Spin-1 topological monopoles in the parameter space of ultracold atoms
Haiping Hu and Chuanwei Zhang
Phys. Rev. A 98, 013627 — Published 26 July 2018
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.98.013627
Spin-1 Topological Monopoles in Parameter Space of Ultracold Atoms

Haiping Hu and Chuanwei Zhang

Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080, USA

(Dated: July 10, 2018)

A magnetic monopole is a hypothetical elementary particle with an isolated magnetic pole. Its existence would directly lead to the quantization of electric charges. In recent years, analogues of magnetic monopoles, represented by topological defects in parameter spaces, have been studied in a wide range of physical systems. These works mainly focused on Abelian Dirac monopoles in spin-1/2 or non-Abelian Yang monopoles in spin-3/2 systems. Here we propose to realize three types of spin-1 topological monopoles and study their geometric properties using the parameter space formed by three hyperfine states of ultracold atoms coupled by radio-frequency fields. These spin-1 monopoles, characterized by different monopole charges, possess distinct Berry curvature fields and spin textures, which are directly measurable in experiments. The topological phase transitions between different monopoles are accompanied by the emergence of spin “vortex”, and can be intuitively visualized using Majorana’s stellar representation. We show how to determine the Berry curvature, hence the geometric phase and monopole charge from dynamical effects. Our scheme provides a simple and highly tunable platform for observing and manipulating spin-1 topological monopoles, paving the way for exploring new topological quantum matter.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1931, Dirac proposed the quantum theory of magnetic charge, which is consistent with the gauge invariance of electromagnetic field. The deep relation between charge quantization and magnetic charge is revealed by the Dirac quantization condition [1], i.e., if any magnetic monopoles exist in the universe, then all electric charges must be quantized. The magnetic monopole carrying a net magnetic charge $\hbar/2e$, is considered as the source for induced magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ satisfying Gauss’s law $\int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = n\hbar/e$, where $n$ counts the number of magnetic charges enclosed by a two-dimensional (2D) integral manifold $\mathcal{S}$. Although no direct experimental evidence for magnetic (Dirac) monopoles has been reported so far, analogues of magnetic monopoles have been found in various physical systems [2–9]. In such Dirac-like monopoles, the monopole charge is defined as the topological invariant

$$C = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot d\mathbf{S}, \quad \mathbf{\Omega} = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \langle \psi | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} | \psi \rangle,$$

i.e., the first Chern number, where $\mathbf{R}$ represents an extended parameter space (e.g., position, momentum, or certain other parameters) and the Berry curvature $\mathbf{\Omega}$ corresponds to the effective magnetic field. In many condensed matter materials, such as the recently discovered Dirac [10–13] and Weyl semimetals [14–28], topological monopoles usually represent Berry curvature singularities in the momentum space with energy level degeneracy. The monopole charges are topologically protected against small perturbations and their changes indicate topological phase transitions.

While Dirac-like monopoles have been broadly investigated in both theory and experiment for spin-1/2 systems because of their significance for characterizing topological quantum matter and realizing geometric quantum computation [29–31], the study of topological monopoles in higher-spin systems started to attract attention in recent years, with important experimental progress such as the observation of non-Abelian Yang monopoles [32] in a degenerate state space for a spin-3/2 atomic gas [33].

The high-spin systems have provided unprecedented opportunities for realizing exotic phases of rich internal spin structures without analogues in solid-state systems. In this context, spin-1 topological monopoles may be of special interests because, unlike spin-1/2 (or spin-3/2), the underlying $3 \times 3$ Hamiltonian cannot be written by (or as direct products of) Pauli matrices, and naturally contains both spin vectors and quadrupole tensors. These spin vectors and tensors are equivalent to the so-called Gell-Mann matrices, which form a basis of the SU(3) algebra. Intuitively, the three-component quantum state cannot be simply mapped onto a Bloch sphere, therefore the geometric phase and monopole charge cannot be directly determined by the solid angle and covering number on the Bloch sphere [8, 9] as in spin-1/2 case. In the momentum space, spin-1 topological monopoles correspond to triply-degenerate band-touching points, which have been studied in solid states [34] and cold atoms [35–37] recently, but their experimental realization is still largely elusive [38].

