probe the nature of the critical point in the weakly hyperuniform case, we numerically apply the standard SDRG for the random TFIM^{8-10,71,72} to the hyperuniform case. The SDRG rules involve picking the largest coupling and eliminating it. If the largest coupling is a bond, one creates a new effective spin with transverse field $h_i h_{i+1}/J_i$; if the largest coupling is a field, one eliminates the corresponding spin to create a new effective bond $J_i J_{i+1}/h_i$. An important property of these rules is that the effective bonds at any stage in the SDRG are products of microscopic J_i divided by products of microscopic h_i , and vice versa for the fields. If one runs the RG until the system size is rescaled by a factor l, the typical



FIG. 10. Strong disorder RG exponents: Upper panel: flow of typical coupling scale $[\zeta]$, $[\beta]$ vs. length scale l under SDRG, for various values of α . The power law $[\zeta]$, $[\beta] \sim l^{\psi}$ is exhibited with exponent $\psi = (1 - \alpha)/2$. Lower panel: average correlator $C_{xx}(r) = \langle \tau_i^x \tau_{i+r}^x \rangle$ as a function of spacing. The decay is algebraic, and fits to the form $C_{xx}(r) \sim 1/r^{2(1-\psi\phi)}$ where the typical moment at scale l scales as $\mu \sim l^{\psi\phi}$ (Scaling of moments is shown in the Supplemental Material App. C). Parameters: $L = 10^8$, s = 3/16

coupling scales as $\prod_{j=i}^{i+l} (J_j/h_j) \sim \exp(-\text{const. } l^{(1-\alpha)/2})$. Thus the spacetime scaling at this critical point has the infinite-randomness form $t \sim \exp(\text{const. } l^{\psi})$, with $\psi = (1-\alpha)/2$.

Other key exponents, such as the scaling of mean cluster moments, can be extracted from numerically iterating the SDRG rules for large systems (Fig. 10). The mean cluster moment μ at a length-scale ℓ goes as $\mu \sim l^{\psi\phi}$, where $\psi\phi$ decreases linearly from its $\alpha = 0$ value as α is increased. Thus, hyperuniformity yields sparser spin clusters than iid randomness. In the uncorrelated case, the mean cluster moment and the exponent that governs decay of mean order parameter correlations are related: $C_{xx}(r) \sim 1/|r|^{2\Delta_{\sigma}}$, where $\Delta_{\sigma} = 1 - \psi\phi$. We find numerically that this relation continues to hold for the weakly hyperuniform case (as one might expect, since the argument for this relation in Ref.⁹ is quite general).

A surprising implication of our results is that mean correlations at the critical point actually decay *faster* in the weakly hyperuniform case than in the uncorrelated case (although the decay in the uncorrelated case is already faster than in the clean TFIM). Thus, as one tunes α , it seems the exponent Δ_{σ} must first increase, and then discontinuously decrease to the clean Ising value at $\alpha = 1$. By contrast, the *typical* correlations keep getting longer-ranged as the degree of hyperuniformity increases, going as $\exp(-|r|^{\psi})$. These observations can be qualitatively reconciled as follows: hyperuniformity involves local anticorrelations, which make spin clusters sparser than for independent randomness; therefore, correlations due to rare clusters are suppressed. At the same time, ψ decreases so typical correlations become longer-ranged. Eventually, at $\alpha = 1$, typical regions begin to dominate over rare regions, and one enters the strongly hyperuniform regime.

1. Griffiths effects

We now return to the question of whether Griffiths phases exist. This was already addressed for the bare theory VB; we now argue that renormalization does not change this basic conclusion. Consider running the RG out to some finite length-scale ℓ ; at this scale, the system consists of effective spins consisting of $O(\ell)$ microscopic spins, subject to transverse fields of the form $h = (h_k h_{k+1} h_{k+2} \dots h_{k+\ell}) / (J_{k+1} J_{k+2} \dots J_{k+\ell})$, and coupled by bonds \tilde{J} that are likewise products of adjacent J's divided by products of adjacent h's. Suppose we take a region of size $l \gg \ell$. The wandering in this region obeys the identity $\tilde{\delta} = \sum_{\alpha} \log(\tilde{J}_{\alpha}/\tilde{h}_{\alpha}) = \sum_{i} \log(J_{i}/h_{i}) = \delta$, where α labels the $o(l/\ell)$ effective spins and *i* denotes the original microscopic spins in that region: this identity is an immediate consequence of the SDRG rules. Thus, if one terminates the RG after finitely many steps, the asymptotics of the wandering on much larger scales are unaffected, and the argument of Sec. VB goes through.

