

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Experimental Demonstration of xor Operation in Graphene Magnetologic Gates at Room Temperature

Hua Wen, Hanan Dery, Walid Amamou, Tiancong Zhu, Zhisheng Lin, Jing Shi, Igor Žutić, Ilya Krivorotov, L. J. Sham, and Roland K. Kawakami Phys. Rev. Applied **5**, 044003 — Published 4 April 2016 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevApplied.5.044003

Experimental Demonstration of XOR Operation in Graphene Magnetologic Gates at Room Temperature

Hua Wen^{1,2}, Hanan Dery^{3,†}, Walid Amamou¹, Tiancong Zhu², Zhisheng Lin¹, Jing Shi¹, Igor Žutić ⁴, Ilya Krivorotov⁵, L. J. Sham^{6,#}, Roland K. Kawakami^{1,2,‡}

¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, 92521, USA

² Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 43210, USA

³ Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA

⁴ Department of Physics, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, 14260 USA

⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, 92697, USA

⁶ Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92697, USA

[‡]kawakami.15@osu.edu

[†]hdery@ur.rochester.edu

[#]lsham@ucsd.edu

PACS: 85.75.-d, 72.25.Hg, 85.70.-w, 81.05.ue

Abstract

We report the experimental demonstration of a magnetologic gate built on graphene at room temperature. This magnetologic gate consists of three ferromagnetic electrodes contacting a single layer graphene spin channel and relies on spin injection and spin transport in the graphene. We utilize electrical bias tuning of spin injection to balance the inputs and achieve "exclusive or" (XOR) logic operation. Furthermore, simulation of the device performance shows that substantial improvement towards spintronic applications can be achieved by optimizing device parameters such as device dimensions. This advance holds promise as a basic building block for spin-based information processing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Spintronics is an approach to electronics that utilizes the spin of the electron for information storage and processing [1-3]. By providing the ability to integrate logic with nonvolatile storage in ferromagnetic data registers, spintronics could greatly reduce the power consumption in logic circuits and go beyond traditional CMOS architectures. The demonstration of spin injection into semiconductors [4,5] prompted a variety of proposals for spintronic devices taking advantage of the tunable nature of semiconductors [6-11]. Among these was a proposal by Dery and Sham [12] for an "exclusive or" (XOR) gate based on spin accumulation in a semiconductor channel contacted by three ferromagnetic (FM) electrodes (see Fig. 1(a)). In this device, the magnetization directions of the first two FM electrodes represent the logic inputs ('0' and '1'), and spin injection from these input electrodes generates a current through the third FM electrode which represents the logic output. Subsequently, a more general proposal was developed that combines two such XOR gates to form a universal reconfigurable magnetologic gate (MLG) [8]. This MLG consists of five FM electrodes and the logic operation is represented by OR(XOR(A, B), XOR(C, D)), where A, B, C and D are the four logical input states and the fifth FM electrode reads the output. This can also be utilized as a universal two-input gate, where B and D define the gate operation (e.g. NAND, OR) and A and C represent the two inputs. The experimental discoveries of room temperature spin transport [13] and efficient spin injection into graphene [14] provided an ideal materials platform to realize such MLG devices. Motivated by these advances, the theoretical performance of graphene-based MLG was analyzed and novel spintronic circuits for rapid parallel searching were developed [15]. However, despite these extensive advances in the device modeling and spintronic circuit design, the experimental demonstration of the proposed three-terminal XOR and fiveterminal universal MLG has been lacking.

In this article, we experimentally demonstrate the proposed three-terminal XOR magnetologic gate operation in a graphene spintronic device at room temperature. By carefully tuning the bias current between the two input electrodes, and an offset voltage in the detection loop, a clear non-zero output current (logic '1') is observed when the two inputs are antiparallel, with an absolute zero output current

(logic '0') when the two inputs are parallel. These results provide the proof-of-concept demonstration for a class of magnetologic devices based on spin accumulation and establishes the feasibility of the universal five-terminal MLG. While our advances are based on individual properties observed previously, the novelty comes from integrating these individual components in an unconventional way to achieve the desired logic function. Moreover, instead of using nonlocal lateral spin valves merely as a vehicle to demonstrate spin injection, our results show a tangible way to make use of the injected spin accumulation in similar devices for logic purposes. Furthermore, the signal size of the logic '1' output can be significantly enhanced by reducing the device size according to numerical simulation, making it promising for future spintronic applications.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND RESULTS