In this paper, we propose that ultracold atoms in the parameter space formed by radio-frequency (rf) couplings between three hyperfine atomic ground states provide a simple and highly tunable platform for studying exotic topological phases in spin-1 systems, in particular, spin-1 topological monopoles. The Hamiltonian for the ultracold atoms is parameterized by external parameters of the underlying tunable rf fields. Using the mapping from these external parameters to crystal momenta in band
theory, we can mimic topological Hamiltonians for Bloch bands. Similar ideas have been implemented for various types of quantum simulations [8, 9, 33, 38, 39]. For example, Ref. [9] utilized controllable superconducting quantum circuits to investigate topological properties of both non-interacting and interacting quantum systems.

For our three-level system, we find that three types of spin-1 monopoles and their topological phase transitions are characterized by the emergence of spin vortices, which change the spin textures in the parameter space and can be directly probed in experiments. These monopoles can also be visualized using Majorana’s stellar representation (MSR) [40] on the state space. Within this geometric representation, different monopoles yield topologically distinct trajectories of Majorana stars on the Bloch sphere. Finally, a dynamical protocol [41] is proposed to measure the Berry curvature in the parameter space, which determines the geometric phase [42] associated with an adiabatic evolution path as well as the monopole charge. Our scheme can be easily generalized to larger-spin systems, providing a general platform for studying exotic topological quantum matter using the parameter space of ultracold atoms.

II. SPIN-1 TOPOLOGICAL MONPOLES

We consider a $^{87}$Rb Bose-Einstein condensate confined in an optical dipole trap. As illustrated in Fig. 1(a), the three hyperfine ground levels $|F = 1, m_F\rangle$ ($m_F = \pm 1, 0$) are coupled using two rf fields, $\Omega_{12}\cos(\omega_{12}t + \phi_{12})$ and $\Omega_{23}\cos(\omega_{23}t + \phi_{23})$, where $\Omega_{ij}$, $\omega_{ij}$, and $\phi_{ij}$ are the amplitude, frequency and phase of the driving field that couples $i$-th and $j$-th states ($|1\rangle = |1,1\rangle$, $|2\rangle = |1,0\rangle$, $|3\rangle = |1,-1\rangle$). The driving frequency are chosen as $\hbar\omega_{12} = E_2 - E_1 + \delta_1$, $\hbar\omega_{23} = E_3 - E_2 - \delta_2$. Here $E_i$ is the energy of the $i$-th state, with $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ the detunings. The effective spin Hamiltonian of the three-level system in the rotating frame is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & \Omega_{12}\epsilon^{i\phi_{12}} & 0 \\ \Omega_{12}\epsilon^{-i\phi_{12}} & 0 & \Omega_{23}\epsilon^{i\phi_{23}} \\ 0 & \Omega_{23}\epsilon^{-i\phi_{23}} & \delta_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which can be further represented as

$$H = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{F} + t_{zz}F^2_z + t_{xx}N_{xx} + t_{yz}N_{yz},$$

in terms of the spin-1 operators $\mathbf{F}$ is the spin-vector of $F = 1$, and $N_{ij} = (F_iF_j + F_jF_i)/2 - \delta_{ij}F^2/3$ ($i, j = x, y, z$) denote the rank-2 spin quadrupole tensors. The six coupling parameters $m_y = \Omega_{12}\cos\phi_{12} + \Omega_{23}\cos\phi_{23})/\sqrt{2}$, $m_z = (-\Omega_{12}\sin\phi_{12} - \Omega_{23}\sin\phi_{23})/\sqrt{2}$, $m_x = (\delta_1 - \delta_2)/2$, $t_{zz} = (\delta_1 + \delta_2)/2$, $t_{xx} = \sqrt{2}(\Omega_{12}\cos\phi_{12} - \Omega_{23}\cos\phi_{23})$, and $t_{yz} = \sqrt{2}(\Omega_{12}\sin\phi_{12} + \Omega_{23}\sin\phi_{23})$ can be tuned independently by varying six parameters $\Omega_{12}$, $\Omega_{23}$, $\phi_{12}$, $\phi_{23}$, $\delta_1$, and $\delta_2$ of the two rf fields in experiments. The effective fields $m_i$ and $t_{ij}$ couple respectively with spin-vectors and spin-tensors.

