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Within the emergent eigenstate solution to quantum dynamics [Phys. Rev. X 7, 021012 (2017)], one can construct a local operator (an emergent Hamiltonian) of which the time-evolving state is an eigenstate. Here we show that such a solution exists for the expansion dynamics of Tonks-Girardeau gases in optical lattices after turning off power-law (e.g., harmonic or quartic) confining potentials, which are geometric quenches that do not involve the boost operator. For systems that are initially in the ground state and undergo dynamical fermionization during the expansion, we show that they remain in the ground state of the emergent local Hamiltonian at all times. On the other hand, for systems at nonzero initial temperatures, the expansion dynamics can be described constructing a Gibbs ensemble for the emergent local Hamiltonian (an emergent Gibbs ensemble).

I. INTRODUCTION

Nonequilibrium dynamics in isolated quantum systems generally result in states in which observables can be described using equilibrium statistical mechanics (they “thermalize”) [1]. Nevertheless, there are many examples of intriguing outcomes of quantum dynamics. Some are the result of designing controllable dynamical protocols to create states of matter that do not exist in equilibrium, e.g., long-lived nonequilibrium states after short photoexcitation pulses [2, 3] and Floquet states [4, 5]. Others are the result of having current-carrying states. Within the latter, recent studies have aimed at clarifying the role of (quasi-)local conserved quantities in transport in integrable systems [6–13], and generating interesting current-carrying states in closed quantum systems using inhomogeneous initial states [14–31]. Other remarkable dynamical phenomena that have recently attracted much attention are dynamical phase transitions [32–36] and discrete time crystals [37–39].

Also departing from the traditional thermalization scenario, it was pointed out in Ref. [40] that, for certain classes of quantum quenches involving pure states, there exist a local operator (an emergent local Hamiltonian) of which the time-evolving state is an eigenstate. Namely, there exists an emergent eigenstate solution to the quantum dynamics. Whenever the time-evolving state is the ground state of the emergent local Hamiltonian, one can understand why ground-state-like correlations, such as those observed in Refs. [16, 41], can occur far from equilibrium. On the other hand, the emergent eigenstate solution offers promising tools to engineer many-body states with ultracold atoms. Related ideas have been recently explored in the context of integrable Floquet dynamics [42] and counter-diabatic driving [43].

In the quantum quenches studied in Ref. [40], the initial states were eigenstates of Hamiltonians that contained the so-called boost operator (an operator that is used in integrable models to generate conserved quantities). While such Hamiltonians could potentially be engineered in optical lattice experiments, they are not directly relevant to current experiments. Our first goal in this Rapid Communication is to show that the emergent eigenstate solutions also exist for quenches that do not involve the boost operator. Our second goal is to formalize the numerical observation in Ref. [44] that the emergent local Hamiltonian can be used to understand the dynamics of thermal states after a quench. We justify analytically, and show numerically, the applicability of an emergent Gibbs ensemble (a Gibbs ensemble for the emergent local Hamiltonian) for quenches starting from Gibbs states.

We study bosons in the Tonks-Girardeau regime (hard-core bosons) [45–48] in one-dimensional (1D) lattices, and consider systems that are initially at zero and nonzero temperatures confined in power-law traps (harmonic traps are the ones relevant to current experiments). The physical phenomenon that will be central to our discussions is the dynamical fermionization of the quasimomentum distribution function during the expansion after suddenly turning off the trap [17, 18, 44, 49]. In closing, by comparing results for power-law traps with even and odd exponents (for spectra that is bounded and unbounded from below, respectively), we show that different emergent local Hamiltonians can be used to describe dynamics of the same states, and that the target eigenstates can be ground states or highly excited states of such Hamiltonians.

