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Ce-activated $A^{2+}B^{4+}O_3$ perovskites represent a class of compounds currently under active exploration for their potential as scintillators. Depending on the chemistry and synthesis conditions, perovskites can crystallize in multiple crystal structures and a Ce substitutional dopant in an ABO$_3$ perovskite can adopt different charge states (i.e., Ce$^{3+}$ or Ce$^{4+}$) as well as different substitutional sites (namely the 12-fold coordinated A-site or the octahedrally coordinated B-site). Here, we use first-principles density functional theory (DFT) and hybrid functional based computations to study relative trends in structure, energetics and electronic structure of bulk ABO$_3$ perovskites, where $A = Ca, Sr$ and $Ba$ and $B = Hf$ and $Zr$. Subsequently, we consider the relative energetics of preferential solution sites for Ce as a function of charge states, chemical potential and defect configurations. Our results reveal that while Ce$^{3+}$ or Ce$^{4+}$ defects can be thermodynamically stable, depending on the choice of the substitutional site and synthesis conditions (i.e., prevailing chemical potential), only Ce$^{3+}$ dopant at the A-site leads to an electronic structure that can exhibit scintillation. Our comparative analysis shows that while the positions of 5$d^1$ and 4$f$ levels of Ce$^{3+}$ doped at the A-site are favorably placed in the band structure, these levels are consistently higher for the Ce$^{4+}$ charge state and are unlikely to manifest any luminescence. Findings of the present study are also discussed in relation to previously reported results and display an excellent agreement with past experimental observations. In general, it is demonstrated that controlling Ce charge state and local chemical environment can be used — in addition to band gap and band edge engineering — to manipulate the relative position of scintillating states with respect to valence band maximum (VBM) and conduction band minimum (CBM). While the the present study specifically focused on perovskites, the results (in particular, the relative alignment of the positions of 5$d^1$ and 4$f$ levels of Ce dopant as a function of the activator’s charge state) are expected to be general and thus transferable to other chemistries.

I. INTRODUCTION

Scintillators constitute an important class of materials that can efficiently absorb radiation and emit light pulses with a wavelength in or near the visible spectral region [1]. Inorganic scintillators are heavily employed in many fields of applied and fundamental research including radiation and particle detection in high-energy and astro-physics experiments, medical imaging, oil-well logging, and nuclear materials detection for homeland security [2–6]. High quality single crystals (i.e., structurally perfect crystals with very low defect concentrations) of semiconductor or insulator materials are ideally suitable for these applications. In addition to a suitable bandgap, the materials must exhibit salient electronic structure features that allow for a fast and efficient transformation of incoming high energy radiation into a number of electron-hole pairs and their subsequent fast radiative recombination after being recollected at the conduction and valence band edges, respectively [6–8]. In many cases, owing to very high melting temperatures exhibited by these chemistries, synthesis of high quality single crystals becomes challenging and therefore other formats such as transparent polycrystalline ceramics are sought. While most of the past efforts related to transparent ceramic scintillators have explored binary halides [9–11], garnets [12], and ortho- and pyro-silicates [13, 14], more recent studies have focused on perovskites [15, 16]. In particu-
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lar, A\(^{2+}\)B\(^{4+}\)O\(_3\) perovskite oxides with A ∈\{Ca, Sr, Ba\} and B ∈\{Zr, Hf\} and A\(^{3+}\)B\(^{3+}\)O\(_3\) with A’ ∈\{Y, Gd, Lu\} and B’ ∈\{Al\} doped with Ce as an activator impurity have been investigated owing to their excellent gamma ray attenuation resulting from their high density and high effective atomic number [17–21]. Despite the significant efforts, however, only a limited amount of success has been achieved in making Ce-doped perovskites scintillating. In most cases no scintillating behavior is reported and the absence of scintilllation is generally attributed to Ce 5d states buried deep in the conduction band, as a result of which excited electrons, after thermally relaxing to the conduction band edge, fail to localize at the luminescence centers.

More recently, however, a number of studies have reported radioluminescence performance in BaHfO\(_3\) and SrHfO\(_3\) based phosphors and scintillators when synthesized in special conditions [22–25]. These investigations have mainly relied on strategies such as (i) co-doping with various charge compensating metal ions such as Lu, Al, and Ta [22] or Li [23]; (ii) via heavy A-site doping of Ce in a highly A-deficient non-stoichiometric perovskite [24] or (iii) by synthesizing the compounds in highly reducing conditions [25]. However, mechanistic details of scintillation in these compounds across diverse chemistries are complicated due to a number of factors and have not yet been completely understood. For instance, for a given set of chemistries (i.e., the choice of A and B atomic species) and synthesis conditions (e.g., oxidizing or reducing conditions or excess of AO or BO\(_2\) precursors), a Ce substitutional dopant in an ABO\(_3\) perovskite can adopt different charge states (i.e., Ce\(^{3+}\) or Ce\(^{4+}\)) as well as different substitutional sites (i.e., the 12-fold coordinated A-site or the 6-fold coordinated B-site). Thermodynamics of the dopant formation at different sites in different charge states is primarily governed by the prevailing chemical potential during the synthesis. The details of electronic structure — largely dictating the scintillation performance — are also closely and sensitively tied to the charge states and coordination environment of the activator. A systematic understanding of concerted interplay of these factors not only provides insight into the reported experimental observations but also opens new pathways towards a rational design of targeted functionality in these compounds.

First-principles calculations within the framework of density functional theory (DFT) and ‘beyond’ approaches can be particularly useful in studying systematic trends and gaining insights into chemical and electronic properties of materials, and thereby aid the search for better materials or improved modifications of existing materials. In fact, over the past decade, DFT has been extensively employed to study and search for novel and improved rare-earth-doped inorganic scintillator materials and has already significantly contributed to our present understanding of these materials [17, 26–34]. The main aim of the present study is to understand trends in thermodynamic stability of Ce dopants within the perovskite crystal structure across a range of chemistries as a function of Ce charge state, doping site and synthesis conditions. Once the energetically favorable dopant sites and charge states are identified, the scintillation behavior can be qualitatively understood by looking at the relevant electronic structure features of the most energetically stable dopants. Towards this direction, here we study the relative thermodynamic stability of different doping situations as a function of chemical potential within the framework of first principles thermodynamics — an approach that has been extensively employed to study temperature and environment-dependent stability of bulk and surfaces phases within DFT. Further, since a necessary condition for scintillation in Ce-activated materials is that the Ce 4f and 5d\(^{1}\) levels must lie in the forbidden gap of the host material, appropriately situated with respect to the valence band maximum (VBM) and conduction band minimum (CBM), our electronic structure analysis specifically focuses on the Ce 4f and 5d\(^{1}\) levels relative to the valence and conduction band edges.