These six parameters form a six-dimensional parameter space. Using the mapping from these external parameters of a cold-atom system to the crystal momenta of a Bloch band system, we can simulate Bloch Hamiltonians with exotic properties that may be challenging to realize in solid-state materials. The topological phases with all levels below the Fermi energy being occupied can be effectively realized by sweeping the parameter space, where topological transitions correspond to gap closings at some points in the parameter space. By choosing suitable parameters, we can restrict the parameter space to lower dimensions for studying various topological states in 2D or 3D. For instance, by choosing $\Omega_{12} = \Omega_{23} = \Omega_0$, $\phi_{12} = \phi_{23} = \phi$, the couplings with $N_{xx}$ and $N_{yz}$ vanish, yielding a Hamiltonian $\sim m_xF_x - m_yF_y$, similar to the 2D Rashba spin-orbit coupling for spin-1 systems.

In this paper, we focus on engineering spin-1 topological monopoles in a 3D parameter space, with the coupling fields $m_i$, $t_{ij}$ parameterized by the spherical coordinates $(r, \theta, \phi)$ (with $0 \leq r$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$) of the 3D parameter space. The monopoles reside at the original point $r = 0$, where all $m_i$ and $t_{ij}$ vanish and the energy level becomes triply-degenerate. The created topological monopoles manifest themselves by the Berry curvature (or Berry flux) in this parameter space, with their charges determined by the integral of Berry curvature over a closed surface $\mathcal{S}$, as shown in Fig. 1(b). For convenience, we choose the integral surface as a sphere. By tuning the coupling coefficients $\mathbf{m}$ and $t_{ij}$, the Berry curvature over the sphere changes and three types of topological monopoles with $C = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 1, 0$ emerge for spin-1 systems, which are different from the one-type Dirac monopole of spin-1/2 systems with $C = \pm 1$.

1) $C = 2$ monopole from a Hamiltonian $H = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{F}$ with $\mathbf{m} = r(\sin\theta \cos\phi, \sin\theta \sin\phi, \cos\theta)$, which describes a spin-1 atom in an effective magnetic field $\mathbf{m}$ emanating...
from the monopole. There is no coupling with any spin tensors. The Hamiltonian can be simply realized using \( \Omega_1 = \Omega_{23} = r \sin \theta / \sqrt{2}, \delta_1 = -\delta_2 = r \cos \theta, \) and \( \phi_{12} = \phi_{23} = -\phi. \)

II) \( C = 1 \) monopole induced by an additional term \( \sim F_z^2, \) i.e., \( H = m \cdot \mathbf{F} + \alpha m_z F_z^2. \) The Hamiltonian can be realized using the same \( \Omega_1, \phi_{12} \) as those in I), but with different \( \delta_1 = r(\alpha + 1) \cos \theta, \) \( \delta_2 = r(\alpha - 1) \cos \theta. \) When \( |\alpha| < 1, \) the system is adiabatically connected to the monopole with \( C = 2 \) in I). While when \( \alpha > 1, C = 1. \) \( \alpha = 1 \) is a topological phase transition point with level crossing along the north pole of \( S, \) i.e., \( \theta = 0. \)

III) \( C = 0 \) monopole induced by spin-tensor \( N_{xz} \) or \( N_{oz} \) (which is similar), i.e., \( H = m \cdot \mathbf{F} + \beta m_z N_{xz} \). The Hamiltonian can be realized by taking \( \delta_1 = -\delta_2 = r \cos \theta, \) \( \phi_{12} = -\arctan \frac{\tan \phi}{1 + \beta/2}, \phi_{23} = -\arctan \frac{\tan \phi}{1 - \beta/2}, \)

\[ \Omega_1 = r \sin \theta \sqrt{[(1 + \beta/2)^2 \cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \phi]/2}, \]

\[ \Omega_2 = r \sin \theta \sqrt{[(1 - \beta/2)^2 \cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \phi]/2}. \]

For \( |\beta| < 2, C = 2, \) while for \( |\beta| > 2, C = 0, \beta = 2 \) is the transition point, with level crossings at \( \theta = \pi/4, \phi = 0 \) or \( \pi. \)