II. EMERGENT EIGENSTATE SOLUTION

We first review some key elements of the emergent eigenstate solution to quantum dynamics introduced in Ref. [40]. We consider a quantum quench from the initial Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$ to the final Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$, with the initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ being an eigenstate of $\hat{H}_0$ ($\hat{H}_0 |\psi_0\rangle = \lambda |\psi_0\rangle$). The time-evolving state $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt}|\psi_0\rangle$ is an eigenstate $\hat{M}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle = 0$ of the operator

$$\hat{M}(t) \equiv e^{-iHt} \hat{H}_0 e^{iHt} - \lambda,$$

which is in general nonlocal and therefore of no particular interest (we set $\hbar = 1$). However, there are physically rel-
evant cases for which $\hat{M}(t)$ is a local operator, i.e., an extensive sum of operators with support on a finite number of lattice sites [40]. We say that the emergent eigenstate solution to quantum dynamics exists whenever $\hat{M}(t)$ can be replaced by a local operator $\hat{H}(t)$ that we call the emergent local Hamiltonian. Since $\hat{H}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle = 0$, instead of time-evolving the initial state one can solve for the dynamics by finding a single eigenstate of $\hat{H}(t)$. Remarkably, $\hat{H}(t)$ is time independent in the Heisenberg picture $[\hat{\psi}(t)|\hat{H}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle = 0]$. Hence, $\hat{H}(t)$ is a local conserved quantity even though it does not commute with the physical Hamiltonian that governs the dynamics.

The conditions for $\hat{H}(t)$ to exist become apparent from the expansion of Eq. (1) in power series

$$e^{-i\hat{H}t}\hat{H}_0e^{i\hat{H}t} = \hat{H}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-it)^n}{n!}\hat{H}_n,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\hat{H}_n = [\hat{H}, \ldots [\hat{H}, [\hat{H}, \hat{H}_0]]] \ldots$ is an $n$-th nested commutator of the final Hamiltonian with the initial Hamiltonian. An emergent local Hamiltonian exists if: (i) $\hat{H}_n$ vanishes at some finite $n_0$, or (ii) if the nested commutators close the sum in Eq. (2).

### III. EMERGENT GIBBS ENSEMBLE

One can generalize the emergent eigenstate solution of quantum dynamics to initial thermal states. For an initial density matrix $\hat{\rho}_0 = e^{-\beta\hat{H}_0}/Z_0$, where $Z_0 = \text{Tr}e^{-\beta\hat{H}_0}$ and $\beta = T^{-1}$ is the initial inverse temperature (we set $k_B = 1$), the time-evolving density matrix is

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = Z_0^{-1}e^{-i\hat{H}t}e^{-\beta\hat{H}_0}e^{i\hat{H}t} = Z_0^{-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!}e^{-i\hat{H}t}(\hat{H}_0)^ne^{i\hat{H}t},$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

where, in the second line, the operator $e^{-\beta\hat{H}_0}$ was expanded in a power series. Writing $e^{-i\hat{H}t}(\hat{H}_0)^ne^{i\hat{H}t} = (e^{-i\hat{H}t}\hat{H}_0e^{i\hat{H}t})^n$ yields

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = Z_0^{-1}\exp\left(-\beta\left[e^{-i\hat{H}t}\hat{H}_0e^{i\hat{H}t}\right]\right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

In Eq. (4), one can introduce the operator $\hat{\Sigma}(t) \equiv e^{-i\hat{H}t}\hat{H}_0e^{i\hat{H}t}$ such that the time-evolving density matrix $\hat{\rho}(t)$ is a Gibbs density matrix of $\hat{\Sigma}(t)$. $\hat{\Sigma}(t)$ is in general nonlocal and, hence, of no particular use. However, whenever $\hat{\Sigma}(t)$ is local $[\hat{\Sigma}(t) \equiv \hat{\Sigma}(t)]$, Eq. (4) represents a physically meaningful emergent Gibbs ensemble

$$\hat{\Sigma}(t) = Z_0^{-1}e^{-\beta\hat{\Sigma}(t)}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

Note that the temperature in $\hat{\Sigma}(t)$ is that of the initial state, only the emergent local Hamiltonian changes with time. The expectation value of any observable $\hat{O}$ during the dynamics can be computed as $\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle = \text{Tr}\{\hat{\Sigma}(t)\hat{O}\}$.

