



# CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Electronic spin transition in $\text{FeO}_2$ : Evidence for Fe(II) with peroxide $\text{O}_2^{2-}$

Bo Gyu Jang, Jin Liu, Qingyang Hu, Kristjan Haule, Ho-Kwang Mao, Wendy L. Mao, Duck Young Kim, and Ji Hoon Shim

Phys. Rev. B **100**, 014418 — Published 15 July 2019

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.100.014418](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.014418)

# Electronic spin transition in FeO<sub>2</sub>: evidence for Fe(II) with peroxide O<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>

Bo Gyu Jang,<sup>1</sup> Jin Liu,<sup>2</sup> Qingyang Hu,<sup>2,3</sup> Kristjan Haule,<sup>4</sup> Ho-Kwang Mao,<sup>3</sup> Wendy. L. Mao,<sup>2,5</sup> Duck Young Kim,<sup>3,\*</sup> and Ji Hoon Shim<sup>1,6,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Chemistry, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 37673, Korea*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Geological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research (HPSTAR), Shanghai 201203, China*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Sciences,*

*SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA*

<sup>6</sup>*Department of Physics and Division of Advanced Nuclear Engineering,*

*Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 37673, Korea*

(Dated: June 25, 2019)

The discovery of FeO<sub>2</sub> containing more oxygen than hematite (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) that was previously believed to be the most oxygen rich iron compounds, has important implications on the study of the deep lower mantle compositions. Compared to other iron compounds, there are limited reports on FeO<sub>2</sub> making studies of its physical properties of great interest in fundamental condensed matter physics and geoscience. Even the oxidation state of Fe in FeO<sub>2</sub> is the subject of debate in theoretical works and there have not been reports from experimental electronic and magnetic properties measurements. Here, we report the pressure-induced spin state transition from synchrotron experiments and our computational results explain the underlying mechanism. Using density functional theory and dynamical mean field theory, we calculated spin states of Fe with volume and Hubbard interaction  $U$  change, which clearly demonstrate that Fe in FeO<sub>2</sub> consists of Fe(II) and peroxide O<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Our study suggests that localized nature of both Fe 3d orbitals and O<sub>2</sub> molecular orbitals should be correctly treated for unveiling the structural and electronic properties of FeO<sub>2</sub>.

The recent discovery of pyrite-structured FeO<sub>2</sub> at high pressures and temperatures has generated significant interest as an alternative explanation for seismic observations in Earth's deep mantle and for its potential implications on volatile storage and cycling within our planet [1–4]. To date, the electronic and magnetic properties of FeO<sub>2</sub> under high pressure are still poorly understood. Also there have been controversy surrounding the oxidation state of FeO<sub>2</sub> under high pressure. It was suggested that the existence of peroxide, O<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup> in FeO<sub>2</sub> induces the oxidation state of Fe(II) similar to FeS<sub>2</sub>. A band type insulator-to-metal transition is expected to produce Fe<sup>(2+δ)+</sup> by the elongation of the O<sub>2</sub> dimer bond length [5]. In another theoretical work by S. S. Streltsov *et al.*, however, Fe(III) with O<sub>2</sub><sup>3-</sup> state was suggested due to the long O<sub>2</sub> dimer bond length compared to the usual bond length of peroxide [6].

The chemical stability of FeO<sub>2</sub> and FeO<sub>2</sub>H has also debated. Q. Hu *et al.* reported that FeO<sub>2</sub>H<sub>*x*</sub> (0 ≤ *x* ≤ 1) can be synthesized under lower mantle condition and *x* can vary depending on the external condition by releasing H<sub>2</sub> molecule [1–3, 7]. However, M. Nishi *et al.* claimed that FeO<sub>2</sub>H is much more stable than FeO<sub>2</sub> with H<sub>2</sub> based on DFT calculations [4]. The dehydrogenation of FeO<sub>2</sub>H is nothing but the oxidation process of FeO<sub>2</sub>H so that this issue is also closely related to the oxidation state of Fe and the O<sub>2</sub> dimer. The discrepancy in the stability of FeO<sub>2</sub>H may come from the description of the oxidation state in DFT calculation which is similar with the first issue.

