



CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Offset-Free Gigahertz Midinfrared Frequency Comb Based on Optical Parametric Amplification in a Periodically Poled Lithium Niobate Waveguide

A. S. Mayer, C. R. Phillips, C. Langrock, A. Klenner, A. R. Johnson, K. Luke, Y. Okawachi, M. Lipson, A. L. Gaeta, M. M. Fejer, and U. Keller

Phys. Rev. Applied **6**, 054009 — Published 18 November 2016

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevApplied.6.054009](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.6.054009)

Offset-free gigahertz mid-infrared frequency comb based on optical parametric amplification in a periodically poled lithium niobate waveguide

A.S. Mayer,¹ C.R. Phillips,¹ C. Langrock,² A. Klenner,^{3,1} A.R. Johnson,^{3,4} K. Luke,⁵ Y. Okawachi,³ M. Lipson,⁶ A.L. Gaeta,³ M.M. Fejer,² and U. Keller¹

¹*Department of Physics, Institute for Quantum Electronics, ETH Zurich, Switzerland*

²*Edward L. Ginzton Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA*

³*Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, USA*

⁴*School of Applied and Engineering Physics, Cornell University, New York, USA*

⁵*School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Cornell University, New York, USA*

⁶*Department of Electrical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, USA*

(Dated: October 18, 2016)

We report the generation of an optical frequency comb in the mid-infrared with 1-GHz comb line spacing and no offset with respect to absolute zero frequency. This comb is tunable from 2.5-4.2 μm and covers a critical spectral region for important environmental and industrial applications such as molecular spectroscopy of trace gases. We obtain such a comb by highly efficient frequency conversion of a near-infrared frequency comb based on a compact diode-pumped SESAM-modelocked Yb:CALGO laser operating at 1 μm . The frequency conversion process is based on optical parametric amplification (OPA) in a periodically-poled lithium niobate (PPLN) chip containing buried waveguides fabricated by reverse proton exchange (RPE). The laser with a repetition rate of 1 GHz is the only active element of the system. It provides the pump pulses for the OPA process as well as seed photons in the range of 1.4-1.8 μm via supercontinuum generation (SCG) in a silicon nitride (Si_3N_4) waveguide. Both the PPLN and Si_3N_4 waveguides represent particularly suitable platforms for low-energy nonlinear interactions; they allow for mid-IR comb powers per comb line at the microwatt-level and signal amplification levels up to 35 dB with 2 orders of magnitude less pulse energy than reported in OPA systems using bulk devices. Based on numerical simulations, we explain how high amplification can be achieved at low energy using the interplay between mode confinement and a favorable group velocity mismatch configuration where the mid-IR pulse moves at the same velocity as the pump.

I. INTRODUCTION

The mid-infrared spectral region between 2-20 μm covers the strong vibrational transitions of a variety of molecules that play an important role in environmental, medical and industrial diagnostics. The ability to detect and quantify the presence of such molecules or to investigate their properties on a more fundamental level is thus directly linked to the availability of a light source capable of probing these transitions. Laser frequency combs, i.e. lasers whose spectra consist of a series of equally spaced discrete optical lines, combine three essential assets: the high brightness of the light leads to a high detection sensitivity, the narrow linewidth of the individual comb lines allows for high resolution measurements, while the large spectral bandwidth enables fast simultaneous detection of multiple species.

The success of optical frequency combs in the near-infrared has strongly been tied to the advancement of modelocked lasers in that wavelength range [1–5]. Well-established gain media include Ti:sapphire [6] emitting around 800 nm, and various host crystals doped with Ytterbium (Yb) or Erbium (Er) emitting in the 1 μm and 1.5 μm region, respectively [7–9]. Various approaches have recently been pursued to extend the spectral coverage of frequency combs into the mid-infrared [10]. Direct approaches include new laser gain materials for modelocked solid-state and fiber lasers [11–13] or semicon-

ductor devices such as quantum cascade lasers [14, 15]. Another approach relies on exploiting different aspects of nonlinear optics, such as supercontinuum generation (SCG) in fibers [16–18] and waveguides [19–21], or Kerr comb generation in microresonators [22, 23].

The challenge these approaches have in common is the difficulty to detect and control the comb offset frequency [24–26], i.e. the parameter that defines the exact position of the evenly spaced frequency comb lines on the absolute frequency axis. This problem can be circumvented by difference-frequency generation (DFG): in this nonlinear process, the low frequency part of a comb (termed “signal”) is mixed with the high frequency components (“pump”) of the same comb in a medium exhibiting a second order ($\chi^{(2)}$) nonlinearity, resulting in a difference-frequency comb (“idler”) which will be offset-free [27].

A configuration where the signal gets significantly amplified during this mixing process is known as an optical parametric amplifier (OPA). DFG and OPA-based mid-IR frequency combs have so far been demonstrated using bulk devices of various materials, such as periodically-poled lithium niobate (PPLN) [28–32], GaSe [33], AgGaSe₂ [34], CdSiP₂ [35] and OP-GaAs [36]. Due to the limited interaction length caused by diffraction and material dispersion, single-pass bulk OPAs typically require watt-level pump beams and several hundreds of milliwatts of initial signal power to achieve powers per comb line >1 μW in the mid-IR. Schemes based on high-

power oscillators [37], laser pre-amplification of pump and/or signal beam [38], or intracavity OPA [39] have been demonstrated. Higher efficiencies in converting a near-IR frequency comb to the mid-IR can be obtained in a resonant cavity, i.e. by turning the OPA into an optical parametric oscillator (OPO)[40–45]. However, the passive comb-offset stability will be lost and instead the implementation of an active stabilization [46] is required to eliminate the offset. The development of stabilized mid-IR frequency combs therefore benefits from a robust and compact configuration that allows for efficient frequency conversion at low energies with passive comb-offset stabilization, using a single modelocked laser oscillator as the only active medium.

