

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Highly efficient and pure few-photon source on chip

Zhaohui Ma, Jia-Yang Chen, Malvika Garikapati, Zhan Li, Chao Tang, Yong Meng Sua, and Yu-Ping Huang

Phys. Rev. Applied **20**, 044033 — Published 12 October 2023 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevApplied.20.044033

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A Highly Efficient and Pure Few-Photon Source on Chip

Zhaohui Ma, Jia-Yang Chen, Malvika Garikapati, Zhan Li, Chao Tang, Yong Meng Sua, and Yu-Ping Huang*

Department of Physics, Stevens Institute of Technology,

1 Castle Point Terrace, Hoboken, New Jersey, 07030, USA and

Center for Quantum Science and Engineering, Stevens Institute of Technology,

1 Castle Point Terrace, Hoboken, New Jersey, 07030, USA

(Dated: September 22, 2023)

We report on multi-photon statistics of correlated twin beams produced in a periodic poled microring resonator on thin-film lithium niobate. Owing to high cavity confinement and near perfect quasi-phase matching, the photons pairs are produced efficiently in single modes at rates reaching 27 MHz per μ W pump power. By using a pump laser whose pulse width impedance matches with the cavity, those photons are further created in single longitudinal modes with purity reaching 99%, without relying on later-on filtering. With a dual-channel photon-number resolving detection system, we obtain directly the joint detection probabilities of multi-photon states up to three photons, with high coincidence to accidental contrast for each. Used as a single photon source, it gives heralded $g_{H}^{(2)}(0)$ around 0.04 at a single photon rate of 650 kHz on chip. The findings of our research highlight the potential of this nanophotonic platform as a promising platform for generating nonclassical, few-photon states with ideal indistinguishability, for fundamental quantum optics studies and information applications.

53

54

87

88

89

20

I. INTRODUCTION

Discrete photon-number and quantum entangled states ⁵⁵ 21 are among the cornerstones of quantum optics and ⁵⁶ 22 its many information processing applications. Limited ⁵⁷ 23 by photon creation and measurement technology, most ⁵⁸ 24 quantum applications hitherto have been designed based ⁵⁹ 25 on the uses of their lowest-order forms: single photons 60 26 or two of them in pairs. For example, quantum key dis-⁶¹ 27 tribution based on BB84 uses antibunched single photon ⁶² 28 states[1], while quantum teleportation takes advantage ⁶³ 29 of two-photon entanglement[2]. Lately, the emergence ⁶⁴ 30 of photon-number resolving (PNR) capability in photon ⁶⁵ 31 detection has open a door to a new paradigm of quan-⁶⁶ 32 tum optics, where nonclassical states containing multiple ⁶⁷ 33 photons promise to offer significant advantages in com- 68 34 puting and sensing. In this pursuit, encouraging progress ⁶⁹ 35 has been made in the generation of multiphoton quantum ⁷⁰ 36 states [3, 4], quantum interferometry using N00N states ⁷¹ 37 [5, 6], quantum sensing using photon-number squeezing ⁷² 38 73 [7], and quantum computing [8]. 39

To capitalize on the quantum benefits of multiphoton ⁷⁴ 40 states, it is desirable to embed them in single optical ⁷⁵ 41 modes. In bulky photon sources of spontaneous paramet- ⁷⁶ 42 ric downconversion (SPDC) or four-wave mixing, to meet ⁷⁷ 43 this condition usually requires ultra-narrow band filtering 78 44 or using ultra-short, broadband pump pulses [4, 9, 10], 79 45 either of which add significantly to system complexity ⁸⁰ 46 and footprint. In contrast, nanophotonic circuits with ⁸¹ 47 high Q cavities can create photons intrinsically in single ⁸² 48 spatial and temporal modes of high purity. For example, ⁸³ 49 a $\chi^{(3)}$ microring was shown to produce squeezed states ⁸⁴ 50 in good single modes, albeit suffering parametric fluores-51 85 cence emission into multiple cavity lines [11]. 52 86