Transition metal oxides (TMO) like iron oxides exhibit

rich phase diagrams, which is mainly originated from the electron correlation effects of the 3d orbitals. Many TMO such as FeO, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and MnO show Mott-type insulating behavior at ambient pressure. This Mott insulating state can be broken down under high pressure, where the  $U/W$  ( $U$ : Coulomb interaction,  $W$ : band width) ratio becomes smaller and it eventually reaches metallic states [8]. Usually this metal-insulator transition (MIT) is accompanied by a volume-collapsing spin state transition (SST), from a high spin (HS) Mott insulator to low spin (LS) state [9–13].

The crystal structure of FeO<sub>2</sub> and FeS<sub>2</sub> is similar to that of B1 type FeO. This Pa-3 pyrite-type structure can be easily obtained by replacing oxygen atoms in B1 type FeO with X<sub>2</sub> (X=O or S) dimers. Due to the octahedral symmetry of Fe, Fe 3d orbitals split into threefold  $t_{2g}$  and twofold  $e_g$  bands. (More precisely,  $t_{2g}$  levels further splits into twofold  $e_g^\pi$  and  $a_{1g}$  due to slightly distorted octahedral symmetry.) Despite of high similarity in the crystal structure and the same oxidation state of Fe in FeO, FeS, and FeS<sub>2</sub>, which are well studied compounds, they show different behavior under pressure. FeO and FeS undergo a SST (S=2 to S=0) accompanied with breakdown of Mott insulating phase with partially filled  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g$  orbitals under high pressure [12–16]. On the other hand, FeS<sub>2</sub> does not display Mott type MIT and SST under high pressure. It is reported to be a non-magnetic (S=0) band insulator with fully occupied  $t_{2g}$  orbitals [15, 17–19]. A potential SST in FeO<sub>2</sub> under pressure is not only an interesting issue in and of itself but also is very relevant to resolving the controversy surrounding the oxida-

tion state of Fe in this compound. With octahedral crystal field splitting, Fe(II) state shows  $S=2$  to  $S=0$  transition while Fe(III) state shows  $S=5/2$  to  $S=1/2$  transition [20].

In this paper, we provide evidence for a SST in  $\text{FeO}_2$  under high pressure by means of synchrotron experiments and first-principles calculations. From this study, we find that the SST in  $\text{FeO}_2$  observed in our experiment originates from Fe (II) state rather than Fe (III) state. The delocalization error of DFT may have caused the problem of predicting the crystal structure ( $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length) and the controversy in the oxidation state and the chemical stability between previous studies [1–6].

## METHODS

Pyrite-structured  $\text{FeO}_2$  samples were synthesized from hematite ( $\delta\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ) powders together with ultrapure oxygen gas ( $\text{O}_2$ ) at 80-90 GPa and 1800 K in DACs coupled with laser heating techniques. Details of the sample synthesis and characterization are described in Liu *et al* [3].

High-pressure experiments were performed on  $\text{FeO}_2$  up to 94 GPa using diamond-anvil cell (DAC) techniques combined with X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) at beamlines 16ID-B and 16ID-D of the High Pressure Collaborative Access Team (HP-CAT), Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory (ANL).

High-pressure XES experiments were performed with an incident X-ray beam of an energy of 11.3 keV and a bandwidth of  $\sim 1$  eV at beamline 16ID-D, APS, ANL. Each XES spectrum was collected for approximate 1 hr and the 2 to 4 spectra at a given pressure were added together for good statistics between 30 and 91 GPa at 300 K. The pressure was determined based on the Raman spectra of the diamond anvils.

XRD experiments were conducted with a highly monochromatized incident X-ray beam of an energy of 33.17 keV (0.3738 Å) at beamline 16ID-B, APS, ANL. The X-ray beam was focused down to  $2\sim 5$   $\mu\text{m}$  in the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) at sample position.

DFT calculations were performed by using WIEN2k code which uses the full-potential augmented plane wave method [21]. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was used for the exchange correlation functional [22]. A  $12 \times 12 \times 12$   $k$ -point mesh is used for self-consistent calculation. For structural optimization at different volumes, we used the Vienna *ab initio* package (VASP) [23], where a plane-wave cutoff is set to 500 eV and a  $10 \times 10 \times 10$   $k$ -point mesh is used. The correlation effect of Fe  $3d$  orbitals is treated by a DMFT loop [24] on the top of an effective one-electron Hamiltonian generated from the WIEN2k calculation. The impurity model was solved by using continuous time quantum Monte Carlo (CTQMC) [25].