Here, we demonstrate chip-scale waveguide technology as a compact low-energy platform for generating widely tunable, offset-free mid-IR frequency combs. A diode-pumped solid-state laser operating at 1 μm with repetition rate of 1 GHz serves as a single active source with two output beams. While one beam is directly used to pump an OPA process in a periodically-poled lithium niobate (PPLN) waveguide, the other is spectrally broadened in a silicon nitride (Si_3N_4) waveguide to generate signal photons in the wavelength range of 1.4–1.8 μm . The idler can be tuned from 2.5–4.2 μm by laterally translating the PPLN chip across waveguides with varying quasi-phase-matching (QPM) periods [47]. With just 300 pJ of pump pulse energy and initial seed powers of <20 nW per comb line, we achieve microwatt-level comb line powers in the mid-IR. Compared to systems based on nonlinear fibers for SCG and bulk PPLN for the OPA process [38], the required pulse energy for obtaining the same power per comb line is lowered by nearly two orders of magnitude.

In the following, we will describe the details of the experimental setup, present the mid-IR comb results and explain via numerical simulations how the approach leverages favorable aspects of the waveguide dispersion in order to maximize the achievable OPA gain. Moreover, our simulations, which are in excellent agreement with our experimental results, show that waveguides offer the possibility to enter high-gain OPA regimes that are inaccessible to bulk devices at low energies. The ability to perform efficient supercontinuum-seeded frequency conversion at low pulse energies, as demonstrated and explained here, could enable a new class of multi-gigahertz comb-resolved sources based on chip-scale nonlinear optical devices, directly driven by highly compact laser oscillators, thereby removing the need for laser amplifiers and bulk frequency converters in such systems.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The passively modelocked laser oscillator shown in Fig. 1(a) consists of a 2-mm-long Yb:CALGO [48] emitting at 1053 nm and pumped at 980 nm using a spatially multimode pump diode. The laser is modelocked with a semiconductor saturable absorber mirror (SESAM) [49]




FIG. 1. Experimental setup showing the two output beams of the 1-GHz laser cavity. The negative second-order intracavity dispersion necessary to achieve soliton modelocking is provided by a Gires-Tournois-interferometer-(GTI)-type mirror. Isolators prevent potential back reflections from the waveguide facets into the laser. Grating pairs are used to compensate the isolator dispersion and additionally stretch the pulse in the OPA pump arm. (b) Sketch of the 1x1 mm chip with the 7.5-mm-long Si_3N_4 waveguide embedded in silicon dioxide (SiO_2). (c) Excerpt of the PPLN chip containing buried RPE waveguides in regions with different poling periods. The first 6.5 mm of the 2.5-cm-long chip are unpoled, and the waveguides are tapered to facilitate single-mode coupling.

and can produce pulses as short as 63 fs at a repetition rate of 1.025 GHz with an output power of up to 1.7 W (both output beams combined) [50]. One of the output beams is coupled into a 7.5-mm-long Si_3N_4 waveguide (spiraled onto a square of 1×1 mm) with a cross-section of 690×900 nm (Fig. 1(b)) [51–53]. A coupled pulse energy of 40pJ (coupling efficiency 15 %) is sufficient to obtain a supercontinuum spanning from 650 nm to 1800 nm as shown in Fig. 2(a). Using a long-pass filter, the spectrum is cut at 1400 nm and sent into the PPLN waveguide as a seed for the OPA process.

The PPLN waveguide chip with a dimension of $25 \times 6 \times 0.5$ mm contains 90 waveguides fabricated by reverse proton exchange (RPE). The RPE method consists in a first step of exchanging lithium ions with protons using a diffusion process to create a region of higher refractive index capable of guiding light. In order to obtain buried waveguides that support Gaussian modes and efficient nonlinear mixing, the protons near the surface are subsequently removed in a reverse proton exchange step.

The RPE waveguides used here were fabricated with a $12\ \mu\text{m}$ width and an exchange depth of $2.3\ \mu\text{m}$. This depth, which is larger than in typical PPLN waveguides designed for telecom applications [54], was chosen in order to guide the mid-IR wavelengths. At the input side of the waveguide, the width of the lithography mask pattern is adiabatically tapered to $2\ \mu\text{m}$ to allow for efficient and single-mode coupling of the input near-IR beams. The different waveguides are periodically poled with poling periods ranging from $17\text{-}30\ \mu\text{m}$ to achieve quasi-phase-matching (QPM). Coupling into both waveguides as well as beam collimation at the output is performed in free-space using anti-reflection (AR) coated lenses.

A Faraday isolator protects the laser cavity from potential back reflections from the waveguide facets. Grating pairs are used to compensate for the dispersion introduced by the isolators. Angled waveguide facets could be used in the future to eliminate the isolators. While the pulse at the input of the Si_3N_4 waveguide is re-compressed to nearly transform-limited $85\ \text{fs}$, the pulses in the pump arm are purposely stretched to nearly $800\ \text{fs}$ to maximize the pump-signal interaction in the PPLN waveguide. The general advantage of pump pulse stretching in a waveguide configuration is discussed in section V.

III. RESULTS

A. Amplification and Mid-IR Spectra

Amplified spectra, obtained by scanning through the waveguides with QPM periods from $24.60\text{-}26.49\ \mu\text{m}$, are shown in Fig. 2(b). With a maximum pump average power of $310\ \text{mW}$ coupled into the PPLN waveguides, we were able to amplify the spectral region from $1.4\text{-}1.8\ \mu\text{m}$ obtained by SCG in the Si_3N_4 waveguide by up to $35\ \text{dB}$. The corresponding mid-IR idler spectra range from $2.5\text{-}4.2\ \mu\text{m}$, with an average power reaching $10\ \text{mW}$ at $3.5\ \mu\text{m}$ (Fig. 2(c)). Given the comb line spacing of $1.025\ \text{GHz}$ set by the laser, this corresponds to an average power per comb line of $4\ \mu\text{W}$. The DFG process leads to passive cancellation of the laser comb offset and therefore the stability of the mid-IR comb lines only depends on the stability of the laser repetition rate, thus the laser cavity length. Here sufficient stability was achieved with low-drift mirror mounts and boxing the setup. By mounting the SESAM onto a piezo actuator as described in [55], such ultrafast laser combs can be fully stabilized with a long-term stabilization loop and the comb lines can also be shifted by a desired amount.