### IV. DYNAMICAL FERMIONIZATION

As mentioned before, here we study hard-core bosons in 1D lattices. We consider initial Hamiltonians of the form:

$$\hat{H}_{0,\text{HCB}}^{(\alpha)} = -J \sum_{l=-L_\alpha}^{L_\alpha-1} \left(\hat{b}_{l+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_l + \text{H.c.}\right) + \frac{J}{R^\alpha} \sum_{l=-L_\alpha}^{L_\alpha-1} l^\alpha \hat{b}_{l}^\dagger \hat{b}_l,$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\hat{b}_{l}^\dagger$ ($\hat{b}_l$) is the creation (annihilation) operator of a hard-core boson at site $l$, $J$ is the hopping amplitude, and $J/R^\alpha$ and $\alpha$ are the strength and exponent of the power-law trap, respectively. The quantity to be kept constant when taking the thermodynamic limit is the so-called characteristic density $\tilde{\rho} = N/R = 0.5$. (Main panel) Subtracted overlap $[1-O(t)]$, where $O(t) = |\langle \Psi_t | \psi(t) \rangle|$, of the time-evolving state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ (for the $T = 0$ cases) with the ground state $|\Psi_t\rangle$ of the emergent local Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^{(2)}(t)$ (13) and $\hat{H}^{(3)}(t)$ (14).

![Initial site occupations and validity of the emergent eigenstate solution.](image)

In the insets Initial site occupations in harmonic (top inset) and quartic (bottom inset) traps at zero and nonzero temperature. Results are shown for a characteristic density $\tilde{\rho} = N/R = 0.5$. (Main panel) Subtracted overlap $[1-O(t)]$, where $O(t) = |\langle \Psi_t | \psi(t) \rangle|$, of the time-evolving state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ (for the $T = 0$ cases) with the ground state $|\Psi_t\rangle$ of the emergent local Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^{(2)}(t)$ (13) and $\hat{H}^{(3)}(t)$ (14).
show typical initial ground-state and finite-temperature ($T = 0.3J$) site occupations considered in our study. It is interesting to note that, in the center of the quartic trap, the site occupations are almost constant.

Our quench consists of turning off the trap, so that the dynamics occur under the physical Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H} = -J \sum_{l=-L_0}^{L_0-1} \left( \hat{f}^\dagger_{l+1} \hat{f}_l + \text{H.c.} \right). 
$$

(8)

We measure time in units of $1/J$ and set $J \equiv 1$. This class of geometric quantum quenches is known as sudden expansion and has been widely studied theoretically \cite{spin1, spin2, spin3} and in experiments with ultracold atoms in optical lattices \cite{exp1, exp2, exp3}. In contrast to the standard time-of-flight measurements, the lattice potential and, as a result, strong interactions, are not switched off during the quench.

We now derive the emergent local Hamiltonian for this setup. Note that, in Ref \cite{ref1}, the initial and the potential and, as a result, strong interactions, are not switched off during the quench. For $\alpha = 2$ and $4$ [see Eq. (7)], the traps of interest here, this is not the case.

For the analysis that follows, it is useful to define the generalized kinetic energy $\hat{T}^{(m,\alpha)}$ and current $\hat{j}^{(m,\alpha)}$ operators

$$
\hat{T}^{(m,\alpha)} = -R^{-\alpha} \sum_{l=-L_0}^{L_0-m} \left[ \left( l + \frac{m}{2} \right) \alpha \hat{f}^\dagger_{l+m} \hat{f}_l + \text{H.c.} \right],
$$

(9)

$$
\hat{j}^{(m,\alpha)} = R^{-\alpha} \sum_{l=-L_0}^{L_0-m} \left[ i \left( l + \frac{m}{2} \right) \alpha \hat{f}^\dagger_{l+m} \hat{f}_l - \text{H.c.} \right].
$$

(10)

They allow us to write the initial Hamiltonian as $\hat{H}_0^{(\alpha)} = \hat{T}^{(1,0)} - \hat{T}^{(0,\alpha)}/2$, and the final Hamiltonian as $\hat{H} = \hat{T}^{(1,0)}$. Note that the operators $\hat{T}^{(m,0)}$ and $\hat{j}^{(m,0)}$ commute with $\hat{H}$, up to boundary terms. This is what ensures that the sum in Eq. (2) is convergent for our quenches of interest.