The device geometry and measurement circuit are shown in Fig. 1(b). A flake of mechanically exfoliated single layer graphene is contacted by ferromagnetic cobalt (Co) electrodes A, B and M through MgO tunnel barriers [14,16]. The source current I_s is a combination of I_{AC} (ac current to inject spins) and I_{DC} (dc bias current). The output voltage V_{OUT} (ac voltage) is measured using standard low frequency lock-in techniques, and output current I_{OUT} (\equiv V_{OUT}/R_{sen}) is determined using a current detection scheme by systematically tuning the variable sensing resistor R_{sen} [17]. An offset voltage V_{OFFS} (ac voltage, phase and frequency locked to I_{AC}) is used to eliminate any background signal unrelated to spin. Reference electrode R (Ti/Au) is fabricated at the end of graphene and used as the ground point. Backgate voltage V_G is applied on the Si substrate to tune the graphene carrier density.

Figure 1(c) shows the experimental demonstration of the XOR logic operation for a representative device. The four different input states are realized by sweeping an external magnetic field (H, collinear with the easy axes of the ferromagnets) to individually switch the magnetizations of input electrodes A and B, which have different magnetic shape anisotropy. The magnetization of M is kept downward during the logic operation. The measured I_{OUT} varies with the different input states and demonstrates the XOR logic operation. When the inputs are parallel ('00' or '11'), $|I_{OUT}|$ is less than 0.023

nA. When the inputs are antiparallel ('01' or '10'), $|I_{OUT}|$ is stable at about 0.11 nA. The truth table of this XOR gate is summarized in the inset of Fig. 1(c). In the rest of the paper, we explain how this XOR logic operation is achieved and how the output signal could be optimized for future applications.

An important preliminary step is validation of the spin transport properties using traditional nonlocal voltage detection [13,18]. For the measurement circuit in Fig. 1(b), bias current I_{DC} and offset voltage V_{OFFS} are set to zero and R_{sen} is adjusted to be sufficiently large (10 M Ω) to perform voltage detection [17]. For the device under investigation, the Dirac point is located at $V_G = -13$ V [19], and V_G is set to +30 V for the measurements. Electron spins are injected through inputs A and B using a current of $I_{AC} = 1 \ \mu A$ (11 Hz). Figure 2(a) shows the nonlocal voltage V_{OUT} at different magnetization states of A, B and M. We observe three jumps in V_{OUT} as the magnetic field H is swept upward or downward, which correspond to the magnetization switching of the three ferromagnets. This indicates successful spin injection, transport and detection in our device [20].

In order to examine the logic operation of our device, the output electrode needs to be maintained at fixed magnetization. This is possible because electrode M has a distinct, and, more importantly, larger coercive field than A and B. It is worth noting that the coercive field of the electrodes are different for positive and negative fields due to the geometrical shape of the electrodes, which can create domain wall pinning [16,21]. However, it is found that the magnetic field required to switch M from \downarrow to \uparrow is significantly larger than that of A and B, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Therefore, M is initially magnetized to be \downarrow and then H is swept below +44 mT. In this way, the magnetization state of M is fixed at \downarrow throughout the logic operation.

Figure 2(b) shows the voltage signal V_{OUT} with four different input states ($\downarrow\downarrow$, $\uparrow\downarrow$, $\uparrow\uparrow$ and $\downarrow\uparrow$) when H is swept between -20 mT and +40 mT. The input states are realized in the following order: $\downarrow\downarrow$, $\uparrow\downarrow$, $\uparrow\uparrow$, $\downarrow\uparrow$, $\downarrow\downarrow$ when H is swept through -20 mT \rightarrow +40 mT \rightarrow -20 mT. We observe that for antiparallel inputs, V_{OUT} has different values compared to parallel inputs. However, two challenges need to be overcome in order for V_{OUT} to produce the proper logic output signal. The first challenge is that input A contributes a smaller signal to the output compared to input B due to the fact that input A is further away from M than input B. This is indicated by the different values of ΔV_A and ΔV_B in Fig. 2(b). The second challenge is that V_{OUT} is not zero for parallel inputs. These two problems make it difficult to discriminate between logic '0' and '1'. In the following, we present our methods to resolve these challenges.