III. SPIN TEXTURE AND SPIN VORTEX

The three types of spin-1 monopoles with different Chern numbers possess distinct configurations of spin textures. In Figs. 2(a) to (c), we illustrate the spin polarization \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle \equiv \langle |\psi \rangle | \mathbf{F} | \psi \rangle \) of the ground state \( |\psi \rangle \) on the surface \( S. \) Similarly, the induced effective magnetic field (i.e., Berry curvature \( \Omega \)) from the magnetic monopole exhibit different structures as shown in Figs. 2(d) to (f). For type-I monopoles described by \( H = m \cdot \mathbf{F} \) and \( C = 2 \) Figs. 2(a)/(d)], \( \Omega = -\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle / r^2 = m / r^3. \) Because only spin-vectors appear in the Hamiltonian, \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = 1. \) The Berry curvature field is antiparallel to the spin polarization and distributes uniformly on the sphere \( S, \) emanating from the monopole charge located at the center.

The inclusion of spin-tensors leads to non-uniform distributions for both \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle \) and \( \Omega. \) Note that unlike spin-1/2 case, \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle \) is not quantized (to 1) for general spin-1 systems. The topological transitions between different types of monopoles occur due to level crossings, which are accompanied by the creation or annihilation of spin “vortex” structure at the level-crossing points. At the core of the vortex, \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = 0, \) and along a small encircling loop, the direction of spin polarization winds up 2\( \pi \) angle. For \( C = 1 \) monopole, the created vortex resides at the north pole \( \theta = 0, \) as shown in Fig. 2(b). From a perturbation analysis (up to linear term of \( \delta \theta \)), the wave function near the north pole is given by \( |\psi \rangle = (0, \frac{\delta \theta e^{-i \theta}}{\sqrt{2(1 + \alpha)}}, 1)^T \) for \( \alpha < 1 \) and \( |\psi \rangle = \left( -\frac{\delta \theta e^{-i \theta}}{\sqrt{2(1 + \alpha)}}, 1, -\frac{\delta \theta e^{-i \theta}}{\sqrt{2(1 - \alpha)}}, 1 \right)^T \) for \( \alpha > 1, \) yielding the spin polarization \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle \equiv \left( \frac{3 \delta \theta \cos \phi}{\alpha - 1}, \frac{3 \delta \theta \sin \phi}{\alpha - 1}, -1 \right) \) \( \approx (0, 0, -1) \) for \( \alpha < 1 \) and \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle \approx \left( 2 \alpha \delta \theta \cos \phi, \sin \phi, 0 \right) \) for \( \alpha > 1. \) It is clear for \( \alpha > 1, \) a spin vortex is created at the north pole.

For \( C = 0 \) monopole, two spin vortices are created which are located at \( \theta = \pi/4, \phi = 0 \) or \( \pi \) respectively, as shown in Fig. 2(c). We choose the vortex at \( \theta = \pi/4, \phi = 0 \) as an example. Using perturbation theory, the spin polarization near the vortex core for \( \beta > 2 \) is \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = \frac{4 \delta \theta}{1 - \alpha} (\delta \theta, \delta \phi/2, -\delta \theta). \) As \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle \cdot m = 0, \) the spin polarization lies on the sphere \( S \) and winds \( 2\pi \) along a closed path around the vortex core.

These severe changes of spin textures \( \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle, \) in particular the emergence of spin vortices, can be directly measured in experiments and thus be used to determine different types of monopoles and their phase transitions. Physically, we can interpret these topological transitions as the transfer of singularity from the monopole charges at the center to the emergent spin vortices on \( S. \) Experimen-
tally, the transition between $C = 2$ and $C = 1$ monopoles can be determined by directly measuring the spin polarization along the $z$-direction: $\langle F_z \rangle = \frac{N_1 - N_2}{N_1 + N_2}$ on the two poles of $S$, as shown in Fig. 2(g). Here $N_1$, $N_2$, and $N_{-1}$ denote the populations of corresponding hyperfine states. For a $C = 2$ monopole, $\langle F_z \rangle_{SP} = -\langle F_z \rangle_{NP} = 1$; while for $C = 1$ case, $\langle F_z \rangle_{SP} = 1$, $\langle F_z \rangle_{NP} = 0$. For a $C = 0$ monopole as shown in Fig. 2(c) and (f), the spin polarization and Berry curvature field are mainly distributed near the two poles, with an in-out structures for the Berry flux dictated by its zero monopole charge. Similarly, the transition between $C = 2$ and $C = 0$ monopoles can be experimentally determined by measuring the spin polarization at $\theta = \pi/4$ and $\phi = 0$ as illustrated in Fig. 2(h). For $C = 2$ case, $\langle F_y \rangle = \langle F_z \rangle = -4/\sqrt{32 + b^2}$, $\langle F_y \rangle = 0$, while for $C = 0$, $\langle F \rangle = 0$.