A number of past studies have focused on 5d-4f transitions for a range of lanthanide activators using band-structure calculations [32–37]. A general consensus appears to be that for conventional local and semi-local exchange correlation functionals within DFT, such as the local-density approximation (LDA) or the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), the self-interaction error associated with the localized nature of the 4f electrons prohibits the calculation of accurate energy differences as well as the location of the energy bands. There have been attempts to overcome this problem either by using methods that go beyond DFT [30, 33] or by performing electronic-structure calculations with the LDA+U or GGA+U approach [38, 39] to get a better description of the localized 4f states of Ce compared to LDA or GGA [32, 34, 37]. However, it is needless to emphasize that care should be taken while approximating the exact 4f-5d excitation energies by the one-electron energies within the DFT framework. Using a combination of the pseudopotential plane-wave method along with the relativistic molecular orbital approach, Watanabe and coworkers [40] have studied the 4f-5d absorption spectra of Ce-doped LiYF\(_4\). They concluded that owing to the simple [Xe]4f\(^1\) electronic structure of Ce\(^{3+}\), the 4f-5d transitions can indeed be attributed to transitions between molecular orbitals, and therefore can be analyzed within the framework of a single-electron approximation.

In the present study, we employ the hybrid Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE) [41, 42] functionals that are built from the Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [46] GGA functional by replacing a fraction α of the semilocal PBE exchange interaction by a screened nonlocal functional (with a second parameter ω representing the inverse screening length) to investigate electronic structure of bulk and Ce-doped ABO\(_3\) perovskites, where A = Ca, Sr and Ba and B = Hf and Zr. We find that the standard HSE06 functional (where the tuple \(\{\alpha, \omega\}\) maps
FIG. 1. (a) DFT energies per ABO$_3$ unit for different chemistries in the orthorhombic $Pnma$ structure, computed relative to the the cubic $Pm3n$ structure, reported as a function of A- and B-site chemistry. The two structures are also depicted on top of the matrix plot. The compounds that adopt the cubic $Pm3n$ structure as the ground state are indicated with a ‘⋆’. (b) DFT formation energies of the ABO$_3$ perovskite compounds, computed in the most stable crystal structure identified in panel (a), i.e., using either $Pnma$ or $Pm3n$, computed with respect to the corresponding ground state rocksalt AO ($Fm3m$ space group) and monoclinic BO$_3$ ($P2_1/c$ space group) binary oxide phases. The unit cells used for the reference binary phases are presented at the top of the panel.

onto \{0.25, 0.207 \text{ Å}^{-1}\} [43], although representing a substantial improvement over the PBE results, still significantly underestimates the bulk bandgaps of the perovskite compounds. Further increasing $\alpha$ systematically leads to wider bandgaps. We show that tuning of $\alpha$ to reproduce the correct experimental bandgap for one of the bulk compounds not only reproduces the bulk bandgaps of rest of the compounds to a good accuracy, but also provides a correct description of their relative valence and conduction band alignments. This hybrid functional was subsequently used to compute the electronic structure of the Ce-doped supercells.

To summarize our results, our chemical-potential dependent dopant formation energies indicate that, depending on the experimental synthesis conditions, a Ce impurity can occupy substitutional sites at either A or B sub-lattices with +3 and +4 charge states, respectively. Substitutions with Ce$^{3+}$ at the B site and Ce$^{4+}$ at the A site consistently lead to higher formation energies, irrespective of the host chemistry. Furthermore, the A-site substituted Ce$^{3+}$ impurity is predicted to exhibit a scintillating behavior, while, for the Ce$^{4+}$ case, Ce 5$d$ states always appear deep in the conduction band. Finally, consistent with the experimental observations, we predict that for both AO-deficient and highly reducing conditions, the A-site substituted Ce$^{3+}$ impurity is thermodynamically favorable as compared to the Ce$^{4+}$ substitution at the B-site, rationalizing the observed scintillating behavior in these specific situations. In what follows, we describe our findings in greater detail.

II. TECHNICAL DETAILS

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Vienna $Ab$ initio Simulation Package (VASP) [44, 45]. Unless mentioned otherwise, the DFT calculations employed the Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [46] generalized gradient approximation (GGA) exchange-correlation functional. The electronic wave functions were expanded in plane waves up to a cutoff energy of 500 eV. The pseudopotentials based on the projector augmented wave method [47] explicitly included following valence electronic configurations for different elemental species; Ce: 5$s^2$5$p^6$6$s^2$4$f^1$5$d^1$, Ca: 3$s^2$3$p^6$4$s^2$, Sr: 4$s^2$4$p^6$5$s^2$, Ba: 5$s^2$5$p^6$6$s^2$, Zr: 4$p^6$4$d^2$5$s^2$, Hf: 5$p^6$5$d^2$6$s^2$ and O: 2$s^2$2$p^4$. It is important to include the 4$f$ shell as a part of Ce valence to allow for the 3+ charge state. A Gamma-centered automatically generated $4\times4\times3$ Monkhorst-Pack $k$-point mesh [48] was used for Brillouin-zone integrations for a $\sqrt{2}\times\sqrt{2}\times2$ cubic (or pseudo-cubic, in case of orthorhombic $Pnma$ space group) supercell containing 20 atoms. For smaller or larger supercells, the $k$-point meshes were appropriately scaled to give the same $k$-point density in the reciprocal space. Spin-polarized calculations were employed for supercells containing unpaired electrons. To obtain a geometry optimized equilibrium structure, atomic positions, and the supercell lattice parameters were fully relaxed using the conjugate gradient method until all the Hellmann-Feynman forces and the stress component were less than 0.01 V/Å and 1.0×10$^{-2}$ GPa, respectively.

Sufficiently large supercells, containing 160 atoms, were consistently used to model the defect configurations. In the defect calculations, the dimensions of the
supercells were always fixed to the corresponding relaxed bulk lattice parameters, while all the internal degrees of freedom were allowed to relax. To model isolated Ce dopants in different charge states, electrons were adequately added or removed from the supercell and a compensating background charge density was added. Spurious electrostatic interactions of charged defects due to periodicity and finite supercell sizes were accounted for via first-order monopole corrections [49].

Since the conventional local and semi-local exchange correlation functional, including the PBE functional, are well known to significantly underestimate the electronic bandgap, the HSE functional was used on the relaxed PBE geometries to obtain an improved description of the bandgap and the activator’s 4f and 5d\(^1\) levels. The hybrid density functional methods have been shown to improve results on the bandgap and charge localization in semiconductors and insulators [50–52]. However, we also note that for metallic systems the hybrid functionals are not suitable and have been reported to significantly overestimate bandwidths and exchange splitting [50].