VI. EXACT DIAGONALIZATION RESULTS FOR CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

The previous sections addressed the properties of the weakly and strongly hyperuniform cases, using different methods (perturbation theory and SDRG respectively). In this section we discuss how correlation functions evolve as one tunes α , using exact diagonalization. We first discuss two-point spin correlations, and then the ground state entanglement entropy.

A. Spin-spin correlations

The free fermion character of the Ising model allows us to perform simulations on systems of up to a few thousand sites. We focus on the equal-time correlation function of the order parameter, $C_{xx}(r) \equiv \langle \tau_i^x \tau_{i+r}^x \rangle$; this can be expressed as a determinant of free-fermion Green's functions²⁸. We have checked that correlation



FIG. 11. Typical (upper) and mean (lower) order-parameter correlation functions $\langle \tau_i^x \tau_{i+r}^x \rangle$ as a function of distance for different α (legend inset). The behavior of the typical correlation function is consistent with a power law in the strongly hyperuniform case ($\alpha > 1$) and with a stretched exponential in the weakly hyperuniform case ($0 < \alpha < 1$). The mean correlator decays with the clean Ising exponent in the strongly hyperuniform case, but clearly faster in the weakly hyperuniform case. In the weakly hyperuniform case we do not see a clean power law at large scales; it seems that our data here are still dominated by typical rather than mean behavior. Parameters: L = 2000 periodic chain, s = 3/16

functions evolve qualitatively similarly. We set the disorder strength s = 3/16: when the disorder is either much weaker or much stronger, we see strong transients. For weak disorder, these transients are expected, as the system is clean on short scales. At strong disorder, the states away from E = 0 are effectively site-localized and do not see the hyperuniformity; the universal regime of $\xi(E)$ shrinks to very small energies or equivalently to very large length scales.

Our numerical results for the typical and average correlations are plotted in Fig. 11. Both typical and mean correlations behave differently in the two regimes. In the strongly hyperuniform case, we see clean critical behavior in both mean and typical correlations. In the weakly hyperuniform case, typical correlations are consistent with a stretched exponential, with the appropriate exponent $\langle \tau_i^x \tau_{i+r}^x \rangle \sim \exp(-\text{const.} r^{\psi})$. Mean correlations clearly decay with a steeper power law than the clean theory would suggest; however, at the accessible system sizes we cannot clearly identify a regime of power-law scaling. A



FIG. 12. Histograms of the order-parameter correlation function $\langle \tau_i^x \tau_{i+r}^x \rangle$ for exponentially spaced values of r, for weakly hyperuniform (upper) and strongly hyperuniform (lower) systems. In the former case the histograms broaden strongly, while in the latter case they do not broaden. Parameters: same as Fig. 11

clearer sign of the difference between the two regimes can be seen by considering the histogram of $C_{xx}(r)$ in the two cases (Fig. 12). These histograms broaden with n in the weakly hyperuniform case but stay the same width (on a logarithmic scale) in the strongly hyperuniform case, supporting our picture that the weakly hyperuniform case is at infinite randomness.

B. Ground state entanglement entropy

The ground state entanglement entropy provides a useful probe of criticality. In particular, the ground state entanglement S_{ℓ} between the first ℓ sites of an open chain and the remaining sites $(\ell + 1, \ldots, L)$ grows as

$$S_{\ell} = \frac{c}{6} \log \ell + c'_1 + \mathcal{O}(\ell/L)$$
 (15)

where the coefficient c forms part of the universal content of the scaling theory, and c'_1 is a non universal constant. If the scaling limit is described by a conformal field theory, c is equal to the corresponding central charge. This applies to the clean Ising critical point, where $c = \frac{1}{2}$. In the disordered case there is no underlying conformal field theory, but nonetheless the coefficient c is still fixed by the universal content of the scaling theory. Notably in the case of uncorrelated random disorder, where the transition is described by the infinite randomness critical point, direct calculation yields $c = \frac{1}{2} \log 2^{73}$.