To tune the signal contribution of inputs A and B, a DC bias current I_{DC} is added in the injection current loop as shown in Fig. 1(b), where positive I_{DC} is defined as current flowing from B, through the graphene, to A. It was previously shown that the nonlocal spin signal can be significantly tuned by a DC bias current [22,23]. Similar bias dependence is observed in our devices, with the spin signal increasing at positive bias (current flowing from electrode to graphene) and decreasing at negative bias [19]. Because inputs A and B are under opposite bias when I_{DC} flows through the injection circuit, we can tune spin signal from input A (ΔV_A) and input B (ΔV_B) in an opposite manner. This is illustrated in Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b), where I_{DC} is varied from -15 μ A to +15 μ A. As I_{DC} is increased, the value of ΔV_A decreases while the value of ΔV_B increases. Notably, when I_{DC} is at -7 μ A, the spin signals from inputs A and B are equal ($\Delta V_A = \Delta V_B$). This results in the balanced output curve as shown in Fig. 3(a) for $I_{DC} = -7 \mu$ A. We have reproduced this tuning and balancing of the two inputs on multiple devices with MgO and Al₂O₃ tunnel barriers [19].

To tune the logic '0' output to actual zero, V_{OFFS} is added in the detection loop (Fig. 1(b)). Output '0' level (defined as background signal V_{bg}) is systematically adjusted by varying V_{OFFS} [19]. For the device presented in this paper, V_{bg} is close to zero when $V_{OFFS} = +8 \ \mu V$.

In order to utilize this spin-based MLG in the proposed spintronic circuit [8,15], we need to convert the logic output from a voltage signal to a current signal. The current output allows the integration of multiple XOR MLGs before doing spin-to-charge conversion. This can greatly reduce the power consumption when performing large data search applications by using this XOR gate as compared to traditional CMOS devices [15]. By utilizing the current detection scheme developed for graphene spin valves [17], we achieve a current output for our XOR logic with sufficiently small R_{sen} (1-3 k Ω , see [19]). The resulting current output signal is shown in Fig. 1(c), with $R_{sen} = 3 \text{ k}\Omega$, $I_{DC} = -7 \mu \text{A}$ and $V_{OFFS} = +8 \mu \text{V}$. This curve displays precisely the behavior needed for the XOR magnetologic gate [12]. When the two

inputs are '00' or '11', the output current is zero (logical '0'), and when the two inputs are '01' or '10', the output current is nonzero (logical '1'). We note that the output currents of '01' and '10' logic states have opposite polarities. On one hand, this can be rectified in circuits where the opposite polarity is undesirable. On the other hand, when this XOR gate is considered as a building block for the more complex five-terminal MLG, the opposite polarity of the output is essential [8,15].

While this proof-of-concept demonstration of a graphene-based magnetologic gate shows promise for future spintronics devices, several key improvements are needed for practical applications of the gate. First, writing of the magnetic information should be facilitated by spin-transfer torque (STT) techniques [24] or spin Hall effect [25,26] (SHE) that alleviates the need for external magnetic fields and different shapes for contacts. We note that STT and SHE can be achieved by an all metallic path, with no current leakage to graphene [14]. Second, the operation should be independent of device-specific bias current (I_{DC}) and offset voltage (V_{OFFS}). For the former, this can be achieved by engineering device parameters including spin polarization of the contacts, geometric size of contacts and graphene, the spin diffusion length of graphene, etc., and is further discussed in the following paragraphs. For the latter, V_{OFFS} is used to cancel the *spin-independent* signal that is present in many nonlocal spin valve measurements, but whose origin is unknown. Further studies on the origin of this background would be extremely helpful in this regard [27]. However, in spintronic circuits of the type proposed by Dery and Sham [8,15], the oscillating magnetization of the readout electrode (M) will extract only the *spin-dependent* part of the signal, thereby alleviating the need for V_{OFFS} .

III. SIMULATION ON OPTIMIZED DEVICES AND DISCUSSION

We simulate the output current signal for various critical device parameters using one dimensional spin drift-diffusion model considering finite-size contacts [19,28]. The current spin polarization of electrode A, B and M are assumed to be of the same (P_J). P_J is experimentally measured to be ~0.11 in the presented device. Figure 4(a) shows the signal difference (ΔI_{OUT}) between '1' and '0' output ($\Delta I_{OUT} \equiv |I_{OUT}('1') - I_{OUT}('0')|$) for P_J = 0.11, 0.20, 0.30. Increasing P_J is found to significantly

increase ΔI_{OUT} . ΔI_{OUT} can be enhanced even further with higher P_J using alternative tunnel barriers [29]. Interestingly, we find that contact resistance of M (R_M) plays an important role in optimizing the output current. I_{OUT} exhibits a maximum at R_M ~ 1.2 k Ω . This optimal R_M depends on the graphene size and the spin diffusion length of graphene (2.2 µm in the present device as determined through Hanle precession [20]). For lower R_M, contact induced spin relaxation reduces the spin accumulation and thus reduces the output current [30]. For higher R_M, the increased resistance of the detection circuit reduces the output current [17]. The current at optimal R_M is about 2 times larger than the output current for R_M ~ 11.5 k Ω in the presented device (grey dot in Fig. 4(a)).