IV. MSR OF TOPOLOGICAL MONOPOLES

The emergence of different types of spin-1 monopoles and their topological phase transitions (corresponding to change of monopole charge) can be intuitively understood and best visualized by utilizing a geometric method—MSR [40], which projects states in high-dimensional Hilbert space to a few points, named Majorana stars, on the Bloch sphere. Each Majorana star corresponds to an individual spin-1/2 state. The physical properties are then encoded in the geometrical information of these Majorana stars.

In a spin-1/2 system, any state can be written as the superposition $| \psi \rangle = \cos \frac{\xi}{2} | \uparrow \rangle + \sin \frac{\xi}{2} | \downarrow \rangle$, with $0 \leq \xi \leq \pi$, and $0 \leq \eta < 2\pi$. The state $| \psi \rangle$ is in exact correspondence with a point $u = (\sin \xi \cos \eta, \sin \xi \sin \eta, \cos \xi)$ on the Bloch sphere, i.e., each spin-1/2 state is represented by one Majorana star. Here $\xi$ and $\eta$ denote the colatitude and longitude in the spherical coordinate. For an arbitrary three-component state $| \psi \rangle = f_{-1}|1, -1 \rangle + f_{0}|1, 0 \rangle + f_{1}|1, 1 \rangle$, we can use the Schwinger boson theory [43] to rewrite the spin-1 basis by the creation and annihilation operators of two-mode bosons $a^\dagger$, $a$, and $b^\dagger$, $b$: $| m \rangle = (a^\dagger)^i a^m | 0 \rangle$. The state $| \psi \rangle$ is then factorized as

$$| \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \prod_{j=1}^{2} (\cos \frac{\xi_j}{2} a^\dagger + \sin \frac{\xi_j}{2} e^{i \eta_j} b^\dagger) | 0 \rangle,$$

following the fundamental theorem of algebra, where $N$ is the normalization coefficient. Denote $y_j = \tan \frac{\xi_j}{2} e^{i \eta_j}$ and $a^\dagger | 0 \rangle = | \uparrow \rangle$, $b^\dagger | 0 \rangle = | \downarrow \rangle$, then $y_j$ satisfies $\sum_{j=0}^{2} (-1)^j y_{j+1} y_j^2 = 0$. From Eq. (3), it is obvious that any spin-1 state can be characterized by two individual Majorana stars $u_j = (\sin \xi_j \cos \eta_j, \sin \xi_j \sin \eta_j, \cos \xi_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$) on the Bloch sphere.

The trajectories of two Majorana stars on the Bloch sphere can be used to visualize different types of spin-1 monopoles and their topological phase transitions. Here we take type-II monopoles as an example and consider a closed evolution path

$$\Gamma(t) : \quad \theta(t) = \frac{\pi}{4} \cos \frac{2\pi t}{T} + \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \phi(t) = \frac{\pi}{3} \sin \frac{2\pi t}{T}. \quad (4)$$

in the parameter space with $\Gamma(t) = \Gamma(t+T)$. In Figs. 3(a-d), the trajectories of two Majorana stars for the ground states at four typical $\alpha$’s are drawn. At $\alpha = 0$, two Majorana stars $u_1$ and $u_2$ coincide with each other, starting and ending at the south pole of the Bloch sphere [Fig. 3(a)]. This corresponds to a spin-1 in a magnetic field $m$ of parameter space with eigenstate $e^{iF_z \theta} e^{iF_y \phi} | -1 \rangle$. By increasing $\alpha$ till $\alpha = 1$, the trajectories “explode” on the Bloch sphere, accompanied by a sudden change of their topology at $\alpha = 1$ [Fig. 3(c)]. After the transition point, while one of Majorana stars is still bonded to the south pole, the trajectory of the other one now starts and ends at the north pole as shown in Fig. 3(d). Two Majorana stars only share one touching point on the equator.