Here, we demonstrate an on-chip $\chi^{(2)}$ source of multiphoton states in quasi-phase matched microrings of lithium niobate on insulator (LNOI). Due to subwavelength lateral confinement, the photons are created in single transverse (spatial) modes of high purity. With a high cavity Q and by using a pump laser whose pulse width impedance-matches with the cavity, those photons are further created in single longitudinal (timefrequency) modes with purity reaching 99%, without relying on later-on filtering. Such high purity in both spatial and time-frequency modes gives rise to high indistinguishability, as desirable for many quantum computing, teleportation, and sensing applications. Aided by nearly perfect quasi phase matching through periodic poling, the photon generation efficiency is exceptional, where only microwatt pump power is required to create single, double, and triplet photon states of high correlation and at megahertz rates. Such high purity and high efficiency contribute to the device scaling and wide deployment. Together with narrow cavity bandwidth, they suppress background noise created through, e.g., Raman scattering or fluorescence emission. On detection, we use photon-number resolving, superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors (PNR-SNSPDs) built in a parallel circuit configuration to accurately characterize the photon number statistics and time correlation of multiphoton states with picosecond resolution. Our results show high coincident to accidental ratios for photon counts in one, two, and three photon states. Finally, we show how this system can be used for heralded singlephoton generation at 10 MHz clock speed. [12, 13].

Device Calibration and Experiment Setup. Figure 1 gives device details of the on-chip multiphoton source. As shown in Fig. I.(a), it is a perodically poled microring cavity fabricated on a Z-cut LNOI wafer (by NANOLN Inc.), with a 600-nm thick lithium niobate thin film bonded onto a $2-\mu m$ silicon dioxide layer above a sili-

^{*} yhuang5@stevens.edu

con substrate. Utilizing our standard fabrication method 90 [14], a top width of $1.6\mu m$ and a radius of $80\mu m$ perod-91 ically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) microring is etched 92 with a pulley bus wavguide as the coupler. The loaded 93 quality factor (Q_l) is measured for each mode, and the 94 coupling (Q_c) and intrinsic (Q_0) factors are each cal-95 culated by fitting the resonance spectra; see result in 96 Fig. I.(b). The chip is fiber coupled, with the fiber-97 chip-fiber coupling losses measured to be 9.2 ± 0.2 dB 98 at 1553.93 nm and 11.5 \pm 0.3 dB at 776.96 nm, respec-99 tively. The overall optical nonlinearity is characterized 100 by second harmonic generation (SHG), similarly to our 101 previous measurement [15]. With an on-chip pump power 102 P_p of 4.78 μ W, $P_{SH} = 75$ nW of second harmonic light is 103 coupled out into the bus waveguide. The SHG efficiency 104 is thus $\eta_{\text{SHG}} = P_{\text{SH}}/P_p^2 = 0.33\%/\mu W$, thus supporting highly efficient SPDC using only microwatt pumping. 105 106

In a single-mode cavity, the effective Hamiltonian de scribing quasi-phase matched, non-degenerate sponta neous parametric downconversion can be written as fol lows:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hbar g (\hat{a}_s \hat{a}_i \hat{b}_p^\dagger + \hat{a}_s^\dagger \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_p), \qquad (1)$$

where $\{\hat{a}_s, \hat{a}_i, \text{ and } \hat{a}_p\}$ each denotes the annihilation op-111 erator for the signal, idler, and pump photons, and g is 112 the nonlinear coupling coefficient between the pump and 113 photon pairs. By periodic poling, the current lithium 114 niobate micro-ring resonator can achieve phase match-115 ing while attaining the largest overlap between the fun-116 damental quasi-transverse magnetic (quasi-TM) cavity 117 modes in the infrared bands for the signal and idler pho-118 tons and the visible band for the pump. Meanwhile, it 119 provides the access to the largest $\chi^{(2)}$ nonlinear tensor 120 d_{33} of lithium niobate. All contribute to a large effective 121 nonlinear coupling coefficient q, which is given by [14] 122