The amplified signal spectra were recorded with a grating-based optical spectrum analyzer (OSA, Ando AQ-6315A). A Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR, Thorlabs OSA2015) was used for the idler spectra. The path length of $\sim 1\ \text{m}$ between the output of the PPLN waveguide and the free-space input of the FTIR analyzer was sufficient to observe distinctive absorption features in the ambient air. By magnifying the mid IR

comb generated in the waveguide with the QPM period of $26.35\ \mu\text{m}$ (Fig. 2(d)), we can clearly identify the presence of water (H_2O) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) absorption lines by comparing the spectrum recorded by the FTIR with the corresponding absorption cross sections provided by the high-resolution transmission molecular absorption (HITRAN) database.

B. Noise Analysis

The relative intensity noise (RIN) of a frequency comb is an important parameter, as it can limit the achievable signal-to-noise ratio in spectroscopic applications such as dual-comb spectroscopy [56]. In an OPA-based system, the RIN can increase during pre-amplification of pump and/or signal, nonlinear broadening steps, and the OPA process itself. RIN characterization at each stage of the setup thus helps to identify the bottlenecks and ultimately design low-noise systems. Figure 3 shows the RIN in our setup measured at baseband using appropriate photodiodes (Silicon Thorlabs PDA100-EC for $980\ \text{nm}$, InGaAs Thorlabs PDA10CS-EC for $1\text{-}1.8\ \mu\text{m}$, HgCdTe VIGO PVI-4TE-6 + MIPDC-5 for $3.5\ \mu\text{m}$) and a signal source analyzer (Agilent E5052B). The noise performance of the GHz laser oscillator is set by its multimode pump diode. Since no pre-amplifier was used, this noise level also corresponds to the RIN of the OPA pump.

To investigate the impact of OPA process itself, noise measurements were recorded using the waveguide that provided highest gain and absolute idler power (QPM period $25.47\ \mu\text{m}$, signal wavelength $1.50\ \mu\text{m}$). The RIN of the idler is, as expected, very similar to the RIN of the amplified signal. We however observed a noise increase of $\sim 30\ \text{dB}$ with respect to the pump noise level (Fig. 3).

In order to determine the origin of this noise increase, further measurements were performed. We verified that the shot noise levels, which depend on wavelength and optical power on the photodiode, were well below each of the respective RIN measurement results. The measured RIN of the supercontinuum over the full wavelength range accessible by the InGaAs photodiode ($1\text{-}1.8\ \mu\text{m}$) was comparable to the GHz laser output, with the exception of white noise contributions above $100\ \text{kHz}$ and technical noise around $100\ \text{Hz}$ (Fig. 3, full SC). However, the RIN of the supercontinuum after a 15-nm band-pass filter centered at $1.5\ \mu\text{m}$ is similar to the OPA output (Fig. 3, filtered SC, before OPA). This filter bandwidth was chosen to correspond to the bandwidth of the amplified signal. It is well known that the interplay of the various mechanisms responsible for spectral broadening during the SCG process can lead to strongly wavelength-dependent RIN [57], which becomes apparent when using narrow-band filters.

We can thus conclude from these observations that, despite the high gain, the OPA process itself is not adding a significant amount of noise but that the noise increase rather stems from the SCG process in the Si_3N_4 -




FIG. 2. (a) Supercontinuum obtained with 40 pJ of coupled pulse energy in the Si_3N_4 waveguide. The shaded part is used as a signal input for the OPA. (b) Scan of the amplified signal spectra obtained by laterally scanning the chip across the different QPM periods, recorded with a grating-based optical spectrum analyzer (OSA). (c) Normalized mid-IR spectra recorded with a Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR) ranging from 2.5–4.2 μm and corresponding to the amplified signal spectra shown in (b). The right axis displays the absolute power levels, with a maximum of 10 mW at 3.55 μm . The power drop near 3.45 μm is due to a slight defect in this particular waveguide, resulting in less overall transmitted power. (d) Zoom into an FTIR trace recorded with instrument-limited resolution of 3.6 GHz using the free-space port after ~ 1 m of propagation in air (orange) and absorption cross sections of water (dark blue) and carbon dioxide (light blue) taken from the HITRAN database.




FIG. 3. Relative intensity noise (RIN) measurements showing the influence of supercontinuum (SC) generation, supercontinuum filtering and optical parametric amplification for the waveguide where highest amplification was achieved. The root mean square (rms) RIN noise integrated over the interval [1 Hz, 3.5 MHz] is indicated in brackets for each measurement. The overall noise limit is set by the pump diode of the 1-GHz laser.

waveguide. In the experiment presented here, the supercontinuum was optimized above all for broad bandwidth and spectral coherence [52], but the RIN may be mini-

mized further by numerically analyzing the wavelength-dependence of various noise types [58] and adapting the waveguide design accordingly.

IV. DISCUSSION

The experimental OPA results presented above exploited several advantageous properties that waveguides offer in comparison to bulk devices. Simulations in agreement with our experiments will be shown in this section, along with a general discussion on how to take advantage of those waveguide properties to achieve high gain and thus high conversion efficiency of a near-IR into a mid-IR comb.

