

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# Magnetoelectric Effect at the Ni/HfO\_{2} Interface Induced by Ferroelectric Polarization

Qiong Yang, Lingling Tao, Zhen Jiang, Yichun Zhou, Evgeny Y. Tsymbal, and Vitaly Alexandrov

> Phys. Rev. Applied **12**, 024044 — Published 21 August 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevApplied.12.024044

# Magnetoelectric Effect at the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> Interface Induced by Ferroelectric Polarization

Qiong Yang<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, L. L. Tao<sup>3</sup>, Zhen Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Yichun Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Evgeny Y. Tsymbal<sup>3,4,†</sup>, and Vitaly Alexandrov<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA

<sup>2</sup>School of Materials Science and Engineering, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, Hunan 411105, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA

<sup>4</sup>Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Moscow Region 141700, Russia

Driven by the technological importance of the recently discovered ferroelectric HfO<sub>2</sub>, we explore a magnetoelectric effect at the HfO<sub>2</sub>-based ferroelectric/ferromagnetic interface. Using densityfunctional-theory calculations of the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni (001) heterostructure as a model system, we predict a stable and sizable ferroelectric polarization in a few nanometer-thick HfO<sub>2</sub> layer. For the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface with opposite polarization directions (pointing to or away from the interface), we find a sizable difference in the interfacial Ni-O bonding, resulting in dissimilar degree of depletion of the electron density around the interface. The latter affects the relative population of the exchange-split majority- and minority-spin bands at the interface and thus the interfacial magnetic moments. The sizable change in the interface magnetization with ferroelectric polarization reversal of HfO<sub>2</sub> manifests a significant ferroelectrically-induced magnetoelectric effect at the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface. Our results reveal promising prospects of ferroelectric/ferromagnetic composite multiferroics based on HfO<sub>2</sub>-based ferroelectric materials.

<sup>\*</sup> qyang@xtu.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> tsymbal@unl.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>valexandrov2@unl.edu

### I. INTRODUCTION

Multiferroic materials with coexisting ferroelectric and ferromagnetic orders have attracted much attention due to the magnetoelectric coupling opening new prospects for electronic devices<sup>1,2</sup>. Switching magnetization by applied electric rather than magnetic field or spin-polarized current requires much less energy<sup>3,4,5</sup>, making multiferroics promising for memory and logic applications<sup>6,7</sup>. Due to a limited number of single-phase multiferroic compounds operating at room temperature, composite multiferroics containing ferroelectric (or piezoelectric) and ferromagnetic components have been considered as viable candidates<sup>8,9,10,11</sup>. It was shown that composite multiferroic materials often have much larger magnetoelectric coupling compared to their single-phase counterparts, resulting from strain-mediated <sup>12, 13, 14, 15</sup> or charge-mediated<sup>16,17,18,19,20,21</sup> coupling mechanisms.

There are, however, a number of challenges to exploit the magnetoelectric coupling in emerging device technologies<sup>3</sup>. Among them are fabricating multiferroic composite structures at the nanoscale without loss of functionality and making ferroic components compatible with the silicon-based semiconductor technology<sup>22</sup>. It is established that ferroelectric properties often deteriorate with reducing film thickness that makes a composite structure useless at the nanoscale. Also, most of the traditional perovskite ferroelectrics are incompatible with the complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) technology.

Recently, ferroelectricity has been discovered in doped hafnia (HfO<sub>2</sub>) films<sup>23,24</sup>, which may help to address the abovementioned challenges. The origin of the ferroelectric behavior was attributed to the formation of a non-centrosymmetric orthorhombic phase of HfO<sub>2</sub>. Firstprinciples calculations predicted two possible ferroelectric phases of HfO<sub>2</sub>, namely, orthorhombic polar phases with the space group symmetries of *Pca*2<sub>1</sub> and *Pmn*2<sub>1</sub>.<sup>25</sup> The direct experimental evidence of the ferroelectric *Pca*2<sub>1</sub> phase was provided by the scanning transmission electron microscopy<sup>26</sup>. These findings stimulated significant efforts in studying relevant properties of ferroelectric HfO<sub>2</sub> films<sup>27,28,29,30,31,32,33</sup>, showing their applicability as a functional gate oxide in nanoscale FeFET memory devices <sup>34, 35</sup> and ferroelectric tunnel junctions<sup>36,37,38</sup>.