$$g = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_p \omega_s \omega_i}{2\epsilon_0 \epsilon_p \epsilon_s \epsilon_i}} \frac{\frac{2}{\pi} d_{\text{eff}} \zeta}{\sqrt{V_{\text{eff}}}},\tag{2}$$

where ω_j is the angular frequency, with j=p,s, and i in-123 dicates the pump, signal, and idler modes, respectively. 124 ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity. $\{\epsilon_i\}$ are the relative per-142 125 mittivities. $d_{\rm eff}$ is the effective nonlinear susceptibility¹⁴³ 126 with the quasi phase matching discount. ζ is the mode-144 127 overlapping factor. $V_{\rm eff}$ is the effective mode volume.¹⁴⁵ 128 For the current microring device, the calculated single-146 129 photon coupling strength g is 2.98 MHz. 147 130

Figure 2 illustrates the experiment setup for generat-148 131 ing and detecting photons. A visible pulse train with149 132 a pulse duration of τ =300 ps and a repetition rate of¹⁵⁰ 133 10MHz is created with a bulk SHG system made of a¹⁵¹ 134 periodic-poled lithium niobate waveguide, to match the152 135 cavity lifetime and ensure single-mode operations; see de-153 136 tails in Appendix A. Its power is varied by using a visi-154 137 ble fiber attenuator (OZ OPTICS), and its polarization₁₅₅ 138 is controlled using fiber polarization controllers (FPCs).156 139 The output is fed into the microring cavity to excite the¹⁵⁷ 140 quasi-TM visible mode at 776.96 nm with a bandwidth₁₅₈ 141



FIG. 1. (a): Schematic of the Z-cut periodical poling microring resonator, where the pump (ω_P) couples into the microring and generates signal (ω_S) and idler (ω_I) . A pulley coupler is designed for overcoupling all light waves for high photon-extraction efficiency. Inset shows an SEM image of the microring with the pulley waveguide. Figure 1 (b) plots the typical spectra of interacting TM₀₀ cavity modes at (i) 776.96 nm, (ii) 1551.85 nm, and (iii) 1555.93 nm



FIG. 2. Experiment setup. VA, Variable Attenuator; DWDM, Dense wavelength-division multiplexing; TT, Time Tagger unit; Sync, synchronise cable.

of 1.14 GHz. There, signal and idler photons are created through SPDC into 1551.85 nm and 1555.93 nm quasi-TM modes, respectively, each in bandwidth of 1.68 GHz. The SPDC efficiency is tracked and maximized by temperature tuning using a temperature electronic controller (TEC). Subsequently, the generated photon pairs are filtered using an inline long-pass filter featuring an 80 dB extinction ratio and a 0.5 dB insertion loss (IL) to eliminate the pump power while transmitting the generated photon pairs.

In order to separate the signal and idler photons, cascaded dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) filters with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) transmission bandwidth of 1.6 nm are employed, resulting in a transmission loss of approximately 0.3 dB. A pair of FPCs are then utilized to independently prepare the signal and idler photons in the best polarization states to



FIG. 3. Photon number statistics for different mean photon numbers.

be detected by the SNSPDs with the maximum detec-177 159 tion efficiency. The two-channel PNR-SNSPDs (ID281,178 160 ID Quantique) feature a dark count rate of 50 to 100179 161 Hz and detection efficiencies of 70% (corresponding to₁₈₀ 162 1.55 dB loss) and 82% (0.86 dB loss), respectively. The181 163 detector outputs are fed to a synchronized time-tagging₁₈₂ 164 unit (Swabian Instrument). Accounting for all insertion₁₈₃ 165 losses, chip-fiber coupling losses, and finite detection effi-184 166 ciencies, the signal and idler channels experience a total₁₈₅ 167 loss of $\eta_{\rm S}$ =7.55 dB and $\eta_{\rm I}$ =6.76 dB, respectively. 186 168



FIG. 4. (a) Detected photon distribution, thermal light and¹⁹⁶ coherent light fitting at a mean photon number of approx-¹⁹⁹ imately 0.0137. (b) Detected photon distribution, thermal²⁰⁰ light and coherent light fitting at a mean photon number of²⁰¹ approximately 0.008.