Within this family of functionals, one has access to the parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\omega\), representing the fraction of exact exchange and the inverse screening length, respectively, that can be used to tune the details of electronic structure within a given class of materials. In particular, tuning of bandgaps, band edges and defect levels have been studied in detail [53]. For specific choices of the parameters \(\{\alpha, \omega\}\) the standard functionals such as PBE \(\{\alpha=0\}\), PBE0 \(\{\alpha=0, \omega=0.207 \text{ Å}^{-1}\}\), and HSE06 \(\{\alpha=0.25, \omega=0.207 \text{ Å}^{-1}\}\) can be obtained from the family of hybrid functionals. Kosma et al. have studied details of tuning the bandgap by varying \(\alpha\) in PBE0-like (i.e., \(\omega\) fixed at 0) and in HSE-like (i.e., \(\omega\) fixed at 0.207 Å\(^{-1}\)) hybrid functionals [53]. Their results show that for PBE0-like functionals the bandgap increases linearly

FIG. 2. (a) Bandgaps computed using the PBE and HSE06 functionals for the bulk single and double perovskites in their respective ground state crystal structures. Clear trends in the computed bandgaps as a function of A- and B-site chemistries can be seen. (b) Tuning of the bandgap for cubic BaHfO\(_3\) perovskite by systematically varying the fraction of exact exchange \(\alpha\) in the HSE hybrid functional. The experimental value of the bandgap, indicated by the horizontal dashed line, is achieved at \(\alpha = 0.4\). The corresponding PBE value is also shown for comparison. (c) A comparison of the bandgaps, for the ground state structures of the six single ABO\(_3\) perovskite chemistries, computed using different levels of theories employed in this study and corresponding experimentally reported values in the literature. (d) Relative valence and conduction band edge alignments for the perovskites computed within the HSE hybrid functional with \(\alpha = 0.4\) as a function of A- and B-site chemistries. The zero of the energy corresponds to the vacuum level. The O 1s core levels were used to align the band edges relative to each other.
with the fraction fraction of exact exchange $\alpha$, which is also in agreement with other reports \cite{54, 55}. For HSE-like functionals, slight deviations from the linear behavior were observed and the change in the bandgap with the $\alpha$ occurs at a smaller rate. Going to non-standard hybrid functionals by further decreasing the screening lengths (i.e., larger $\omega$), requires increasingly large fraction of exact exchange to reach to a given value of the bandgap. However, for such large values of $\alpha$, eventually lead to a poor description of energetics \cite{41, 56}. It was also found that, when the band gap is tuned by varying $\alpha$, both PBE0 and HSE functionals yield nearly identical results for defect level positions in the band gap and for band offsets at interfaces. Following this approach, here we vary the amount of exact exchange $\alpha$ within the HSE-like functionals to tune the bandgaps in the perovskite compounds. As mentioned briefly in the introduction, we find that while the standard HSE06 functional \cite{43} with $\alpha = 0.25$ and $\omega = 0.207$ Å$^{-1}$, underestimates the bandgaps as compared to experiments, further increasing $\alpha$ to 0.4 and keeping the inverse screening length fixed to that of the standard HSE06 functional accurately reproduces the experimental bandgaps and the relative alignments of the band edges for the bulk compounds studied here. The HSE functional with $\alpha$ to 0.4 was subsequently used to study the electronic structure of the Ce-doped compounds.

III. RESULTS

A. Bulk Properties

Although the general focus of this work is the electronic structure and energetics of Ce$^{3+}$ or Ce$^{4+}$ doped $A^{2+}B^{4+}O_3$ perovskites with $A =$ Ca, Sr or Ba and $B = \text{Hf or Zr}$, there are noteworthy structural differences and chemical trends among the bulk compounds considered. From a scintillation point of view, while the $A^{2+}B^{4+}O_3$ perovskite host compounds studied here consistently show high stopping power (owing to the high nuclear charge) and high melting temperatures, there are also tradeoffs in certain structure property relationships exhibited by these compounds. For instance, both BaHfO$_3$ and BaZrO$_3$ adopt cubic crystal structures with indirect bandgaps, whereas the other four compounds with Ca and Sr at the A-site, are orthorhombic direct bandgap insulators. Note, however, that a cubic material with a direct bandgap is ideally suitable as a scintillator host since with non-cubic materials its relatively difficult to produce large size transparent ceramics at a low cost, owing to their highly anisotropic nature. However, energy transfer from VBM to CBM has to be mediated with phonons in case of an indirect bandgap material. Therefore, before presenting our results on charge state and chemical potential dependent Ce dopant formation energies and substitutional site (i.e., A- or B-sites) dependent electronic structure, we briefly discuss the structure, energetics and chemical trends in the bandgap and band-edges of bulk $A^{2+}B^{4+}O_3$ compounds.

The main structural distinction among the considered class of compounds is that, as already alluded to above, the compounds comprised of Ba at the A-site are cubic and crystallize in the $Pm\overline{3}m$ space group (No. 221) \cite{57}, while those with Ca or Sr at the A-site display orthorhombic crystal structure with $Pnma$ space group (No. 62) \cite{62}, owing to an energetically favored pattern of BO$_6$ octahedral rotations (i.e., the $a^+b^+c^−$ tilt pattern configuration according to Glazer’s notation \cite{58}). These local rotational distortions are driven due to the difference in ionic radius between $A^{2+}$ and $B^{4+}$ cationic species, and are generally described by the well known Goldschmidt tolerance factor ($t$) that aims to capture deviation from an idealized packing of cations and anions at the AO and BO$_2$ [001] planes in the structure \cite{59}. The situation with $t = 1$ corresponds to an ideal perovskite while a decreasing $t$ corresponds to an increasing degree of distortion. Indeed the compounds considered in this study adhere to standard tolerance factor guidelines, i.e., if the tolerance factor of a compound is near unity, that compound should be cubic (as is the case for BaHfO$_3$ and BaZrO$_3$, with $t = 1.009$ and 1.004, respectively), and as the tolerance factor decreases the tendency for orthorhombic distortions to occur increases systematically \cite{60–62}.

To quantify the relative tendency for different chemistries to adopt cubic versus orthorhombic structure, we report the relative formation energy of the two phases as a function of A- and B-site chemistry in Fig. 1a. To better capture the chemical trends in energetics and electronic structure, in addition to the six bulk ABO$_3$ single perovskites, we also include chemistries formed by a 50% admixtures of rocksalt ordered A- and/or B-site cation pairs appearing as nearest neighbors in different columns of the Periodic Table. As can be seen from Fig. 1a, all compounds with Ba as the A-site cation as well as Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$HfO$_3$ prefer the cubic $Pm\overline{3}m$ structure over the orthorhombic $Pnma$ structure. As one moves to chemistries that exhibit either a smaller average A-site cation radius (going from Ba to Ca) and/or a larger average B-site cation radius (i.e., going from Hf to Zr; note that the octahedrally coordinated Zr$^{4+}$ has a larger ionic radii than Hf$^{4+}$), the tendency for the B-site octahedral rotations increases, and as a result the orthorhombic crystal structure increasingly becomes more energetically favored. The relaxed lattice parameters for the bulk compounds in their respective ground state crystal structures are provided in the Table I and compared with available experimentally reported values. Note that a systematic overestimation of the structural parameters can be attributed to a well known tendency for a slight underbinding in the PBE functional, or in general for all GGA functionals, within DFT.