A. Energy-Dependent Gain

For a phase-matched interaction assuming an undepleted, plane-wave pump field E_p and no initial idler field ($E_i(0) = 0$), the signal field at the output of an OPA device with length L can be written as [59]

$$E_s(L) = E_s(0)\cosh(\Gamma L), \quad (1)$$

where Γ is the gain parameter defined as

$$\Gamma = \sqrt{\kappa_i \kappa_s} |E_p| \quad (2)$$

with $\kappa_j = 2\pi d_{\text{eff}}/(n_j \lambda_j)$, $j = i, s$ (idler/signal) and where d_{eff} denotes the material-dependent effective nonlinear coefficient. Assuming sufficiently long pump pulses to provide constant pump intensity for the signal pulse during their interaction, we can approximate the magnitude of the pump field E_p as a function of the peak power $P_{\text{pk}} \sim U_p/\tau_p$:

$$|E_p| \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{n_p \epsilon_0 c}} \sqrt{\frac{2U_p}{\pi w_0^2 \tau_p}} \quad (3)$$

(U_p : pulse energy, τ_p : pulse duration, n_p : refractive index, w_0 : beam waist). To maximize the interaction in a bulk device, the diffraction length of the beam (and thus the beam radius) is often set to match the distance L_{GVM} over which pump and signal pulses walk off each other due to group velocity mismatch (GVM)

$$w_0^2 k_p \approx L_{\text{GVM}} = \tau_p \left(\frac{1}{v_p} - \frac{1}{v_s} \right)^{-1} \quad (4)$$

where $k_p = n_p 2\pi/\lambda_p$ denotes the pump wavenumber. For a given set of phase-matched pump/signal/idler frequencies, the achievable gain will be independent of the pump pulse duration and can only be scaled via the pulse energy,

$$\Gamma L_{\text{GVM}} \sim C_{p,s,i} \sqrt{U_p} \quad (\text{bulk}), \quad (5)$$

with a proportionality factor $C_{p,s,i}$ containing the wavelength-dependent material properties. If the pump pulse is too short, then confocal focusing according to Eq. (4) may yield an intensity above the material damage threshold. In this case, the pump pulse can be stretched to avoid damage. However, the diffraction still limits the achievable gain according to Eq. (5). In a waveguide device however, the interaction is not limited by diffraction anymore, thus eliminating the relation imposed in Eq. (4) for the mode size as a function of GVM. The gain can now additionally be scaled via the pump pulse duration and the effective mode area A_{eff} , which takes into account the modal overlap inside the waveguide,

$$\Gamma L_{\text{GVM}} \sim C'_{p,s,i} \sqrt{U_p} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_p}{A_{\text{eff}}}} \quad (\text{waveguide}), \quad (6)$$

where $C'_{p,s,i} = C_{p,s,i} \sqrt{\pi/(2k_p (v_p^{-1} - v_s^{-1}))}$. Thus high gain can be maintained by stretching the pump pulse duration despite lowering the pulse energy. The waveguide cross-section is then chosen such as to optimize the overlap of the guided pump, signal and idler modes (see next section). Stretching our pump pulses to ~ 800 fs as described in the experimental section and taking advantage of the tight mode confinement provided by the PPLN waveguide thus allowed us to achieve high gain with nearly one order of magnitude less pulse energy than a best-case estimate of a bulk interaction.

B. Pump vs. idler group velocity mismatch

In the presence not only of GVM between pump and signal, but also of idler walk-off and group velocity dispersion of all the waves, a more general description of the OPA process is required [60]. In order to explain the gain variations observed experimentally across the broad signal spectral range, we performed numerical simulations based on a general model of the dynamics inside the PPLN waveguides. The model describes the propagation of the pump, signal, and idler pulses through the waveguide, accounting for the wavelength-dependent effective index and modal overlap coefficients in the waveguide, and includes both second- and third-order nonlinear properties of the PPLN waveguides [61, 62]. In order to determine the dispersion profile of the waveguides, we proceeded as follows: we first simulated the proton-diffusion inside the waveguide during the waveguide fabrication process, to obtain a proton concentration profile over the cross section of the waveguide [63]. Following [63], we obtained the change in refractive index as a function of wavelength and transverse position and then calculated the corresponding properties of the fundamental waveguide mode versus wavelength. These properties are reasonably accurate for IR wavelengths, but less is known about the mid-IR properties. To account for this, we applied an additional fixed offset to the effective index for the mid-IR part of the spectrum (wavelengths $> 2\mu\text{m}$). This offset is chosen such that the numerically predicted set of phase-matched signal wavelength versus QPM period is in good agreement with the experimentally measured dependence. As can be seen in Fig. 4(a), we also included the change in refractive index induced by OH-absorption in the material around $2.85\mu\text{m}$ [61]. Having calculated the spatial profile of the fundamental mode, an effective area for the OPA process can be defined,

$$A_{\text{eff}}(\omega_p, \omega_s) = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{d}(x, y) \times B(x, y, \omega_p) B(x, y, \omega_s) B(x, y, \omega_p - \omega_s) dx dy \right\}^{-2} \quad (7)$$

where \bar{d} is a normalized nonlinear coefficient accounting for the so-called ‘dead layer’ (layer at the top of the waveguide, where the second-order susceptibility is erased during fabrication) [54], and $B(x, y, \omega)$ is the spatial profile of the fundamental waveguide mode with frequency ω , normalized according to $\int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |B(x, y, \omega)|^2 dx dy = 1$. An effective pump intensity, $P_{\text{pk}}/A_{\text{eff}}$, can be introduced, which leads to a normalized OPA gain rate $\gamma = \Gamma/(\sqrt{\text{pump power}})$. Figure 4(b) shows how the modal overlap integral in Eq. (7) affects the normalized gain coefficient γ over the range of signal wavelengths used in this experiment.

In order to directly visualize the spectrally dependent effect of modal overlap and GVM on the achievable gain, the pulse propagation simulations assume a flat-top ini-




FIG. 4. (a) Effective group index as a function of wavelength over the range used in the experiment. (b) Gain coefficient normalized with respect to power and propagation length. The gain decreases for shorter signal wavelengths as mode size of the corresponding idler (gray wavelength scale on top) increases, reducing the modal overlap.




FIG. 5. Simulated amplification of a flat-top signal spectrum (black), when scanning through the experimentally used QPM periods.

tial signal spectrum with flat spectral phase. The following input parameters corresponding to the experimental values were used: 280 mW pump power, 1 mW signal power over the whole flat-top spectrum (1300-1850 nm) and 70 fs-pump pulses with a negative chirp of $-25,000\text{fs}^2$. A general propagation loss of 0.1 dB/cm is included. We assume a nonlinear coefficient of $d_{33} = 19.5 \text{ pm/V}$ [64] and to obtain improved agreement with the experimentally measured gain, A_{eff} was scaled by a small factor of 1.17 compared to the directly calculated value from Eq. (7). Without any further adjustments, the simulations (Fig. 5) were able to reproduce remarkably well the features observed in the experiment (Fig. 2(b)).