The performance of the device can be further improved by working in a confined geometry. Figure 4(b) shows the simulated current for logic '0' and '1' output for a much smaller device (shown in the inset). This device has a size of 350 nm \times 500 nm (L \times W) and there is no graphene extending outside of electrodes A and R [19]. The current for '1' output is two orders of magnitude larger than '0' output due to the reduced spacing (center-to-center distance is 100 nm) between the two inputs, A and B. In such a confined device, the logic operation is simplified since there is no need for adding I_{DC} to balance the contribution of inputs A and B because the electrode spacing is much less than the spin diffusion length [31]. This simplification is crucial for large-scale integration of these devices into logic circuits. Whereas scaling down the feature size in modern CMOS technology leads to undesirable leakage currents, the performance of our MLGs will continue to improve with further reduction of the distance between contacts [15].

Finally we discuss two issues related to the practical implementation of the MLG into circuits, namely cascading and operation speed. For general purpose logic, one method for cascading MLGs is to use the small output current I_{OUT} as a trigger for a thyristor latch, which will drive larger currents for writing the magnetizations of subsequent MLG input electrodes [8]. Alternatively, one could use a current amplifier on I_{OUT} to switch the input of a subsequent MLG by spin torque. Meanwhile, for certain types of logic applications such as search engines, specially designed circuits require only one CMOS amplifier to be used for every ~100 MLGs [15]. Through such design, the overall circuit can be much more efficient

due to the minimum interface with CMOS. For the issue of operation speed, some important considerations are the switching time for the FM electrode, the RC time constant of the contact, and the spin transport time across the graphene channel. As discussed in ref. [15], the switching times are on the order of ~1 ns and the RC time constant is on the order of ~80 ps (for 200 k Ω resistance and 0.4 fF parallel capacitance). The spin transport time across 1 µm of high mobility graphene is ~12 ps (diffusion constant of 0.08 m²/s, as in [32]). While all these factors can be substantially improved, the most important limiting factor at present is the switching time of the FM electrode.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a graphene MLG that performs XOR logic at room temperature. The key step is to systematically tune the injection current bias to balance the contributions of the two input ferromagnetic electrodes to the output signal. With further reduction of the graphene area and optimization of the magnetic contacts (resistance and spin polarization), these MLGs will improve the performance of information-processing integrated circuits.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge Y. Kato and B. Bushong for helpful discussions. This work was supported by NRI-NSF (DMR-1124601). We also acknowledge the support from ONR (N00014-14-1-0350), NSF (DMR-1310661), NSF-MRSEC (DMR-1420451), NSF (ECCS-1231570), NSF (ECCS-1508873), DTRA (HDTRA1-13-1-0013), ONR (N00014-13-1-0754) and C-SPIN, one of the six SRC STARnet Centers, sponsored by MARCO and DARPA.

References:

- S. A. Wolf, D. D. Awschalom, R. A. Buhrman, J. M. Daughton, S. von Molnar, M. L. Roukes, A. Y. Chtchelkanova, and D. M. Treger, Spintronics: A Spin-Based Electronics Vision for the Future, Science 294, 1488 (2001).
- I. Žutić, J. Fabian, and D. Das Sarma, Spintronics: Fundamentals and applications, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 88 (2004).
- 3. S. Maekawa, *Concepts in spin electronics*. (New York: Oxford, 2006).
- 4. Y. Ohno, D. K. Young, B. Beschoten, F. Matsukura, H. Ohno, and D. D. Awschalom, Electrical spin injection in a ferromagnetic semiconductor heterostructure, Nature **402**, 790 (1999).
- R. Fiederling, M. Keim, G. Reuscher, W. Ossau, G. Schmidt, A. Waag, and L. W. Molenkamp, Injection and detection of a spin-polarized current in a light-emitting diode, Nature 402, 787 (1999).
- M. E. Flatté, Z. G. Yu, E. Johnston-Halperin, and D. D. Awschalom, Theory of semiconductor magnetic bipolar transistors, Applied Physics Letters 82, 4740 (2003).
- S. Sugahara and M. Tanaka, A spin metal–oxide–semiconductor field-effect transistor using halfmetallic-ferromagnet contacts for the source and drain, Applied Physics Letters 84, 2307 (2004).
- H. Dery, P. Dalal, L. Cywinski, and L. J. Sham, Spin-based logic in semiconductors for reconfigurable large-scale circuits, Nature 447, 573 (2007).
- J. Fabian and I. Žutić, Spin-polarized current amplification and spin injection in magnetic bipolar transistors, Physical Review B 69, 115314 (2004).
- E. Johnston-Halperin, D. Lofgreen, R. K. Kawakami, D. K. Young, L. Coldren, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, Spin-polarized Zener tunneling in (Ga,Mn)As, Physical Review B 65, 041306 (2002).
- I. Žutić, J. Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, Spin-Polarized Transport in Inhomogeneous Magnetic Semiconductors: Theory of Magnetic/Nonmagnetic p-n Junctions, Physical Review Letters 88, 066603 (2002).