These results indicate that ferroelectric  $HfO_2$  may be a promising material to serve as a ferroelectric component in composite multiferroic structures. Owing to ferroelectricity of doped  $HfO_2$  thin films down to a few nanometer thickness<sup>39</sup> and good compatibility with the Si-based

semiconductor process<sup>40</sup>,  $HfO_2$ -based multiferroic heterostructures are potentially feasible for technological applications. In this regard, exploring the magnetoelectric effect in ferroelectric/ferromagnetic heterostructures where  $HfO_2$  is used as a ferroelectric constituent is important for searching novel composite multiferroics compatible with Si technology.

In this paper, we employ density functional theory (DFT) calculations to explore the charge-mediated magnetoelectric coupling between ferroelectric HfO<sub>2</sub> and ferromagnetic Ni thin films, which is also compatible with the Si-based semiconductor technology<sup>41,42</sup>, in a composite multiferroic layered structure. We assume that HfO<sub>2</sub> belongs to the orthorhombic structural phase of the *Pca*2<sub>1</sub> space group with the polarization direction perpendicular to the interface and consider fcc Ni as a representative ferromagnetic metal film to create a Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni (001) ferroelectric/ferromagnetic heterostructure. We demonstrate a stable and switchable ferroelectric polarization in the heterostructured HfO<sub>2</sub> thin films and predict a strong magnetoelectric coupling at the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface driven by HfO<sub>2</sub> polarization reversal. Our results provide new insights into ferroelectricity of HfO<sub>2</sub> thin films and mechanisms of the magnetoelectric coupling induced by polarization switching. These insights may be useful for the experimental realization of composite multiferroic materials based on ferroelectric HfO<sub>2</sub>.

# **II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODOLOGY**

DFT calculations are performed using the Vienna *Ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP) within the projector-augmented wave (PAW) formalism <sup>43</sup>. The generalized gradient approximation Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (GGA-PBE) functional <sup>44</sup> is adopted to treat the exchange-correlation effects. The optimized lattice constant of Ni along the (110) direction is found to be 4.980 Å, which is only 0.1% less than the experimental lattice constant (4.984 Å). The optimized lattice parameters *a*, *b* and *c* of the orthorhombic unit cell of HfO<sub>2</sub> (*Pca2*<sub>1</sub> space group) are 5.246 Å, 5.034 Å and 5.064 Å, respectively, in good agreement with the experimental data<sup>26</sup> and the previous DFT results<sup>45,46</sup>. The spontaneous polarization for bulk HfO<sub>2</sub> calculated using the Berry phase method<sup>47</sup> is 51.8  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup>, which agrees well with previously reported theoretical and experimental values<sup>21,28,48</sup>. The calculated band gap of the bulk HfO<sub>2</sub> is 4.36 eV, which is in reasonable agreement with the experimentally measured value (5.6 eV)<sup>49</sup>. It should be mentioned that the hybrid exchange-correlation functionals could provide a better agreement

between theoretical and experimental band gaps,<sup>50,51</sup> however, at a much higher computational cost.

To explore magnetoelectric properties of the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interfaces, we construct Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructures by stacking *N* unit cell (u.c.) layers of HfO<sub>2</sub> and 9 monolayers of Ni along the (001) direction. We assume symmetric interface terminations, which allows us to examine magnetic properties of the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> (001) interface for polarization pointing to the Ni layer ( $P_{\downarrow}$ ) or away from the Ni layer ( $P_{\uparrow}$ ), using the same structural model with unidirectional polarization of HfO<sub>2</sub>. We note that, since the conventional unit cell of HfO<sub>2</sub> contains 4 Hf and 8 O atoms arranged in alternating atomic planes of Hf and O, such a non-stoichiometric HfO<sub>2</sub> slab has a non-integer number of unit cells *N*.\_The convergence of interfacial polarization and magnetization with respect to HfO<sub>2</sub> thickness is analyzed by constructing heterostructures with three different thicknesses of the HfO<sub>2</sub> layer (Fig. 1). Specifically, the number of considered HfO<sub>2</sub> layers *N* is set to 3.75, 4.75 and 5.75 to create the O/Ni terminations at both interfaces (since 1 u.c. layer of HfO<sub>2</sub> contains 4 alternating atomic plane), which is more energetically favorable. For studying the magnetoelectric effect, we consider the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructure with HfO<sub>2</sub> thickness *N* = 4.75. A 6×6×1 Monkhorst–Pack *k*-point mesh<sup>52</sup> is used for Brillouin zone integration.