Photon Number Statistics. Upon carefully cal-²⁰⁴ 169 ibrating the chip device and SNSPDs, we proceed to²⁰⁵ 170 measure the photon number statistics of the signal and²⁰⁶ 171 idler photons while varying the input pump power. For₂₀₇ 172 the signal channel, the measurement results are shown₂₀₈ 173 in Fig. 3, where the normalized probabilities of detect-209 174 ing 0, 1, 2, and 3 photons are plotted along with error₂₁₀ 175 bar (assuming shot noise) under various mean photon₂₁₁ 176

number. As shown, the overall photon number distribution follows thermal distribution, as expected. As the mean photon number increases, the relative probabilities of multiple photon events increase. For example, when the mean photon number is 0.0028, the normalized probabilities for one, two, and three photons are 2.80×10^{-3} , 7.85×10^{-6} , and 3.03×10^{-7} , respectively. As we increase it to 0.0137, they each become 1.37×10^{-2} , 1.91×10^{-4} , and 1.77×10^{-6} . Because the SPDC saturated regime of multi-photon starts at mean photon number of 0.0137, there will be no obvious increasing at three-photon case. In the figure, the error bars for three-photon events are higher because of much less detection events so that the Poissonian noise is more pronounced.

To further show that our SPDC source indeed operates in the single-mode range, in Fig. 4 we compare the measurement results with ideal thermal light distribution (TLD) in a single mode for two mean photon number cases: 0.0137 and 0.008. The TLD follows $P(n) = \bar{n}^n / (1 + \bar{n})^{n+1}$, where n and \bar{n} denote the photon number and their mean, respectively. As seen, in both cases the measurement results agree well with TLD for the one and two photon cases. Compared with the coherent light distribution, there is a clearly deviation. For the three photon case, there is noticeable discrepancy, which can primarily be ascribed to the threshold sensitivity encountered in higher photon situations for the present SNSPD system. These results verify that our SPDC photons are in single modes, as desirable for many quantum information and quantum computing processes.

Photon correlation. Next, we characterize the onephoton and two-photon pair generation, by measuring their rates in each individual channel and jointly over paired SPDC channels. Specifically, we record the events of detecting one and two photons in the signal channel, with rates N_S and N_{SS} , respectively, and in the idler channel with N_I and N_{II} . Simultaneously, we record the one-photon coincident events where there is one photon detected in each channel, with rate N_{SI} , as well as twophoton coincident events for two photons per channel with rate N_{SSII} .

From these rates, the on-chip generation rate for the 218 one-photon pairs is estimated to the first order as $P_{SI} =$ 219 $N_S N_I / N_{SI}$. The results are plotted as a function of the 220 on-chip SPDC pump power in Fig. 5.(a). As shown, P_{SI} 221 increases linearly with the power, as expected. Only 220 222 nW power is needed to create 7 million pairs per second. 223 By linear regression, the brightness, defined as pair gen-224 eration per unit pump power, is obtained as the slope 225 of the fitting curve as 27 MHz/ μ W, which is among the 226 highest across all SPDC sources in various materials. The 227 detection rate corresponds to ten times higher than our 228 previous result [16], which is ascribed to the higher effi-229 ciencies in both photon pair generation and detection.²⁵⁵