In addition to the relative formation energies between the cubic and orthorhombic phases, Fig. 1b reports the formation energies of the perovskite compounds (com-
TABLE I. DFT computed relative energies (energies computed in the orthorhombic \textit{Pnma} structure relative to the cubic \textit{Pm\bar{3}m} structure), formation energies, relaxed bulk lattice parameters in the ground state structure and bandgaps computed at different levels of theory for the six ABO$_3$ single perovskites studied here. Reported experimental values for the lattice parameters and the bandgaps are also provided for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABO$_3$ Compound</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>(E_{\text{Pnma}} - E_{\text{Pm\bar{3}m}}) (eV/ABO$_3$)</th>
<th>(\Delta E_f) (eV/ABO$_3$)</th>
<th>Lattice parameters (in Å)</th>
<th>PBE</th>
<th>HSE06</th>
<th>HSE$_{\alpha=0.4}$</th>
<th>G$_0$W$_0$</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaZrO$_3$</td>
<td>\textit{Pnma}</td>
<td>-0.873</td>
<td>-0.312</td>
<td>5.62  5.78  8.06</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>(6.40)$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5.59)$^a$  (5.76)$^a$ (8.02)$^a$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrZrO$_3$</td>
<td>\textit{Pnma}</td>
<td>-0.223</td>
<td>-0.693</td>
<td>5.80  5.87  8.25</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>(6.15)$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5.79)$^b$  (5.82)$^b$ (8.20)$^b$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaZrO$_3$</td>
<td>\textit{Pm\bar{3}m}</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.075</td>
<td>4.23  (4.19)$^c$</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>(5.30)$^f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaHfO$_3$</td>
<td>\textit{Pnma}</td>
<td>-0.791</td>
<td>-0.424</td>
<td>5.60  5.76  8.02</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>(6.75)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5.57)$^d$  (5.73)$^d$ (7.98)$^d$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrHfO$_3$</td>
<td>\textit{Pnma}</td>
<td>-0.130</td>
<td>-0.808</td>
<td>5.76  5.84  8.21</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>(6.60)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5.78)$^d$  (5.80)$^d$ (8.18)$^d$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaHfO$_3$</td>
<td>\textit{Pm\bar{3}m}</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.180</td>
<td>4.20  (4.18)$^d$</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>(6.00)$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental lattice parameters: $^a$Ref. [84], $^b$Ref. [85], $^c$Ref. [86], and $^d$Ref. [60].

Experimental bandgaps: $^e$The values are based on spectroscopic data combined with estimated exciton binding energies reported in Ref. [83], $^f$Ref. [87], $^g$Ref. [88], and $^h$Ref. [89].

computed in the most stable crystal structure \textit{i.e. Pnma} or \textit{Pm\bar{3}m} with respect to the corresponding AO (\textit{viz. CaO [66], SrO [67] and BaO [68] in the rocksalt \textit{Fm\bar{3}m} crystal structure}) and BO$_2$ (\textit{viz. ZrO$_2$ [69] and HfO$_2$ [70] in the monoclinic \textit{P2$_1$/c} crystal structure}) binary phases. It is interesting to note the correlation between the relative energies in Fig. 1a and the formation energies in Fig. 1b, indicating that the larger the deviation from an idealized packing of cations and anions, the higher the extent of octahedral distortions to accommodate the size mismatch between the A- and B-site cations and the less stable the compound with respect to its binary constituents.

The correlation between the energies in Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b can also be understood by noting the fact that the formation enthalpy of a ternary oxide from the binary constituent oxides can generally be interpreted in terms of factors related to electron transfer between the two oxides, which intern depend on basic/acidic nature (or donor/acceptor quality) of the binary oxides. The larger the difference in acidity/basicity of AO and BO$_2$ is, the more exothermic the formation enthalpy becomes. While the alkaline earth metal oxides are usually basic, the transition metal oxides are acidic and the basicity increases when going down a specific group, for instance, as CaO < SrO < BaO (as the atomic radius of the metal ion increases). Note, however, again the exception due to the lanthanide contraction that leads to a larger ionic radii for Zr than Hf and therefore rendering ZrO$_2$ slightly more basic relative to HfO$_2$. In agreement with these general arguments, the formation energy of the ternary Ba oxides (from their binary constituents) are more negative than the corresponding Sr oxides that subsequently are more negative than the Ca oxides. Also, for any given A site cation, the formation energies of the ternary hafnates are more negative than the zirconates. Further, this trend between the acidity/basicity and the atomic radii of the metal cations is directly responsible for the observed correlation between the energies reported in Figs. 1a and b, via the Goldschmidt tolerance factor, as discussed above. We also note that the formation energies computed in this study are in good agreement with previously reported experimental [71–73] and theoretical results [74, 75]. The computed energies are provided in Table I.

Next we discuss the electronic structure, bandgaps and relative band edge positions of the bulk compounds. In agreement with previous reports, the electronic band structure and density of states of the ABO$_3$ compounds reveal that the valence bands are mainly constituted by O-2p orbitals, while the B-atoms’ d orbitals largely contribute to the conduction bands. Those compounds stable in the cubic phase exhibit an indirect bandgap (with VBM and CBM laying at the R-point and Γ-point, respectively) while those stable in the orthorhombic phase have a direct bandgap at the Γ-point, this again is in agreement with previous findings. While the relative chemical trends in the bandgaps are expected to be correct within PBE, the actual bandgap values are grossly underestimated as compared to the experimentally measured ones. In fact, this is well known tendency for the local and semi-local exchange correlation functional within DFT (including the PBE functional employed here) which has been attributed to the inherent lack of derivative discontinuity [76] and delocalization error [77, 78] within the local or semi-local exchange-correlation functionals [79].

To obtain better predictions of the bandgaps, we resorted to more accurate (and also much more com-
putationally expensive) methods, namely, the hybrid HSE06 functional and single-shot quasiparticle GW (i.e., $G_0W_0$) [80] calculations on top of the relaxed PBE geometry and PBE eigenfunctions. Figure 2a compares our results of the bandgaps computed using the PBE and HSE06 functionals for the bulk perovskites in their respective ground state crystal structures. Indeed the bandgaps computed using the semi-local and hybrid exchange correlations functionals exhibit a very similar trend. In fact, the HSE06 bandgaps can simply be given by a linear function of the PBE bandgaps $\epsilon_{\text{PBE}}$ as: $1.085 \epsilon_{\text{PBE}} + 1.2215$, to a very good accuracy (with a goodness of fit value $R^2 = 0.997$). Nevertheless, a comparison with corresponding experimental values (cf. Table I) reveals that the HSE06 bandgaps — though representing a significant improvement as compared to the PBE bandgaps — are still considerably underestimated. The bandgaps computed using the $G_0W_0$ calculations provide further improvements over the HSE06 values, however, still systematically lower than the experimental values, as can be seen from Fig. 2 and Table I. We also find that the relative trends that we see here for the HSE06 and the $G_0W_0$ bandgap calculations (with respect to experiments) are quite general and have also been reported for other wide bandgap materials [81, 82].