Let us consider first the initial harmonic confinement, $\alpha = 2$ in Eq. (7). The commutator of the final and the initial Hamiltonian yields

$$
[\hat{H}, \hat{H}_0^{(2)}] = -2i R^{-1} \hat{j}^{(1,1)}.
$$

(11)

While $\hat{j}^{(1,1)}$ does not commute with $\hat{H}$, their commutator yields the operators

$$
[\hat{H}, \hat{j}^{(1,1)}] = -i R^{-1} \hat{T}^{(2,0)} + 2i R^{-1} \hat{N},
$$

(12)

which both commute with $\hat{H}$ (up to boundary terms), $\hat{N} = \sum \hat{n}_l$. We therefore truncate the series in Eq. (2) at $n_0 = 2$. Consequently, the emergent local Hamiltonian for the initial harmonic trap reads

$$
\hat{H}^{(2)}(t) = \hat{H}_0^{(2)} - \lambda - \frac{2t}{R} \hat{j}^{(1,1)} + \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^2 \hat{T}^{(2,0)} + \left( \frac{\sqrt{2t}}{R} \right)^2 \hat{N}.
$$

(13)

Remarkably, when mapping spinless fermions back to hard-core bosons, the operator $\hat{T}^{(2,0)}$ in Eq. (13) becomes a two-body operator $-\sum (\hat{b}_{l+1}^{\dagger} - 2 \hat{b}_l^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{l+1}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_l + \text{H.c.})$. Hence, the emergent local Hamiltonian for hard-core bosons contains correlated next-nearest neighbor hoppings, even though the emergent local Hamiltonian for the corresponding fermions is nevertheless quadratic.

The emergent local Hamiltonian to describe the expansion dynamics from other initial power-law traps, with $\alpha > 2$, can also be determined in a straightforward way. However, the calculations become increasingly lengthy with increasing $\alpha$ as the series in Eq. (2) is truncated at $n = \alpha$. In Appendix B, we discuss the calculation for the quartic trap, $\alpha = 4$ in Eq. (7). The resulting emergent local Hamiltonian reads

$$
\hat{H}^{(4)}(t) = \hat{H}_0^{(4)} - \lambda - 6 \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^2 \hat{T}^{(0,2)} + \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^2 \left[ 4 \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^2 + R^{-2} \right] \hat{T}^{(2,0)} + 6 \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^2 \hat{T}^{(2,2)} - \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^4 \hat{T}^{(4,0)}
$$

$$
- \frac{t}{R} \left[ 12 \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^2 + R^{-2} \right] \hat{j}^{(1,1)} - 4 \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^3 \hat{j}^{(1,3)} + 4 \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^3 \hat{j}^{(3,1)} + 2 \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^2 \left[ 3 \left( \frac{t}{R} \right)^2 + R^{-2} \right] \hat{N},
$$

(14)

We check this numerically for $\alpha = 2$ and $4$, and $\hat{\rho} = 0.5$ in Fig. 1 by calculating the overlap $O(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle$. The overlap is essentially one to machine precision. This confirms that, if the lattice is sufficiently large such that the site occupations at the edges vanish at all times, the emergent eigenstate solution is valid at all times (see Appendix A). Moreover, Figs. 2(c)–2(f) show results for various one-body observables for initial finite-temperature
states, obtained both by time-evolving the initial density matrix (as in Ref. [44]) and by using the emergent Gibbs ensemble. The results can be seen to agree, which demonstrates the validity of the emergent Gibbs ensemble description [see Eq. (5)].

Physically, the quench dynamics under investigation is of particular interest because the quasimomentum distribution of hard-core bosons undergoes a dynamical fermionization, namely, it approaches that of spinless fermions as the cloud expands [17]. (Note that the quasimomentum distribution of the spinless fermions does not change in time because the fermionic occupations of the quasimomentum modes are conserved quantities.) This dynamical fermionization is to be contrasted to the result of the time-of-flight protocol, in which all the external potentials are switched off and the measured momentum distribution after expansion is, up to higher-order Bragg peaks, the same as the initial quasimomentum distribution of the hard-core bosons. Dynamical fermionization has been studied for hard-core bosons in initial ground states in a lattice [17, 18], in the continuum [49], and at finite temperatures in a lattice [44].