230 Similarly, for the two-photon pairs (i.e., two signal pho-256 231 tons and two idler photons generated simultaneously in²⁵⁷ 232 pairs), the on-chip rate under first order approximation²⁵⁸ 233 is $P_{\rm SSII} = N_{\rm SS} N_{\rm H} / N_{\rm SSII}$. The results as a function of the²⁵⁹ 234 on-chip pump power are plotted in Fig. 5.(b). In con-²⁶⁰ 235 trast to the one-photon pair case, here the rate increases²⁶¹ 236 quadratically over the power, because the underline pro-²⁶² 237 cess is of the second order in SPDC. At 220 nW pumping,²⁶³ 238 the two-photon pair on-chip rate is $8.6(10^4)$, and increase²⁶⁴ 239 265 to $9.5(10^6)$ at $1.12 \ \mu W$. 240



FIG. 5. On-chip generation rates for one-photon pairs $(a)_{278}$ and two-photon pairs (b), respectively, along with their curve fitting results.

The above results are from simple calculations $\mathrm{under}^{^{281}}$ 241 the first order approximation. To further characterize²⁸² 242 the mutliphoton correlation, we count the joint events²⁸³ 243 of mixed photon numbers and use loss inversion to cal-284 244 culate the inferred joint states of photon numbers [4].²⁸⁵ 245 The results for 0.137 mean photon number on chip are286 246 shown in Fig. 6, where we neglect the contributions from 287 247 detector dark counts and ambient photons (about 100288 248 Hz). As seen, while the photon numbers in the signal₂₈₉ 249 and idler channels are correlated, the correlation is not₂₉₀ 250 strong. This is mainly due to the high total loss of each₂₉₁ 251 channel (7.55 dB and 6.76 dB) and the low coincidence²⁹² 252 events of multiphoton states, because of which the loss₂₉₃ 253 inversion calculation is not very accurate. 294 254



FIG. 6. Coincidence photon probability at average pump power around 1.1μ W.

To get a better measurement, we exam the coincident detection of the various multiphoton states. The results for the same pump power as in Fig. 6 are given in Table I as the coincidence-to-accidental counting rates of one, two, and three photons in each channel. Here, the coincidence rates between S(n) and I(m) are for event occurrences of simultaneously detecting n signal photons and m idler photons in the same time slot (in this case each of 400 ps width). The accidental rates are for those events occuring in a different slot, set by 100 ns apart to avoid any correlation. As seen, the coincidence to accidental detection ratio is about 10 for single-photon pairs, and 100 for two-photon pairs, which shows high correlation. Over our total acquisiton period of 120 seconds, we record 3 coincidence of three photon pairs, but no accidental event. Interestingly, in the Figure, the coincident rates are not maximized at diagonal. For example, the coincident detection of one signal photon and two idler photons is more likely than that of two signal and two idler photons. This is because although signal and idler photons are created on chip with strong photon number correlation, the total loss is about 7 dB per channel so that only a fraction of them can be detected thus blurring the correlation.

From Table I, the mutual correlation function can be calculated $g^{(n,m)} = \langle \hat{a}^{\dagger n} \hat{a}^n \hat{b}^{\dagger m} \hat{b}^m \rangle / \langle \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} \rangle^n \langle \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \rangle^m$. To satisfy the non-classical criteria [3, 17], the following condition must be met: $\gamma = g^{(1,2)} / \sqrt{g^{(2,2)}g^{(0,2)}} > 1$. From the pump power ranging from 220 nW to 1.12 μ W, we have calculated γ to between 1.3 and 1.6, indicating good quantum correlation.