Looking at the gain curve displayed in Fig. 4(b), one may expect the amplification to monotonically increase with increasing signal wavelength. However, the simulated spectra shown in Fig. 5 are in good agreement with experimental data presented above: instead of a monotonic increase, a maximal amplification of 35-40 dB

is reached in the range of 1450-1500 nm and then a decrease can be observed until the effect of the OH-absorption becomes visible for signal wavelengths around 1650-1700 nm. This trend can be explained as follows: while the gain coefficient γ contains information about the spatial overlap of the idler with the pump and signal mode inside the waveguide, it does not take into account the temporal behavior of the idler pulses. As can be inferred from Fig. 4(a), the effective group velocity of the idler, $v_{g,\text{eff}}(\lambda_i) = c/n_{\text{group,eff}}(\lambda_i)$, crosses the velocity of the pump (intersection with red dashed line) when scanning the QPM periods. It is for the signal wavelengths corresponding to this intersection, i.e. where the idler moves at nearly the same velocity as the pump, that we observe maximum signal amplification. Figure 6 illustrates and describes the 3 regimes that we encounter in the scan:

1: At signal wavelength between 1500-1650 nm, both signal and idler propagate with a higher velocity than the pump. Although a high spatial overlap is given, the short temporal overlap inhibits further amplification (Fig. 6(a)).

2: For wavelengths around 1450-1500 nm, the corresponding idler temporally stays with the pump, leading to the build-up of a strong idler pulse and maximal signal amplification (Fig. 6(b)).

3: In the third regime ($<1450 \text{ nm}$), signal and idler have opposite group velocities with respect to the pump (Fig. 6(c)). This configuration acts as a “trap” for the signal and idler pulses, as they are pulled towards each other, therefore ensuring a long interaction length and potentially high amplification. However, the amplification becomes highly suppressed in this wavelength range due to the increasing mode size of the idler and the resulting poor spatial overlap.

From these experimental and numerical observations, we conclude that the highest gain and thus most efficient mid-IR idler generation is achieved by designing a waveguide where the idler group velocity is as close as possible to that of the pump while maintaining a high spatial overlap.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have addressed the challenge of nonlinear optical frequency conversion at low pulse energies with the aim to transfer a 1-GHz frequency comb at 1 μm into the application-relevant mid-IR spectral region. Using a SESAM-modelocked laser at 1 μm , we have achieved tunable offset-free combs from 2.5-4.2 μm with up to 4 μW of power per comb line around 3.5 μm . The comb spectra are generated in a PPLN RPE waveguide by optical parametric amplification. The signal photons for the OPA process are obtained by supercontinuum generation in a silicon nitride waveguide with only 40 pJ of coupled pulse energy. During the OPA stage, this signal beam is amplified by up to 35 dB using 300 pJ




FIG. 6. Illustrative sketch and magnitude of the interacting fields with the center of the pump pulse setting the reference frame for all figures. The dashed white lines indicate the expected temporal lead/lag of signal and idler pulses with respect to the pump due to group velocity mismatch (GVM). (a) Idler faster than pump: The 3 pulses only overlap over a short distance, the energy transfer from pump to signal and idler is limited. (b) Idler follows pump: as the signal is passing through the pump pulse, the generated idler photons stay overlapped with the pump and coherently add up to form a strong pulse. (c) Idler slower than pump, i.e. opposite sign for signal and idler: signal and idler “drag” each other along, leading to a longer interaction with the pump (“trapped” state). Note the change in magnitude of the electric field (colorbar) compared to (a) and (b). The lower field magnitudes are a consequence of the reduced spatial mode overlap which considerably lowers the gain as shown in Fig. 4(b).

of pump energy. We have shown that in contrast to bulk devices, signal amplification in a waveguide OPA can be increased by stretching the pump pulse and exploiting the waveguide dispersion to obtain a similar effective group velocity for pump and idler pulses. Those degrees of freedom provide interesting design opportunities for low-energy frequency conversion of a variety of compact laser sources, including semiconductor lasers [65], without the need for additional laser power amplifiers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Geiser and M. Mangold from IRsweep for their mid-IR photodiode. The authors furthermore

acknowledge support of the technology and cleanroom facility FIRST of ETH Zurich for advanced micro- and nanotechnology. This work was supported by the Swiss Innovation Promotion Agency with the CTI contract No. 17137.1 PFMN-NM, the DARPA DODOS program through grant N66001-16-1-4055 and the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) (FA9550-09-1-0233, FA9550-05-1-0180).