In this section we numerically extract the coefficient c from the logarithmic growth of the entanglement entropy (15). For $\alpha > 1$, we find $c = \frac{1}{2}$, consistent with the clean Ising universality exhibited throughout the strongly



FIG. 13. Entanglement entropy S_{ℓ} of the region $1 \dots \ell$ as a function of ℓ/L . The predicted growth is $S_{\ell} \sim (c/6) \log(\ell)$ (solid black line) with the coefficient c set by (16). The collapse of data for different L (legend inset) onto the predicted line for $\ell \ll L$ validates the conjectured form (16). The dashed black lines exhibit the expected growth of the uncorrelated ($\alpha = 0$) and clean Ising ($\alpha = 1$) cases for reference. The panels correspond to different values of α (values inset), with further data given in the Supplemental Material

hyperuniform regime. In contrast, in the weakly hyperuniform regime, the universality is altered, and we find results which indicate the coefficient c is given by a linear interpolation between the clean Ising value and the uncorrelated infinite randomness value

$$c = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } 1 \le \alpha \le 2\\ \frac{\alpha}{2} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\log 2}{2} & \text{for } 0 \le \alpha \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(16)

In Fig 13 we plot the numerically extracted form of $S_{\ell} - (c/6) \log(L/\pi)$ as a function of ℓ/L . Plotting in this way causes data corresponding to different L to collapse onto a single scaling form providing c has been correctly identified. In Fig 13 data is shown for various L (values inset in legend) with the two panels corresponding to values of α from the weakly and strongly hyperuniform regimes respectively. The data collapses, and exhibits good agreement with $(c/6) \log(\ell/L) + c'_1$ (solid black line) where c is set by (16). The dashed black lines exhibit the expected growth S_{ℓ} for the uncorrelated ($\alpha = 0$, $c = \frac{1}{2} \log 2$) and clean Ising ($\alpha = 1$, $c = \frac{1}{2}$) cases for reference⁷⁴.

VII. DISCUSSION

This work studied a canonical low-dimensional quantum critical point—that of the TFIM—in the presence of random hyperuniform couplings. We have found that the system exhibits two distinct classes of behaviour, dependent on the exponent α (or equivalently the wandering exponent β). These distinct classes of behaviour are: a line of infinite-randomness critical points, with continuously varying exponent ψ ; and a "mixed" critical point with the equilibrium behavior of the clean Ising model but the dynamics of an insulator. This "critical Ising insulator" regime shows that disorder can localize excitations (and thus qualitatively modifying critical dynamics) even when it has minimal effects on equilibrium properties: this is of some general conceptual interest, given that static and dynamical properties are usually intertwined at quantum critical points. Even in the weakly hyperuniform regime, where the strong randomness critical point survives, the Griffiths phases that flank it disappear for hyperuniform couplings, suggesting that hyperuniformity might be a useful knob for controlling Griffiths effects more generally. Using SDRG and perturbation theory, we were able to characterize both critical regimes thoroughly; our predictions are in good agreement with results from exact diagonalization. As is the case with uncorrelated randomness, these results hold for any random modulation with hyperuniform correlations (2), irrespective of other details of the modulation, such as modulation strength or marginal distributions. Generally these additional properties of the modulation play a role only to determine the length-scale above which the asymptotic scaling emerges.

One might wonder how many distinctively hyperuniform critical phenomena exist beyond the TFIM. In general, whenever the control parameter has hyperuniform fluctuations, and there is no source of uncorrelated randomness in the problem, one expects the system will go to

- ¹ A. B. Harris, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 7, 1671 (1974).
- ² J. T. Chayes, L. Chayes, D. S. Fisher, and T. Spencer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2999 (1986).
- ³ T. Vojta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 107202 (2003).
- ⁴ W. De Roeck and F. Huveneers, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 155129 (2017).
- ⁵ J. H. Pixley, D. A. Huse, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. X 6, 021042 (2016).
- ⁶ P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 287 (1985).
- ⁷ T. Schultz, D. Mattis, and E. Lieb, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36, 856 (1964).
- ⁸ D. S. Fisher, Physical Review Letters **69**, 534 (1992).
- ⁹ D. S. Fisher, Physical Review B **51**, 6411 (1995).
- ¹⁰ D. S. Fisher, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 263, 222 (1999).
- ¹¹ M. Y. Azbel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1954 (1979).
- ¹² S. Aubry and G. André, Ann. Israel Phys. Soc 3, 133 (1980).
 ¹³ J. Biddle, B. Wenn, D. Britan, In and S. D. Camer, Phys.
- ¹³ J. Biddle, B. Wang, D. Priour Jr, and S. D. Sarma, Physical Review A 80, 021603 (2009).