FIG. 1. **a** X-ray emission spectra for  $\text{FeO}_2$  as a function of pressure. The satellite peak at around 7040 eV is characteristic of the high-spin state. **b** Pressure-dependent  $\text{FeO}_2$  volume. Blue dashed line are calculated from non-magnetic calculation with DFT+ $U$  method ( $U=5$  eV) for non-magnetic high-pressure regime. Its extension to high spin state, low pressure regime is for eye guide to demonstrate the volume deviation.

## RESULTS

Figure 1a displays the X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) measurements on  $\text{FeO}_2$  under high-pressure. The typical Fe K  $\beta$  main emission peak is located around 7058 eV. The most important feature is a satellite peak located near 7040 eV which is a typical K  $\beta'$  peak of Fe [14, 15]. This K  $\beta'$  peak collapses with increasing pressure and this is a typical evidence of SST of iron oxides. Synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy (SMS) results demonstrate that  $\text{FeO}_2$  is in the non-magnetic (NM) state between 76 and 93 GPa [20].

The unit cell volume of  $\text{FeO}_2$  was determined from X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns between 40 and 94 GPa. A volume collapse was observed at around 60 GPa, where  $\text{FeO}_2$  undergoes a SST of Fe (Fig. 1b). The pressure-




FIG. 2. **a** Calculated volume ( $V$ )-Coulomb interaction ( $U$ ) phase diagram of FeO<sub>2</sub>. Colors present the size of magnetic moment. Open and closed circles indicate the insulating and metallic phases respectively. Dashed region indicates the experimentally observed transition point. Calculated **b** Fe-O bond length and **c** O<sub>2</sub> dimer bond length depending on the  $U$  value. The arrow in **a** and **c** indicate that the magnetic moment increases as the O<sub>2</sub> dimer bond length increases. There are abrupt change both in Fe-O and O-O bond length at  $U=5$  eV. **d** Relative energy depending on the O<sub>2</sub> dimer bond length at volume of  $24.9 \text{\AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$  with  $U=5$  eV. There are two local minima depending on the O<sub>2</sub> dimer bond length.

volume relation of FeO<sub>2</sub> has been calculated from non-magnetic (NM) DFT+ $U$  method. It is in good agreement with experimental points at pressures above 65 GPa, where FeO<sub>2</sub> is in the LS state as shown in Fig. 1b. Therefore, these results also indicate that FeO<sub>2</sub> undergoes an HS to LS ( $S=0$ ) transition from 50 to 65 GPa which is consistent with SMS measurement. This NM behavior in LS state implies the Fe(II)  $d^6$  nature of FeO<sub>2</sub>. Below 65 GPa, the experimental volumes deviate from the calculated pressure-volume curve indicating the transition from NM to HS state.

Also the recent near-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy result clearly shows that the oxidation state of Fe in FeO<sub>2</sub> is 2+ rather than 3+ [7, 26]. The position of Fe  $K$ -edge remains constant from 53 to 81 GPa, indicating that there is no oxidation state change during the SST of FeO<sub>2</sub> [7].

We performed spin polarized calculations using DFT+ $U$  method to investigate the SST observed in high-

pressure XES and XRD experiments aforementioned. The internal parameters are fully relaxed with given volume and the Coulomb-interaction  $U$  value while keeping the Pa-3 symmetry. Figure 2a shows the calculated volume ( $V$ ) - Coulomb interaction ( $U$ ) phase diagram of FeO<sub>2</sub>. The color indicates the size of magnetic moment while open and closed circles indicate insulating and metallic phase, respectively. Black dashed region indicates the experimentally observed transition point. Three phases are clearly distinguished by the size of magnetic moment: (i) NM LS region (Blue), (ii) intermediate spin state IS ( $S \sim 1/2$ ) region (Green), and (iii) HS ( $S \sim 2$ ) region (Red). The IS ( $S \sim 1/2$ ) state is believed to be unusual for Fe (II) oxidation state under octahedral crystal field. The size of the magnetic moment changes abruptly between phase (ii) and (iii), while it increases rather smoothly between phase (i) and (ii) [20]. This phase diagram itself looks reasonable, because the size of magnetic moment increases as the volume and  $U$  value

TABLE I. Ionic radius of Fe atom depending on its spin and oxidation state [27].

	Fe(II) $d^6$	Fe(III) $d^5$
LS	0.75 Å	0.69 Å
HS	0.92 Å	0.79 Å

increases. However, it cannot describe the SST observed in the experiment due to the unusual IS state, region (ii) as following reason.