By comparing the total energies of the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructures with O atoms locating at the hollow and atop sites of the interfacial Ni atoms, we find that the hollow-site configuration is about 0.7 eV energetically more favorable than the atop configuration. Therefore, in this work we only focus on the hollow-site configuration of the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interfaces as shown in Fig. 1(a). The in-plane lattice constant of the heterostructure is fixed to the experimental value of cubic Ni (4.984 Å), while the out-of-plane lattice constant and all the internal coordinates are fully relaxed. Under this constraint, polarization of bulk HfO<sub>2</sub> is enhanced up to 64.7  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup>. The effect of strain on the magnetoelectric effect is also analyzed (see Supplemental Material <sup>53</sup>) by constraining the in-plane lattice constant to the experimental value of yttrium-stabilized zirconia (YSZ, *a* = 5.185 Å)<sup>54</sup>, which is a commonly used substrate in the epitaxial growth of HfO<sub>2</sub>-based ferroelectric films.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Fig. 1(a) depicts the employed structural model of the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructure. Fig. 1(b) shows the calculated relative displacements between hafnium and oxygen atoms across the HfO<sub>2</sub> layer. From the inset of Fig. 1(b), it is seen that there are two types of symmetrically inequivalent oxygen atoms in the  $Pca2_1$  HfO<sub>2</sub>: the oxygen (denoted as O1) which is located in the central plane of the two adjacent Hf atomic planes and the oxygen (denoted as O2) which is displaced along the -z direction from the central plane leading to the ferroelectric polarization along +zdirection. Therefore, we define the polar Hf-O displacement in the HfO<sub>2</sub> layer as the difference between z-coordinate of each Hf atomic layer and average z-coordinate of the two adjacent O2 atomic planes as illustrated by the insert in Fig. 1(b). It can be seen that the polar displacements are not uniform across the HfO<sub>2</sub> layer with displacements being reduced (increased) near the bottom (top) interface. In general, the displacement profiles for the heterostructures with different thicknesses of HfO<sub>2</sub> reveal similar features, and the displacement in the central HfO<sub>2</sub> layers is close to that for the bulk  $HfO_2$  (0.57 Å). The observed magnitude of polar displacements is rather large as compared to the Ti-O polar displacements in typical perovskite ferroelectrics such as PbTiO<sub>3</sub> (0.32 Å)<sup>55</sup>. Such a Hf-O displacement profile in the HfO<sub>2</sub> layer results in shorter (longer) Ni-O2 bonds at the bottom (top) interfaces for polarization pointing along +z direction. We can also notice some increase in the Hf-O displacement near the bottom interface (see Fig. 1) owing to a strong Ni-O2 interaction that weakens the bonds between Hf and O2 atoms.



FIG. 1. (a) The atomic structure of ferroelectric Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructure (N = 3.75). (b) Polar displacements across the HfO<sub>2</sub> layer is in Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructures for N = 3.75, 4.75 and 5.75. The numbers, increasing along the polarization direction, denote Hf atomic layers. The horizontal dotted line denotes the Hf-O displacement for the bulk Pca2<sub>1</sub> HfO<sub>2</sub> with a = b = 4.984 Å. Inset: method to quantify the Hf-O polarization displacement, which is defined as the difference between the *z*-coordinate of Hf plane and the averaged *z*-coordinate of the two adjacent O2 planes.

Next, we analyze the atomic structure around the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interfaces which are different by polarization orientation pointing away from ( $P_1$ ) and to ( $P_1$ ) the interface. Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) show the side views of the two interfaces. It is seen that the main difference between the atomic structures at the  $P_1$  and  $P_1$  interfaces results from the displacements of the O2 atoms leading to contraction and elongation of the Ni-O2 bonds at the two interfaces, respectively. Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) show the top views of the corresponding Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interfaces. It is seen that the O1 atoms are located at the bridge sites between Ni atoms at both interfaces, while the position surrounded by 4 interfacial Ni atoms, resulting in the Ni-O2 bond lengths of 1.973, 1.977, 2.062 and 2.127 Å. At the  $P_1$  interface, however, the Ni-O2 bond distances are larger (2.012 and 2.639 Å for the two nearest Ni atoms) suggesting much weaker Ni-O2 interactions than those at the  $P_1$  interface. Due to the difference between the Ni-O interactions at both interfaces, the atomic and electron

structures of the interfacial Ni atoms are not equivalent, which are distinguished by using notations of Ni1 and Ni2, respectively.



FIG. 2. Side views of atomic structures of the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface with polarization pointing away from (a) or to (b) the Ni layer. (c) and (d): top views of the same interfaces, where only the two atomic layers at the interfaces denoted by the red dashed frames in (a) and (b) are shown.