-
- [1] U. Keller, “Recent developments in compact ultrafast lasers,” *Nature* **424**, 831–838 (2003).
- [2] W. Sibbett, A. A. Lagatsky, and C. T. A. Brown, “The development and application of femtosecond laser systems,” *Opt. Express* **20**, 6989–7001 (2012).
- [3] Martin E. Fermann and Ingmar Hartl, “Ultrafast fibre lasers,” *Nat. Photon.* **7**, 868–874 (2013).
- [4] Jungwon Kim and Youjian Song, “Ultralow-noise mode-locked fiber lasers and frequency combs: principles, status, and applications,” *Adv. Opt. Photon.* **8**, 465–540 (2016).
- [5] U. Keller, “Ultrafast solid-state laser oscillators: a success story for the last 20 years with no end in sight,” *Appl. Phys. B* **100**, 15–28 (2010).
- [6] P. F. Moulton, “Spectroscopic and laser characteristics of $\text{Ti:Al}_2\text{O}_3$,” *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **3**, 125–132 (1986).
- [7] C. Hönninger, R. Paschotta, M. Graf, F. Morier-Genoud, G. Zhang, M. Moser, S. Biswal, J. Nees, A. Braun, G. A. Mourou, I. Johannsen, A. Giesen, W. Seeber, and U. Keller, “Ultrafast ytterbium-doped bulk lasers and laser amplifiers,” *Appl. Phys. B* **69**, 3–17 (1999).
- [8] V. P. Gapontsev, S. M. Matitsin, A. A. Isineev, and V. B. Kravchenko, “Erbium glass lasers and their applications,” *Opt. Laser Technol.* **14**, 189–196 (1982).
- [9] Federico Pirzio, Matthias Kemnitzer, Annalisa Gandolini, Florian Kienle, Stefano Veronesi, Mauro Tonelli, Juerg Aus der Au, and Antonio Agnesi, “Ultrafast, solid-state oscillators based on broadband, multisite Yb-doped crystals,” *Opt. Express* **24**, 11782–11792 (2016).
- [10] Albert Schliesser, Nathalie Picque, and Theodor W. Hänsch, “Mid-infrared frequency combs,” *Nat. Photon.* **6**, 440–449 (2012).
- [11] E. Sorokin, I. T. Sorokina, J. Mandon, G. Guelachvili, and N. Picqué, “Sensitive multiplex spectroscopy in the molecular fingerprint 2.4 μm region with a $\text{Cr}^{2+}:\text{ZnSe}$ femtosecond laser,” *Opt. Express* **15**, 16540–16545 (2007).
- [12] A. A. Lagatsky, P. Koopmann, P. Fuhrberg, G. Huber, C. T. A. Brown, and W. Sibbett, “Passively mode locked femtosecond $\text{Tm}:\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_3$ laser at 2.1 μm ,” *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 437–439 (2012).
- [13] Simon Duval, Martin Bernier, Vincent Fortin, Jérôme Genest, Michel Piché, and Réal Vallée, “Femtosecond fiber lasers reach the mid-infrared,” *Optica* **2**, 623–626 (2015).
- [14] Andreas Hugi, Gustavo Villares, Stephane Blaser, H. C. Liu, and Jerome Faist, “Mid-infrared frequency comb based on a quantum cascade laser,” *Nature* **492**, 229–233 (2012).
- [15] Q. Y. Lu, M. Razeghi, S. Slivken, N. Bandyopadhyay, Y. Bai, W. J. Zhou, M. Chen, D. Heydari, A. Haddadi, R. McClintock, M. Amanti, and C. Sirtori, “High power frequency comb based on mid-infrared quantum cascade laser at $\lambda \sim 9 \mu\text{m}$,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **106**, 051105 (2015).
- [16] Alireza Marandi, Charles W. Rudy, Victor G. Plotnichenko, Evgeny M. Dianov, Konstantin L. Vodopyanov, and Robert L. Byer, “Mid-infrared supercontinuum generation in tapered chalcogenide fiber for producing octave-spanning frequency comb around 3 μm ,” *Opt. Express* **20**, 24218–24225 (2012).
- [17] Christian Rosenberg Petersen, Uffe Møller, Irnis Kubat, Binbin Zhou, Sune Dupont, Jacob Ramsay, Trevor Benson, Slawomir Sujecki, Nabil Abdel-Moneim, Zhuoqi Tang, David Furniss, Angela Seddon, and Ole Bang, “Mid-infrared supercontinuum covering the 1.4–13.3 μm molecular fingerprint region using ultra-high NA chalcogenide step-index fibre,” *Nat. Photon.* **8**, 830–834 (2014).
- [18] Yi Yu, Xin Gai, Ting Wang, Pan Ma, Rongping Wang, Zhiyong Yang, Duk-Yong Choi, Steve Madden, and Barry Luther-Davies, “Mid-infrared supercontinuum generation in chalcogenides,” *Opt. Mater. Express* **3**, 1075–1086 (2013).
- [19] Ryan K. W. Lau, Michael R. E. Lamont, Austin G. Griffith, Yoshitomo Okawachi, Michal Lipson, and Alexander L. Gaeta, “Octave-spanning mid-infrared supercontinuum generation in silicon nanowaveguides,” *Opt. Lett.* **39**, 4518–4521 (2014).
- [20] Neetesh Singh, Darren D. Hudson, Yi Yu, Christian Grillet, Stuart D. Jackson, Alvaro Casas-Bedoya, Andrew Read, Petar Atanackovic, Steven G. Duvall, Stefano Palomba, Barry Luther-Davies, Stephen Madden, David J. Moss, and Benjamin J. Eggleton, “Midinfrared supercontinuum generation from 2 to 6 μm in a silicon nanowire,” *Optica* **2**, 797–802 (2015).
- [21] Bart Kuyken, Takuro Ideguchi, Simon Holzner, Ming Yan, Theodor W. Hänsch, Joris Van Campenhout, Peter Verheyen, Stéphane Coen, Francois Leo, Roel Baets, Gunther Roelkens, and Nathalie Picqué, “An octave-spanning mid-infrared frequency comb generated in a silicon nanophotonic wire waveguide,” *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6310 (2015).
- [22] C. Y. Wang, T. Herr, P. Del’Haye, A. Schliesser, J. Hofer, R. Holzwarth, T. W. Hänsch, N. Picqué, and T. J. Kippenberg, “Mid-infrared optical frequency combs at 2.5 μm based on crystalline microresonators,” *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1345 (2013).
- [23] Austin G. Griffith, Ryan K. W. Lau, Jaime Cardenas, Yoshitomo Okawachi, Aseema Mohanty, Romy Fain, Yoon Ho Daniel Lee, Mengjie Yu, Christopher T. Phare, Carl B. Poitras, Alexander L. Gaeta, and Michal Lipson, “Silicon-chip mid-infrared frequency comb generation,” *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6299 (2015).
- [24] H. R. Telle, G. Steinmeyer, A. E. Dunlop, J. Stenger, D. H. Sutter, and U. Keller, “Carrier-envelope offset phase control: A novel concept for absolute optical frequency measurement and ultrashort pulse generation,” *Appl. Phys. B* **69**, 327–332 (1999).
- [25] S. A. Diddams, D. J. Jones, J. Ye, S. T. Cundiff, J. L. Hall, J. K. Ranka, R. S. Windeler, R. Holzwarth, T. Udem, and T. W. Hänsch, “Direct link between microwave and optical frequencies with a 300 THz femtosecond laser comb,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5102–5105 (2000).
- [26] D. J. Jones, S. A. Diddams, J. K. Ranka, A. Stentz, R. S. Windeler, J. L. Hall, and S. T. Cundiff, “Carrier-envelope phase control of femtosecond mode-locked lasers and direct optical frequency synthesis,” *Science* **288**, 635–639 (2000).
- [27] V. Petrov, F. Rotermund, and F. Noak, “Generation of high-power femtosecond light pulses at 1 kHz in the mid-infrared spectral range between 3 and 12 μm by second-