It has also been demonstrated previously that going beyond the single-shot GW method, via adopting the GW$_0$ approach, further improves the bandgap predictions [82]. In the GW$_0$ approach, the eigenvalues in the Green’s function $G$ are updated, whereas the screening properties are only calculated in the random-phase approximation using the PBE wave functions and eigenvalues. While accurate, this strategy is significantly more computationally expensive and not practical for large supercells containing defects/dopants. Alternatively, we explored a more pragmatic strategy, where we systematically vary the fraction of the Hartree-Fock exact exchange $\alpha$ in the DFT hybrid functional (while fixing the inverse screening length $\omega$ to that of the standard HSE06 value) to track the corresponding variation in the bandgap. Figure 2b presents our results for BaHfO$_3$, where a value of $\alpha = 0.4$ reproduces the experimental bandgap. More interestingly, the bulk bandgaps computed with the HSE functional with $\alpha = 0.4$ for the rest of the compounds result in an excellent agreement with the corresponding experimental bandgap values at a much lower computational cost than the GW approach. In what follows, we consistently used the the HSE functional with $\alpha = 0.4$ to study electronic structure of the Ce doped perovskites, as discussed in Sec. III C.

Finally, we note that within our hybrid functional approach, previously reported relative chemical trends for the valence and conduction band edges are also well captured [83]. To reliably determine the relative position of band edges in compounds, a reference state that does not change with chemical composition first needs to be identified. While there are several schemes available in the literature [31, 90–93], we use the binding energy of oxygen 1s core electrons to calculate relative positions of the valence and conduction band edges. An experimentally reported value of the valence band edge for BaZrO$_3$ with respect to the vacuum level from ref. [83] then allows us to pin the zero of energy. The binding energy of the oxygen 1s core electrons is calculated as the energy difference between two separate calculations [94, 95].

The first calculation is a standard DFT calculation in which the number of core electrons corresponds to the ground state whereas for the second calculation one electron is removed from the core of one particular atom and added to the valence or conduction band. The energy difference then provides the core-level binding energy. Note that the core electron binding energies can be calculated in either the initial state approximation or the final state approximation. In the final state approximation the electrons are allowed to relax after the core electron has been removed, so that the acquired localized hole is screened. In the initial state approximation, on the other hand, the core electron is removed but no change of the potential (e.g., by relaxing other electrons) is allowed and electronic screening is therefore entirely neglected. The oxygen core 1s level, however, is sufficiently deep and we don’t expect the predicted values from the two approximations to differ considerably. Further, since the core electron binding energy in the initial state approximation can be calculated directly from the Kohn-Sham eigenvalues of the core states and the Fermi level, this approximation was used here to compute the oxygen 1s core levels. We also note here that in past 2s state of oxygen has been used as a reference to align band edges in garnets [31]. With the deep core state identified, the band edges of the bulk perovskites then can be compared directly by shifting the band structure of one with respect to the others such that the deep state energy levels coincide across chemistries.

Figure 2d presents our results on the relative conduction and valence band edge alignments for different chemistries in a stacked band scheme. It can be seen in Fig. 2d that there are systematic variations in the relative band edge alignments, which closely follow chemical trends in the periodic table. As we go down group 2 of the periodic table (from Ca to Ba) atomic size as well as the metallic nature increase and the bandgap systematically decreases. Both the lowering of the CBM and rise in the VBM contribute to the bandgap reduction, although the changes in the CBM position are greater than those in the VBM position. Unlike the A-site atomic species, Zr and Hf have a very similar atomic radii and chemical nature, as a result only relatively small changes in the band edge alignment with respect to the B-site atomic species are evident. Finally, we note that the computed trends are in close agreement with experimental observations [83].
B. Charge State and Chemical Potential Dependent Defect Energetics

The $A^{2+}B^{4+}O_3$ perovskite compounds explored here typically display high melting points and are also frequently employed in formats other than single crystals such as transparent polycrystalline ceramics [25, 96] and nanocrystals [23, 97]. While a range of intrinsic defects (such as cation antisite and anion vacancies) – as dictated by thermodynamics at finite temperatures – can naturally occur in these materials, extrinsic defects can also be formed; for instance, via non-stoichiometry or from aliovalent activators through charge compensation (e.g., in case of Ce$^{3+}$). The presence of defects can have a strong effect on the underlying electronic structure, including the features that are critical to scintillation performance. For instance, in the Ce-activated BaHfO$_3$ ceramics, a strong influence of the preparation atmosphere (reducing, oxidizing, or inert) on the scintillation efficiency has been reported [25]. Also, contrary to the conventional belief that SrHfO$_3$ is a line compound in the composition-temperature phase diagram, a small non-stoichiometry in terms of Zr-excess has been reported [98] and Sr-vacancies have been proposed as defects related to SrHfO$_3$ nonstoichiometry [24]. Nikl et al. recently synthesized heavily Ce-doped SrHfO$_3$ and undoped non-stoichiometric SrHfO$_3$ [24]. Interestingly, they not only found a new emission band at about 334 nm in Sr-deficient sample, but also demonstrated a room temperature decay time of about 180 ns and efficient fast energy transfer from the host to the center of the 334 nm emission. Loureiro et al. synthesized Ce-activated BaHfO$_3$ and SrHfO$_3$ perovskites with different charge compensating co-dopants [22]. They found that Ce$^{3+}$ doping at the A-site is much easier in SrHfO$_3$ than in BaHfO$_3$. In fact, high Ce$^{3+}$ doping concentrations in a BaHfO$_3$ host lattice were only stabilized at very high temperatures and in reducing conditions, where as for SrHfO$_3$ it was found that higher relative percentages of Ce$^{3+}$ at the Sr-site could be obtained at much milder conditions. In addition, the site preference of lanthanide activators has important optical property implications, as charge transfer is reduced with increasing both the nearest-neighbor distance between the activator and oxygen ions [99] and the coordination number [100]. The site preferences of Tm$^{3+}$ in SrHfO$_3$ [101], Eu in BaHfO$_3$ [102], and Eu in SrZrO$_3$ nano phosphors [103] have been examined in the past.

In addition to the conventional Ce$^{3+}$ centers, a new and exciting avenue is presented by recent developments on scintillation performance improvement by luminescent Ce$^{4+}$ centers in Ce-doped oxides, in particular garnets [30, 104, 105] and orthosilicates [106]. A Ce$^{4+}$ center, if stable in these oxides, can provide an additional fast radiative recombination pathway since it can efficiently compete with any electron traps for an immediate capture of electrons from the conduction band. In contrast, a Ce$^{3+}$ center is much less effective in this competition since it first needs to capture a hole from the valence band to be able to capture an electron in a subsequent step. Also note that scintillation through Ce$^{3+}$ and Ce$^{4+}$ centers in a material can, in principle, work in parallel and therefore the two centers do not compete but rather complement each other. As a result, presence of stable Ce$^{4+}$ centers, with appropriately placed electronic levels in the host bandgap, can positively influence several scintillation characteristics, including light yield, speed of scintillation response and afterglow. Indeed acceleration of scintillation response in alkaline earth metal co-doped Lu$_3$Al$_5$O$_{12}$:Ce and Lu$_{1.95}$Y$_0.05$SiO$_5$:Ce has been attributed to the presence of Ce$^{4+}$ centers in recent reports [30, 104–106].