Similar analysis can be performed for the type-III monopoles and their phase transitions (See Appendix A for the discussion of MSR of type-III monopoles).

We note that while the configurations of two Majorana stars rely on the selection of evolution path, the change of the topology of their trajectories is always accompanied by the phase transition between different types of monopoles, revealing their distinct geometric and topological properties.
V. BERRY PHASE AND BERRY CURVATURE

In an adiabatic evolution over a course of cycle, Berry phase, which results from the geometric properties of the underlying Hamiltonian, can be represented as the integral of gauge potential: $\gamma = \oint A \cdot dR = i \oint \langle \psi | \nabla R | \psi \rangle \cdot dR$. For a spin-1/2 system, $\gamma$ is simply the solid angle subtended by the trajectories of the single Majorana star. While for a spin-1 system, any quantum state is represented by two Majorana stars. Fortunately, the Berry phase accumulated along a closed path can be elegantly formulated as [44–47]

$$\gamma = \gamma_S + \gamma_C = - \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \int (1 - \cos \xi_j) d\eta_j - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{(du_1 - du_2) \cdot (u_1 \times u_2)}{3 + u_1 \cdot u_2},$$

where the first term $\gamma_S$ denotes the solid angles traced out by the two Majorana stars and the second term $\gamma_C$ describes their correlations due to the relative motion.

In Fig. 3(c), we show the Berry phase along the path $\Gamma(t)$ with respect to $\alpha$. At $\alpha = 0$, the two Majorana stars coincide with each other, hence the correlation part vanishes ($\gamma_C = 0$) and $\gamma$ is twice the solid angle subtended by each Majorana star. By increasing $\alpha$, the trajectories of the two Majorana stars are separated and their correlation $\gamma_C$ becomes nonzero. At $\alpha = 1$, the three geometric phases exhibit discontinuities due to the change of topology of the two trajectories. After the transition point, $\gamma$ tends to zero, in consistent with that the two Majorana stars are bonded to different poles on the Bloch sphere [Fig. 3(d)].

The Berry phase $\gamma$ can also be obtained from the Berry curvature filed $\Omega$ through $\gamma = \oint S \cdot \Omega \cdot dS$, with boundary condition $\partial S = \Gamma$. If the integral is performed on a closed 2D manifold, it gives the monopole charge. Using MSR, the Berry curvature takes the following form [48]:

$$\Omega_{\alpha, \beta} = - \frac{2}{(3 + u_1 \cdot u_2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ u_1 \cdot \left( \partial_\alpha u_i - \partial_\beta u_i \right) + (u_1 + u_2) \cdot \left( \partial_\alpha u_1 + \partial_\beta u_2 + u_1 \leftrightarrow u_2 \right) \right].$$

(6)

For type-I monopoles without spin-tensors, $u_1 = u_2 \equiv u$, and Eq. (6) reduces to $\Omega_{\alpha, \beta} = - u \cdot \left( \partial_\alpha u \times \partial_\beta u \right)$, indicating that the monopole charge is nothing but the covering number of two Majorana stars on the Bloch sphere [35]. The spin-tensor term could in general deform the configurations of Majorana stars, hence change the topological charge of the associated monopole.

For the integral sphere $S$ in the parameter space in Fig. 1(b), the topological charge can be further rewritten as

$$C = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \Omega_{\theta, \phi},$$

(7)

where $\Omega_{\theta, \phi} = \text{Im} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \phi} \right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} - \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \phi} \right]$ is the Berry curvature in spherical coordinates.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL DETECTION OF MONOPOLE CHARGE

The spin textures as well as emergence of spin vortices in the previous discussions provide a simple experimental signature for distinguishing different types of monopoles and their phase transitions. However, to measure the Berry phase and determine the monopole charge, we need to measure the Berry curvature on each point in the parameter space. This can be done using the non-adiabatic effect [41] during the ramping of certain related parameter $\lambda$ as illustrated in Fig. 4. The non-adiabaticity leads to the deflections of quantum trajectories that are proportional to the Berry curvature in parameter space, analogous to a charged particle moving in a magnetic field deflected by Lorentz force. Formally, the deflection is described by a generalized force $M_\mu = - (\partial_\mu H)$, and related to Berry curvature through

$$M_\mu = - \langle \psi_0(\mathbf{R}) | \partial_\mu H | \psi_0(\mathbf{R}) \rangle + v_\lambda \times \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} + O(\psi^3).$$

(8)

from linear response theory [41]. Here $\psi_0(\mathbf{R})$ is the instantaneous eigenstate at $\mathbf{R}(t)$. The last term denotes higher-order corrections. $v_\lambda = \frac{d\lambda}{dt}$ is the ramping velocity of $\lambda$. It is easy to verify that the contribution from the first term is zero for the integral on a closed surface. Considering that adiabaticity is usually hard to achieve in realistic laboratory condition, this relation has the advantage of needing only a moderately slow change of parameters with dominating linear terms.