Figures 2(a)–2(d) display the quasimomentum distribution $m_k$ for $\alpha = 2$ and 4. We define $m_k$ of hard-core bosons as $m_k = (1/R)^{-1} \sum_{l,l'} e^{i k (l-l')} \langle \hat{b}_l^\dagger \hat{b}_{l'} \rangle$ (for spinless fermions, one just needs to replace $\hat{b}_l\hat{b}_{l'}$ by $\hat{f}_l \hat{f}_{l'}$). In equilibrium, $m_k$ of hard-core bosons is clearly different from its spinless fermion counterpart: it is sharply peaked at $k = 0$ in the ground state [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)], while finite temperatures [Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)] smoothen the peak [55]. Remarkably, during the dynamics [see Figs. 2(a)–2(d)], $m_k$ of hard-core bosons approaches (and becomes nearly identical to) the one of spinless fermions irrespective of the initial temperature [44] and of the exponent of the initial confining potential. The quasimomentum distribution of hard-core bosons is nearly identical to that of the fermions at the longest expansion times studied, when the occupations $n_l$ in the center of the lattice are strongly reduced from their initial values [see the insets of Figs. 2(a)–2(d)].

The dynamical fermionization of the bosonic quasimomentum distribution function is not the only intriguing phenomenon that occurs during the expansion dynamics. Another remarkable feature is the preservation of coherence in the many-body wavefunction of pure states. This can be seen by studying the spatial decay of the absolute value of one-body correlations $C(x) = |\langle \hat{b}^\dagger_{l=0} \hat{b}_{l=x} \rangle|$ for which results are depicted in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f). While the spatial decay for finite-temperature initial states is exponential [44], it is intriguing that for initial ground states the correlations retain a power-law decay with the ground-state exponent $C(x) \propto x^{-1/2}$ at all times [17, 18]. The fact that the expanding states that start their dynamics from ground states are eigenstates of emergent
local Hamiltonians (13) and (14) allow one to gain an
intuitive understanding for why correlations can be power
law. This is a behavior typical of gapless 1D systems in
their ground states, which are described by the Luttinger
liquid theory [54].

V. NONUNIQUENESS OF THE EMERGENT
LOCAL HAMILTONIAN

Before concluding, let us also consider initial Hamilto-
nians of the form

\[
\hat{H}_0^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{l=-L_0}^{L_0} \ell^\alpha \hat{n}_l, \tag{15}
\]

which commute for different values of \(\alpha\). Let us consider
two initial Hamiltonians \(\hat{H}_0^{(\alpha_1)}\) and \(\hat{H}_0^{(\alpha_2)}\) and the same
final Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}\) after the quench. The correspond-
ing emergent local Hamiltonians \(\hat{H}^{(\alpha_1)}(t)\) and \(\hat{H}^{(\alpha_2)}(t)\) commute [this follows from Eq. (1)], i.e., they share eigen-
states. This means that different emergent local Hamilto-
nians can be used to describe dynamics from initial
states that are common eigenstates of \(\hat{H}_0^{(\alpha_1)}\) and \(\hat{H}_0^{(\alpha_2)}\).

An interesting aspect about the nonuniqueness of the
emergent local Hamiltonian appears when studying \(\hat{H}_0^{(\alpha)}\)
for even and odd values of \(\alpha\), as the former (latter) ex-
hibits a spectrum that is bounded (unbounded) from be-
low. If one considers an initial state that is a Fock state
with one particle per site in the center of the lattice, see the insets in Fig. 3, that state is the ground state of \(\hat{H}_0^{(2)}\) and \(\hat{H}_0^{(4)}\), while it is a highly-excited (degenerate)
eigenstate of \(\hat{H}_0^{(1)}\). As a result, the expansion dynami-
cs can be described using the ground state of \(\hat{H}^{(2)}(t)\) and \(\hat{H}^{(4)}(t)\) [obtained by replacing \(\hat{H}_0^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \hat{H}_0^{(\alpha)}\) and
\(R \rightarrow 1\) in Eqs. (13) and (14), respectively] or using a
highly-excited eigenstate of \(\hat{H}^{(1)}(t)\) with \(\lambda = 0\). In the main
panel in Fig. 3, we show overlaps between the target eigenstates of \(\hat{H}^{(1)}(t)\), \(\hat{H}^{(2)}(t)\), and \(\hat{H}^{(4)}(t)\) as a function of time. The overlaps are one within
machine precision for all time shown.