At small  $U$  value ( $U < 2$  eV), the transition between LS to IS is observed but it is not accompanied with the abrupt change in volume and magnetic moment. It shows continuous growth in the magnetic moment which is not a first-order transition. Also the energy-volume curves do not show the first-order transition behavior which is shown in the experimental result. At  $U=0$  eV, the energy-volume curve of IS gradually diverges from that of LS as the magnetic moment gradually increases [20]. Although the HS ( $S\sim 2$ ) state can be observed at large  $U$  value ( $U \geq 2$  eV), the intermediate spin state can be stabilized even at the smallest volume we tested. It is in contrast with the experimental result that  $\text{FeO}_2$  is non-magnetic below the transition volume. The calculated pressure-volume curve of IS state cannot describe the experimentally observed high pressure volume. Also the calculated transition volume is much larger than the experimentally observed transition volume [20].

To investigate the origin of this discrepancy, we analyze the bond length in detail. It is well known that the ionic radius of metal atoms varies according to their spin state and oxidation state. The ionic radius of the Fe atom depending on their spin and oxidation state are listed in Table I [27]. Also  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length is related to its oxidation state. The bond length of a neutral  $\text{O}_2$  molecule is 1.21 Å and it increases as the  $\text{O}_2$  dimer takes more electrons by occupying the anti-bonding states. For example, the bond length of superoxide ( $\text{O}_2^-$ ) in  $\text{KO}_2$  is 1.28 Å [28] and that of peroxide ( $\text{O}_2^{2-}$ ) in  $\text{BaO}_2$  is 1.49 Å [29]. A quantitative approach on the bond length can offer an effective way of understanding the calculated phase diagram in Fig. 2.

Figure 2b and c show the bond length of Fe-O and O-O at several volumes and  $U$  values. It should be noted that the  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length increases and moves away from the experimental value as the  $U$  value increases at small volume (Dashed arrow in Fig. 2c) and this trend is in contrast to the tendency observed in the NM calculation denoted by cross marks [5]. In NM calculation, the  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length is getting shorter and closer to the experimental value as the  $U$  value increases as shown in Fig. 2c and Fig. 3 in Ref.[5]. This results also support that the LS state of  $\text{FeO}_2$  is non-magnetic.

All the calculated values for the  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length are longer than the experimentally reported value of  $\sim 1.9$  Å [1, 7] and also longer than 1.8 Å which is the critical value for Fe(II) and the peroxide state reported in our previous study [5]. As  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length increases, the size of magnetic moment gradually increases to induce an unusual IS state with an Fe  $(2+\delta)^+$  and  $\text{O}_2^{(2+\delta)-}$  configuration. As the  $U$  value and volume increase, the size of the magnetic moment of the IS state eventually converge to  $\sim 2 \mu_B$  which is close to the magnetic moment of Fe(III) LS ( $S=1/2$ ) compounds. Large  $U$  values ( $U=3, 4$  eV) with large volumes eventually produce the localized Fe  $d$  orbital of  $S=5/2$  state.

Furthermore, an abrupt bond length change is observed at large volume with  $U=5$  eV both in Fe-O and O-O bonding. It is due to the competition between the nearly Fe (III) HS ( $S=5/2$ ) state with long  $\text{O}_2$  dimer (short Fe-O bond) and Fe (II) HS ( $S=2$ ) state with short  $\text{O}_2$  dimer (long Fe-O bond). Figure 2d clearly shows two local minima depending on the  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length at  $24.9 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$  with  $U=5$  eV. The IS to HS transition at  $U=4$  eV is close to [Fe (III),  $S=1/2$ ] to [Fe (III),  $S=5/2$ ] transition while the transition at  $U=5$  eV is close to [Fe(III),  $S=1/2$ ] to [Fe(II),  $S=2$ ] state. The SST at  $U=5$  eV is accompanied by the change in the oxidation state of Fe and  $\text{O}_2$  dimer so that the abrupt bond length changes are observed as shown in Fig. 2b-d.