For type-I and type-II monopoles, the Hamiltonian is cylindrically invariant. Accordingly, the Berry curvature must be cylindrically symmetric $\Omega_{\theta, \phi} = \Omega_{\theta, \phi=0}$. The generalized force along the longitude direction is given by $M_\phi = - \langle \phi_{\phi} H \rangle = r \sin \theta \sin \phi \langle F_\phi \rangle - r \sin \theta \cos \phi \langle F_y \rangle$. Hence $C = \int_0^T \Omega_{\theta, \phi=0} d\theta = \int dt \sin \theta \ r \langle F_y \rangle$. We choose a

FIG. 4: Berry curvature and monopole charge from dynamical effects. (a) Plot of $r\langle F_y \rangle$ with respect to $v$. The slope in the linear regime with small $v$ gives $\Omega_{\theta, \phi}$. (b) Monopole charge with respect to $\alpha$. (c), (d), (e) show $\Omega_{\theta, \phi}$ and $\langle F_y \rangle$ (blue solid lines) for $\alpha = 0$, 0.5, and 1.8, respectively. The red lines are $\Omega_{\theta, \phi}$ calculated from the dynamical effects, and the cyan dashed lines indicate their theoretical values. $r = 16\pi \times kHz$, $T_{ramp} = 4$ ms, which are in the linear regime.
smooth evolution path: \( \theta = \frac{v^2 t^2}{2 \pi} \) with \( v_\theta = \frac{v^2 t}{\pi} \), which is adiabatic at \( t = 0 \) and at \( t = \pi/v \), \( v_\theta = v \). The total ramping time of \( t \) from 0 to \( \pi \) is determined by \( T_{\text{ramp}} = \sqrt{2\pi/v} \). In Fig. 4(a) we show the dependence of \( \Omega_{\theta\phi} \) (with \( \alpha = 0 \)) at \( t = \pi/v \) on evolution speed \( v \). It is clear for small \( v \), the dynamical evolution lies in a linear regime, where the higher-order corrections are negligible. The Berry curvature \( \Omega_{\theta\phi} \) can then be extracted from the slope of the curve, in consistent with theoretical value \( \Omega_{\theta\phi} = \sin \theta |_{\theta = \pi/v} = 1 \) at \( \alpha = 0 \). Now we constrain the discussions in this linear regime. In Fig. 2(e), we plot the Berry phase calculated from the dynamical effect, which agrees quite well with the theoretical values obtained from Eq. (5). The integrated monopole charge \( C \) is shown in Fig. 4(b). For \( \alpha < 1 \), \( C \) is quantized to 2 while for \( \alpha > 1 \), \( C \) is quantized to 1. The system undergoes a topological phase transition at \( \alpha = 1 \), characterized by the change of monopole charge. Note that near the phase transition point, \( C \) is not precisely quantized due to the small energy gap in the evolution process.

The time-dependent magnetization \( \langle F_y \rangle \) and the extracted Berry curvature for different \( \alpha \) are shown in Figs. 4(c)-(e). At \( \alpha = 0 \), \( \langle F_y \rangle \) is linearly dependent on \( t \) with small oscillations from dynamical effects [Fig. 4(c)]. The extracted Berry curvature is in consistent with theoretical value \( \Omega_{\theta\phi} = \sin \theta \). With increasing \( \alpha \), both \( \Omega_{\theta\phi} \) and \( \langle F_y \rangle \) exhibit two peaks, accompanied by larger dynamical oscillations (energy gap decreases by increasing \( \alpha \)) as shown in Fig. 4(d). \( C \) is still quantized to 2. Further increasing \( \alpha \) to the transition point, the left peak of \( \Omega_{\theta\phi} \) moves towards the boundary \( \theta = 0 \). After that, a negative peak emerges near the same boundary as shown in Fig. 4(e), accompanied by a sudden change of \( C \).