a hyperuniform rather than the usual random fixed point. Starting from a microscopic model, however, ensuring that the control parameter fluctuations are precisely hyperuniform on all scales might be challenging. One dimensional models with multiplicative strong-randomness RG rules are a wide class of models where hyperuniformity holds at all scales. For such models it is crucial that the couplings on odd and even bonds (or A and B sites) remain separately hyperuniform, as in the TFIM. In models such as the TFIM, or spin-1 chains 75 , the odd and even bonds are physically different, so it is natural for them to be separately hyperuniform. In the XXZ chain¹⁰, and in toy models of the many-body localization transition^{76,77}, this is not the case, and this odd-even structure must be imposed by hand if the model is to flow to a hyperuniform fixed point; otherwise, coarse-graining disrupts the anticorrelations that cause hyperuniformity (Supplemental Material App. D). Extending these ideas to more general models, as well as to two-dimensional systems⁷⁸, is an interesting task for future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Vincenzo Alba, Anushya Chandran, David Huse, and Vadim Oganesyan for helpful discussions. The authors acknowledge support from the NSF through Grants No. DMR-1752759 (P.J.D.C.), PHY-1752727 (C.R.L.), and DMR-1653271 (S.G.). P.J.D.C acknowledges the support of the Sloan Foundation. C.R.L. acknowledges support from the Sloan Foundation through a Sloan Research Fellowship. S.G. performed this work in part at the Aspen Center of Physics, which is supported by NSF Grant No. PHY-1607611. S.G. further acknowledges support from a PSC-CUNY internal grant.

- ¹⁴ S. Ganeshan, J. Pixley, and S. D. Sarma, Physical review letters **114**, 146601 (2015).
- ¹⁵ H. P. Lüschen, S. Scherg, T. Kohlert, M. Schreiber, P. Bordia, X. Li, S. Das Sarma, and I. Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 160404 (2018).
- ¹⁶ S. Gopalakrishnan, Physical Review B **96**, 054202 (2017).
- ¹⁷ J. H. Pixley, J. H. Wilson, D. A. Huse, and S. Gopalakrishnan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 207604 (2018).
- ¹⁸ M. Schreiber, S. S. Hodgman, P. Bordia, H. P. Lüschen, M. H. Fischer, R. Vosk, E. Altman, U. Schneider, and I. Bloch, Science **349**, 842 (2015).
- ¹⁹ S. Iyer, V. Oganesyan, G. Refael, and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 87, 134202 (2013).
- ²⁰ V. Khemani, D. N. Sheng, and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 075702 (2017).
- ²¹ Y. B. Lev, D. M. Kennes, C. Klöckner, D. R. Reichman, and C. Karrasch, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **119**, 37003 (2017).
- ²² M. Žnidarič and M. Ljubotina, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **115**, 4595 (2018).
- ²³ S. Weidinger, S. Gopalakrishnan, and M. Knap, arXiv:1809.02137 (2018).

- ²⁴ F. Iglói, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 21, L911 (1988).
- ²⁵ A. Chandran and C. R. Laumann, Phys. Rev. X 7, 031061 (2017).
- ²⁶ P. J. D. Crowley, A. Chandran, and C. R. Laumann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 175702 (2018).
- ²⁷ P. J. D. Crowley, C. R. Laumann, and A. Chandran, arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.01660 (2018).
- ²⁸ S. Sachdev, Quantum phase transitions (Cambridge university press, 2011).
- ²⁹ S. Torquato and F. H. Stillinger, Phys. Rev. E 68, 041113 (2003).
- ³⁰ S. Torquato, Phys. Rev. E **94**, 022122 (2016).
- ³¹ Z. Ma and S. Torquato, Journal of Applied Physics **121**, 244904 (2017).
- ³² S. Torquato, Physics Reports **745**, 1 (2018), hyperuniform States of Matter.
- ³³ M. Florescu, S. Torquato, and P. J. Steinhardt, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **106**, 20658 (2009).
- ³⁴ D. S. Wiersma, Nature Photonics **7**, 188 (2013).
- ³⁵ L. S. Froufe-Pérez, M. Engel, J. J. Sáenz, and F. Scheffold, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences , 201705130 (2017).
- ³⁶ A. L. Gaunt, T. F. Schmidutz, I. Gotlibovych, R. P. Smith, and Z. Hadzibabic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 200406 (2013).
- ³⁷ J. Simon, W. S. Bakr, R. Ma, M. E. Tai, P. M. Preiss, and M. Greiner, Nature **472**, 307 (2011).
- ³⁸ H. Shima, T. Nomura, and T. Nakayama, Phys. Rev. B 70, 075116 (2004).
- ³⁹ A. Croy, P. Cain, and M. Schreiber, The European Physical Journal B 85, 165 (2012).
- ⁴⁰ F. M. Izrailev, A. A. Krokhin, and N. Makarov, Physics Reports **512**, 125 (2012).
- ⁴¹ A. Weinrib and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 413 (1983).
- 42 H. Rieger and F. Iglói, Phys. Rev. Lett. $\mathbf{83},\,3741$ (1999).
- ⁴³ J. A. Hoyos, N. Laflorencie, A. d. P. Vieira, and T. Vojta, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **93**, 30004 (2011).
- ⁴⁴ C. Chatelain, Phys. Rev. E **89**, 032105 (2014).
- ⁴⁵ M. Pasienski and B. DeMarco, Optics express **16**, 2176 (2008).
- ⁴⁶ A. L. Gaunt and Z. Hadzibabic, Scientific reports 2, 721 (2012).
- ⁴⁷ H. Labuhn, D. Barredo, S. Ravets, S. De Léséleuc, T. Macrì, T. Lahaye, and A. Browaeys, Nature **534**, 667 (2016).
- ⁴⁸ P. Schauß, J. Zeiher, T. Fukuhara, S. Hild, M. Cheneau, T. Macrì, T. Pohl, I. Bloch, and C. Groß, Science **347**, 1455 (2015).
- ⁴⁹ S. Nadj-Perge, I. K. Drozdov, J. Li, H. Chen, S. Jeon, J. Seo, A. H. MacDonald, B. A. Bernevig, and A. Yazdani, Science **346**, 602 (2014).
- ⁵⁰ A. Gabrielli and S. Torquato, Physical Review E **70**, 041105 (2004).
- ⁵¹ E. Chertkov, R. A. DiStasio Jr, G. Zhang, R. Car, and S. Torquato, Physical Review B **93**, 064201 (2016).