- order nonlinear processes in optical crystals,” *J. Opt. A: Pure Appl. Opt.* **3**, R1 (2001).
- [28] C. Erny, K. Moutzouris, J. Biegert, D. Kühlke, F. Adler, A. Leitenstorfer, and U. Keller, “Mid-infrared difference-frequency generation of ultrashort pulses tunable between 3.2 and 4.8 μm from a compact fiber source,” *Opt. Lett.* **32**, 1138–1140 (2007).
- [29] Samuel A. Meek, Antonin Poisson, Guy Guelachvili, Theodor W. Hänsch, and Nathalie Picqué, “Fourier transform spectroscopy around 3 μm with a broad difference frequency comb,” *Appl. Phys. B* **114**, 573–578 (2014).
- [30] Feng Zhu, Holger Hundertmark, Alexandre A. Kolomenskii, James Strohaber, Ronald Holzwarth, and Hans A. Schuessler, “High-power mid-infrared frequency comb source based on a femtosecond Er: fiber oscillator,” *Opt. Lett.* **38**, 2360–2362 (2013).
- [31] Tyler W. Neely, Todd A. Johnson, and Scott A. Diddams, “High-power broadband laser source tunable from 3.0 μm to 4.4 μm based on a femtosecond Yb: fiber oscillator,” *Opt. Lett.* **36**, 4020–4022 (2011).
- [32] Joachim Krauth, Andy Steinmann, Robin Hegenbarth, Matteo Conforti, and Harald Giessen, “Broadly tunable femtosecond near- and mid-IR source by direct pumping of an OPA with a 41.7 MHz Yb:KGW oscillator,” *Opt. Express* **21**, 11516–11522 (2013).
- [33] Axel Ruehl, Alessio Gambetta, Ingmar Hartl, Martin E. Fermann, Kjeld S. E. Eikema, and Marco Marangoni, “Widely-tunable mid-infrared frequency comb source based on difference frequency generation,” *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 2232–2234 (2012).
- [34] David G. Winters, Philip Schlup, and Randy A. Bartels, “Subpicosecond fiber-based soliton-tuned mid-infrared source in the 9.7–14.9 μm wavelength region,” *Opt. Lett.* **35**, 2179–2181 (2010).
- [35] D. Sánchez, M. Hemmer, M. Baudisch, K. Zawilski, P. Schunemann, H. Hoogland, R. Holzwarth, and J. Biegert, “Broadband mid-ir frequency comb with CdSiP₂ and AgGaS₂ from an Er,Tm:Ho fiber laser,” *Opt. Lett.* **39**, 6883–6886 (2014).
- [36] C. R. Phillips, J. Jiang, C. Mohr, A. C. Lin, C. Langrock, M. Snure, D. Bliss, M. Zhu, I. Hartl, J. S. Harris, M. E. Fermann, and M. M. Fejer, “Widely tunable mid-infrared difference frequency generation in orientation-patterned GaAs pumped with a femtosecond Tm-fiber system,” *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 2928–2930 (2012).
- [37] Tobias Steinle, Andy Steinmann, Robin Hegenbarth, and Harald Giessen, “Watt-level optical parametric amplifier at 42 MHz tunable from 1.35 to 4.5 μm coherently seeded with solitons,” *Opt. Express* **22**, 9567–9573 (2014).
- [38] Flavio C. Cruz, Daniel L. Maser, Todd Johnson, Gabriel Ycas, Andrew Klose, Fabrizio R. Giorgetta, Ian Coddington, and Scott A. Diddams, “Mid-infrared optical frequency combs based on difference frequency generation for molecular spectroscopy,” *Opt. Express* **23**, 26814–26824 (2015).
- [39] I. Galli, F. Cappelli, P. Cancio, G. Giusfredi, D. Mazzotti, S. Bartalini, and P. De Natale, “High-coherence mid-infrared frequency comb,” *Opt. Express* **21**, 28877–28885 (2013).
- [40] Florian Adler, Kevin C. Cossel, Michael J. Thorpe, Ingmar Hartl, Martin E. Fermann, and Jun Ye, “Phase-stabilized, 1.5 W frequency comb at 2.8–4.8 μm ,” *Opt. Lett.* **34**, 1330–1332 (2009).
- [41] Zhaowei Zhang, Tom Gardiner, and Derryck T. Reid, “Mid-infrared dual-comb spectroscopy with an optical parametric oscillator,” *Opt. Lett.* **38**, 3148–3150 (2013).
- [42] Ville Ulvila, C. R. Phillips, Lauri Halonen, and Markku Vainio, “High-power mid-infrared frequency comb from a continuous-wave-pumped bulk optical parametric oscillator,” *Opt. Express* **22**, 10535–10543 (2014).
- [43] Alireza Marandi, Kirk A. Ingold, Marc Jankowski, and Robert L. Byer, “Cascaded half-harmonic generation of femtosecond frequency combs in the mid-infrared,” *Optica* **3**, 324–327 (2016).
- [44] M. Vainio and L. Halonen, “Mid-infrared optical parametric oscillators and frequency combs for molecular spectroscopy,” *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **18**, 4266–4294 (2016).
- [45] Delphine Descloux, Jean-Baptiste Dherbecourt, Jean-Michel Melkonian, Myriam Raybaut, Jui-Yu Lai, Cyril Drag, and Antoine Godard, “Rapidly tunable optical parametric oscillator based on aperiodic quasi-phase matching,” *Opt. Express* **24**, 11112–11125 (2016).
- [46] Richard A. McCracken, Jinghua Sun, Christopher G. Leburn, and Derryck T. Reid, “Broadband phase coherence between an ultrafast laser and an OPO using lock-to-zero CEO stabilization,” *Opt. Express* **20**, 16269–16274 (2012).
- [47] Valdas Pasiskevicius, Gustav Strömquist, Fredrik Laurell, and Carlota Canalías, “Quasi-phase matched nonlinear media: Progress towards nonlinear optical engineering,” *Opt. Mater.* **34**, 513–523 (2012).
- [48] Johan Petit, Philippe Goldner, and Bruno Viana, “Laser emission with low quantum defect in Yb:CaGdAlO₄,” *Opt. Lett.* **30**, 1345–1347 (2005).
- [49] U. Keller, K. J. Weingarten, F. X. Kärtner, D. Kopf, B. Braun, I. D. Jung, R. Fluck, C. Hönninger, N. Matuschek, and J. Aus der Au, “Semiconductor saturable absorber mirrors (SESAMs) for femtosecond to nanosecond pulse generation in solid-state lasers,” *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quant.* **2**, 435–453 (1996).
- [50] Alexander Klenner, Stéphane Schilt, Thomas Südmeyer, and Ursula Keller, “Gigahertz frequency comb from a diode-pumped solid-state laser,” *Opt. Express* **22**, 31008–31019 (2014).
- [51] A. S. Mayer, A. Klenner, A. R. Johnson, K. Luke, M. R. E. Lamont, Y. Okawachi, M. Lipson, A. L. Gaeta, and U. Keller, “Frequency comb offset detection using supercontinuum generation in silicon nitride waveguides,” *Opt. Express* **23**, 15440–15451 (2015).
- [52] Adrea R. Johnson, Aline S. Mayer, Alexander Klenner, Kevin Luke, Erin S. Lamb, Michael R. E. Lamont, Chaitanya Joshi, Yoshitomo Okawachi, Frank W. Wise, Michal Lipson, Ursula Keller, and Alexander L. Gaeta, “Octave-spanning coherent supercontinuum generation in a silicon nitride waveguide,” *Opt. Lett.* **40**, 5117–5120 (2015).
- [53] Alexander Klenner, Aline S. Mayer, Adrea R. Johnson, Kevin Luke, Michael R. E. Lamont, Yoshitomo Okawachi, Michal Lipson, Alexander L. Gaeta, and Ursula Keller, “Gigahertz frequency comb offset stabilization based on supercontinuum generation in silicon nitride waveguides,” *Opt. Express* **24**, 11043–11053 (2016).
- [54] A. Galvanauskas, K. K. Wong, K. El Hadi, M. Hofer, M. E. Fermann, D. Harter, M. H. Chou, and M. M. Fe-