The calculated magnetic moments ( $\mu$ ) of the Ni and O interfacial atoms are listed in Table I. It is seen that they differ considerably for the two polarization states of HfO<sub>2</sub> film (pointing away from or to the Ni layer). For example, two Ni atoms at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  interface exhibit magnetic moments of 1.088  $\mu_{\rm B}$  and 1.068  $\mu_{\rm B}$  being more than 0.2  $\mu_{\rm B}$  larger than those of the Ni atoms at the  $P_{\downarrow}$  interface. The O1 atoms at the two interfaces, which exhibit very small displacements upon polarization switching, have comparable magnetic moments. However, magnetic moments of the O2 atoms at the two interfaces differ substantially ( $\Delta \mu = 0.254 \ \mu_{\rm B}$ ) being consistent with the different Ni-O2 bond lengths at the two interfaces. Therefore, the polarization-induced difference between the total magnetic moments at the two interfaces reaches 1.448  $\mu_{\rm B}$  (0.058  $\mu_{\rm B}/\text{Å}^2$ ), which is significantly larger than that of the Fe/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> interfaces (0.019  $\mu_{\rm B}/\text{Å}^2$ )<sup>16</sup>. We point out that all the magnetic moments of the aforementioned Ni and O atoms at both interfaces are significantly larger than those of the Ni (0.623  $\mu_B$ ) and O (0  $\mu_B$ ) atoms in the bulk Ni and HfO<sub>2</sub>. For a comparison, we have also calculated interfacial magnetization of the non-ferroelectric Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> (*Fm3m*)/Ni heterostructure by imposing the mirror symmetry with the central Hf atomic layer, as shown in Supplemental Material<sup>53</sup>. The average magnetic moments of interfacial Ni and O in the non-ferroelectric Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructure are 0.994 and 0.200  $\mu_B$ , which are nearly the average values of the magnetic moments of Ni and O at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  and  $P_{\downarrow}$  interfaces.

Atom	$\mu \ (P_{\uparrow})$	$\mu \ (P_{\downarrow})$	$\Delta \mu$
Ni1	1.088	0.888	0.200
Ni2	1.068	0.820	0.248
01	0.184	0.142	0.042
O2	0.337	0.083	0.254
Total	5.353	3.865	1.488

TABLE I. The magnetic moments (in  $\mu_B$ ) of selected interfacial atoms shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) for the  $P_{\uparrow}(\mu(P_{\uparrow}))$  and  $P_{\downarrow}(\mu(P_{\downarrow}))$  interfaces.  $\Delta\mu$  is the difference between the atomic magnetic moments at the two interfaces. Total magnetic moments in the last row are counted in an interface unit cell, which includes 2 Ni1, 2 Ni2, 2 O1 and 2 O2 at each interface. The magnetic moments for the non-listed atoms of the heterostructure are almost zero for HfO<sub>2</sub> and close the bulk values for Ni.

To understand the origin of the polarization-induced difference in magnetization at the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface, we further analyze electronic properties of the interfacial structures in terms of local density of states (DOS). Fig. 3 shows the local DOS projected onto the Ni 3d and O 2p electronic states of the interfacial atoms. The overall trend is that the DOS of all the interfacial Ni and O atoms moves to the higher energy relative to the bulk DOS, especially in the case of O atoms. This is due to the interfacial O atoms attracting electron density from the nearby Ni atoms to saturate the broken bonds with Hf at the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface. Since the minority-spin DOS at the Fermi energy is much larger than the majority-spin DOS, the loss of the minority-spin electrons leads to the increase of magnetic moments of the interfacial Ni compared to the bulk Ni.

Hybridization between the Ni 3*d* and O 2*p* orbitals at the interface (seen in Fig. 3 from the O 2*p* DOS mirroring the Ni 3*d* DOS around the Fermi energy) leads to the exchange splitting of the O 2*p* bands, inducing magnetic moments on the O1 and O2 atoms. A similar behavior is also observed in the non-ferroelectric Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructures, as shown in Supplemental Material<sup>53</sup>, indicating that the overall enhanced magnetism at the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface is independent of the ferroelectric polarization. Ferroelectric polarization changes the electron occupation and the strength of hybridization between the Ni 3*d* and O 2*p* orbitals at the interface and affects the magnitude of the magnetic moments on the interfacial Ni and O atoms as discussed below.

For the ferroelectric Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructure, as seen from Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), the Ni 3d DOS at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  interface shifts to the higher energy compared to the Ni 3d DOS at the  $P_{\downarrow}$  interface. This is due to the positive (negative) screening charge at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  ( $P_{\downarrow}$ ) interface, which leads to the enhanced (suppressed) depletion of minority-spin electrons and hence to the enhanced (reduced) magnetic moments of the interfacial Ni atoms. This behavior is mirrored by the interfacial O atoms. As seen from Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), the enhanced (reduced) depletion of minority-spin electrons occurs at the interfacial O 2p orbitals, which enhances (reduces) the magnetic moments of the O atoms at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  ( $P_{\downarrow}$ ) interface. The effect is especially sizable for the O2 atoms at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  interface, due to the strong Ni-O2 bonding described above. The strong hybridization between the Ni 3d and O2 2p orbitals at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  interface leads to the large asymmetry between the spin-up and spin-down DOS of the O2 2p states, as can be seen from the spin-resolved DOS in Fig. 3(d). This results in the notable difference in the magnetic moments of the O2 atoms at the two interfaces (0.254  $\mu_{\rm B}$ ).