We next study the prospective use of this source for heralded single photon generation. Figure 7 plots the heralded photon correlation for both channels under various pump power. In contrast to standard Hanbury Brown and Twiss effect (HBT) measurement using a beamsplitter, here we utilize the collected multiphoton statistics directly by the PNR-SNSPDs. In this case, the second-order correlation function at $\tau=0$ without heralding, denoted as $g^{(2)}(0)$, is given by

TABLE I. CAR measured at average power around $1.1 \mu W$

	${ m S}(0)$	S(1)	S(2)	S(3)
I(0)	$1.17 \times 10^9 : 1.16 \times 10^9$	$1.34 \times 10^7 : 1.51 \times 10^7$	$1.54 \times 10^5 : 1.93 \times 10^5$	296:373
I(1)	$1.44 \times 10^7 : 1.61 \times 10^7$	$1.84 \times 10^6 : 2.10 \times 10^5$	$3.88 \times 10^4 : 2.73 \times 10^3$	77:5
I(2)	$1.80 \times 10^5 : 2.24 \times 10^5$	$4.38 \times 10^4 : 2.93 \times 10^3$	$3.52 \times 10^{3}:35$	8:0
I(3)	$1.52 \times 10^3 : 1.94 \times 10^4$	412:22	35:0	3:0

TABLE II. Mode Purity in Various Photon Sources

Reference	Material Structure	Quality Factor	Pulse Width	$g^{(2)}$	K	Purity
Eckstein[9]	PPKTP ^a Waveguide	N/A	1ps	1.95	1.05	95%
Harder[4]	PPKTP Waveguide	N/A	1ps	1.89	1.12	89%
Stasi[13]	PPKTP Waveguide	N/A	1ps	1.99	1.01	99%
Vaidya[11]	$Si_3N_4 \ \mu$ -ring	$8(10^5)$	1.5ns	1.95	1.05	95%
This work	PPLN μ -ring	$1.15(10^5)$	300ps	1.99	1.01	99%

^a Periodically Poled Potassium Titanyl Phosphate



FIG. 7. Heralded $g_{H}^{(2)}(0)$ for signal (a) and idler photons (b).³²⁷

 $g^{(2)}(0) = \sum n(n-1)P(n)/(\sum nP(n))^2$. The results 295 are around 1.99 to 2.25 (see given in the Appendix₃₂₀ 296 B), verifying the thermal statistics of each SPDC chan- $\frac{1}{330}$ 297 nel under the single-mode condition. In the herald- $\frac{1}{331}$ 298 ing case, on the other hand, the same statistics is $_{332}$ 299 taken only when there is one photon clicking event in_{333} 300 the paired channel. In this case, the correlation be- $\frac{334}{334}$ 301 comes $g_H^{(2)}(0) = \sum n(n-1)P(n|1)/(\sum nP(n|1))^2$, where 302 $P(n|m) = P(n, \overline{m})/P(m)$ is the conditional probability₃₃₆ 303 of detecting n photons in one channel upon detecting₃₃₇ 304 m photons in the other, computed from the joint detec-₃₃₈ 305 tion probability of m and n photons in the two channels₃₃₉ 306 and that of a single one. With the coincidence $\operatorname{counts}_{340}$ 307 from two PNR-SNSPDs, we can easily compute $P(n|1)_{341}$ 308 for both signal and idler channels. As seem in the figure, $_{342}$ 309 for both channels, $g_H^{(2)}(0)$ is about 0.01 when the mean₃₄₃ photon numbers are 0.003 per pulse and increases to ap-₃₄₄ 310 311 proaching 0.05 as the mean photon numbers increase to₃₄₅ 312

0.014.

Finally, we compare the time-frequency mode purity obtained here with competing sources. The results are summarized in Table II. In waveguides, it typically requires to use picosecond pump pulses so as to match the optical filters for the generated photons, to obtain single modes. In comparison, those based on resonators, such as the present microrings, the pump pulses can be much longer, ranging from a few hundred picoseconds to nanoseconds in order to match with the cavity's lifetime for single modes. In this device, the effective mode number $K = 1/[g^{(2)}(0) - 1]$ is at 1.01, which is very close to the ideal case with K = 1 [4]. This represents a mode purity of $1/K = 99 \pm 4.9\%$, indicating an optimal condition for single mode photon production, as desirable for many applications.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated photon statistics with a two-channel PNR-SNSPD system, characterizing single-photon and multiphoton pair generation. Utilizing an ideally quasi phase matched lithium niobate microring in Z cut, we have scored a ten-fold enhancement in the SPDC generation rate of single-photon pairs [16]. We measured joint photon probabilities of multiphoton states up to three photons in a channel. Also, we have performed coincident to accidental photon detection for multiphoton states using time-delayed measurement, for the first time. Our results highlight a SPDC source for multiphoton entanglement with both high efficiency and mode purity, as needed for many quantum information processing applications with multiphoton states. This work paves the way for the development of advanced quantum photonic devices and systems with good performance and versatility.