Stanek and co-workers [17] have reported a systematic study of defect chemistry in $A^{2+}B^{4+}O_3$ perovskite compounds (with $A^{2+}$ = Ba$^{2+}$ or Sr$^{2+}$, and $B^{4+}$ = Zr$^{4+}$ or Hf$^{4+}$) employing both atomistic calculations using potentials and DFT computations. This study examined a range of intrinsic defect reactions, several situations with A and B excess non-stoichiometry and a number of possible reactions for M$^{3+}$ rare earth cation doping, Lu$^{3+}$ to La$^{3+}$. While for the stoichiometric cases a Schottky disorder was predicted to be the lowest energy intrinsic process, for non-stoichiometric situations with AO-excess (BO$_2$-excess) a charge compensation mechanism by oxygen vacancies (by A vacancies) was found to be the most energetically favored. For the M$^{3+}$ dopant formation energies, the following specific reactions were considered (using the Kröger–Vink notation [108]):

\[
M_2O_3 + 3A^+_A \Leftrightarrow 2M^+_B + V_A^- + 3AO, \tag{1a}
\]

\[
M_2O_3 + 2B^+_B + O^+_O \Leftrightarrow 2M^+_B + V_O^- + 2BO_2, \tag{1b}
\]

\[
M_2O_3 + 2A^+_A + B^+_B \Leftrightarrow 2M^+_A + A^+_B + ABO_3, \tag{1c}
\]

\[
M_2O_3 + 2A^+_A + 2B^+_B \Leftrightarrow 2M^+_B + B^+_A + ABO_3, \tag{1d}
\]

\[
M_2O_3 + 2A^+_A + B^+_B \Leftrightarrow 2M^+_B + V_A^- + V_B^- + ABO_3. \tag{1e}
\]

While some of these reactions have also previously been considered by others [107], this comprehensive investigation showed that not only the preferred reaction sensitively depends on the specific M$^{3+}$ dopant being considered, but also that the formation energies can vary by several eV across these reactions for a given dopant. For Ce$^{3+}$ doping cases, however, A-site was consistently favored for the entire set of compounds with reaction (1a) being the most energetically favored route.

Despite all of these studies, there are a number of questions — with particular relevance for scintillators — that remain to be answered regarding Ce doping in ABO$_3$ perovskites. In particular, questions such as “What is the site preference and dopant formation energy for the Ce$^{4+}$ charge state?” and “How does it compare with that of the Ce$^{3+}$ charge state?” remain to be answered. Also, perhaps more importantly, “How do these formation energies and site-preferences change with respect to chem-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perovskite Eq.</th>
<th>Defect formation energies</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AO-poor conditions</td>
<td>AO-rich conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doping defects</td>
<td>Clustered defects</td>
<td>Clustered defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaHfO$_3$</td>
<td>(2a) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho</td>
<td>(2b) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rhombic</td>
<td>(2c) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>(2d) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.76</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaZrO$_3$</td>
<td>(2a) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho</td>
<td>(2b) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rhombic</td>
<td>(2c) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>(2d) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.52</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrHfO$_3$</td>
<td>(2a) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho</td>
<td>(2b) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rhombic</td>
<td>(2c) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>(2d) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.07</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrZrO$_3$</td>
<td>(2a) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho</td>
<td>(2b) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rhombic</td>
<td>(2c) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>(2d) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>-0.29</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaHfO$_3$</td>
<td>(2a) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>(2b) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Pm3m$</td>
<td>(2c) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Pm3m$</td>
<td>(2d) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>-0.10</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaZrO$_3$</td>
<td>(2a) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>(2b) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Pm3m$</td>
<td>(2c) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Pm3m$</td>
<td>(2d) Ce$^{5+}$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>-0.39</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consideration of the chemical environment (i.e., with systematic variations in the chemical potential) has not been addressed. In the remainder of this section, we address these issues in a systematic manner and interpret available experimental observations in light of our computational results.

Building on previous work [17], here we consider the most stable reactions found for the Ce$^{3+}$ doping at the A- and B-site, respectively. In addition, we also consider two analogous reactions for Ce$^{4+}$ doping. These are depicted below. For Ce$^{3+}$ doping at the A and B crystal sites we have:

\[ Ce_2O_3 + 3A^+_\text{Ce} \rightleftharpoons 2Ce_A^+ + \nabla^+ + 3AO, \]

\[ Ce_2O_3 + 2B^-_\text{Ce} + O_{2}^+ \rightleftharpoons 2Ce_B^- + \nabla^+ + 2BO_2, \]

and for Ce$^{4+}$ doping at the A and B crystal sites the relevant reactions are:

\[ CeO_2 + 2A^+_\text{Ce} \rightleftharpoons Ce_A^+ + \nabla^+ + 2AO, \]

\[ CeO_2 + B^-_\text{Ce} \rightleftharpoons Ce_B^- + BO_2. \]

Note that either bulk AO or bulk BO$_2$ appears in each of the above reactions. While it is a common practice to use relative bulk enthalpies (or DFT total energies) as chemical potentials (i.e., $\mu_{\text{AO}} = E_{\text{AO}}^{\text{Bulk}}$ and $\mu_{\text{BO}_2} = E_{\text{BO}_2}^{\text{Bulk}}$) to evaluate 0 K defect formation energies, the thermodynamic equilibrium conditions during the ABO$_3$ bulk formation strictly require the condition $\mu_{\text{AO}} + \mu_{\text{BO}_2} = \mu_{\text{AO3}} = E_{\text{AO3}}^{\text{Bulk}}$ to follow and therefore $\mu_{\text{AO}}$ and $\mu_{\text{BO}_2}$ cannot vary independently. Further, if the system contains an excess of AO, the excess AO may form a bulk AO precipitate. Consequently, the chemical potential of AO may not exceed the chemical potential of bulk AO; $\mu_{\text{AO}} \leq E_{\text{AO}}^{\text{Bulk}}$. Similarly, the chemical potential of BO$_2$ may not exceed that of bulk BO$_2$; $\mu_{\text{BO}_2} \leq E_{\text{BO}_2}^{\text{Bulk}}$. In other words, the following bounds on the chemical potentials $E_{\text{AO3}}^{\text{Bulk}} - E_{\text{BO}_2}^{\text{Bulk}} \leq \mu_{\text{AO}} \leq E_{\text{AO}}^{\text{Bulk}}$ or equivalently $E_{\text{AO3}}^{\text{Bulk}} - E_{\text{AO}}^{\text{Bulk}} \leq \mu_{\text{BO}_2} \leq E_{\text{BO}_2}^{\text{Bulk}}$ have to be enforced.