Based on the above observations, we conclude that the ferroelectrically induced magnetoelectric effect at the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface can be attributed to two main effects: 1) the larger (smaller) electron density depletion at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  ( $P_{\downarrow}$ ) interface due to screening of the polarization charge of ferroelectric HfO<sub>2</sub>, and 2) the stronger (weaker) hybridization between the O 2*p* and Ni 3*d* orbitals at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  ( $P_{\downarrow}$ ) interface due to the shorter (longer) Ni-O bonds induced by polarization.



FIG. 3. Orbital-resolved DOS for interfacial atoms of ferroelectric Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructure: (a) 3*d* of Ni1, (b) 3*d* of Ni2, (c) 2*p* of O1 and (d) 2*p* of O2. The majority- and minority-spin DOS are plotted upward (positive) and downward (negative), respectively. The blue and red lines denote DOS of atoms at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  and  $P_{\downarrow}$  interfaces, respectively. The gray-filled curves represent the DOS of atoms in the central atomic layers, which are close to the bulk atoms. The vertical dashed line indicates the position of Fermi energy.

The difference in magnetization between the  $P_{\uparrow}$  and  $P_{\downarrow}$  interfaces due to ferroelectric polarization of HfO<sub>2</sub> is further illustrated by plotting spin densities at both interfaces (Fig. 4). It is seen from Fig. 4(a) that the O2 atoms have stronger interaction with the interfacial Ni atoms when polarization is pointing away from Ni. It induces more significant magnetization of O2 at

the  $P_{\uparrow}$  interface (Fig. 4(a)) compared to that at the  $P_{\downarrow}$  interface as shown in Fig. 4(b). The larger depletion of electron density at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  interface, which is the loss of minority-spin electrons as shown by the orbital-resolved DOS of the interfacial atoms in Fig. 3, contributes to the larger spin density at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  interface than at the other interface.



FIG. 4. Spin densities, defined as the difference between the majority- and minority-spin charge densities, at the  $P_{\uparrow}$  (a) and  $P_{\downarrow}$  (b) interfaces, respectively. The spin densities are shown within the *yz*-plane by averaging the spin densities along the *x*-direction for the convenience of demonstration.

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

To summarize, we have studied ferroelectricity and the magnetoelectric effect in Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni (001) heterostructures by means of DFT calculations. We found that a stable and switchable ferroelectric polarization is sustained in the HfO<sub>2</sub> films of a few unit cells. Reversal of the polarization leads to a sizeable change in the interfacial magnetic moments at the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface, which manifests an interface magnetoelectric effect induced by ferroelectric polarization. This magnetoelectric effect originates from stronger (weaker) Ni-O interactions and the higher (lower) depletion of minority-spin electrons when the polarization direction is pointing away from (to) the ferromagnetic Ni layer. This mechanism of the ferroelectrically-induced magnetoelectric effect is of general significance, and therefore we expect a similar behavior for interfaces between HfO<sub>2</sub>-based ferroelectrics and other ferromagnetic metals, such

as Fe, Co and their alloys. Since  $HfO_2$ -based thin films sustain a stable ferroelectric polarization at a very small film thickness and are compatible with Si-based semiconductor technology, our findings have potential for applications in microelectronics. We hope therefore that our theoretical predictions of a large magnetoelectric effect at the Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub> interface would stimulate experimental studies of this and other composite multiferroics based on ferroelectric HfO<sub>2</sub>.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) through MRSEC (Grant No. DMR-1420645) and EPMD (Grant No. ECCS-1917635) Programs and the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No. 18-12-00434). Q. Yang thanks the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for financial support.