FIG. 8. Setup for generate the SPDC pump. Blue and red lines depict the telecom light path and visible path, respectively. FPC, fiber-polarization controller; EOM, Electro-Optic modulator; PM, power meter; EDFA, Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifier; WDM, wavelength division multiplexing module; LP, Low pass filter; BP, bandpass filter; DUT, device under test.

364

370

371

346

351

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was supported in part by the Office of ³⁶⁵ Naval Research (Award No. N00014-21-1-2898) and by ³⁶⁶ ACC-New Jersey (Contract No. W15QKN-18-D-0040). ³⁶⁷ Device fabrication was performed at ASRC, CUNY. ³⁶⁸

Appendix A: SPDC Pump Generation



FIG. 9. Pulse width measurement

To create the SPDC pump pulses in the visible band, 352 a single-channel picosecond EOM (electro-optical mod-353 ulator) driver (Highland Technology T130, 250ps-30ns 354 pulse width, 0-50MHz pulse rate) supplies Radiofre-355 quency pulse(10MHz) to the EOM. An IR power me-356 ter monitors the EOM output. An erbium-doped fiber 357 amplifier (EDFA) in the telecom C band further ampli-358 fies the weak signal, followed by two DWDM filters to 359 clean the beam. The resulted signal then couples into 360 a bulk PPLN crystal to create the visible pulsed $light_{380}$ 361 as the SPDC pump. Two low-pass-filters(IL $\sim 0.5 \text{ dB}_{201}$ 362

extinction ratio, ER ~ 50 dB) and narrow-band-passfilters(Alluxa, 3 nm, IL ~ 1 dB, ER > 120 dB) reject the pump signal and passing largest light at 776.96nm.

In Figure 9, we measure the cross-correlation between photons created by the signal cavity and the synchronized electronic pulse from EO Driver by using a time tagger. The full width at half maximum is around 320 ps. Due to the EO Driver jitter(10 ps) and PNR-SNSPDs jitter(54 ps), it is slightly wider than the electronic pulse(300 ps).

Appendix B: Signal and Idler $g^{(2)}(0)$ Measurement

Figure 10(a) and 3(b) plot the photon correlation measurement of the signal and idler channel using two PNR-SNSPDs, before heralding. Here the second-order correlation function is calculated from the PNR-SNSPD results as $g_{\rm unc}^{(2)}(0) = \sum n(n-1)P(n)/(\sum nP(n))^2$. As seen, for both channels $g_{\rm unc}^{(2)}(0) \approx 2$ for each channel at different mean photon number.



FIG. 10. (a) and (b): Unheralded two-photon correlation in signal and idler channels.