- H. Dery, Ł. Cywiński, and L. J. Sham, Spin transference and magnetoresistance amplification in a transistor, Physical Review B 73, 161307 (2006).
- N. Tombros, C. Jozsa, M. Popinciuc, H. T. Jonkman, and B. J. van Wees, Electronic spin transport and spin precession in single graphene layers at room temperature, Nature 448, 571 (2007).
- W. Han, K. Pi, K. M. McCreary, Y. Li, J. J. I. Wong, A. G. Swartz, and R. K. Kawakami, Tunneling Spin Injection into Single Layer Graphene, Physical Review Letters 105, 167202 (2010).
- H. Dery, W. Hui, B. Ciftcioglu, M. Huang, S. Yang, R. Kawakami, S. Jing, I. Krivorotov, I. Žutić, and L. J. Sham, Nanospintronics Based on Magnetologic Gates, IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices 59, 259 (2012).
- W. Han, K. M. McCreary, K. Pi, W. H. Wang, Y. Li, H. Wen, J. R. Chen, and R. K. Kawakami, Spin transport and relaxation in graphene, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 324, 369 (2012).
- H. Wen, T. Zhu, Y. Luo, W. Amamou, and R. K. Kawakami, Current-based detection of nonlocal spin transport in graphene for spin-based logic applications, Journal of Applied Physics 115, 17B741 (2014).
- M. Johnson and R. H. Silsbee, Interfacial charge-spin coupling: Injection and detection of spin magnetization in metals, Physical Review Letters 55, 1790 (1985).
- See Supplemental Material at [URL] for more details on device characteristics, XOR operation using Al₂O₃ tunnel barrier, output signal tuning using V_{OFFS}, I_{OUT} for different R_{sen}, and simulation of I_{OUT}.
- W. Han, R. K. Kawakami, M. Gmitra, and J. Fabian, Graphene spintronics, Nature Nanotechnology 9, 794 (2014).
- A. J. Berger, M. R. Page, H. Wen, K. M. McCreary, V. P. Bhallamudi, R. K. Kawakami, and P. Chris Hammel, Correlating spin transport and electrode magnetization in a graphene spin valve:

Simultaneous magnetic microscopy and non-local measurements, Applied Physics Letters **107**, 142406 (2015).

- 22. C. Jozsa, M. Popinciuc, N. Tombros, H. T. Jonkman, and B. J. van Wees, Controlling the efficiency of spin injection into graphene by carrier drift, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 4 (2009).
- W. Han, W. H. Wang, K. Pi, K. M. McCreary, W. Bao, Y. Li, F. Miao, C. N. Lau, and R. K. Kawakami, Electron-Hole Asymmetry of Spin Injection and Transport in Single-Layer Graphene, Physical Review Letters 102, 137205 (2009).
- D. C. Ralph and M. D. Stiles, Spin transfer torques, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 320, 1190 (2008).
- L. Liu, C.-F. Pai, Y. Li, H. W. Tseng, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, Spin-Torque Switching with the Giant Spin Hall Effect of Tantalum, Science 336, 555 (2012).
- K. Garello, C. O. Avci, I. M. Miron, M. Baumgartner, A. Ghosh, S. Auffret, O. Boulle, G. Gaudin, and P. Gambardella, Ultrafast magnetization switching by spin-orbit torques, Applied Physics Letters 105, 212402 (2014).
- S. Garzon, I. Zutic, and R. A. Webb, Temperature Dependent Asymmetry of the Nonlocal Spin-Injection Resistance: Evidence for Spin Non-conserving Interface Scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 176601 (2005).
- H. Dery, L. Cywinski, and L. J. Sham, Lateral diffusive spin transport in layered structures, Phys. Rev. B 73, 41306 (2006).
- A. L. Friedman, O. M. J. van 't Erve, C. H. Li, J. T. Robinson, and B. T. Jonker, Homoepitaxial tunnel barriers with functionalized graphene-on-graphene for charge and spin transport, Nat Commun 5, (2014).
- W. Han, K. Pi, W. Bao, K. M. McCreary, Y. Li, W. H. Wang, C. N. Lau, and R. K. Kawakami, Electrical detection of spin precession in single layer graphene spin valves with transparent contacts, Applied Physics Letters 94, 222109 (2009).