The analysis of bond length indicates that the discrepancy between the experiment and the calculation arises from the Fe  $(2+\delta)^+$  and  $\text{O}_2^{(2+\delta)-}$  state which makes unusual IS state for Fe (II) case. We perform a test calculation with a fixed short  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length of 1.8 Å, which is the critical value for Fe(II) from calculation and also close to the experimental value. Surprisingly, the IS state region disappears and just LS ( $S=0$ ) state and HS state ( $S=2$ ) remain as shown in Fig. 3a. Orange dash-dot line indicates the boundary for Fe (II) with peroxide state. Below this line, it shows metallic behavior due to the small overlap between the Fe  $t_{2g}$  band and  $\text{O}_2$  dimer  $\sigma^*$  band as shown in Fig. 3 (b). Above the line,  $\text{FeO}_2$  has  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  with peroxide state. Considering the tendency that simple DFT underestimate band gap, this border line can be shifted to lower volume and Coulomb interaction  $U$ . The SST occurs around at  $22 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$  with reasonable  $U$  value (5 eV) in DFT+ $U$  calculations. This phase diagram is in good agreement with the experimental result. The schematic electronic structures of each regions are described in Fig. 3b-d. This results imply that the SST of  $\text{FeO}_2$  originates from Fe(II) with a peroxide state. However, the oxidation state of Fe can be  $(2+\delta)^+$  under high pressure due to the short Fe-O bonding as already discussed in our previous study [5].

This phenomenon is also confirmed by a DFT+DMFT calculation. With Fe  $d$  orbital occupancy of 6 and short  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length, it also shows direct SST from  $S=2$




FIG. 3. **a** Calculated  $V - U$  phase diagram of  $\text{FeO}_2$  using fixed  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length of 1.8  $\text{\AA}$ . Open diamonds indicate the Mott insulating state. Orange dash-dot line indicates the boundary for Fe (II) with peroxide state. LS region is divided into **b** and **c** regions by the orange dash-dot line. The electronic structure evolves from **b** LS metallic state to **c** LS band insulator, and finally to **d** HS Mott insulator along the white guide line in **a**.

to  $S=0$  and IS state is not observed. IS state can only be observed when we nominally change the Fe  $d$  occupancy to 5 [20]. When a long  $\text{O}_2$  dimer from the structural relaxation is considered, the DFT+DMFT calculation also fails to reproduce the experimental HS-LS transition

We calculate local spin susceptibility,  $\chi_{loc}$  for different bond length at volume of 24.9  $\text{\AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$  which is clearly larger than the experimental transition volume [20]. With an  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length of 1.49  $\text{\AA}$  (long Fe-O bond), it shows HS state. The calculated  $\chi_{loc}$  at several temperature points are well fitted by the Curie-Weiss formula  $\chi_{loc} = C/T$ , as expected for a local spin system. On the other hand, with an  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length of 2.1  $\text{\AA}$  (short Fe-O bond), the calculated  $\chi_{loc}$  are very small and almost  $T$ -independent due to NM solution. These DFT+DMFT results again suggest that the HS-LS transition of  $\text{FeO}_2$  can occur only with Fe (II) and a peroxide state and is very sensitive to the  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length (Fe-O bond length).

The SST of  $\text{FeO}_2$  is also accompanied with a Mott type transition. The open circles and diamonds in Fig. 3a indicate band insulator and Mott insulator state, respectively. In Fig. 4, the DFT+DMFT spectral function at 22.8  $\text{\AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$  clearly show fully occupied  $t_{2g}$  ( $e_g^\pi$  and  $a_{1g}$ ) and fully unoccupied  $e_g$  band with band gap ( $\sim 1$  eV), which corresponds to the LS state of Fe(II). At larger volume of 23.9  $\text{\AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$ , it shows partially occupied  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g$  band indicating HS state of Fe(II) with Mott insulating behavior. Inset shows the calculated  $\chi_{loc}$  for each volume. The calculated  $\chi_{loc}$  at 22.8  $\text{\AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$  shows  $T$ -independent NM behavior while the  $\chi_{loc}$  at 23.9  $\text{\AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$  follows the Curie-Weiss law due to its local spin moment.

## DISCUSSION

As mentioned above, the spin polarized DFT+ $U$  calculation always gives a long  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond, which fails to explain the experimental result. There are several possible explanations for this discrepancy. The simplest is that the magnetic ordering configuration used in our calculations may be wrong. However, this can be easily excluded because if there is another energetically favorable magnetic configuration, the magnetic moment persists well below the 22  $\text{\AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$ , which is the experimental HS-LS transition boundary. Within magnetic ordering used in our calculations, the magnetic moment is already stabilized down to very small volumes compared to the experimental result as shown in Fig. 2a.