- [1] C. Bennet, Quantum cryptography: Public key distribu-416 382 tion and coin tossing, in Proc. of IEEE Int. Conf. on417 383 Comp. Sys. and Signal Proc., Dec. 1984 (1984). 384 418
- C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa,419 [2]385 A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, Teleporting an unknown⁴²⁰ 386 quantum state via dual classical and einstein-podolsky-421 387 388 rosen channels, Physical review letters 70, 1895 (1993). 422
- [3] M. Avenhaus, K. Laiho, and M. Chekhova, Accessing₄₂₃ 389 higher order correlations in quantum optical states by₄₂₄ 390 time multiplexing, Physical review letters 104, 063602425 391 (2010).392 426
- G. Harder, T. J. Bartley, A. E. Lita, S. W. Nam,427 [4]393 T. Gerrits, and C. Silberhorn, Single-mode parametric-428 394 down-conversion states with 50 photons as a source for₄₂₉ 395 mesoscopic quantum optics, Physical review letters 116,430 396 143601 (2016). 397 431
- [5]G. Thekkadath, M. Mvcroft, B. Bell, C. Wade, A. Eck-432 398 stein, D. Phillips, R. Patel, A. Buraczewski, A. Lita.433 300 et al., Quantum-enhanced interferometry with large her-434 400 alded photon-number states, NPJ quantum information435 401 **6**, 89 (2020). 436 402
- J. Qin, Y.-H. Deng, H.-S. Zhong, L.-C. Peng, H. Su, Y.-437 [6]403 H. Luo, J.-M. Xu, D. Wu, S.-Q. Gong, H.-L. Liu, et al., 438 404 Unconditional and robust quantum metrological advan-439 405 tage beyond n00n states, Physical Review Letters 130,440 406 070801 (2023). 441 407
- G. Frascella, S. Agne, F. Y. Khalili, and M. V. Chekhova,442 [7]408 Overcoming detection loss and noise in squeezing-based₄₄₃ 409 optical sensing, npj Quantum Information 7, 72 (2021). 444 410
- [8] J. Arrazola, V. Bergholm, K. Brádler, T. Bromley,445 411 M. Collins, I. Dhand, A. Fumagalli, T. Gerrits, A. Gous-446 412 sev, L. Helt, et al., Quantum circuits with many photons447 413 on a programmable nanophotonic chip, Nature 591, 54448 414 (2021).415 449

- [9] A. Eckstein, A. Christ, P. J. Mosley, and C. Silberhorn, Highly efficient single-pass source of pulsed single-mode twin beams of light, Physical Review Letters 106, 013603 (2011).
- [10] M. Avenhaus, H. Coldenstrodt-Ronge, K. Laiho, W. Mauerer, I. Walmsley, and C. Silberhorn, Photon number statistics of multimode parametric downconversion, Physical review letters **101**, 053601 (2008).
- [11] B. Morrison, L. Helt, R. Shahrokshahi, D. Mahler, M. Collins, K. Tan, J. Lavoie, A. Repingon, M. Menotti, et al., Broadband quadrature-squeezed vacuum and nonclassical photon number correlations from a nanophotonic device, Science advances 6, eaba9186 (2020).
- [12]S. I. Davis, A. Mueller, R. Valivarthi, N. Lauk, L. Narvaez, B. Korzh, A. D. Beyer, O. Cerri, M. Colangelo, K. K. Berggren, et al., Improved heralded single-photon source with a photon-number-resolving superconducting nanowire detector, Physical Review Applied 18, 064007 (2022).
- [13] L. Stasi, P. Caspar, T. Brydges, H. Zbinden, F. Bussières, and R. Thew, Enhanced heralded single-photon source with a photon-number-resolving parallel superconducting nanowire single-photon detector, arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.16005 (2022).
- [14] J.-Y. Chen, Z. Li, Z. Ma, C. Tang, H. Fan, Y. M. Sua, and Y.-P. Huang, Photon conversion and interaction in a quasi-phase-matched microresonator, Physical Review Applied **16**, 064004 (2021).
- [15] J.-Y. Chen, Z.-H. Ma, Y. M. Sua, Z. Li, C. Tang, and Y.-P. Huang, Ultra-efficient frequency conversion in quasi-phase-matched lithium niobate microrings, Optica **6**, 1244 (2019).
- [16] Z. Ma, J.-Y. Chen, Z. Li, C. Tang, Y. M. Sua, H. Fan, and Y.-P. Huang, Ultrabright quantum photon sources on chip, Physical Review Letters 125, 263602 (2020).
- W. Vogel, Nonclassical correlation properties of radiation [17]451 fields, Physical review letters 100, 013605 (2008).

452