- M. H. D. Guimarães, van den Berg, J. J., Vera-Marun, I. J., Zomer, P. J., and van Wees, B. J.,
 Spin transport in graphene nanostructures, Phys. Rev. B 90, 10 (2014).
- 32. M. H. D. Guimarães, P. J. Zomer, J. Ingla-Aynés, J. C. Brant, N. Tombros, and B. J. van Wees, Controlling Spin Relaxation in Hexagonal BN-Encapsulated Graphene with a Transverse Electric Field, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 86602 (2014).

Figure Captions

FIG. 1. Experimental demonstration of graphene XOR magnetologic gate. (a) Diagram of proposed XOR magnetologic gate device. A, B and M are ferromagnetic electrodes on top of a spin transport channel. Input logic '1' and '0' are the two magnetization directions along the easy axis of the electrodes. I_S injects spins through the two inputs, A and B. I_{OUT} is the logic output signal. (b) Cartoon of experimental device structure and measurement setup. A, B and M are MgO/Co electrodes. Spin channel is a single layer graphene. R is Ti/Au nonmagnetic reference electrode used as ground point. I_{OUT} and V_{OUT} are the measured current and voltage signal, respectively. R_{sen} is a variable resistor. V_{OFFS} is an ac voltage source. External magnetic field H is applied to the easy axis of the electrodes. Center-to-center distance of the electrodes are: $L_{AB} = 1.6 \mu m$, $L_{BM} = 1.8 \mu m$. $L_{MR} = 7.85 \mu m$. Graphene width along H direction is ~4.3 μm . (c) I_{OUT} measured as a function of H. Black (red) curve indicates H sweeps upwards (downwards). Vertical arrows indicate the magnetization states of A and B. Top left inset: truth table of XOR logic operation.

FIG. 2. Nonlocal voltage detection of spin transport. (a) and (b) Voltage signal V_{OUT} as a function of H for a full sweep ((a), -45 mT to +60 mT) and minor loop ((b), -20 mT to +40 mT). $I_S = I_{AC} = 1 \mu A$. In (b), only A and B switch their magnetization. The change of V_{OUT} when A (B) switches its magnetization direction is noted as ΔV_A (ΔV_B). M is fixed to be \downarrow .

FIG. 3 Tuning ΔV_A and ΔV_B using bias current I_{DC} . (a) V_{OUT} as a function of H (minor loop, as in Fig. 2(b)) at different I_{DC} . Curves are shifted vertically for clarity. (b) ΔV_A and ΔV_B as a function of I_{DC} . At

positive (negative) I_{DC} , B is under positive (negative) bias and A is under negative (positive) bias. Vertical arrows indicates the flow of current I_{DC} . 'Gr.' represents graphene channel.

FIG. 4. Optimizing output current signal. (a) Signal difference between '1' and '0' logic output ΔI_{OUT} (\equiv $|I_{OUT}('1') - I_{OUT}('0')|$) as a function of R_M for different spin polarization of contacts, assuming $P_A = P_B = P_M = P_J$, and $P_R = 0$. Grey dot represents our current device parameters. (b) Output signal I_{OUT} ('1' and '0') as a function of R_M for an optimized device geometry. Signal for '0' is magnified by 10 times. Inset: optimized device structure. There is no graphene beyond electrode A and R. The whole device length is L = 350 nm. Graphene width is W = 500 nm. Each electrode (A, B, M and R) has width of 50 nm, and center-to-center distance between adjacent electrodes is 100 nm. Spin polarization P_J is 0.30.

Figure 1:



Figure 1 NLR1008N 06MAR16





Figure 2 NLR1008N 06MAR16





Figure 3 NLR1008N 06MAR16





Figure 4 NLR1008N 06MAR16