The other possible explanation is that there can be a structural phase transition accompanied with the SST. However, there is no experimental evidence supporting a structural phase transition. X-ray diffraction patterns in previous studies have not shown any abrupt change between 50 and 65 GPa, where the SST occurs [2]. We also performed phonon calculations on  $\text{FeO}_2$  and it does not show any dynamic instability. However, this may be an important issue for future study.

The remaining possibility for the discrepancy may come from the limitation of current calculation method. It is well-known that simple LDA/GGA underestimates the band gap which is connected with failure of description of molecular orbital energy level. It is due to the delocalization error of simple LDA/GGA. DFT calculation may underestimate the splitting between  $\text{O}_2$   $\pi^*$  and  $\sigma^*$  band, so  $\text{O}_2$  dimer can easily get more electrons from Fe, making  $\text{Fe}^{(2+\delta)+}$  and  $\text{O}_2^{(2+\delta)-}$  states. In other words, the calculations easily predict  $\text{FeO}_2$  as a metallic system and




FIG. 4. Density of states (DOS) calculated from DFT+DMFT at volume **a**  $22.8 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$  (Band insulator,  $S=0$ ) and **b**  $23.9 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{f.u.}$  (Mott insulator,  $S=2$ ). Inset shows the calculated temperature dependence of the local spin susceptibility at each volume.

prefer the structure with long  $\text{O}_2$  dimer. S. S. Streltsov *et al* [6]. interpreted the oxidation state of Fe in  $\text{FeO}_2$  as  $3+$  rather than  $2+$  due to this problem. A similar issue was reported in  $\text{NiSe}_2$  which also adopts a pyrite-type structure with  $\text{Se}_2$  dimers in it. The simple DFT calculation overestimates the  $\text{Se}_2$  dimer bond length by  $\sim 0.15 \text{ \AA}$  compared to the experimental value [30, 31]. The controversy on the oxidation state of Fe may be due to this reason.

In addition, if  $\text{FeO}_2$  comes close to having  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and  $\text{O}_2^{3-}$ , the  $\sigma^*$  band becomes half-filled and the correlation effect of  $\sigma^*$  orbital should be considered like  $\text{KO}_2$  which has partially filled  $\pi^*$  orbitals [32]. Although we circumvent this problem by simply adopting the short  $\text{O}_2$  dimer in the structure, this is an important issue for future research. A further study with a more precise description on the localized picture of  $\text{O}_2$  molecular orbitals is there-

fore recommended.

We investigate the spin state transition of  $\text{FeO}_2$  by using both experimental and first-principles approach. The abrupt volume collapsing occurs around 50-60 GPa and the X-ray emission spectrum indicate the existence of the SST. The typical  $\text{K } \beta'$  peak of Fe due to the HS state collapses with increasing pressure. However, the phase diagram obtained from the relaxed structure with DFT+ $U$  calculation fails to describe the experimental SST due to the existence of an unusual IS state. We found that this IS states come from  $\text{Fe}^{(2+\delta)+}$  and  $\text{O}_2^{(2+\delta)-}$  state which originates from long  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length (short Fe-O distance). The DFT+ $U$  and DFT+DMFT calculations on the structures with short  $\text{O}_2$  dimers give a correct description of the SST observed in the experiment. It suggests that the HS-LS transition of  $\text{FeO}_2$  occurs with Fe (II) and a peroxide state and is very sensitive to the  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length. We suggest that the precise description of not only Fe  $d$  orbitals but also  $\text{O}_2$  dimer molecular orbital should be considered in the future study to describe correct structural and electronic properties of  $\text{FeO}_2$ . A detailed crystal structure study including the analyze of  $\text{O}_2$  dimer bond length under pressure would also be very interesting to understand the physics of  $\text{FeO}_2$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea Government (MSIP) (Grant No. 2015R1A2A1A15051540), and the Supercomputing Center/Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information with supercomputing resources including technical support (Contract No. KSC-2016-C1- 0003). D.Y.K. acknowledges financial support from the NSAF (Grant No. U1530402). W.L. Mao and J. Liu acknowledge support from the Geophysics Program by the NSF (EAR 1446969). KH was supported by NSF-DMREF 1709229.