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> W. Eerenstein, N. Mathur, and J. F. Scott, Multiferroic and magnetoelectric materials, Nature **442**, 759 (2006).
- <sup>2</sup> N. A. Spaldin and R. Ramesh, Advances in magnetoelectric multiferroics, Nat. Mater. **18**, 203 (2019).
- <sup>3</sup> E. Y. Tsymbal, Spintronics: Electric toggling of magnets, Nat. Mater. **11**, 12 (2012).
- <sup>4</sup> S. Manipatruni, D. E. Nikonov and I. A. Young, Beyond CMOS computing with spin and polarization, Nat. Phys. 14, 338 (2018).
- <sup>5</sup> P. B. Meisenheimer, S. Novakov, N. M. Vu, and J. T. Heron, Perspective: Magnetoelectric switching in thin film multiferroic heterostructures, J. Appl. Phys. **123**, 240901 (2018).
- <sup>6</sup> J. F. Scott, Data storage: Multiferroic memories, Nat. Mater. **6**, 256 (2007).
- <sup>7</sup> M. Bibes and A. Barthélémy, Multiferroics: Towards a magnetoelectric memory, Nat. Mater. 7, 425 (2008).
- <sup>8</sup> L. W. Martin, S. P. Crane, Y.-H. Chu, M. B. Holcomb, M. Gajek, M. Huijben, C.-H. Yang, N. Balke and R. Ramesh, Multiferroics and magnetoelectrics: thin films and nanostructures, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 434220 (2008).
- <sup>9</sup> J. P. Velev, S. S. Jaswal, and E. Y. Tsymbal, Multiferroic and magnetoelectric materials and interfaces, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. A **369**, 3069 (2011).
- <sup>10</sup> C. A. F. Vaz, Electric field control of magnetism in multiferroic heterostructures, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 333201 (2012).

- <sup>11</sup> J.-M. Hu, C.-G. Duan, C.-W. Nan, and L.-Q. Chen, Understanding and designing magnetoelectric heterostructures guided by computation: progresses, remaining questions, and perspectives, npj Comp. Mater. **3**, 18 (2017).
- <sup>12</sup> C.-W. Nan, M. I. Bichurin, S. Dong, D. Viehland, and G. Srinivasan, Multiferroic magnetoelectric composites: Historical perspective, status, and future directions, J. Appl. Phys. **103**, 031101 (2008).
- <sup>13</sup> T. X. Nan, Z. Y. Zhou, J. Lou, M. Liu, X. Yang, Y. Gao, S. Rand, and N. X. Sun, Voltage impulse induced bistable magnetization switching in multiferroic heterostructures, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 132409 (2012).
- <sup>14</sup> K. J. A. Franke, D. López González, S. J. Hämäläinen, and S. van Dijken, Size dependence of domain pattern transfer in multiferroic heterostructures, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 017201 (2014).
- <sup>15</sup> Q. Wang, X. Li, C.-Y. Liang, A. Barra, J. Domann, C. Lynch, A. Sepulveda, and G. Carman, Strainmediated 180° switching in CoFeB and Terfenol-D nanodots with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, Appl. Phys. Lett. **110**, 102903 (2017).
- <sup>16</sup> C. G. Duan, S. S. Jaswal, and E. Y. Tsymbal, Predicted magnetoelectric effect in Fe/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> multilayers: ferroelectric control of magnetism, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 047201 (2006).
- <sup>17</sup> K. Yamauchi, B. Sanyal, and S. Picozzi, Interface effects at a half-metal/ferroelectric junction, Appl. Phys. Lett. 91, 062506 (2007).
- <sup>18</sup> H. J. A. Molegraaf, J. Hoffman, C. A. F. Vaz, S. Gariglio, D. van der Marel, C. H. Ahn, and J.-M. Triscone, Magnetoelectric effects in complex oxides with competing ground states, Adv. Mater. 21, 3470 (2009).
- <sup>19</sup> G. Radaelli, D. Petti, E. Plekhanov, I. Fina, P. Torelli, B. R. Salles, M. Cantoni, C. Rinaldi, D. Gutiérrez, G. Panaccione, M. Varela, S. Picozzi, J. Fontcuberta, and R. Bertacco, Electric control of magnetism at the Fe/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> interface, Nat. Commun. **5**, 3404 (2014).
- <sup>20</sup> Z. Zhou, B. M. Howe, M. Liu, T. Nan, X. Chen, K. Mahalingam, N. X. Sun, and G. J. Brown, Interfacial charge-mediated non-volatile magnetoelectric coupling in Co<sub>0.3</sub>Fe<sub>0.7</sub>/Ba<sub>0.6</sub>Sr<sub>0.4</sub>TiO<sub>3</sub>/Nb:SrTiO<sub>3</sub> multiferroic heterostructures, Sci. Rep. **5**, 7740 (2015).
- <sup>21</sup> A. Rajapitamahuni, L. L. Tao, Y. Hao, J. Song, X. Xu, E. Y. Tsymbal, and X. Hong, Ferroelectric polarization control of magnetic anisotropy in Pb(Zr<sub>0.2</sub>Ti<sub>0.8</sub>)O<sub>3</sub>/La<sub>0.8</sub>Sr<sub>0.2</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub> multiferroic heterostructures, Phys. Rev. Mater. **3**, 021401 (2019).
- <sup>22</sup> M. M. Vopson, Fundamentals of multiferroic materials and their possible applications, Crit. Rev. Solid State 40, 223 (2015).
- <sup>23</sup> T. S. Böscke, J. Müller, D. Bräuhaus, U. Schröder, and U. Böttger, Ferroelectricity in hafnium oxide thin films, Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**, 102903 (2011).