- ⁵² R. D. Batten, F. H. Stillinger, and S. Torquato, Journal of Applied Physics **104**, 033504 (2008).
- ⁵³ J. Luck, Journal of Statistical Physics **72**, 417 (1993).
- ⁵⁴ E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 3263 (1986).
- ⁵⁵ R. Nandkishore, D. A. Huse, and S. L. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. B 89, 245110 (2014).
- ⁵⁶ J. H. Pixley, Y.-Z. Chou, P. Goswami, D. A. Huse, R. Nandkishore, L. Radzihovsky, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 235101 (2017).
- ⁵⁷ S. V. Syzranov and L. Radzihovsky, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 9, 35 (2018).
- ⁵⁸ H. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. **105**, 425 (1957).
- ⁵⁹ D. Thouless, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 5, 77 (1972).
- ⁶⁰ L. G. C. Rego and G. Kirczenow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 232 (1998).
- ⁶¹ A. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, Sov Phys JETP 28, 1200 (1969).
- ⁶² J. Maldacena, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, Journal of High Energy Physics **2016**, 106 (2016).
- ⁶³ For $\alpha > 2$, the leading correction to the dispersion is the (analytic) quadratic term, therefore in this regime the wavepacket broadens diffusively, and the height of the front decreases as $t^{-1/\alpha - 1/2}$.
- ⁶⁴ T. Platini and D. Karevski, The European Physical Journal B Condensed Matter and Complex Systems 48, 225 (2005).
- ⁶⁵ C.-J. Lin and O. I. Motrunich, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 144304 (2018).
- ⁶⁶ S. Xu and B. Swingle, arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.00801 (2018).
- ⁶⁷ V. Khemani, D. A. Huse, and A. Nahum, ArXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1803.05902 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- ⁶⁸ M. Fagotti, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 220302 (2017).
- ⁶⁹ T. P. Eggarter and R. Riedinger, Phys. Rev. B 18, 569 (1978).
- ⁷⁰ B. McCoy, Phys. Rev. **188**, 1014 (1969).
- ⁷¹ S.-k. Ma, C. Dasgupta, and C.-k. Hu, Physical review letters **43**, 1434 (1979).
- ⁷² F. Iglói and I. A. Kovács, Physical Review B **97**, 094205 (2018).
- ⁷³ G. Refael and J. E. Moore, Physical review letters **93**, 260602 (2004).
- ⁷⁴ See Supplemental Material for additional data showing agreement of S_{ℓ} with the form (16) over a larger range of values of α .
- ⁷⁵ R. A. Hyman and K. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1783 (1997).
- ⁷⁶ L. Zhang, B. Zhao, T. Devakul, and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 224201 (2016).
- ⁷⁷ A. Goremykina, R. Vasseur, and M. Serbyn, arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.04285 (2018).
- ⁷⁸ O. Motrunich, S.-C. Mau, D. A. Huse, and D. S. Fisher, Physical Review B **61**, 1160 (2000).