\* duckyoung.kim@hpstar.ac.cn

† jhshim@postech.ac.kr

- [1] Q. Hu, D. Y. Kim, W. Yang, L. Yang, Y. Meng, L. Zhang, and H.-K. Mao, *Nature* **534**, 241 (2016).
- [2] Q. Hu, D. Y. Kim, J. Liu, Y. Meng, L. Yang, D. Zhang, W. L. Mao, and H.-k. Mao, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **114**, 201620644 (2017).
- [3] J. Liu, Q. Hu, D. Young Kim, Z. Wu, W. Wang, Y. Xiao, P. Chow, Y. Meng, V. B. Prakapenka, H. K. Mao, and W. L. Mao, *Nature* **551**, 494 (2017).
- [4] M. Nishi, Y. Kuwayama, J. Tsuchiya, and T. Tsuchiya, *Nature* **547**, 205 (2017).

- [5] B. G. Jang, D. Y. Kim, and J. H. Shim, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 075144 (2017).
- [6] S. S. Streltsov, A. O. Shorikov, S. L. Skornyakov, A. I. Poteryaev, and D. I. Khomskii, *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1 (2017), arXiv:1705.08085.
- [7] J. Liu, Q. Hu, W. Bi, L. Yang, Y. Xiao, P. Chow, Y. Meng, V. B. Prakapenka, H.-K. Mao, and W. L. Mao, *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 153 (2019).
- [8] M. Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 1039 (1998).
- [9] R. E. Cohen, I. I. Mazin, and D. G. Isaak, *Science* **275**, 654 (1997).
- [10] J. Kuneš, A. V. Lukoyanov, V. I. Anisimov, R. T. Scalettar, and W. E. Pickett, *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 198 (2008).
- [11] J. Kuneš, D. M. Korotin, M. A. Korotin, V. I. Anisimov, and P. Werner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 146402 (2009).
- [12] K. Ohta, R. E. Cohen, K. Hirose, K. Haule, K. Shimizu, and Y. Ohishi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 026403 (2012).
- [13] I. Leonov, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 085142 (2015).
- [14] J. Badro, V. V. Struzhkin, J. Shu, R. J. Hemley, H. K. Mao, C. C. Kao, J.-P. Rueff, and G. Shen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 4101 (1999).
- [15] J. P. Rueff, C. C. Kao, V. V. Struzhkin, J. Badro, J. Shu, R. J. Hemley, and H. K. Mao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3343 (1999).
- [16] A. V. Ushakov, A. O. Shorikov, V. I. Anisimov, N. V. Baranov, and S. V. Streltsov, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 1 (2017), arXiv:1608.02360.
- [17] A. Fujimori, K. Mamiya, T. Mizokawa, T. Miyadai, T. Sekiguchi, H. Takahashi, N. Mori, and S. Suga, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 16329 (1996).
- [18] S. Miyahara and T. Teranishi, *J. Appl. Phys.* **39**, 896 (1968).
- [19] T. Chattopadhyay and H. G. von Schnering, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **46**, 113 (1985).
- [20] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for SST depending on Fe  $d$  occupancy under octahedral symmetry, Mössbauer spectroscopy data, X-ray diffraction data, calculated magnetic moment as a function of volume depending on  $U$  value, calculated equation of state, calculated local spin susceptibility depending on O<sub>2</sub> dimer bond length, and the spectral function of IS state with Fe  $d$  occupancy of 5.
- [21] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, and G. Madsen, *Isbn 3-9501031-1-2* (2001) p. 2001.
- [22] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 1396 (1997).
- [23] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169 (1996).
- [24] K. Haule, C. H. Yee, and K. Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 195107 (2010).
- [25] K. Haule, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 155113 (2007).
- [26] E. Boulard, M. Harmand, F. Guyot, G. Lelong, G. Morard, D. Cabaret, S. Boccato, A. D. Rosa, R. Briggs, S. Pascarelli, and G. Fiquet, *Geophysical Research Letters* **46**, 1348 (2019).
- [27] R. D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **32**, 751 (1976).
- [28] W. KÄNZIG and M. LABHART, *J. Phys. Colloques* **37**, C7 (1976).
- [29] W. Wong-Ng and R. Roth, *Physica C: Superconductivity* **233**, 97 (1994).
- [30] J. Kuneš, L. Baldassarre, B. Schächner, K. Rabia, C. A. Kuntscher, D. M. Korotin, V. I. Anisimov, J. A. McLeod, E. Z. Kurmaev, and A. Moewes, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 035122 (2010).
- [31] C.-Y. Moon, H. Kang, B. G. Jang, and J. H. Shim, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 235130 (2015).
- [32] M. Kim, B. H. Kim, H. C. Choi, and B. I. Min, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 100409 (2010).