- <sup>24</sup> J. Müller, T. S. Böscke, U. Schröder, S. Mueller, D. Bräuhaus, U. Böttger, L. Frey, and T. Mikolajick, Ferroelectricity in simple binary ZrO<sub>2</sub> and HfO<sub>2</sub>, Nano Lett. **12**, 4318 (2012).
- <sup>25</sup> T. D. Huan, V. Sharma, G. A. Rossetti, Jr., and R. Ramprasad, Pathways towards ferroelectricity in hafnia, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 064111 (2014).
- <sup>26</sup> X. Sang, E. D. Grimley, T. Schenk, U. Schroeder, and J. M. LeBeau, On the structural origins of ferroelectricity in HfO<sub>2</sub> thin films, Appl. Phys. Lett. **106**, 162905 (2015).
- <sup>27</sup> D. Zhou, J. Müller, J. Xu, S. Knebel, D. Brauhaus, and U. Schröder. Insights into electrical characteristics of silicon doped hafnium oxide ferroelectric thin films, Appl. Phys. Lett. **100**, 082905. (2012).
- <sup>28</sup> S. Clima, D. J. Wouters, C. Adelmann, T. Schenk, U. Schröder, M. Jurczak, and G. Pourtois, Identification of the ferroelectric switching process and dopant-dependent switching properties in orthorhombic HfO<sub>2</sub>: A first principles insight. Appl. Phys. Lett. **104**, 092906 (2014).
- <sup>29</sup> D. Martin, J. Müller, T. Schenk, T. M. Arruda, A. Kumar, E. Strelcov, E. Yurchuk, S. Müller, D. Pohl, and U. Schröder, Ferroelectricity in Si-doped HfO<sub>2</sub> revealed: A binary lead-free ferroelectric, Adv. Mater. **26**, 8198 (2014).
- <sup>30</sup> S. Clima, D. Wouters, C. Adelmann, T. Schenk, U. Schroeder, M. Jurczak, and G. Pourtois, Identification of the ferroelectric switching process and dopant-dependent switching properties in orthorhombic HfO<sub>2</sub>: A first principles insight, Appl. Phys. Lett. **104**, 092906 (2014).
- <sup>31</sup> S. Zarubin, E. Suvorova, M. Spiridonov, D. Negrov, A. Chernikova, A. Markeev, and A. Zenkevich, Fully ALD-grown TiN/Hf<sub>0.5</sub>Zr<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiN stacks: Ferroelectric and structural properties, Appl. Phys. Lett. **109**, 192903 (2016).
- <sup>32</sup> L. L. Tao, T. R. Paudel, A. A. Kovalev, and E. Y. Tsymbal, Reversible spin texture in ferroelectric HfO<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 245141 (2017).
- <sup>33</sup> T. Shimizu, T. Mimura, T. Kiguchi, T. Shiraishi, T. Konno, Y. Katsuya, O. Sakata, and H. Funakubo, Ferroelectricity mediated by ferroelastic domain switching in HfO<sub>2</sub>-based epitaxial thin films, Appl. Phys. Lett. **113**, 212901 (2018).
- <sup>34</sup> S. Mueller, J. Müller, R. Hoffmann, E. Yurchuk, T. Schlösser, R. Boschke, J. Paul, M. Goldbach, T. Herrmann, A. Zaka, U. Schröder, and T. Mikolajick, From MFM capacitors toward ferroelectric transistors: endurance and disturb characteristics of HfO<sub>2</sub>-based FeFET devices, IEEE T. Electron Dev. **60**, 4199 (2013).
- <sup>35</sup> M. Seo, M.-H. Kang, S.-B. Jeon, H. Bae, J. Hur, B. C. Jang, S. Yun, S. Cho, W.-K. Kim, M.-S. Kim, K.-M. Hwang, S. Hong, S.-Y. Choi, and Y.-K. Choi, First demonstration of a logic-process compatible junctionless ferroelectric FinFET synapse for neuromorphic applications, IEEE Electr. Device Lett. **39**, 1445 (2018).