This example offers an understanding for why one-
body correlations with ground-state character can be
found in highly-excited eigenstates of emergent local
Hamiltonians with spectra that are unbounded from be-
low [40]. In the case considered here, a highly-excited
eigenstate of \(\hat{H}^{(1)}(t)\) is the ground state of \(\hat{H}^{(2)}(t)\) and
\(\hat{H}^{(4)}(t)\). Note that, actually, a macroscopic number of
low-energy eigenstates of \(\hat{H}^{(2)}(t)\) and \(\hat{H}^{(4)}(t)\) appears
(is “cloned”) in the middle of the spectrum of \(\hat{H}^{(1)}(t)\).

![FIG. 3. Nonuniqueness of the emergent local Hamiltonian.](image-url)

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that emergent eigenstate solutions ex-
ist for the expansion dynamics of Tonks-Girardeau gases
in 1D optical lattices after turning off power-law con-
fining potentials. Those quenches do not involve the
boost operator, which was central to the discussion in
Ref. [40]. Our construction is applicable independently of
the characteristic density chosen, the exponent of the
power-law traps, the initial temperature, and for arbi-
trarily long times, as long as particles do not reach the
edges of the lattice. The emergent local Hamiltonians
constructed here provide a promising tool to manipulate
time-evolving states in optical lattices. For example, by
quenching to the emergent Hamiltonian during the ex-
ansion one can suddenly freeze the atomic cloud, as it
becomes a stationary state. This opens a door towards
the efficient engineering of tailored many-particle states.

We studied the dynamical fermionization of the hard-
core boson quasimomentum distribution function during
expansion dynamics, and showed that the dynamically
fermionized state may be the ground state of an emer-
gent local Hamiltonian with competing (generalized) ki-
netic and current operators. We also formally intro-
duced the concept of the emergent Gibbs ensemble to de-
scribe quantum dynamics of initial Gibbs states. It can
be used to describe the expansion dynamics of Tonks-
Girardeau gases, relevant to current experiments with
ultracold atomic bosons in optical lattices.
Appendix A: Times of validity of the emergent eigenstate description

In the derivation of $\hat{H}^{(2)}(t)$ and $\hat{H}^{(4)}(t)$, we neglected boundary terms that appear in the commutators $\hat{H}_n$. These terms enter the series in Eq. (2) generating operators at the lattice boundaries whose support increases with the power of $t$, and eventually result in a breakdown of the emergent eigenstate description of the dynamics on finite lattices and long times. Physically, the breakdown time can be understood to be the time at which propagating particles reach the lattice boundaries [40]. Hence, by taking limits appropriately, no breakdown of the emergent eigenstate solution will occur. One needs first to take the lattice size to infinity while keeping the time fixed, and then take the infinite time limit.

Equation (2). The first-order term is

$$\hat{H}^{(4)}_1 = -i R^{-3} \hat{j}^{(1,1)} - 4i R^{-1} \hat{j}^{(1,3)},$$

the second-order term is

$$\hat{H}^{(4)}_2 = 24 R^{-2} \hat{T}^{(0,2)} - 2 R^{-4} \hat{T}^{(2,0)} - 12 R^{-2} \hat{T}^{(2,2)} - 4 R^{-4} \hat{N},$$

the third-order term is

$$\hat{H}^{(4)}_3 = 72i R^{-3} \hat{j}^{(1,1)} - 24i R^{-3} \hat{j}^{(3,1)},$$

and the fourth-order term is

$$\hat{H}^{(4)}_4 = 96 R^{-4} \hat{T}^{(2,0)} - 24 R^{-4} \hat{T}^{(4,0)} + 144 R^{-4} \hat{N}.$$ 

One then realizes that $\hat{H}^{(4)}_4$ commutes with $\hat{H}$ (up to boundary terms), and, hence, the series in Eq. (2) can be truncated at $n_0 = 4$ to produce the emergent local Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^{(4)}(t)$ in Eq. (14).
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