- jer, “Amplification in 1.2-1.7 μm communication window using OPA in PPLN waveguides,” *Elec. Lett.* **35** (1999).
- [55] S. Schilt, V. Dolgovskiy, N. Bucalovic, C. Schori, M. C. Stumpf, G. Di Domenico, S. Pekarek, A. E. H. Oehler, T. Südmeyer, U. Keller, and P. Thomann, “Noise properties of an optical frequency comb from a SESAM-mode-locked 1.5- μm solid-state laser stabilized to the 10^{-13} level,” *Appl. Phys. B* **109**, 391–402 (2012).
- [56] Nathan R. Newbury, Ian Coddington, and William Swann, “Sensitivity of coherent dual-comb spectroscopy,” *Opt. Express* **18**, 7929–7945 (2010).
- [57] K. L. Corwin, N. R. Newbury, J. M. Dudley, S. Coen, S. A. Diddams, B. R. Washburn, K. Weber, and R. S. Windeler, “Fundamental amplitude noise limitations to supercontinuum spectra generated in a microstructured fiber,” *Appl. Phys. B* **77**, 269–277 (2003).
- [58] T. Godin, B. Wetzal, T. Sylvestre, L. Larger, A. Kudlinski, A. Mussot, A. Ben Salem, M. Zghal, G. Genty, F. Dias, and J. M. Dudley, “Real time noise and wavelength correlations in octave-spanning supercontinuum generation,” *Opt. Express* **21**, 18452–18460 (2013).
- [59] R. Baumgartner and R. Byer, “Optical parametric amplification,” *IEEE J. Quantum Elect.* **15**, 432–444 (1979).
- [60] A. V. Smith, D. J. Armstrong, and W. J. Alford, “Increased acceptance bandwidths in optical frequency conversion by use of multiple walk-off-compensating nonlinear crystals,” *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **15**, 122–141 (1998).
- [61] C. R. Phillips, Carsten Langrock, J. S. Pelc, M. M. Fejer, I. Hartl, and Martin E. Fermann, “Supercontinuum generation in quasi-phasematched waveguides,” *Opt. Express* **19**, 18754–18773 (2011).
- [62] C. R. Phillips, *Broadband Optical Sources Based on Highly Nonlinear Quasi-Phasematched Interactions*, Thesis, Stanford University (2012).
- [63] R.V. Roussev, *Optical-Frequency Mixers in Periodically Poled Lithium Niobate: Materials, Modeling and Characterization*, Thesis, Stanford University (2006).
- [64] Ichiro Shoji, Takashi Kondo, Ayako Kitamoto, Masayuki Shirane, and Ryoichi Ito, “Absolute scale of second-order nonlinear-optical coefficients,” *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **14**, 2268–2294 (1997).
- [65] Bauke W. Tilma, Mario Mangold, Christian A. Zaugg, Sandro M. Link, Dominik Waldburger, Alexander Klenner, Aline S. Mayer, Emilio Gini, Matthias Golling, and Ursula Keller, “Recent advances in ultrafast semiconductor disk lasers,” *Light Sci. Appl.* **4**, e310 (2015).