Therefore, to compute the formation energy of the above reactions as a function of chemical potential, we conveniently define two extreme chemical potential limits, namely the AO-rich and the AO-poor conditions. Specifically, for the AO-rich conditions we have,

\[ \mu_{\text{AO}} = E_{\text{AO}}^{\text{Bulk}} \]

\[ \mu_{\text{BO}_2} = E_{\text{BO}_2}^{\text{Bulk}} - E_{\text{AO}}^{\text{Bulk}}. \]

On the other hand, for the AO-poor conditions the two limiting chemical potentials are given by:

\[ \mu_{\text{AO}} = E_{\text{AO3}}^{\text{Bulk}} - E_{\text{BO}_2}^{\text{Bulk}} \]

\[ \mu_{\text{BO}_2} = E_{\text{BO}_2}^{\text{Bulk}}. \]

Note that the AO-rich and the AO-poor limits map on to the BO$_2$-poor and the BO$_2$-rich limits, respectively, and beyond these limits, a bulk ABO$_3$ perovskite phase will be thermodynamically unstable with respect to decomposition into its binary oxides. The chemical potential within these limits can be varied by either directly changing the concentrations of AO and BO$_2$ or indirectly via controlling the chemical potential of oxygen (oxidizing or reducing conditions). Finally, we note that at extreme reducing conditions, it may also be possible to reduce the binary metal oxides to their respective metallic phases and gaseous oxygen. In that case the chemical potential definitions need to be suitably modified to include
FIG. 3. Chemical potential dependent Ce dopant formation energies computed as a function of the Ce charge state (i.e., 3+ or 4+) and the substitutional sites (i.e., the A or B cation site) in the respective ground state structures of the ABO$_3$ perovskites. In each case, the energy range covered by different possible configurations of the defect clusters is highlighted with different color fills and the solid and dashed lines represent the two extreme cases where the defect clusters are modeled in isolated or closely clustered configurations, respectively. The two extreme (i.e., the AO-poor and AO-rich) chemical potential limits are given as per Eq. 3.

also the energetics of the bulk metallic phases. However, in the present analysis we have neglected such extreme reducing conditions.

In Table II, we report the computed DFT (PBE) formation energies at the two extreme chemical potential limits for the four reactions given in Eq. 2 for each of the six bulk single perovskites chemistries considered here. Further, for each case we have considered two different scenarios where the Ce dopant and the associated charge compensating defect (if applicable) are clustered at the nearest possible lattice sites or are isolated. For the former case, the dopant and the defect were modeled in the same supercell, whereas for the later each defect was modeled in different supercells separately.

Figure 3 graphically presents the results reported in Table II. Red, yellow, green and blue lines are used for Ce$^{3+}$ at the A site or Ce$^{4+}$ at the B site (depicted by red and blue lines and given by Eqs. 2a and 2d, respectively) consistently result in the lowest defect formation energies. On the other hand, doping situations with Ce$^{3+}$ at the B site and Ce$^{4+}$ at the A site always result in higher energies, irrespective the chemical potential. While the trends exhibited by zirconates and hafnates are qualitatively very similar, changing A-site chemistry from Ca to Sr to Ba results in a systematic variation in the defect energetics. For instance, the range of chemical potential for which Ce$^{3+}$ (Ce$^{4+}$) is predicted to be thermodynamically stable gradually decreases (increases) going from Ca to Ba.
in both the zirconate and hafnate compounds.

C. Electronic Structure of Ce$^{3+}$ and Ce$^{4+}$ Dopants

From the results presented in the previous section, it is clear that depending on the chemical potential (or synthesis conditions) Ce$^{3+}$ doping at the A-site (Eq. 2a) or Ce$^{4+}$ doping at the B-site (Eq. 2d) are the thermodynamically most favored cases for solution of Ce in ABO$_3$ perovskites. In this section, we compare qualitative details of the electronic structure between Ce$^{3+}$ doping at the A-site and Ce$^{4+}$ doping at the B-site, with an aim to understand and contrast their scintillation behavior in an ABO$_3$ host environment.

From an electronic structure point of view, a necessary condition for scintillation in Ce-doped materials is that both the Ce 4$f$ and 5$d$ levels must lie within the host bandgap and should also have suitable positions with respect to host valence and conduction band edges, respectively. The first is an absolutely necessary criterion for scintillation, while the second is critical for performance. If the Ce 4$f$ (5$d$) level is buried in the valence (conduction) band of the host, then there will be no Ce-activated scintillation. On the other hand, a Ce 4$f$ level that lies too high above the VBM is also not desirable, as it leads to a poor hole capture probability at the activator site.

Furthermore, if the Ce$^{3+}$ excited state (Ce$^{3+}$)* lies below but too close to the CBM, then thermal excitations from the 5$d$ state into the conduction band can, in principle, reduce or even completely quench luminescence, thereby leading to a poor scintillator. Finally, too large of an energy difference in the CBM and the excited state level would also decrease the probability for the Ce ion to capture an electron since more phonon modes would need to be available to dissipate the large energy.

As a natural next step, we employ DFT computations to calculate the relative positions and associated charge densities of the Ce 4$f$ and 5$d$ states with respect to host valence and conduction band edges, respectively. Ce dopants with 3+ (for the A-site) and 4+ (for the B-site) charge states are modeled in a sufficiently large supercell (i.e., a supercell that allows us to recover a bulk-like behavior away from the dopant) from periodically repeating the unit cell of the host crystal. We allow for relaxation of all the internal coordinates while keeping the cell dimensions fixed to that of the bulk. While for the B-site doping, Ce naturally adopts a +4 charge state and thus preserves the charge of the original B ion, one electron per Ce atom was removed from the supercell for the A-site doping situations and a neutralizing background charge was used for simulating the charged supercells and describing the +3 charge state. We also note that the aim in these studies is to model an isolated Ce dopant in an infinite host crystal, however, in practice the finite supercell approach may introduce spurious dopant-dopant interactions due to the periodic boundary conditions which in turn result in a broadening of the impurity levels into bands. Although, filled and empty 4$f$ levels — for Ce$^{3+}$ and Ce$^{4+}$ dopants, respectively — are typically atom-like and therefore highly localized, the bands associated with Ce-localized 5$d$ states typically exhibit some dispersion due to finite-size effects as well as owing to some degree of hybridization with the host d-states forming the CBM. Despite these complications, the electronic structure analysis based on DFT computations can be practically useful in understanding the relative trends across chemistries, albeit in a qualitative manner.

To compare and contrast key differences in the electronic structure of the Ce$^{3+}_A$ and Ce$^{4+}_B$ dopants, Fig. 4 presents our results for total and partial density of states (DOS) and band decomposed charge density analyses for CaHfO$_3$ computed using hybrid DFT (i.e., the HSE functional with α = 0.4, as discussed in Sec. III A). Note that the presentation of CaHfO$_3$ is only for illustration, qualitatively similar results were obtained for the other ABO$_3$ compounds, as presented in the Supplemental Material [109].

Figures 4a–c present total and site-projected partial density of states (for Ce 4$f$ and 5$d$ states) for Ce$^{3+}$ doping at the Ca site. The Ce 4$f$ state localizes within the bandgap ~2.0 eV above the VBM and is singly occupied. This is further confirmed by plotting the total spin charge density for the supercell (which also exactly matches with the Ce 4$f$ band decomposed charge density) shown in Fig. 4. As briefly discussed above, unlike the Ce 4$f$ state, the 5$d$ states are relatively more dispersed, owing to their hybridization with the Hf 5$d$ states and form the bottom of the conduction band edge. A plot of the band decomposed charged density for the band associated with the CBM clearly shows that the density is largely localized on the Ce atom and the surrounding Hf atoms, alluding to a high probability of localization of an excited electron to the activator site upon relaxation to the conduction band edge.