- <sup>36</sup> F. Ambriz-Vargas, G. Kolhatkar, M. Broyer, A. Hadj-Youssef, R. Nouar, A. Sarkissian, R. Thomas, C. Gomez-Yáñez, M. A. Gauthier, and A. Ruediger, A complementary metal oxide semiconductor process-compatible ferroelectric tunnel junction, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9, 13262 (2017).
- <sup>37</sup> A. Chouprik, A. Chernikova, A. Markeev, V. Mikheev, D. Negrov, M. Spiridonov, S. Zarubin, and A. Zenkevich, Electron transport across ultrathin ferroelectric Hf<sub>0.5</sub>Zr<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>2</sub> films on Si, Microelectron. Eng. **178**, 250 (2017).
- <sup>38</sup> Y. Goh and S. Jeon, Enhanced tunneling electroresistance effects in HfZrO-based ferroelectric tunnel junctions by high-pressure nitrogen annealing, Appl. Phys. Lett. **113**, 052905 (2018).
- <sup>39</sup> X. Tian, S. Shibayama, T. Nishimura, T. Yajima, S. Migita, and A. Toriumi, Evolution of ferroelectric HfO<sub>2</sub> in ultrathin region down to 3 nm, Appl. Phys. Lett. **112**, 102902 (2018).
- <sup>40</sup> A. Chernikova, M. Kozodaev, A. Markeev, D. Negrov, M. Spiridonov, S. Zarubin, O. Bak, P. Buragohain, H. Lu, E. Suvorova, A. Gruverman, and A. Zenkevich, Ultrathin Hf<sub>0.5</sub>Zr<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ferroelectric films on Si, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **8**, 7232 (2016).
- <sup>41</sup> J.A. Kittl, A. Lauwers, O. Chamirian, M. Van Dal, A. Akheyar, M. De Potter, R. Lindsay, and K. Maex, Ni- and Co-based silicides for advanced CMOS applications, Microelectron. Eng. **70**, 158 (2003).
- <sup>42</sup> J. Kim and W. A. Anderson, Direct electrical measurement of the self-assembled nickel silicide nanowire, Nano Lett. **6**, 1356 (2006).
- <sup>43</sup> G. Kresse and D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1758 (1999).
- <sup>44</sup> J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- <sup>45</sup> R. Materlik, C. Künneth, and A. Kersch, The origin of ferroelectricity in Hf<sub>1-x</sub>Zr<sub>x</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: A computational investigation and a surface energy model, J. Appl. Phys. **117**, 134109 (2015).
- <sup>46</sup> R. Batra, T. D. Huan, J. L. Jones, G. Rossetti Jr, and R. Ramprasad, Factors favoring ferroelectricity in hafnia: A first-principles computational study, J. Phys. Chem. C **121**, 4139 (2017).
- <sup>47</sup> R. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Theory of polarization of crystalline solids, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 1651 (1993).
- <sup>48</sup> T. Shimizu, K. Katayama, T. Kiguchi, A. Akama, T. J. Konno, O. Sakata, and H. Funakubo, The demonstration of significant ferroelectricity in epitaxial Y-doped HfO<sub>2</sub> film, Sci. Rep. **6**, 32931 (2016).
- <sup>49</sup> V. V. Afanas'ev, A. Stesmans, F. Chen, X. Shi, and S. A. Campbell, Internal photoemission of electrons and holes from (100)Si into HfO<sub>2</sub>, Appl. Phys. Lett. **81**, 1053 (2002).
- <sup>50</sup> R. I. Eglitis and A. I. Popov, Systematic trends in (0 0 1) surface *ab initio* calculations of ABO<sub>3</sub> perovskites, J. Saudi Chem. Soc. **22**, 459 (2018).

- <sup>51</sup> R.I. Eglitis, *Ab initio* calculations of the atomic and electronic structure of BaZrO<sub>3</sub> (111) surfaces, Solid State Ion. **230**, 43 (2013).
- <sup>52</sup> H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976).
- <sup>53</sup> See Supplemental Material at URL for the interfacial magnetization and magnetoelectric effect of the strained Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructure and the non-ferroelectric Ni/HfO<sub>2</sub>/Ni heterostructure.
- <sup>54</sup> K. Katayama, T. Shimizu, O. Sakata, T. Shiraishi, S. Nakamura, T. Kiguchi, A. Akama, T. J. Konno, H. Uchida, and H. Funakubo, Orientation control and domain structure analysis of {100}-oriented epitaxial ferroelectric orthorhombic HfO<sub>2</sub>-based thin films, J. Appl. Phys. **119**, 134101 (2016).
- <sup>55</sup> A. M. Glazer and S. A. Mabud, Powder profile refinement of lead zirconate titanate at several temperatures. II. Pure PbTiO<sub>3</sub>, Acta Cryst. **34**, 1065 (1978).