For type-III monopoles of our system, we have \( M_\phi = -\langle \partial_\phi H \rangle = r \sin \theta \sin \phi [\langle F_y \rangle + \beta \langle N_{xz} \rangle] - r \sin \theta \cos \phi \langle F_y \rangle \). The monopole charge can then be extracted by measuring spin vectors \( \langle F_x \rangle \), \( \langle F_y \rangle \) and spin tensor \( \langle N_{xz} \rangle \) for different \((\theta, \phi)\) in the parameter space. The main results are summarized in Fig. 5. We can clearly see \( \beta = 2 \) is a phase transition point, with \( C \) changing from 2 to 0. The Berry curvature shows different behaviors for two phases. At \( \beta < 2 \), four positive peaks appear at \( \phi = n\pi/2 \). While across the transition point, the peaks at \( \phi = 0, \pi \) turn into negative peaks, cancelling the Berry curvature field in other regions. The integrated Berry curvature then gives \( C = 0 \) for \( \beta > 2 \).

VII. DISCUSSIONS

In summary, we have demonstrated a versatile ultracold atomic platform for the generation, manipulation and observation of various spin-1 topological monopoles in parameter space. Our proposed simple experimental system involves only two rf fields to couple three different hyperfine states of ultracold atoms, which define relevant parameter spaces, paving the way for exploring and engineering exotic quantum matter.

Our proposed different types of spin-1 monopoles and their distinct internal spin structures may also be observed using parameter spaces formed in other atomic, optical or solid-state systems, for instance, the superconducting quantum circuits. For example, the \( C = 2 \) spin-1 monopole (type-I) and its transition to a trivial insulator has been successfully realized in a recent experiment [38] using a transmon superconducting qutrit subject to microwave fields. With suitable modification of the experimental setup, type-II and III spin-1 monopoles could also be realized using superconducting qutrits.

Our proposed scheme also serves as an ideal platform towards other interesting physics of spin-1 system, such as the non-Abelian geometric phase [49] and topological insulator with \( SU(3) \) spin-orbit coupling [50]. For the latter, the three hyperfine states should be coupled in a cyclical way by suitably choosing three coupling fields and atomic levels. Furthermore, the present scheme using the parameter space of rf fields can be directly generalized to higher-spin systems. By coupling more hyperfine states of the underlying atomic gases, various topological defects with exotic internal structures, such as the spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger-Weyl semimetals [51], the six- and eight-fold band crossings [34], and large-spin topological monopoles even without counterparts in solid-state materials, can be simulated.
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In this section, we show the trajectories of Majorana stars using MSR and visualize the topological phase transitions on the Bloch sphere for type-III monopoles in the main text. The evolution path is chosen as $\Gamma_2(t) : \theta(t) = \frac{\pi}{8} \cos \frac{2\pi t}{4} + \frac{\pi}{4}, \phi(t) = \frac{\pi}{4} \sin \frac{2\pi t}{4} + \frac{\pi}{4}$.

The trajectories of two Majorana stars of the ground state are shown in Fig. 6 for four typical $\beta$. At $\beta = 0$, two Majorana stars $u_1$ and $u_2$ coincide with each other, sharing the same curves on the Bloch sphere. Hence $\gamma_C = 0$, and $\gamma = \gamma_S$. By increasing $\beta$, two Majorana stars start to separate, as shown in Fig. 6(b). The two trajectories share three touching points, one of which is fixed for all $\beta$. The topological phase transition occur at $\beta = 2$. After the transition, the two trajectories only share one common point. Correspondingly, the Berry phases $\gamma, \gamma_S, \gamma_C$ exhibit abrupt change at the transition point.

FIG. 6: Berry phase and MSR associated with evolution path $\Gamma_2(t)$ for type-III monopoles. Red solid, blue dotted and green dashed lines represent the total Berry phase $\gamma$, the solid angle part $\gamma_S$ and the correlation part $\gamma_C$. The insets plot the trajectories of two Majorana stars $u_1$ (blue) and $u_2$ (red) at $\beta = 0, 1, 2.01, 4$.

Appendix A: MSR of type-III monopoles
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