While looking at the charge density associated with empty bands forming the conduction band edge is insightful, it is worthwhile to note that a ground-state calculation with the 4$f$ level filled and the 5$d$ level empty generally gives rise to a 5$d$ level that will be higher in energy than when the 5$d$ level is filled and the 4$f$ level empty. This effect can be simply understood based on screening arguments. Since the 4$f$ level is closer to the nucleus than the 5$d$ level, a filled 4$f$ level can effectively screen the 5$d$ level from an attractive Coulombic interaction from the nucleus. However, when the 4$f$ level is emptied, the screening effect from the positive nuclei will be reduced and the 5$d$ level will relax to a lower energy. Therefore, from a mechanistic point of view it is more relevant to simulate an excited 5$d$ level in Ce$^{3+}$ (i.e., when the unpaired electron has been transferred from the 4$f$ to the lowest 5$d$ level of the dopant), also frequently denoted as (Ce$^{3+}$)*. However, an accurate determination of the Ce 5$d$ level below the CBM in the (Ce$^{3+}$)* state, in principle, requires many-body approaches beyond conventional DFT, which can be extremely challenging and
FIG. 4. Total and partial (Ce 4f and 5d) density of states for the two most stable (depending on the chemical potential) Ce substitutional doping configurations in CaHfO₃, computed within the HSE hybrid functional with α = 0.4. (a) Total, (b) Ce 4f and (c) Ce 5d states for a Ce³⁺ dopant at the Ca site. Panels (d), (e) and (f) report the corresponding results for a Ce⁴⁺ dopant at the Hf site. For the Ce³⁺ dopant spin-up and spin-down states are colored in green and red, respectively. In each panel, zero of the energy correspond to the Fermi level. The central panels show the band decomposed charge density corresponding to the conduction band edges (the top two configurations) and the Ce dopants 4f states (the bottom two configurations) for supercells containing a Ce³⁺ at the Ca site and a Ce⁴⁺ dopant at the Hf site, respectively.

computationally demanding for large supercells. Alternatively, we performed excited-state (constrained hybrid DFT) calculations to verify that the Ce 5d level does indeed lowers by about 0.6 eV with respect to the VBM in the (Ce³⁺)⁺ excited state. Finally, we note that the Stokes shift can also be computed by allowing for lattice relaxation around the (Ce³⁺)⁺ state, which further lowers the 5d level. However, previous studies have pointed out that the Stokes shift is difficult to model accurately with DFT-based band-structure calculations. Since here we aim towards a comparative qualitative understanding of the electronic structure of Ce as a function of charge state and substitutional site, we did not try to model Stokes shift explicitly in our simulations and the excited state was simulated without allowing for the geometric relaxation.

While the Ce³⁺ dopant at the Ca site provides a favorable band structure in terms of the VBM–4f gap as well as a suitably localized 5d state near the CBM, details of the electronic structure for a Ce⁴⁺ dopant at the Hf site — shown in Fig. 4 panels (d–f) — present a sharp contrast. Most prominently, the empty 4f level in the Ce⁴⁺, still localized at the activator site, shifts towards a higher energy, widening the VBM–4f charge transfer gap considerably. Furthermore, the 5d states in the Ce⁴⁺ at the Hf site are predicted to be deeper, buried inside the conduction band. The CBM in this case is formed largely by the Hf 5d states which are fairly delocalized as can be seen from the band decomposed charge density associated with the conduction band edge plotted in Fig. 4. Therefore, unlike the Ce³⁺ dopant at the Ca site, in this case both the 4f and the 5d levels of the Ce activator are unfavorably located in the bandgap as a result of which Ce⁴⁺ dopant at the Hf site is highly unlikely to exhibit a scintillating behavior. Based on our analysis, this charge state dependence of the 4f and 5d levels for a Ce impurity — schematically depicted in Fig. 5 — is not specific to CaHfO₃ and is anticipated to be true, in general, for any A²⁺B⁴⁺O₃-type perovskite chemistry. In fact, We note that qualitatively similar charge state dependent shifts in the Ce levels have recently been reported both theoretically and experimentally in garnets [30].
the fact that the experimental investigations that have
luminescent center. This is in remarkable agreement with
fore favors a more reducing environment as compared
to that of the AO-rich condition. More precisely, the
did actually allow for a lower allowed bound for
µO and therefore allowing for a more reducing condition.
Our results for the Ce substitutional defect formation
energies also correlate well with previously reported ex-
perimental observations. For instance, Loureiro et al.
observed that a SrHfO₃ host lattice with higher rela-
tive percentages of Ce³⁺ can be obtained at much milder
conditions as compared to BaHfO₃ [22]. In close agree-
ment with the experimental results, a comparison be-
tween the computed defect formation energies of SrHfO₃
and BaHfO₃ clearly shows lower formation energies and
a wider stability range for Ce³⁺ at the Sr site in SrHfO₃
as compared to Ce³⁺ at the Ba site in BaHfO₃. Lastly,
we note that the energy-level diagrams of Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺
in Gd₃Ga₂Al₂O₁₂ garnet compound are recently estab-
lished experimentally, which indicate a higher position of
the 5d¹ state of Ce⁴⁺ in the forbidden gap in comparison
to that of Ce³⁺, again in qualitative agreement with the
results obtained in our electronic structure analysis [30].

In summary, we used first-principles conventional DFT
and hybrid functional computations to study (i) the rel-
ative trends in structure, energetics and electronic struc-
ture of bulk ABO₃ perovskites, (ii) relative energetics of
preferential solution sites for Ce as a function of charge
states, chemical potential and defect configurations in
the Ce doped perovskites and (iii) electronic structure
of the most stable Ce doping configurations (given by a
combination of the preferred substitutional site and en-
ergetically favored charge state) identified as a function
of the prevalent chemical potential was investigated by
looking at the total and dopant’s partial density of states
as well as the band decomposed charge density analysis.
Our computational results for the bulk perovskites com-
pare favorably with previously reported experimental re-
sults and reconfirm well understood systematic chemical
trends in structure, energetics and electronic structure
across the targeted chemistries. Subsequently, our anal-
ysis for chemical potential dependent Ce substitutional
dopant formation energies reveals that a Ce³⁺ substi-
tutional dopant is always favored at the A-site in a re-
ducing environment, whereas a Ce⁴⁺ substitutional de-
fect is consistently favored at the B-site in an oxidiz-
ing environment. Further electronic structure analysis
shows that while depending on experimental synthetic
conditions both Ce³⁺ at the A-site and Ce⁴⁺ at the B-
site can, in principle, be thermodynamically stable, only
Ce³⁺ at the A-site exhibits favorable electronic structure
that can potentially support the experimentally ob-
served scintillating behavior and the Ce⁴⁺ charge state
is unlikely to manifest any luminescence. Our results,
although expected to be qualitative, are discussed in re-
lation to previously reported experimental finding and
display an excellent agreement with available experimen-
tal observations. In general, it is demonstrated that con-
trolling charge state and substitutional sites of Ce could
be yet another way (in addition to band gap and band edge engineering) to manipulate the relative position of scintillating states with respect to valence and conduction band edges.
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